

**SOUTHERN REGIONAL COMMITTEE
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
BANGALORE**

**Minutes of the 258th Meeting of SRC held at the Conference
Hall of NCTE, Bangalore on 03rd – 05th Jan, 2014**

The following Persons attended the Meeting:-

- | | | | |
|----|---|---|------------------------|
| 1. | Shri S.Sathyam I.A.S (Retired) | - | Chairman |
| 2. | Prof. K. Dorasami | - | Member |
| 3. | Prof. Sandeep Ponnala | - | Member |
| 4. | Smt. P. Revathi Reddy.
Regional Director | - | Non-Member
Convenor |

1. The following Members/Representative did not attend the Meeting

Prof. M.A. Khader. Member and the Representatives of the Govts. of Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Kerala, Tamilnadu, U.T's of Lakshadweep, Pondicherry & Andaman & Nicobar Islands.

2. The following Members could not attend because of other unavoidable commitments and had taken leave of absence.

Dr.M.P.Vijaykumar, I.A.S(Retired). Member, and Prof. M.S. Lalithamma. Member

**SOUTHERN REGIONAL COMMITTEE
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
BANGALORE
DECISION OF 258th MEETING OF SRC-NCTE**

1	Confirmation of Minutes of SRC in its 257 th Meeting held on 20 th -22 nd December, 2013	Confirmed
2	Action Taken Report (ATR) on the Minutes of SRC in its 256 th Meeting held on 04 th -06 th December, 2013	1. Put up the remaining VTRs. Pursue cases cleared for LOI. Request Universities/SCERTs to give immediate attention to Staff-List certification because of the 3 rd March date line.

Consideration of Agenda Items- Volume-01
Court Cases

Sl. No	Code Course Name of the Institution State	Remarks
1.	SRCAPP148 B.P.Ed Ayyappa College of Physical Education, Kurnool District AP	<p>Ayyappa College of Physical Education, Khasara/Plot No. 109/2, Dhione Village and Post Office, Dhione Taluka and City, Kurnool District – 518 222, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Sree Lakshmee Narasimha Educational Society, Plot No. 1-67-25-26, Pendekantinagar Road, Banaganapalle Village and Post Office, Banaganapalle Taluka and City, Kurnool District – 518 124, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Ayyappa College of Physical Education, Khasara/Plot No. 109/2, Dhione Village and Post Office, Dhione Taluka and City, Kurnool District – 518 222, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course for one year duration under Section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 07.01.2013.</p> <p>NCTE-Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, its amendment Notified on 26th November 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2 (B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1 (1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application. b) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application. c) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed

		<p>land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application.</p> <p>The SRC in its 238th Meeting held on 05th-06th February, 2013 and on careful perusal of the original of the institution and other related documents, NCTE Act, 1993, Regulations and guidelines from time to time laid on the table the Regional Committee decided to reject the application on the following grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application - (as per Regulations 2009 Para 7[1-A(i)](c)). <p>Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution vide no. F.No.SRCAPP148 (2013-14)/B.P.Ed/AP/2012-13/49355 dated 06/03/2013.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide no. F.No.89-218/2013 Appeal/11th Meeting-2013 dated 23.08.2013 stating that "...the council concluded that the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC confirmed".</p> <p>The appellate authority order was placed before SRC in its 253rd Meeting held on 30th September & 01st October, 2013 and the committee has noted the matter.</p> <p>Meantime, a court order has been received from Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in W.P No. 25827 of 2013 dated 26.09.2013.</p> <p>Hence, keeping in view of these inherent limitations,</p> <p><i>The Southern Regional Committee shall deal with the application on its merit and if it is satisfied that the petitioners have complied with the other requirements, may grant permission for commencement of the course for the academic year 2014-15.</i></p> <p><i>This writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms. No costs.</i></p> <p><i>Consequently, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed".</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 254th Meeting held on 25th-27th of October, 2013 considered the matter, and it has decided and advised Southern Regional Office to ask our Lawyer to file an appeal and obtain 'stay' of the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the advocate on 29.10.2013. the reply from the advocate received on 04.11.2013 stating that "...please find enclosed appeal affidavit, condone delay petition in writ petition W.P. No. 25827 of 2013. Kindly sign the appeal affidavit, condone delay petition and send immediately within 2 days to avoid legal complications."</p> <p>Accordingly, duly signed appeal affidavit forwarded to the advocate on 05.11.2013.</p> <p>Meantime, a letter received from the institution alongwith court order in Writ Appeal No. 1820 of 2013 dated 19.11.2013 stating that "... we have heard the learned counsel for the appellants and gone through the impugned judgment and order of the learned Trial Judge dated 26.09.2013.</p> <p><i>The learned Trial Judge after considering the matter in detail, on fact, found that there</i></p>
--	--	--

	<p><i>are mistakes apparent on the orders of both the authorities. Therefore, the learned Trial Judge has decided that the matter be considered afresh and it was remanded for taking correctional measure. We are of the view that the decision of the learned Trial Judge does not suffer from any illegality. We do not like to interfere with the impugned judgment and order.</i></p> <p><i>The writ appeal is accordingly dismissed. No order as to cost. As a sequel to the dismissal of the writ appeal, all the interim applications shall stand dismissed.”</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the judgment and order of the learned Trial Judge dated 26-09-2013 and, decided as under:</p> <p>The Division Bench has gone by the Single Judge Bench observation that the institution possessed title, and, they produced the ‘original’ sale deed at the time of appeal to prove it. The Regulations require that the applicant must possess title and submit documents to show it to be so. In this case, the applicant did <u>not</u> produce the required documentary evidence before the SRC. New documents can not be produced at the time of appeal.</p> <p>SRC decision in question was, therefore, not wrong. The appellate authority also rightly upheld the SRC order or rejection in their appeal order dated 23/08/2013.</p> <p>Further, the Committee decided to appeal in the Supreme Court.</p>
--	---

Consideration of Agenda Items- Volume-02

2.	<p>SRCAPP2158 SRCAPP2157 B.P.Ed / M.P.Ed Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli District TN</p>	<p>Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara No. 623, 616, 649, 648, NH -210, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin - 620024, Tamilnadu</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">SRCAPP2157-M.P.Ed</th> <th style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">SRCAPP2158-B.P.Ed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara No. 623, 616, 649, 648, NH -210, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin - 620024, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Plot No. 623, 616, NH -210, Pudukottai Road, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin-620024, Tamilnadu for <u>M.P.Ed</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>25.07.2013</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>29.07.2013</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>29.10.2013</u>.</p> <p>A deficiency letter was issued to the University on <u>04.11.2013</u>.</p> </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara No. 623, 616, 649, 648, NH -210, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin - 620024, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Plot No. 623, 616, NH -210, Pudukottai Road, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin-620024, Tamilnadu for <u>B.P.Ed</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>25.07.2013</u> and physical application has been received in the office of</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	SRCAPP2157-M.P.Ed	SRCAPP2158-B.P.Ed	<p>Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara No. 623, 616, 649, 648, NH -210, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin - 620024, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Plot No. 623, 616, NH -210, Pudukottai Road, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin-620024, Tamilnadu for <u>M.P.Ed</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>25.07.2013</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>29.07.2013</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>29.10.2013</u>.</p> <p>A deficiency letter was issued to the University on <u>04.11.2013</u>.</p>	<p>Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara No. 623, 616, 649, 648, NH -210, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin - 620024, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Plot No. 623, 616, NH -210, Pudukottai Road, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin-620024, Tamilnadu for <u>B.P.Ed</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>25.07.2013</u> and physical application has been received in the office of</p>
SRCAPP2157-M.P.Ed	SRCAPP2158-B.P.Ed					
<p>Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara No. 623, 616, 649, 648, NH -210, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin - 620024, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Plot No. 623, 616, NH -210, Pudukottai Road, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin-620024, Tamilnadu for <u>M.P.Ed</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>25.07.2013</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>29.07.2013</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>29.10.2013</u>.</p> <p>A deficiency letter was issued to the University on <u>04.11.2013</u>.</p>	<p>Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara No. 623, 616, 649, 648, NH -210, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin - 620024, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Plot No. 623, 616, NH -210, Pudukottai Road, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin-620024, Tamilnadu for <u>B.P.Ed</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>25.07.2013</u> and physical application has been received in the office of</p>					

		<p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 256th Meeting held on 04th-06th December, 2013 and decided to cause inspection.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter was sent to the University on 20.12.2013.</p> <p>The University has submitted written representation to deficiency letter on 29.11.2013 along with DD bearing no. 941327 dtd. 29.09.2013 for Rs. 50,000/- drawn on State Bank of India.</p> <p>A letter was sent to the University on 20.12.2013 regarding inspection of intimation.</p> <p>The inspection of the University was fixed between 06.01.2014 to 10.01.2014. The same was intimated to the University on 31.12.2013.</p>	<p>SRC on <u>29.07.2013</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>29.10.2013</u>.</p> <p>A deficiency letter was issued to the University on <u>04.11.2013</u>.</p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 256th Meeting held on 04th-06th December, 2013 and decided to cause inspection.</p> <p>Now, the University is requesting to sent back the application of B.P.Ed course. Since both application have been submitted for the same academic session 2014-15</p>
<p>Note: The University has stated that “ <i>the University has decided to request you to consider M.P.Ed., (2 years) application submitted by us for the academic year 2014-2015. <u>The B.P.Ed., application may please be withhold or sent back to this office</u>”.</i></p> <p>Bharathidasan University, Thiruchirappali City Tamilnadu had applied for B.P.Ed (SRCAPP2158) and M.P.Ed (SRCAPP2157) both courses for the session 2014-15 vide on-line dated 25/07/2013.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter after all the formalities and decided to cause inspection in its 256th meeting held on 04-06th Dec, 2013. In the meanwhile the University vide their letter dated 19/12/2013 requested SRC to sent back the application for B.P.Ed course applied along with M.P.Ed and requested to consider only M.P.Ed course.</p> <p>The Committee on observation decided that the earlier decision to cause inspection for both the courses were wrong and decided that Regulations of 2009 (Appendix – 8, Preamble 1. (2) which reads “only University departments or institutions offering B.P.Ed, Programme are eligible to offer this course), require a running B.P.Ed for Universities to be eligible to apply for M.P.Ed. Letter to be written to the University to check whether they want to opt for B.P.Ed instead of M.P.Ed.</p>			

Appeal Cases

3.	SRCAPP1861 B.Ed Shree Ramachandra College of Education, Vellore District TN	<p>Shree Raamachandra College of Education, Khasara no. 458, 449, 1A11(P), Plot no. 457/2, Agaram Village, Gururajapalayam Post, Vellore Taluka, Vellore District, Pin-632107, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Gandhimathinagabooshanam Educational Charitable Trust, Plot no. 16, 2nd Main Road, Rajiv Gandhi Nagar, Vellore Village, Thorapadi Post, Vellore Taluka, Vellore District, Pin-632002, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Shree Raamachandra College of Education, Khasara no. 458, 449, 1A11(P), Plot no. 457/2, Agaram Village, Gururajapalayam Post, Vellore Taluka, Vellore District, Pin-632107, Tamilnadu for <u>B.Ed</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>24.12.2012</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>26.12.2012</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>03.01.2013</u> followed by reminder on <u>19.03.2013</u> . A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on <u>19.03.2013</u>.</p> <p>The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on <u>25.05.2013</u>.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the deficiency letter was duly considered 246th meeting held on 02nd-04th June 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Total built up area for the course is inadequate as per NCTE norms 2009. The institution is running other Teacher Education Courses i.e, D.El.Ed & D.El.Ed-AI in the same building/premises.2. In the approved building plan submitted, total earmarked area for the proposed course is not mentioned.3. In the affidavit submitted, total built up area for the proposed course is not mentioned. <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee has decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, <u>to reject</u> the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course.</p> <p>Further, The SRC advised SRO to re-examine D.T.Ed-AI course run by the institution and to put up in the 248th meeting.</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 02.08.2013.</p> <p>The institution preferred an appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No. 89-561/2013 Appeal/16th meeting-2013/A78125/ dated 13.11.2013 has stated as follows:-</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"><p>“after perusal of the documents, Memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to issue show cause notice and consider the reply thereto for taking decision in this case.</p><p>NOWTHEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sree Ramachandra College of Education, Vellore, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.”</p></div>
----	--	--

		<p>The Committee considered the matter, Appellate Authority order dated 13-11/2013, directing SRC to issue show cause notice and consider the reply thereto for taking decision, Accordingly, it is decided to process the case.</p>
4.	<p>SRCAPP1867 B.Ed-AI K S R College of Education, Namakkal District TN</p>	<p>K S R College of Education, Khasara no. 207/1, 208/2, Erode Road, Kutchipalayam Village, K.S.R Kalvi Nagar, Tiruchengode Taluka, Namakkal District, Pin-637215, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Rajammal Rangasamy Educational Trust, Plot no. 30 B, East Muniappan Koil Street, Tiruchengode Village and Post, Tiruchengode Taluka, Namakkal District, Pin-637211, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to K S R College of Education, Khasara no. 207/1, 208/2, Erode Road, Kutchipalayam Village, K.S.R Kalvi Nagar, Tiruchengode Taluka, Namakkal District, Pin-637215, Tamilnadu for B.Ed-AI Course of one year duration under Section 15(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 24.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 27.12.2012.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on followed by reminder on 19.03.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 19.03.2013.</p> <p>The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 07.05.2013.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the deficiency letter was duly considered by SRC in its 245th meeting held on 19th-21st May 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Approved blue print of the building plan issued by competent civil authority is not submitted. The institution has submitted photocopy of building plan in which the total land area is not mentioned. Earmarked area for the proposed course and existing courses are not shown. 2. Notarised land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the <u>educational purpose</u> is not submitted. 3. Proof of completion of 3 academic sessions towards D.T.Ed & M.Ed courses which are already run by the institution from the affiliating body/State Govt./examining body is not submitted. <p>The reply to the show cause notice is not satisfactory and unconvincing.</p> <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee has decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, <u>to reject</u> the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed-AI course.</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 18.06.2013.</p> <p>The institution preferred an appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-546/2013 Appeal/16th meeting-2013/A78085/dated 13.11.2013 has stated as follows:-</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;"> <p>“after perusal of the documents, Memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to further processing of the</p> </div>

		<p>application keeping in view the provisions of Regulation 2009 as contained in 8(3) thereof.</p> <p>NOWHEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of K S R College of Education, Namakkal, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.”</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, Appellate Authority order dated 13-11/2013, directing SRC to further process the application as per the provision of Regulations 2009 as contained in 8 (3) thereof. Accordingly, it is decided to process the case.</p>
5.	<p>SRCAPP1889 B.Ed-AI Kongunadu College of Education, Tiruchirappalli District TN</p>	<p>Kongunadu College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 2958, Street No. 283, Tholurpatty Village and Post Office, Thottiyam Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin – 621215, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Kongunadu Educational Charitable Trust, Plot No. 2958, Namakkal-Trichy Main Road, Tholurpatty Village and Post Office, Thottiyam Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin – 621215, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Kongunadu College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 2958, Street No. 283, Tholurpatty Village and Post Office, Thottiyam Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin – 621215, Tamilnadu for B.Ed-AI Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 26.12.2012 and physical copy on 28.12.2012.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 22.01.2013 followed by reminder on 19.04.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 19.04.2013.</p> <p>The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 14.05.2013.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the deficiency letter was duly considered by SRC in its 245th meeting held on 19th-21st May 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Original certified copy of the land documents from Govt. authority is not submitted. 2. In the building plan submitted, Plot area and total earmarked built up area is not mentioned. 3. Original building completion certificate in a proper format from competent Govt. engineer is not submitted. 4. Notarised English version of Up-to-date encumbrance certificate issued by sub-registrar is not submitted. In the encumbrance certificate submitted, total land area is not mentioned. 5. Proof of completion of 3 academic sessions towards B.Ed course which are already run by the institution from the affiliating body/State Govt./examining body is not submitted. <p>The reply to the show cause notice is not satisfactory and unconvincing.</p> <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed-AI course.</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 20.06.2013.</p>

		<p>The institution preferred an appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-550/2013 Appeal/16th meeting-2013/A78089/dated 13.11.2013 has stated as follows:-</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>“after perusal of the documents, Memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to further processing of the application.</p> <p>NOWTHEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Kongunadu College of Education, Tiruchirappalli, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.”</p> </div> <p>The Committee considered the matter, Appellate Authority order dated 13-11/2013, directing SRC to further process the application.</p> <p>It is decided by the Committee, that, the applicant did not submit documents to prove 3 year gap between 2 courses. The Institution can not say that Southern Regional Committee did not ask for it. As per the Regulations 2009 this requirement must be met. The Institution should have complied with it in their on-line application. The decision of Southern Regional Committee in their 245th meeting held on 19-21st was therefore, not, wrong.</p> <p>The Committee advised Southern Regional Office to request the Appeal Committee to ‘review’ their order.</p>
6.	<p>SRCAPP1851 B.Ed-AI Raadha College of Education, Dharmapuri District TN</p>	<p>Raadhaa College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 867/1A,1B,13A,13B, Plot No. 867/1A,1B,13A,13B, Street No. Sri Paspo, Adhiyamaankottai Village, A. Jettihalli Post Office, Dharmapuri Taluk & District-636807, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Sri Paspo Educational & Charitable Trust, Plot No. 867, Street/Road. Sri Paspo Nagar, A. Jettihalli Village & Post Office, Dharmapuri Taluk, Dharmapuri District-636807, Tamilnadu. had applied for grant of recognition to Raadhaa College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 867/1A,1B,13A,13B, Plot No. 867/1A,1B,13A,13B, Street No. Sri Paspo, Adhiyamaankottai Village, A. Jettihalli Post Office, Dharmapuri Taluk & District-636807, Tamilnadu for B.Ed-AI Course for one year duration under Section 15(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 22.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 27.12.2012 along with processing along with processing fees paid through challan dt.24.12.2012 for Rs.50100/-.</p> <p>Para 7[1-A(i)] and 7[1-A(ii)] of extant NCTE Regulations published in the Gazettee of India on 31st August 2009, as amended from time to time, the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Para 7[1-A(i)]</p>

- (a) The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application;
- (b) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application;
- (c) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.

Para 7[1-A(ii)]

The Regional Committee shall, after recording reasons for rejection under the circumstances referred to in clause (i) above, return the application to the applicant and refund the processing fees within 30 days of the online submission of the application.

In this application the following has been observed:

- On-line application was submitted on 22.12.2012 and challan was remitted on 24.12.2012. Hence, the society has not remitted the processing fee as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application, as required under sub-regulation 7(1-A)(i)(a) of NCTE Regulations 200

The SRC in its 240th meeting held on 09th-11th March 2013 reviewed the duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per 7[1-A (i)] of NCTE Regulations 2009.

- On-line application was submitted on 22.12.2012 and challan was remitted on 24.12.2012. Hence, the society has not remitted the processing fee as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application, as required under sub-regulation 7(1-A)(i)(a) of NCTE Regulations 2009.

Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 22.04.2013.

The institution preferred an appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No. 89-526/2013 Appeal/16th meeting-2013 /A78048/ dated 13.11.2013 has stated as follows:-

“after perusal of the documents, Memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to process the application further as per the Regulations.

NOWHEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Raadhaa College of Education, Dharmapuri, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.”

The Committee considered the matter, Appellate Authority order dated 13-

		<p>11/2013, directing SRC to further process the application as per the provision of Regulations 2009. Accordingly, it is decided to process the case.</p>
7.	<p>SRCAPP1903 B.Ed Meenakshi Chandrasekaran College of Education, Thanjavur District TN</p>	<p>Meenakshi Chandrasekaran College of Education, Plot/Khasara no. 211/1, 211/2A, 208/1, 209/5, Street no. 7, Karambayam Village and Post, Pattukottai Taluka, Thanjavur District, Pin-614626, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Sri Meenakshi Chandrasekaran Pillai Educational Trust, Plot no. 2851/16, Trichy Road, Thanjavur Village, V.O.C. Nagar Post, Thanjavur Taluka and District, Pin-613007, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Meenakshi Chandrasekaran College of Education, Plot/Khasara no. 211/1, 211/2A, 208/1, 209/5, Street no. 7, Karambayam Village and Post, Pattukottai Taluka, Thanjavur District, Pin-614626, Tamilnadu for <u>B.Ed</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>26.12.2012</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>28.12.2012</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>22.01.2013</u> followed by reminder on <u>19.03.2013</u>. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on <u>19.03.2013</u>.</p> <p>The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on <u>13.05.2013</u>.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the deficiency letter was duly considered by SRC in its 245th meeting held on 19th-21st May 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Original building completion certificate from competent Govt. engineer is not submitted. 2. Notarized land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the <u>educational purpose</u> is not submitted. In the land usage certificate submitted, which is not in the proper format and conversion from agriculture to non-agriculture is not mentioned. <p>The reply to the show cause notice is not satisfactory and unconvincing.</p> <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, <u>to reject</u> the application of the institution for recognition of <u>B.Ed</u> course.</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 18.06.2013.</p> <p>The institution, preferred appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-562/2013 Appeal/16th meeting-2013/A78113/dated 13.11.2013 has stated as follows:-</p>

		<p>“after perusal of the documents, Memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to issue of SCN and consider the reply thereto for taking a final decision in the matter.</p> <p>NOWHEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Meenakshi Chandrasekaran College of Education, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.”</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, Appellate Authority order dated 13-11/2013, directing SRC to issue show cause notice and consider the reply thereto for taking a final decision in the matter.</p> <p>It is decided by the Committee, that,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Application was deficient in submission of some documents. This they submitted to the Appeals Committee along with their Memorandum of Appeal. Such an opportunity is not available to the applicant under law. 2. The Deficiency Letter issued by the SRC to the applicant itself clearly stated that in the absence of a satisfactory reply, the application will be rejected. There was, therefore, no need to give a separate Show Cause Notice to them. <p>The Committee advised Southern Regional Office to request the Appeal Committee to ‘review’ their order.</p>
8.	<p>SRCAPP1968 B.Ed Thailammai College of Education, Dindigul District TN</p>	<p>Thailammai College of Education, Plot/Khasara/Street No. 92/6 old, Chettinaickenpatti Village, Kallipatti Post Office, Dindigul Taluk and City, Dindigul District, Pin – 624709, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>AR KN Thenammai Achi Educational Trust, Plot No. 08, Sriram Nagar 3rd Cross, Ammamandapam Village, Srirangam Road and Post Office, Srirangam Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin - 620006, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Thailammai College of Education, Plot/Khasara/Street No. 92/6 old, Chettinaickenpatti Village, Kallipatti Post Office, Dindigul Taluk and City, Dindigul District, Pin – 624709, Tamilnadu for B.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 28.12.2012 and physical copy on 31.12.2012.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 22.01.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 12.04.2013.</p> <p>The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 27.05.2013.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the deficiency letter was duly considered by SRC in its 246th meeting held on 2nd-4th June 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Building plan shows existing construction of ground floor, first floor etc., and proposed Multipurpose hall. Earmarked area for proposed course is not mentioned. In building plan total land area not mentioned and built up area is shown as 10,006 sq.ft. which is inadequate as per the

		<p>requirement of 16000 sq.ft. as per the regulations 2009.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Original building completion certificate from competent Govt. authorized engineer is not submitted. In the BCC submitted, owner of the land shown as Mr. K. Rajaram which is not permissible as per NCTE regulations 2009. Course and college name is not mentioned in the building completion certificate. 3. Notarised land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the <u>educational purpose</u> is not submitted. 4. In affidavit, built up area is not mentioned and Col. No. 5. is not filled. 5. In Encumbrance Certificate total land area is not mentioned. Notarized English version of Encumbrance Certificate is not submitted. <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, <u>to reject</u> the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course.</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 18.07.2013.</p> <p>The institution preferred an appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-5185/2013 Appeal/16th meeting-2013/A78064/dated 13.11.2013 has stated as follows:-</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 10px 0;"> <p><i>“after perusal of the documents, Memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.</i></p> <p>NOWTHEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.”</p> </div> <p>The Committee has noted the matter.</p>
9.	<p>SRCAPP1941 B.Ed Sri Lakshminarayan College of Education, Dharmapuri District TN</p>	<p>Sri Lakshminarayan College of Education, Plot/Khasara no. 16, Land Title/Street no. 16, Pappinaickanahalli Village, Sogathur Post, Dharmapuri Taluka, Dharmapuri District, Pin-636809, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Sri Lakshminarayan Educational Trust, Plot no. 4/426A, Vadivel Gounder Street, Dharmapuri Village and Post, Dharmapur Taluka and District, Pin-636702, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Lakshminarayan College of Education, Plot/Khasara no.16, Land Title/Street no. 16, Pappinaickanahalli Village, Sogathur Post, Dharmapuri Taluka, Dharmapuri District, Pin-636809, Tamilnadu for <u>B.Ed</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>27.12.2012</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>28.12.2012</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>22.01.2013</u> followed by reminder on <u>19.03.2013</u>. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on <u>19.03.2013</u>.</p> <p>The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on <u>14.05.2013</u>.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the deficiency letter was duly considered by SRC in its 245th meeting held on 19th-21st May 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not</p>

		<p>satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Original certified copy of the land documents from Govt. authority (sub-registrar) is not submitted. 2. Notarised land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the <u>educational purpose</u> is not submitted. In the Land Use Certificate, submitted conversion from agricultural land to non-agricultural land is not mentioned. <p>The reply to the show cause notice is not satisfactory and unconvincing.</p> <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, <u>to reject</u> the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course.</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 18.06.2013.</p> <p>The institution preferred an appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-521/2013 Appeal/16th meeting-2013/A78056/dated 13.11.2013 has stated as follows:-</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 10px 0;"> <p>“after perusal of the documents, Memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.</p> <p>NOWTHEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.”</p> </div> <p>The Committee has noted the matter.</p>
10.	<p>SRCAPP1882 B.Ed Dusi College of Education, Tiruvannamalai District TN</p>	<p>Dusi College of Education, Khasara No. 17/20, 17/21A, 17/21B, Plot/Street No. 12, Dusi Village and Post Office, Cheyyar Taluk, Dusi City, Tiruvannamalai District, Pin – 631702, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Annai Indraa Technical Educational Trust, Plot No.12/4, Bharathidasan Street, Vedhachalam Nagar Village, Selvilimedu Post Office, Kanchipuram Taluk Dusi College of Education, Khasara No. 17/20, 17/21A, 17/21B, Plot/Street No. 12, Dusi Village and and City, Kanchipuram District, Pin – 631502, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Dusi College of Education, Khasara No. 17/20, 17/21A, 17/21B, Plot/Street No. 12, Dusi Village and Post Office, Cheyyar Taluk, Dusi City, Tiruvannamalai District, Pin – 631702, Tamilnadu for B.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 26.12.2012 and physical copy on 28.12.2012.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 03.01.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 22.04.2013.</p> <p>The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 20.05.2013.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the Deficiency letter was duly considered by SRC in its 246th meeting held on 2nd-4th June 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p>

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Original certified copy of the land documents from Govt. authority is not submitted. 2. Building plan submitted is in regional language. 3. Original building completion certificate from competent Govt. authorized engineer is not submitted. In the BCC submitted. 4. Notarised land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the <u>educational purpose</u> is not submitted. Proceedings of Revenue Divisional Officer not submitted for conversion of land from agricultural to educational purposes. 5. As per Encumbrance Certificate the land is executed to an individual, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations 2009. <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, <u>to reject</u> the application of the institution for recognition of <u>B.Ed</u> course.</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 18.07.2013.</p> <p>The institution preferred an appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-515/2013 Appeal/16th meeting-2013/A78024/dated 13.11.2013 has stated as follows:-</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 10px 0;"> <p>“after perusal of the documents, Memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.</p> <p>NOWTHEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.”</p> </div> <p>The Committee has noted the matter.</p>
11.	<p>SRCAPP1930 B.Ed Chandra College of Education, Cuddalore District TN</p>	<p>Chandra College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 197, Street No. 2/164, Periyavadavadi Village, Vijayamanagaram Post, Vriddhachalam Taluk, Cuddalore District, Pin-606104, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Vanniyar Educational Trust, Plot no. 118/835, Junction Road, Vriddhachalam Village and Post, Vriddhachalam Taluk, Cuddalore District, Pin-606001, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Chandra College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 197, Street No. 2/164, Periyavadavadi Village, Vijayamanagaram Post Office, Vriddhachalam Taluk, Cuddalore District, Pin-606104, Tamilnadu for <u>B.Ed</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>27.12.2012</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>31.12.2012</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>22.01.2013</u> followed by reminder on <u>12.04.2013</u>. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on <u>12.04.2013</u>.</p> <p>Now, the institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 24.05.2013.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the Deficiency letter was duly considered by SRC in its 246th meeting held on 02nd-04th June 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p>

		<p>1. Original certified copy of the land documents from Govt. authority (Sub-Registrar) is not submitted.</p> <p>2. Notarised land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the <u>educational purpose</u> is not submitted.</p> <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, <u>to reject</u> the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course.</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 11.07.2013.</p> <p>The institution preferred an appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No. 89-548/2013 Appeal/16th meeting-2013/A78081/ dated 13.11.2013 has stated as follows:-</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>“after perusal of the documents, Memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.</p> <p>NOWTHEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.”</p> </div> <p>The Committee has noted the matter.</p>
--	--	--

LOI Cases

12	<p>SRCAPP1933 B.Ed OM College of Education, Kanchipuram District TN</p>	<p>OM College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 160/2, 51 Nemili, AP Nagar Road, Valarpuram Post, Sriperumbudur Taluka and City, Kanchipuram District, Pin-602105, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>DK Nagarathi Educational Trust, Plot No. 16/4, Ragava Apts. Temple Avenue, Chennai Village, Saidapet Post, Chennai Taluka and District, Pin-600015, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to OM College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 160/2, 51 Nemili, AP Nagar Road, Valarpuram Post, Sriperumbudur Taluka and City, Kanchipuram District, Pin-602105, Tamilnadu for B.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 27.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 28.12.2012.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 05.02.2013 followed by reminder on 19.03.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 19.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 03.05.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 245th meeting held on 19th -21st May, 2013 considered the reply of the institution dt.23-04-2012 to the deficiency letter, all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Note: VT members to be informed to check in particular about other courses being run by the institution.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was fixed between 26th -29th June, 2013. The</p>
----	---	---

		<p>same was intimated to the institution on 22.06.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 27.06.2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the SRC in its 251st Meeting held on 25th -27th August, 2013 decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(one hundred only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session. Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 10.09.2013. The institution has submitted reply on 07.11.2013.</p> <p>LOi was issued to the institution on 10/09/2013</p> <p>The institution has replied to LOI on 07/11/2013.</p> <p>The Committee in its 256th meeting held on 04-06th Dec, 2013 considered the matter and it was decided to serve Notice under Section 14 of NCTE Act for the following deficiencies:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Institution has submitted the approved staff list without the % of marks. The institution has to submit the approved staff list with % of marks. <p>By seeing the website the institution has submitted written representation on 19-12-2013.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply of the institution vide letter dated 19-12-2013 to the notice issued to institution, and decided to issue Formal Recognition.</p> <p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to B.Ed., course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (One hundred only) students, with effect from the Academic session 2014-2015.</p>
13	<p>SRCAPP1899 B.Ed Sree College of Education, Namakkal District TN</p>	<p>Sree College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 31/3, Street. No. 73, A.G. Palayam Village, Alampalayam Post Office, Rasipuram Taluk, Namakkal District, Pin-636203, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Chandramohan Nagarathinam Charitable Trust, Plot No. 31/3, Street/Road. Mallur-Vennandur Main Road, A.G. Palayam Village, Alampalayam Post Office, Kumbakonam Taluk, Namakkal District, Pin-636203, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Sree College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 31/3, Street. No. 73, A.G. Palayam Village, Alampalayam Post Office, Rasipuram Taluk, Namakkal District, Pin-636203, Tamilnadu for <u>B.Ed Course</u> of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>26.12.2012</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>28.12.2012</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>08.03.2013</u> followed by reminder on <u>10.05.2013</u>. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on <u>12.04.2013</u>. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on <u>28.05.2013</u>.</p>

		<p>The SRC in its 247th Meeting held on 20th-22nd June, 2013 considered the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter vide dt.28-05-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was fixed between 19th-22nd August, 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 10.08.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 20.08.2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee in its 252nd meeting held on 13-14th Sept, 2013 decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(One hundred)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply of the institution to the LOI issued and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 of NCTE Act for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The institution has not submitted approved staff list with subject specialization and percentage of marks in the qualifying examinations. • Signature of the affiliating authority in all the pages of the staff list not affixed. • The institution has not submitted original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund respectively for 5 years, in joint account. <p>In view of the above and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee decided to issue a Notice to the institution, as to why the application for recognition be not rejected and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a written representation within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the rejection of application for recognition, based on the records available, with no further notice.</p>
14.	<p>SRCAPP206 B.P.Ed Sri Datta College of Physical Education, Kurnool District AP</p>	<p>Sri Datta College of Physical Education, Sy.No.370, 371/1, NH7, Veldurthi Village & Post Office, Dhone Taluk, Kurnool City & District-518216, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Sri Datta Educational and Rural Development Society, Plot No.9/5, Near SVN School, Veldurthi Village & Post Office, Dhone Taluk, Kurnool City, Kurnool District-518216, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Datta College of Physical Education, Sy.No.370, 371/1, NH7, Veldurthi Village & Post Office, Dhone Taluk, Kurnool City & District-518216, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 07.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State</p>

		<p>Government for recommendation on 31.01.2013/12.04.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 12.04.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 11.06.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 249th meeting of SRC held on 24th-26th July 2013, considered the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter dated 11.06.2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 07th to 12th October 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 27.09.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 07.10.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 11.10.2013</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee in its 254th meeting held on 25-27th Oct, 2013 decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.P.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(one hundred only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 01-11-2013</p> <p>The institution replied to the LOI on 20-12-2013.</p> <p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to B.P.Ed., course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (Fifty only) students with effect from the academic session 2014-15.</p> <p>Further, the Committee noted that the Principal being a retired employee, as per NCTE regulations/norms of 2009, the Principal should be appointed on an annual basis but not beyond the age of 70 years (Second Amendment Regulations 2010).</p>
15	<p>SRCAPP3 D.Ed St.Andrews College of Elementary Education, Krishna District AP</p>	<p>St. Andrews College of Elementary Education, Khasara/Plot No. 206F2, Vijayawada Village, Vijayawada-9 Post, Vijayawada Taluk, Krishna District-520009, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Mother Educational Development Society, No.5-7/8-98/1, 6th Line K.L. Rao Nagar Road, Vijayawada Village, Vijayawada-9 Post, Vijayawada Taluk, Krishna District-520009, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to St. Andrews College of Elementary Education, Khasara/Plot No. 206F2, Vijayawada Village, Vijayawada-9 Post, Vijayawada Taluk, Krishna District-520009, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed Course of two years duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State</p>

		<p>Government for recommendation on 31.01.2013/14.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 24.05.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 247th meeting held on 20th-22nd June 2013, considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 24.05.2013 to the deficiency letter and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 16th -21st September 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 05.09.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 20.09.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 23.09.2013 On careful perusal of the original file of the Institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee in its 254th meeting held on 25th – 27th October, 2013 has decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to D.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50(fifty only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, LOI notice was issued to the institution on 06.11.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 04.12.2013 & 06.12.2013.</p> <p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to D.Ed., course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 (fifty only) students, with effect from the academic session 2014-2015.</p>
16.	<p>SRCAPP86 B.P.Ed Gonna College of Physical Education, Visakhapatnam District AP</p>	<p>Gonna College of Physical Education, Plot/Khasara No. 28/4 to 19, 29/1 to 33, 30/1 to 19, 31, Plot No. 28,29,30, Street No. 28/4 to 19, E.Marripalem Village, Aganampudi Post & Taluk, Visakhapatnam District - 530 046, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Sri Veera Jagannadh Educational Society, Plot No.7-1, Ward No.56, Gonnavanipalem Village, Aganampudi Post, Visakhapatnam Taluk & City, Visakhapatnam District - 530 046, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Gonna College of Physical Education, Plot/Khasara No. 28/4 to 19, 29/1 to 33, 30/1 to 19, 31, Plot No. 28,29,30, Street No. 28/4 to 19, E.Marripalem Village, Aganampudi Post & Taluk, Visakhapatnam District - 530 046, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 07.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013 / 12.04.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 12.04.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 10.06.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 248th meeting held on 13th - 15th July 2013, considered the reply of</p>

		<p>the institution to the deficiency letter vide letter dated 10.06.2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 26th - 31st August, 2013 the same was intimated to the institution on 22.08.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 31.08.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 05.09.2013</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.P.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (one hundred only) (one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE / State Government / Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 01.11.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 16.12.2013.</p> <p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to B.P.Ed., course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (Fifty only) students with effect from the academic session 2014-15.</p>
17	<p>SRCAPP176 B.P.Ed Vijayaniketan B.P.Ed College, Kurnool District AP</p>	<p>Vijayanikethan B.P.Ed College, Khasara No.144, Thimmapuram Village, Jillella Post Office, Banaganapalli Taluk & City, Kurnool District-518176, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Aacharya Educational Society, Plot No.47, YSR Colony, Nandivargam Village & Post Office, Banaganapalli Taluk, Kurnool District-518176, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Vijayanikethan B.P.Ed College, Kh. No.144, Thimmapuram Village, Jillella Post Office, Banaganapalli Taluk & City, Kurnool District-518176, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of One year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.01.2013 .</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 18.04.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 244th meeting held on 9th-11th May 2013, considered the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter dt.18.04.2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 1st to 5th July 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 27.06.2013.</p>

		<p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 05.07.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 09.07.2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, reply of the institution, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee in its 249th meeting held on 24-26th July, 2013 decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to B.P.Ed course of One year duration with an annual intake of 100(One Hunsews only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>The LOI was issued to the institution on 22/08/2013. LOI reply from the institution received on 17/10/2013.</p> <p>The LOI reply was placed before SRC in its 255th Meeting held on 13th-15th November, 2013 and the committee considered the matter and it was decided to serve Notice under section 14 of NCTE Act.</p> <p>Before issuance of notice the institution has submitted its written representation on 16.12.2013 (As per the information of website).</p> <p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to B.P.Ed., course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (Fifty only) students with effect from the academic session 2014-15.</p>
18	<p>SRCAPP1497 D.Ed Santhiniketan Diploma in Elementary Education, Vishakhapatna m District AP</p>	<p>Santhiniketan Diploma in Elementary Education, No.36/5, 36/6, 36/7, 36/8, Reddypalli Village, Padmanabham Mandal, Vishakhapatnam District-531219, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Anjani Educational Society, Dr.No.13-6A, Cheepurupalli Street, Bobbili, Vizianagaram District, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Santhiniketan Diploma in Elementary Education, No.36/5, 36/6, 36/7, 36/8, Reddypalli Village, Padmanabham Mandal, Vishakhapatnam District-531219, Andhra Pradesh for D.E.C.Ed course online on 30.09.2011 for two years duration under section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 and received in the SRC, NCTE on 05.10.2011.</p> <p>NCTE – Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, and amendment Notified on 26th November 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2(B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely.” 1(1-A) (I) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application. Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is, not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application. Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed land

		<p>on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application.</p> <p>The SRC considered the matter in 213th Meeting of SRC held on 06th – 07th November, 2011 and careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other related documents, NCTE Act 1993, Regulations and guidelines from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to reject the application on the following grounds:-</p> <p>❖ Not submitted valid print out of online application and application College of Diploma in Education is not available. As per direction of SRC, rejection of application seeking recognition for D.E.C.Ed course to the institution on 23.01.2012. NCTE – Hqrs had directed to process the applications dated 18.04.2012. The institution has submitted its written representation on 31.05.2012</p> <p>The SRC in its 228th Meeting held on 24th – 25th July 2012, considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 31.05.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) The institution has applied for D.E.C.Ed course on-line and all the documents are submitted for D.El.Ed course, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations. As per NCTE guide lines, change of course other than the one applied on-line is not permissible2) Notarized land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the educational purpose is not submitted. Proceedings of Revenue Divisional Officer not submitted for conversion of land from agricultural to educational purposes.3) Original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund from a Nationalized Bank in favour of Society/college/institution name if not given.4) In the affidavit submitted, Sy.No. is not mentioned. <p>Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 21.08.2012 The institution has submitted its written representation on 24.08.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 232nd meeting held on 29th – 31st August 2012 considered the reply of the institution dt.24-08-2012 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection for the session 2013-14, under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 20th-21st September 2012, the same was intimated to the institution on 14.09.2012.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 21.09.2012 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 24.09.2012</p> <p>The SRC in its 233rd meeting of SRC held on 26th – 28th September 2012, considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, for the following:</p>
--	--	--

- 1) As per VT report, the size of the multipurpose hall is only 1237 sq.ft. which is less than the requirement of 2000 sq.ft as per NCTE norms.
- 2) In the affidavit submitted, Sy.Nos. are not mentioned and Col.No.5 is not duly filled up.

Before the issue of Show Cause Notice, the institution referring to NCTE website has submitted its reply on 26.10.2012.

The SRC in its 235th meeting held on 21st – 22nd November 2012, considered the show cause notice reply dated 26.10.2012 and decided to issue Letter of Intent for 2013-14 for grant of recognition to D.El.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50(Fifty only)(one unit) for the academic year 2013-14 subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.

Accordingly, letter of intent was issued to the institution on 04/12/2012.

Meantime, the institution has submitted its written representation on 31/01/2013 & 05/03/2013 for requesting to change of course name.

The institution has not submitted reply for letter of intent after the stipulated time of two months from the date of issue of the letter of intent (Late for 130 days).

The SRC in its 242nd Meeting held on 14th-16th April, 2013 considered the matter, as the institution has not submitted reply for LOI even after stipulated period of 2 months from the date of issue of LOI letter dt. 04/12/2012 and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per clause 7(9) of NCTE Regulations 2009, to withdraw LOI issued to the institution and refuse and reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.E.C.Ed course.

Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 29.05.2013.

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide order No. F.No.89-349/2013 Appeal/14th Meeting-2013 dated 30.10.2013 stating that "...the council concluded that the appeal deserved to be remanded to SRC with a direction to process the application further for D.El.Ed course as per the Regulations.

NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby remands back the case of Santhiniketan D.Ed College, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above."

The SRC in its 255th meeting held on 13th-15th November 2013, considered the appellate authority order dated 30.10.2013 and decided to process the application.

As per the decision of SRC, the LOI reply was processed and placed before SRC in its 256th Meeting held on 04th-06th December, 2013 and the committee has decided to issue notice for approved staff list as per NCTE regulation.

Before issuance of notice the institution has submitted its written representation as per the information of website.

On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to D.Ed., course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 (fifty only) students, with effect from the academic session 2014-2015.

New Application -2013-2014

19.	SRCAPP1108 M.Ed Sri Sathya Sai Institute of Higher Learning, Anantapur District AP	<p>Sri Sathya Sai Institute of Higher Learning, Plot/Khasara No. 451/2,3,4, Ex3, 86,4,25,74.6, Anantapur Post and Taluk, Anantapur City and District – 515001, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Sri Sathya Sai Institute of Higher Learning Public Charitable Trust, Street No.1, Vidyagiri Street, Prasanthi Nilayam Village, Post and City, Sri Sathya Sai Taluk, Anantapur District, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Sathya Sai Institute of Higher Learning, Plot/Khasara No. 451/2,3,4, Ex3, 86,4,25,74.6, Anantapur Post and Taluk, Anantapur City and District – 515001, Andhra Pradesh for M.Ed Course of One year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 29.09.2011 and physical copy received on 05.10.2011.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 09.11.2011/ 22.12.2011. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 30.12.2011. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 02.03.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 221st meeting held on 19th – 20th April 2012 considered the reply of the institution dt. 02.03.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 14(1) of NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 15.05.2012. The institution has submitted its written representation dt.15.06.2012.</p> <p>The institution has submitted reply for show cause notice after the expiry of the stipulated time of 21 days period as per clause 14 (1) of NCTE Regulations.</p> <p>The institution has been given time to reply, in spite of giving time to the applicant institution enabling it to rectify its deficiencies/short comings, yet applicant has not submitted the replies within stipulated time.</p> <p>Supreme Court vide their order in Civil Appeal NO. 1125-1128/2011 in SLP No. 17165-68/2009 filed by NCTE Vs ors, which reads as under:</p> <p><u>“An institution is not entitled to recognition unless it fulfils the conditions specified in various clauses of the Regulations. The Council is directed to ensure that in future no institution is granted recognition unless it fulfils the conditions laid down in the Act and the Regulations and <u>the time schedule fixed for processing the application by the Regional Committee and communication of the decision on the issue of recognition it strictly adhered to”.</u></u></p> <p>The SRC in its 225th Meeting held on 25th-26th June, 2012 considered the reply of the institution, which is received on 15.06.2012, i.e., after 30 <u>(Thirty)</u> days from the date of issue of show cause notice dated. 15.05.2012 and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to refuse and reject the application of the institution for recognition of M.Ed course.</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 23.07.2012.</p>
-----	--	--

		<p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs and the appellate authority in its order No. F.No. 89-544/2012Appeal/12th Meeting-2012 dated 03/01/2013 stated that "...the council concluded that there is adequate ground to accept the appeal and remand back the case to the SRC for consideration of the reply to the show cause notice and for processing the case further as per regulations".</p> <p>The SRC in its 240th meeting held on 09th-11th March 2013, considered the Appellate authority order dt. 03/01/2013 and decided to further process the application of the said institution, for necessary action as per NCTE Regulations.</p> <p>The SRC in its 244th meeting held on 9th-11th May 2013, considered the Appellate authority order dt. 03/01/2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 19th-23rd August 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 10.08.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 23.08.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 28.08.2013</p> <p>The SRC in its 253rd Meeting held on 30th September and 1st October, 2013 considered the matter, VT report, VCD and all other relevant documents of the institution, It is noticed that the VCD submitted is not working properly, the Committee decided and advised Southern Regional Office to write the institution to send another copy of the VCD.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 07.11.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 20.12.2013 (enclosing fresh VCD).</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the University, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to M.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 35(thirty five only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p>
--	--	--

Other Items

20	<p>APS04850 B.Ed Thellar College of Education, Thiruvannamalai District TN</p>	<p>Thellar College of Education, Tindivanam Road, Thellar, Vandavasi Taluk, Thiruvannamalai District – 604406, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Thellar College of Education, Tindivanam Road, Thellar, Vandavasi Taluk, Thiruvannamalai District – 604406, Tamilnadu had submitted an application for B.Ed course on 14.03.2008. The institution was granted recognition on 23.12.2006, with an annual intake of 100 students, with the condition to shift to own premises within 3 years.</p> <p>The institution vide its letter dt.05.12.2011 submitted DD No.102553</p>
----	--	---

dt.30.11.2011 for Rs.40,000/- towards inspection fee for shifting of premises.

The SRC in its 216th meeting held on 11th-12th January 2012 considered the institution's letter dt.05.12.2011 and other relevant documents submitted by the institution and decided to cause Shifting inspection at the new premises to ascertain infrastructural and instructional facilities Under Section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 09.02.2012.

The SRC in its 221st meeting held on 19th-20th April 2012 considered the VT report, VCD and all other relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 17.05.2012. The institution had submitted its written representation on 14.06.2012.

The SRC in its 229th meeting held on 30-31st July 2012 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt.14.06.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE Act.

Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 29.08.2012. The institution had submitted its written representation on 08.10.2012.

The SRC in its 237th meeting held on 05th-06th January 2013 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt.08.11.2012 other related documents along with the original file of the institution shifting to new/permanent premises is permitted, subject to submission of approved staff list as per NCTE norms and FDRs within 60 days.

Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 26.02.2013. On 15.04.2013, institution had requested for returning of original FDR for renewal purpose and FDR was returned on 27.04.2013. Now the institution has submitted its documents with renewed Original FDR on 24.09.2013.

The Committee considered the reply of the institution to the LOI issued and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 of NCTE Act for the following:

- **The institution has not submitted approved staff list as per NCTE norms from the University/affiliating body.**
- **The institution has not given the percentage marks in the qualifying examines.**
- **In the staff list submitted by the institution, Principal, Lecturer (Foundation), Part-time Lecturer (Physical Education and Music) and Librarian are not available. Approved staff list with all these categories to be submitted.**

In view of the above and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee decided to issue a Notice to the institution, as to why the recognition be not withdrawn and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a written representation within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the withdrawal of recognition, based on the records available, with no further

		notice.
21	<p>APSO----- Hindi Shikshak MHPP Hindi Shikshak Training College, Rajajinagar, Bangalore KA</p>	<p>An application was received from MHPP Hindi Shikshak Training College, 58/59, West of Chort Road, Shivanagar, Rajajinagar, Bangalore – 560 010, Karnataka for Hindi Shikshak Course to Southern Regional Committee.</p> <p>A visit to the applicant institution was carried out by a visiting team constituted by the Regional Committee.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee, after considering the report of the visiting team as well as other relevant documents furnished by the applicant institution, accorded recognition on 06.07.2000 for the academic years 1999-2000 and 2000-2001 subject to review after the NCTE formulates and prescribes its own norms.</p> <p>Meanwhile, the State Government of Karnataka vide Ir.No. ED PTI 2002 dated 12.02.2002, has informed SRC-NCTE that Karnataka Secondary Education Examination Board (KSEEB) hereafter shall not conduct Hindi Shikshak Examinations and has requested to direct the management of Voluntary Hindi Organizations to formulate their own curriculum and conduct their own examinations.</p> <p>In view of the fact that the State Government of Karnataka will henceforth not be the examining body for Hindi Shikshak course offered by you, SRC-NCTE has decided that the institution has to be instructed to obtain affiliation to the Hindi Shikshak Course from any recognized University or from any Government Examination Board or otherwise recognition accorded to the course will have to be withdrawn.</p> <p>A notice was issued to the institution on 11.07.2002 with a direction of “Not to make admissions for the session 2002-2003 until SRC-NCTE takes final decision and communicates the same to the institution. It is also directed to submit the written representation within 30 days of the receipt of the Notice.</p> <p>SRC has observed that some of the institutions have approached Hon’ble High Court of Karnataka in the matter and the cases are still pending before Hon’ble Court.</p> <p>Since the matter was sub-judice, SRC decided to await the final verdict of the Hon’ble High Court of Karnataka and also decided to instruct such institutions not to make admissions for the academic session 2002-03 until further order.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter instructing the institution not to make admissions for the academic session 2002-03 for Hindi Shikshak course until further orders from SRC-NCTE is sent on 15.11.2002.</p> <p>As per the records found in the file, till date, no communication was made with the institution regarding the Notice dated 11.07.2002 and a NCTE letter dated 15.11.2002.</p> <p>On 13.11.2013 this office has received a letter dated 13.11.2013 from Sabita Khilar, Student of MHP Hindi Shikshak Training College, Bangalore Karnataka which as under:</p>

		<p>"I have done B.Ed in Hindi Shikshak Training College at Shivanagar, NO. 58, West of Chord Road, Bangalore – 10. In the 2012-2013. On the board and OD card they have written that institution is recognized by NCTE. Now I want to know it is recognized by NCTE or not. I enclose the ID Card Xerox copy also.</p> <p>I request you kindly give information for above matter".</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, letter from Ms. Sabita Khilar requesting to know whether, the MHPP Hindi Shikshak Training College, Rajajinagar, Bangalore is recognized by NCTE, Bangalore.</p> <p>The Committee decided that, candidate Ms. Sabita Khilar to be informed that the institution is not recognized by NCTE after 2000.</p> <p>Further, our Lawyer to be asked to advised us on the current status of the case in the Court of Law.</p>
22.	<p>APS07290 M.Ed Indian M.Ed College, Bidar District KA</p>	<p>Indian M.Ed College, Chidri Road, Bidar District – 585403, Karnataka.</p> <p>Indian M.Ed College, Chidri Road, Bidar District – 585403, karnataka was granted recognition for M.Ed course vide order No. F.SRO/NCTE/M.Ed/2008/5123 dated 22/09/2008 with an annual intake of 25 students.</p> <p>A letter dated 15/06/2013 is received by this office on 24/06/2013 from the Principal regarding change of the Indian M.Ed P.G.Centre, Chidri, Bidar to Roohi M.Ed P.G.Centre, Bidar.</p> <p>He stated that " the Gulbarga University, Gulbarga change of the our college name Indian College P.G.Centre Chidri, Bidar instead of new name Roohi M.Ed P.G.Centre, Chidri, Bidar. please request change in the NCTE website our College name. Therefore kindly information to you. Please any letter corresponding Roohi M.Ed P.G. Centre Chidri, Bidar".</p> <p>A copy of letter of Gulbarga University dated 29.05.2013 addressed to Roohi M.Ed PG Centre sanctioning of intake of 35 students for M.Ed(Edn) is enclosed for information.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 253rd Meeting held during 30th September 2013 to 1st October 2013 considered the matter, letter dated 15/06/2013 from the Principal of Rohi M.Ed P.G. Centre, Bidar and decided that the " institution should pay Rs. 50000/- as fee as per the NCTE Act towards inspection fee and usual condition, towards change of name of the institution from Roohi M.Ed P.G. Centre, Bidar to The Indian M.Ed P.G. Centre, Chidri, Bidar.</p> <p>The Committee also advised Sothern Regional Office to check for Law forbidding use by private parties of expressions like 'Indian' & 'National' and report."</p> <p>It is noticed from the records that the institution has requested for change of name of the college from the existing 'Indian M.Ed College, Chidri Road, Bidar District – 585403, Karnataka' to 'Roohi M.Ed P.G.Centre,Chidri,Bidar.'</p> <p>Hence, the above matter is once again placed before SRC for necessary direction regarding the request of the institution.</p>

		<p>The Committee considered the matter, advised Southern Regional Office to report action taken on the earlier decision as per 253rd meeting held on 30th Sept, 2013 & 1st Oct, 2013, and to be put up in 259th meeting.</p>
--	--	--

Consideration of Agenda Items- Volume-03
Inspection u/s-14

23	<p>SRCAPP1824 B.Ed-DE Alagappa University, Sivaganga District TN</p>	<p>Alagappa University, Plot/Khasara No.540, 541/2, 544, Karaikudi Village, Alagappapuram Post, Sivaganga District, Pin-630 003, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Alagappa University Directorate of Distance Education, Plot No.540, 541/2, 544, Alagappapuram Street, Karaikudi Village, Alagappapuram Post, Sivaganga District, Pin-630 003, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Alagappa University, Plot/Khasara No.540, 541/2, 544, Karaikudi Village, Alagappapuram Post, Sivaganga District, Pin-630 003, Tamilnadu for B.Ed-D.E Course of one year duration under Section 15(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 18.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 27.12.2012.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 05.02.2013 followed by reminder on 27.04.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 27.04.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 24.06.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 248th Meeting held on 13th-15th July, 2013 considered the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter vide letter dt.24.06.2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was fixed between 02.12.2013 to 04.12.2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 22.11.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 02.12.2013.</p> <p>The Committee considered the VT report, VCD of the institution, all other relevant documents and decided to ask for the following from the University:</p>
----	--	--

		<p>1. The University to give UGC clearance for their Self Learning Materials.</p> <p>2. The University to give their order earmarking land and building for the Directorate of Distance Education.</p> <p>3. Multipurpose hall is not available, the University to clarify.</p>
24.	<p>SRCAPP108 D.Ed Abdulkalam D.El.Ed College, Guntur District AP</p>	<p>Abdulkalam D.El.Ed College, Khasara No.1484, Plot No.9 4 70, 5th Street, Narasaraopet Village and Post Office, Narasaraopet Taluk and City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Gayaz and Friends Educational Foundation, Plot No.1484, 5th Street, Narasaraopet Village and Post Office, Narasaraopet Taluk and City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Abdulkalam D.El.Ed College, Khasara No.1484, Plot No.9 4 70, 5th Street, Narasaraopet Village and Post Office, Narasaraopet Taluk and City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed course online on 31.12.2012 for two year duration under section 14(1) of the NCTE Act 1993 and received in the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE on 07.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/28.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 28.03.2013, again reposted on 18.07.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 20.09.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 254th meeting held on 25th-27th October 2013, considered the reply of the institution dt.20-09-2013 to the deficiency letter and all other relevant documents and decided <u>to cause inspection to D.Ed course (SRCAPP108) after collecting inspection fee</u> under section 14 of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 28th November to 2nd December 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 22.11.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 30.11.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 09.12.2013</p> <p>The Committee considered the VT Report, VCD of the institution and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice Under Section 14 of NCTE Act for the following -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Over-writings are there in the Building plan submitted by the institution. The College should give a fresh building plan without over-writings. • Multiple purpose Hall size is inadequate and less than the requirement of 2000 sq.ft. as per NCTE 2009 norms. • As per VT report, total built up area is not clear. <p>In view of the above, the Committee decided to issue a Show cause Notice to the institution as to why the application for recognition be not rejected</p>

	<p>and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a <u>written representation</u> within 15 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the rejection of the application, based on the records available with no further notice.</p>
--	--

Consideration of Agenda Items- Volume-04
Court Cases

25	<p>APS09050 SRCAPP500 B.Ed/ B.Ed Rosammal Memorial College of Education, Tuticorin District TN</p>	<p>Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education, Tuticorin, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education (For Women) No.85/2C Poopalaraverpuram, Tuticorin – 628001, Tamilnadu has submitted an application to the SRC of NCTE for grant of recognition for B.Ed course Dt. 26.9.2007 which was received on 28.9.2007. The application was possesses and the deficiency letter was issued on 10.10.2007 providing 90 days time to submit the documents. Whereas, the institution has not made any reply. Hence, after completion of 90 days, the application file was closed and communicated to the institution vide letter dt.12.05.2008.</p> <p>The institution has filed WP 19506 of 2008 before the Hon'ble High court of Madras, wherein the Hon'ble court has directed to consider the application and pass orders on merits within 12 weeks.</p> <p>Based on the court order a letter was issued to the instituted dt. 15.10.2008 seeking the required documents for further processing of the application. The institution has submitted reply in its letter dt. 31.10.2008. The reply was scrutinized and found that the documents submitted are not complete. A reminder letter was sent to the institution on 1.1.2009.</p> <p>Meanwhile, the institution has filed one more WP 168 of 2008 before the Hon'ble High Court of Madras. The Hon'ble Court has directed SRC to consider the application of the petitioner dt. 26.9.2007 and the compliance report submitted on 31.10.2008. In the 170th Meeting to be held 16th-17th Feb, 2009 and pass orders on the same in accordance with Law within a period of 8 weeks.</p> <p>Further, the institution in its letter dt. 31.10.2009, has made a reply to this office reminder letter dt,1.1.2009 . On verification of the documents, it is found that the institution has not submitted land documents for having registered in the name of the institution or trust. Whereas, the encumbrance certificate submitted for the year 1997 shows the name of the institution along with two other individuals, building completion certificate issued by the PWD Engineer for Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education. Further, the land usage certificate issued for college purpose and the letter has been issued to the Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education (Women).</p> <p>As per the directions of the Hon'ble court, the application filed dt. 28.9.2007 and the compliance of the institution dt. 31.10.2008 along with original file, Court order, letter dt. 30.10.2008 along with documents were placed before SRC in its 170th meeting held on 16-17 Feb. 2009 and SRC decided to reject the application for the following reason.</p>
----	--	---

		<p>❖ The institution has not produced documentary evidence for possessing land in the name of the institution or trust. Accordingly a refusal order was issued to the institution on 13.4.2009. Refusal order in respect of Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education, Tuticorin, Tamilnadu for B.Ed course was issued on 13.4.2009.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the refusal order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs. The appellate authority in its order F.No.89-379/2009-Appeal/99399 dated <u>7.8.2009</u> confirms the order appealed against.</p> <p>A court notice was received in W.P. no. 24907 of 2009 filed by Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education enclosing a copy of writ petition filed by the institution. In response to the writ petition, a letter was issued on 14.12.2009 to Shri. M.T. Arunan, Advocate enclosing a brief of the case and a copy of appeal order dated 7.8.2009.</p> <p>Shri. M.T. Arunan, Advocate has forwarded a court order on 23.12.2009 in W.P. No. 24907 of 2009 dated 18.12.2009 filed by the institution. The Hon'ble court has ordered as follows;</p> <p>“This writ petition is filed for the issue of a writ of mandamus directing the first respondent to pass orders on the petitioner’s appeal dated 16.4.2009.</p> <p>On notice, the Learned Counsel for the respondents 1 and 2 has submitted that within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the petitioner’s appeal dated 16.4.2009 will be disposed of.</p> <p>Recording the above submission made by the Learned Counsel for the respondents 1 and 2, this writ petition is closed. No costs”.</p> <p>A copy of written representation addressed to the Member Secretary, NCTE, New Delhi has been received from the institution on 29.12.2009 enclosing a copy of court order in W.P. No. 24907 of 2009 and Lease Deed. A copy of the same court order was received from the petitioner’s advocate on 29.12.2009.</p> <p>A letter dated 1.1.2010 was issued to the Member Secretary, NCTE, New Delhi informing that the Hon’ble High Court of Madras has passed a judgment on 18.12.2009 in the above writ petition directing the 1st respondent (Member Secretary, NCTE-New Delhi) to pass orders on the petitioner’s appeal dated 16.4.2009 within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of this order. A copy of the court order has enclosed with the letter for direction in the matter. So far this office has not received any reply from the NCTE-Hqrs., New Delhi.</p> <p>Petitioner’s Counsel Shri. P. Munusamy forwarded a Contempt Petition no. 193 of 2010 against W.P. No. 24907 of 2009 which was received on 3.3.2010 states that the matter is posted on 11.3.2010 for your appearance.</p> <p>Shri. Arunan, Advocate has forwarded a copy of writ petition no. 4419 of 2010 filed by Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education against NCTE. The matter came up on 3.3.2010 and the same is adjourned to 10.3.2010. W.P.No. 4419 of 2010 filed by the above mentioned institution received on 12.3.2010 states that“.....it is humbly prayed that this Hon’ble court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus or any other Writ, order or direction in the nature of a writ calling for the records from the first respondent relating to the impugned order in F.No.89-379/2009-Appeal order dated 7.8.2009, quash the same and further direct the first and second respondent to grant recognition to the petitioner institution to start a one year B.Ed Degree course from the academic year 2010-2011 without insisting on NOC from the 3rd Respondent</p>
--	--	---

and pass any other further or other orders this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice”.

A court order in W.P. No. 44815 of 2002 has been received on 15.3.2010. The court order states as “.....seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition. He has also made an endorsement to that effect. Permission is granted, and the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn”.

Shri M.T. Arunan, advocate has forwarded a letter dated 11.3.2010 enclosing a copy of additional affidavit of the petitioner in W.P. no. 4419 of 2010. In the affidavit the petitioner states that has filed the afore said writ petition for Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records from the 1st Respondent in F.No.89/379/2009 appeal dated 7.8.2009, quash the same and further direct the first and second respondent to grant recognition to the petitioner institution.

The petitioner humbly states that the only ground on which the Appellant Authority rejected the appeal was that the petitioner has not submitted the land documents before the original authority at the time of main application. The appellate authority had taken a stand that the land documents were submitted subsequently.

The petitioner humbly states that he is willing to re-submit a fresh application for recognition within one week from today and this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to direct the 2nd Respondent to receive the applicant and pass orders within prescribed time limit and thus render justice.

The above said institution has re-submitted the application in-triplicate for B.Ed course on 17.3.2010 along with D.D. of Rs. 40,000/- and DD. Rs. 1000/- along with court order in W.P.No. 4419 of 2010, which states as follows; “.....In view of the said submissions, this writ petition is disposed of granting permission to the petitioner to submit a fresh application for recognition within one weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and the second respondent is directed to receive the same and pass necessary orders in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the application from the petitioner”.

The file was forwarded to NCTE-Hqrs for further consideration. The appellate authority vide order dated 24.09.2010 reversed the order of the SRC dt. 04.05.2010 with the direction to process the fresh application on merits, by treating the application for establishment of a minority educational institution of Christian minority. The institution if recognized on merit, would obtain a minority status certificate from the concerned authority of the State Government and would abide by its obligation as a minority institution.

The institution vide letter dated 05.10.2010 submitted its written representation. The institution has submitted application for B.Ed course on 12.10.2010 but not submitted in on-line mode. SRC considered the appeal order in its 197th meeting held on 13th-14th October 2010 and decided to process the application. The documents dated 05.10.2010 & 12.10.2010. The Committee also considered Appellate order Dt. 24.09.2010 and all the relevant documentary evidences in its 198th meeting held on 24th-26th November 2010 and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice on the following deficiencies.

- The institution has not submitted application on-line.
- As per affidavit submitted on 12.10.2010, total area of land is 13680.774 sq.ft which comes to 1270.91 sq.mtrs. As per NCTE norms regulations, total land

	<p>area required for B.Ed college is 2500 sq.mtrs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• As per affidavit, Plot No/ Khasra No, where the college is proposed to start is not mentioned.• The institution has submitted two lease deeds (private) dt. 3.10.2007 & 21.04.2009 for a period of 35 years & 29 years in the name of Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education (Women), which is not acceptable as per NCTE Regulation.• Land usage certificate is submitted in Tamil version, English version to be submitted.• Copy of Building Plan submitted is not approved by Government Competent Authority. As per Building Plan total built up space is 2647.04 sq.mtrs at D.No.85/2C, Boopalarayerpuram in Sy.No.5842, Ward No.4, Block No.49, Tuticorin. But Building Plan submitted with initial application is approved by Government.• As per the norms, the institution should possess own land and own permanent building complete in all respects, on the date of application.• FDR of Rs. 300000/- submitted towards reserve fund is of not joint account. FDR of Rs. 500000/- towards endowment is not submitted.• Building Completion Certificate is not submitted. <p>Accordingly show cause notice was issued to the institution on 06.01.2011. The institution submitted its reply on 10.01.2011.</p> <p>From the original file of the institution, it is observed that:</p> <p>In the initial application dated 26.9.2007 as well as the application re-submitted in obedience to the court order, the institution in the column 2.1, against the name of the Society/Trust has mentioned as "Individual Management".</p> <p>Eligibility Criteria in Para 4(4) of NCTE regulation 2009, states as "<i>Self financed educational institutions established and operated by 'not for profit' Societies and Trusts registered under the appropriate laws</i>" can apply for starting an Institution.</p> <p>The institution in its reply dated 10.1.2011 admitted that the land is in the name of the individual and the name of the Correspondent is Shri. A.A.J. Ashokar. The correspondent has the lands in his name and the same is leased to the institution. Since the title owner is the correspondent of the institution and the institution is run by an individual, the same is well within the rules of NCTE Regulations. Only lease from third parties are not acceptable but in the present case, the land is owned only by the correspondent and the institution is run by the individual.</p> <p>2. As per lease deed the building is in lease. "<i>As per Regulation clause 4 of 2009 the college proposed to be set up by an individual is not eligible to do so</i>".</p> <p>The institution has submitted Private Lease Deed dated 3.10.2007 for a period of 35 years at Sy.No.1210/1A1, 1A2, 1B with total extent of 4 acres 34 cents</p> <p>Private Lease Deed dated 21.4.2009 for a period of 29 years at Sy.No.5842 part, 5844, 5844/1A1A, 1B, 5847 part with total extent of 1 acres 12.50 cents and RCC building with 2 floors constructed thereon bearing door no.85/2C</p> <p>As per NCTE regulation 2009 para 8 of sub Para 7(i) states as follows;</p>
--	---

“No institution shall be granted recognition under these Regulations unless the institution or society sponsoring the institution is in possession of required land on the date of application. The land free from all encumbrances could be either on ownership basis or on lease from Government or Government institutions for a period of not less than 30 years. In cases where under relevant State or Union Territory laws the maximum permissible lease period is less than 30 years, the State Government or Union Territory Administration law shall prevail. However, no building shall be taken on lease for running any teacher training course”.

3. The institution in its reply admitted that the land and building is owned by the correspondent of the institution and he runs the institution and it not by any agency, trust or society. The institution is run by an individual namely, the correspondent and the entire building and land is owned by the correspondent and not by the third parties. The correspondent has given the building and land by way of lease to the institution for a long period. The building is also completed and the completion certificate dt.23.1.09 clearly shows that the entire building consisting of more than 2500 sq.mtrs is already completed. FDR of Rs. 3 lakhs submitted is not in joint account.

The committee after going through the written reply of the institution vide letter dt. 10.01.2011 for the show cause notice issued dt. 06.01.2011, refused to grant recognition of the institution for the B.Ed course for the following deficiencies still persisting:

- As per the affidavit submitted the land area is only 13680.774 sq.ft, which is inadequate for the B.Ed course as per the NCTE norms. The minimum required land is 2500 sq.meters.
- The institution has submitted two private lease deeds, which is not in accordance with the NCTE regulations. As per the regulations, the land must be on ownership basis or leased govt. land; no private lease is permissible.

As per the decision of SRC in its 200th meeting held on 20th and 21st January, 2011 a refusal order was issued to the Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education, Tuticorin, Tamilnadu on 3.3.2011 for B.Ed course.

The institution submitted application with D.D. of Rs. 40,000 and a court order dated 6.4.2011 in W.P. No. 6188 of 2011 filed by Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education, Tuticorin District, Tamilnadu, the court has directed that “.....however liberty is granted to the petitioner to take appropriate steps for transferring the lands in the name of the institution and thereafter make necessary application to the authorities concerned under the Act by complying with the various requirements and if such application is made, it is for the second respondent to pass orders on merits and in accordance with law. At this stage, the learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that after taking necessary steps, application will be made within four weeks. It is made clear that on such application being made, the second respondent shall take decision expeditiously, in the manner known to law”

The matter was placed before SRC in its 204th meeting held on 27th and 28th April, 2011. The Committee considered the matter and decided to process the application on submission of original application and related supporting documents as per the regulations within four weeks from the date of confirmation of the minutes.

As per decision of SRC, the application was processed and the following deficiencies were found;

1. As per the new regulation the application was not submitted on line mode.
2. As per the new regulation the application should submit 1st October, 2011
3. The institution has not submitted Building Completion certificate.
4. The institution has not submitted Land use certificate.
5. The English version of land document is registered on 11.4.2011.
6. Approved building plan submitted is not clear.

Meantime, a letter from NCTE-Hqrs. received on 24.5.2011 stated that the Hon'ble Court in W.P. No. 6188 of 2011 filed by Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education, whereby the Hon'ble court directed petitioner to submit the application within a period of 4 weeks for fresh consideration i.e. 25.5.2011. After examining the court order in consultation with the Regulation Section, the submission of applications would strictly be in accordance with the Regulation in vogue. Hence, the court direction are like to challenge before the Division Bench.

Accordingly, a letter to the advocate was sent on 2.6.2011 with the request to file an appeal against the above court directions immediately before the Division Bench in the matter.

Shri. K. Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate in its letter dated 4.6.2011 stated that in the above matter by order dated 6.4.2011, the Hon'ble High Court has upheld the action of the NCTE. The only direction given to the petitioner is to submit fresh application after complying with the necessary requirements. The institution can submit fresh application by satisfying all the requirements as per the norms existing as on to-day. If such application is submitted and complied with all the requirements, you can consider and pass necessary orders or you can reject the application. Nothing more in the said order and no positive direction has been given. Hence the question of filing appeal does not arise. The institution being a minority, they can apply any time but you can consider only in accordance with the law existing as on the date of disposal of the application. The date of application is immaterial only law existing on the date of disposal shall apply.

The SRC in its 206th meeting held on 09-10 June 2011 noted the points and decided to inform the Head Quarters about the legal opinion of the Advocate; with a request for further direction in the matter. Accordingly, a letter dt.06.07.2011 was sent to NCTE, Hqrs and again a reminder letter was issued on 16.08.2011. The reply from NCTE, Hqrs is awaited.

In the meantime, the institution has submitted a letter on 25.07.2011 and has re-submitted the following documents:

1. Land Title
2. Building Completion Certificate
3. Land Use Certificate
4. English version of registered on 11.04.2011
5. Approved Building Plan (clear copy)

Further, a duly signed counter affidavit has been sent to the advocate on 03.08.2011.

The institution has submitted letter on 19.08.2011 by enclosing the judgment dt.17.08.2011 which reads as under:

The second respondent is directed to consider all aspects including the compliance report submitted by the petitioner dated 25.07.2011 and communicate the decision to the petitioner within a period of one week from today.

The writ petition is disposed of with above direction. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

The SRC in its 210th meeting held on 22-23 August 2011 decided to reject the application on the following grounds:

1. As per Para 5 (3) of NCTE Regulations, 2009, the application must be essentially submitted electronically through on-line mode available on the website of NCTE. But, the application has not been submitted on on-line mode as required under said regulations.

In view of the above reasons, in the eye of law there is no valid application before the SRC, NCTE. Since, it is mandatory requirement and SRC cannot act contrary to the statutory regulations, the application is hereby rejected.

However, the institution can submit fresh application as per the regulations on or before 30.9.2011 for academic 2012-2013.

The present application of Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education (For Women) N. 85/2C, Poopalaraverpuram, Tuticorin-628001, Tamilnadu for B.Ed course in terms of section 14(3) (b) of NCTE Act. 1993 is rejected.

Accordingly, a rejection order was issued to the institution on 25.08.2011.

The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application (SRCAPP500) on 28.10.2011 and the details are given below

1. Date of on-line submission by Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education, Tamilnadu is on 01.09.2011.
2. Date of submission of hard copy of the application at SRC office is on 28.10.2011
3. The institution has paid fee of Rs.41,000/- vide DD No.154337 dt.27.10.2011 for Rs.40000/- and DD No.154336 dt.27.10.2011 for Rs.1000/-.
4. The institution has submitted 2 sets of Hard copies instead of 3 sets.
5. 2009 Regulations of NCTE have been published in the Gazettee of India on 31st August 2009 and as per para 7(1-A) amended vide notification dt.26.11.2010 of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, which states as under:
2(B) for sub-regulation (1-A), the following shall be substituted, namely:-
 - (l) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances;
 - (a) The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application;

- (b) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.
- (c) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of applications is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.

As the institution has neither despatched or submitted hard copy of the application within 7 days at the office of SRC. It is a fit case for summarily rejection.

A letter was sent to Sri.Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate for NCTE on 03.11.2011 by reiterating the above facts.

The SRC in its 213th meeting held on 6-7 November 2011 decided to summarily reject the application of the said institution on the ground that, (i) 3 sets of hardcopies not received (ii) Hardcopies not dispatched within 7 days (iii) Land records not dispatched; and (iv) DD is post-dated and not valid on the date of receipt of application.

As per the decision of the 210th meeting held on 22-23rd of Aug 2011, the institution was asked to submit fresh application as per the regulations on or before 30.09.2011 for academic year 2012-13.

The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application (SRCAPP500) on 28.10.2011 and the details are as under:

1. Date of on-line submission by Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education, Tamilnadu is on 01.09.2011.
2. Date of submission of hard copy of the application at SRC office is on 28.10.2011
3. The institution has taken DD of Rs.41,000/- vide DD No.154337 dt.27.10.2011 for Rs.40000/- and DD No.154336 dt.27.10.2011 for Rs.1000/-. Both the DDs are taken well after the last date for on line submission and last date for Hard copies submitted at SRC NCTE Office.
4. The institution has submitted 2 sets of Hard copies instead of 3 sets.

2009 Regulations of NCTE have been published in the Gazette of India on 31st August 2009 and as per Para 7(1-A) amended vide notification dt.26.11.2010 of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, which states as under:

2(B) for sub-regulation (1-A), the following shall be substituted, namely:-

- (I) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances;
- a. The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application;
 - b. Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.
 - c. Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course

possessed land on the date of applications is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.

As the institution has neither dispatched nor submitted hard copy of the application within 7 days at the office of SRC, DDs have been taken well after last dates and only 2 sets of Hard copies have been submitted instead of 3. It is a fit case for summarily rejection and committee decided to summarily reject the application.

Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 13.01.2012.

The institution has filed W.A. No. 1138 of 2012 against W.P.No.20419 of 2011 and M.P.No.1 of 2012 and the judgment dt.16.10.2012 reads as under:

“... the second respondent (SRC, NCTE) to inspect the appellant institution within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Such inspection shall be conducted after issuing notice to the appellant and the appellant is directed to cooperate with the second respondent in the conduct of such inspection.”

Further, a letter dt.26.09.2012 has been received from NCTE, Hqrs had stated that the interim order was passed by the Hon'ble Court for conducting time bound inspection i.e. three weeks in the matter on the statement of Shri.M.T.Arunan, one of the Legal Counsel NCTE. It is seen that the statement given by the Counsel is neither as per NCTE Regulations nor the institution sought remedy available under Section 18 of the NCTE Act.

Accordingly, the application has been scrutinized as per the provisions contained in clause 7 & 8 of the Regulation 2009 of NCTE Act published in the Gazette of India on 31.08.2009 as amended from time to time and a notice is being issued hereunder as per Hon'ble court direction, prior to inspection:-

1. Certified copy of the registered land documents issued by the Office of the Sub-Registrar/issuing authority:- The institution has not submitted certified copy of land document in favour of Society. Hence the institution is required to submit certified copy of registered land documents in the form of Sale deed/ Gift deed/ Govt. Lease duly certified by Sub Registrar.

2. Approved building plan by the competent civil authority: - The institution has submitted photocopy of Building Plan and the approving authority is not clear. Hence, the institution is required to submit blue print of building plan approved by competent authority. If the institution is running other teacher education courses/ other technical like D.Ed course in the same premises then, the institution shall submit earmarked area for proposed course with survey no. total land area and built up area. Hence the institution is required to submit the same.

3. Copy of Bye-laws: - The institution has not submitted Notarized copy of By-laws / Trust deed. Hence the institution has to submit Notarized copy of By-laws alongwith proof of minority status there in.

4. Building completion certificate: - The institution has not submitted building completion certificate approved by Government authority in prescribed format. Hence the institution is required to submit notarized copy of building completion certificate issued by Government Engineer in the prescribed format along with Land area/Sy.No/Location/Address. (Format enclosed).

5. Notarized copy of Change of land use Certificate issued by the competent authority:- The institution has not submitted land use certificate. Hence the

institution is required to submit notarized copy of LUC (Agriculture lands - conversion of Non - Agricultural purpose) issued by Revenue Department. If the documents are in Tamil, you are required to submit Notarized English version of the same.

6. Fixed Deposit Receipt for Rs. 5.00 lakhs of Nationalized Bank towards Endowment funds:- The institution has not submitted original FDR of Rs. 5.00 lakhs towards Endowment funds. Hence the institution is required to submit Original FDR from Nationalized Bank as per regulation for the proposed course.

7. Fixed Deposit Receipt for Rs. 3.00 lakhs of Nationalized Bank towards Reserve fund:- The institution has not submitted original FDR of Rs. 3.00 lakhs towards Reserve fund. Hence the institution is required to submit Original FDR from Nationalized Bank as per regulation for the proposed course.

8. Minority Status: - The institution is required to submit Minority Certificate from the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Tamilnadu, with validity of the Minority Certificate.

9. In addition to the above the institution is also requested to submit the following information:-

a. The institutions/Society is required to submit the details of the running institutions for teacher education course/technical course/other course

b. The institutions/Society is required to submit the details of the other applications submitted to SRC, NCTE for seeking recognition of the teacher education course for the session 2012-13

1. If any of the above documents are in vernacular language, you are required to submit Notarized in English version of the same.

2. The Sy No., Location, land area, built-up area in each document should match with other documents like application, land documents, affidavit, building plan, Land Use Certificate and Building Completion Certificate.

Accordingly, a notice was issued to the institution on 09.11.2012 by providing 21 days time to submit the written representation. The reply of the institution is still awaited. Instead of replying to the notice, the institution has filed a contempt petition No.

Further, NCTE, Hqrs had sought for the factual position of the case. Accordingly, a letter was written on 14.11.2012 to Sri.Ramakrishna Reddy with a copy of NCTE, Hqrs, wherein, the Advocate had been sought for the clarification regarding the statement made by Sri.M.T.Arunan in the court in this regard and to file a review petition against the time bound inspection judgment.

The SRC in its 235th meeting held on 21-22 November 2012 considered the matter and decided to apply for stay and file an appeal. Further, the committee advised to process the reply if received.

Accordingly, a letter was written on 30.11.2012 to the Member Secretary, NCTE, New Delhi to vet the draft Review application for onward transmission to the legal counsel for filing. The same was vetted by NCTE, Hqrs and forwarded the same on 10.12.2012. Duly signed Review Application sent to the advocate on 12.12.2012.

Further, duly signed counter affidavit was sent to the advocate on 30.01.2013. Again duly signed Review application was sent on 04.02.2013.

A letter from Sri.P.R.Gopinathan has been received regarding Contempt petition No.1678 of 2012 in W.A.1138/2012 and had stated that the Hon'ble Court after hearing the either sides had directed the NCTE to file Counter Affidavit within 10 days and had further adjourned the Contempt Petition. Accordingly, duly signed counter affidavit pertaining to the contempt petition has been sent to the advocate on 07.03.2013.

Further, a letter was sent on 20.07.2013 by duly attesting the affidavit to Sri.P.R. Gopinathan to vacate the stay.

Now, SRC, NCTE is in receipt of email and letter dtd. 04.11.2013 from Shri. K. Ramakrishna Reddy on 04.11.2013 regarding contempt application no. 1678 of 2012, W.A.No. 1138 of 2012 and Condone Delay Petition in filing Review Application pertaining to Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education (Women) and has stated that

“.....the Hon'ble Division Bench directed you to comply with the earlier interim order by causing inspection of the petitioner's premises and also directed to file the VT Reports on or before 20th November 2013.

Since matter is pertaining to Contempt Application and about the above mentioned non compliance of Regulation 7 (1-A) by the NCTE the said interim direction is issued.

I hereby request you to immediately issue notice of inspection to the petitioner's institution, cause inspection and send me the original VT reports on or before 15.11.2013 so as to enable me to do the needful.

Please attend this matter immediately if necessary constitute a special inspection team immediately and cause inspection on or before 10th November so as to send original VT reports to me on or before 15th November 2013.

This matter is very very urgent and please note that the said interim order is passed in the contempt application and as such you have to comply otherwise you have to face the contempt proceedings”.

Further, Sri.P.R.Gopinathan, Advocate has sent a letter on 06.11.2013 along with judgment dt.04.11.2013 in M.P. No.2 of 2013 in Rev.Appl.No.SR.103663 of 2012 in W.A. No.1138 of 2012 and Cont. Petition No.1678 of 2012 reads as under:

“...while dismissing the Delay, condonation Petition, we direct the second respondent (SRC,NCTE) in the Writ Appeal No.1138 of 2012, to have the inspection done within a period of two weeks from today and file the report immediately on or before 21.11.2013.

Post the Contempt Petition No.1678 of 2012 and W.A. No.1138 of 2012 on 21.11.2013, along with the report”.

Accordingly, a letter was sent to the Chairman, SRC, NCTE, Bangalore on 06.11.2013.

The court order was placed before SRC in its 255th Meeting held on 13th-15th November, 2013 and the committee considered the matter and it has decided

to cause composite inspection.

The inspection of the institution was fixed between 16.11.2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 15.11.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 16.11.2013.

Further, Prof.Dorasami, SRC, Member had scrutinized the Visiting Team Report on 18.11.2013

Accordingly, as per court direction original Visiting Team Report, VCD, Photographs and brief of the case as per Judgment dt.04.11.2013 in M.P. No.2 of 2013 in Rev.Appl.No.SR.103663 of 2012 in W.A. No.1138 of 2012 and Cont. Petition No.1678 of 2012 pertaining to Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education (For Women) No.85/2C Poopalaraverpuram, Tuticorin – 628001, Tamilnadu (SRCAPP500-B.Ed) dt. 16.11.2013 has been forwarded to Advocate, Shri.P.R. Gopinathan on 19.11.2013.

As per the court order *Shri.P.R.Gopinathan* was requested to submit the above documents on 21.11.2013 before the Hon'ble High Court of Madras.

Further, brief of the case was forwarded to NCTE Hqrs on 25.11.2013.

Now, Shri. P.R. Gopinathan, Advocate has sent a letter on 26.11.2013 and has stated that

“ I am in receipt of the Original copy, along with copies of the VT Report and other connected Documents, both in person as well as by Courier, pertaining to the above Institution.

When the above matter came up for further hearing on 21.11.2013, I have filed the copies of the VT Report before the Hon'ble Court, as per its Direction dated 04.11.2013.

The Hon'ble Court after Hearing the either side had adjourned the above matter to 04.12.2013, for the Institution to file its reply. I request the Council to take cognizance of the developments in the above matter”.

Further, the advocate has stated that the original VT Report will be retained by him as the case is coming up for hearing on 04.12.2013.

As per instructions of NCTE, Hqrs a brief of the case was forwarded n 28.11.2013.

The institution vide its letter dtd.02.12.2013 has submitted representation along with Demand Draft bearing no. 064822 dtd. 29.11.2013 for Rs. 50,000/- drawn on Indian Bank.

Now, SRC, NCTE is in receipt of email dtd. 04.12.2013 from Shri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate regarding contempt petition.

The matter was placed before 256th meeting held on 04th -06th December, 2013 and decided that “Inspection report has been filed. Await court orders”.

SRC, NCTE is in receipt of letter dtd. 05.12.2013 from Shri. P.R. Gopinathan, Advocate on 09.12.2013 and has stated that

“..... the above mentioned case came up for further hearing on 21.11.2013. I have filed a Written Submission before the Hon’ble Court. The counsel for the Institution had prayed for an Adjournment to enable them for the presence of their Senior Counsel. The Counsel for the Petitioner Institution had also filed a copy of Comments/ Remarks pertaining to the VT Report filed by NCTE and also a fresh Additional Typed Set of Papers, a copy of which, I am enclosing herewith this letter for the Council’s information, records and due instructions.”

The Hon’ble Court after hearing the either sides has Adjourned the above case to 12.12.2013. Kindly furnish your instructions pertaining to the remarks of the institute at the earliest”.

Accordingly, the details of the letter submitted by the institution on 02.12.2013

A brief of the case was forwarded to Advocate on 11.12.2013.

On 13.12.2013 Email has received from Shri. P.R. Gopinathan, Advocate mentioned opinion and has stated that

“ the above mentioned cases came up for further Hearing on 13.12.2013. I have already filed the Visiting Team Report of the Institution, along with the connected annexures, before the Hon’ble Court as per its earlier Interim Order. I have also filed my Written Submissions, along with the Supreme Court Judgments, both scale and Weltech College pertaining to the process of application for Teacher Training Courses.

The Hon’ble Court after hearing the either sides was pleased to allow the above Writ Appeal with a direction to SRC/NCTE/Bangalore to consider the petitioner’s Application for starting B.Ed., Course for the Academic year 2014-2015, mainly on the ground that SRC/NCTE/ Bangalore had also.

Violated 7(1) of NCTE Regulations 2009, in not disposing of the Petitioner’s Application reply well within the scheduled dates, as mentioned in the Regulations and also since the matter is under subjudice, the petitioner’s Application pending with SRC/NCTE Bangalore should be considered for the academic year 2014-2015, within a period of 2 weeks from the date of receipt of the order.

I am of the considered opinion that the NCTE/Head Quarters, New Delhi can either file an Appeal before the Hon’ble Supreme Court or can obey the orders of the Hon’ble Division Bench of the Madras High Court, well within the stipulated date as directed by the Hon’ble Court.

On 14.12.2013 Shri P.R. Gopinathan Advocate, Standing Counsel for NCTE, has submitted the entire set of documents alongwith the Original copy of the Visiting Team Report through Shri Ravi Kumar (Regular Staff – Chowkidar), pertaining to M.P.No. 2 of 2013 Rev Appln No. SR 103663 of 2012 in W.A.No. 1138 of 2012 and Cont.Pettn No. 1678 of 2012 filed by NCTE/New Delhi and RD/SRC/NCTE/Bangalore Vs (APS09050/SRCAPP500) Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education (Women), Tamilnadu, which is required further action from your end. (Copy enclosed).

The same matter was forwarded by email to Dr.B.P.Pandey, Under Secretary, NCTE Hqrs., on 16.12.2013 for kind reference and needful action. SRC, NCTE is in receipt of letter dtd. 17.12.2013 from Shri M.T.Arunan, Advocate on 18.12.2013 and has stated that

“ I have handled your NCTE cases from 2007 onwards till W.A. 1138 of 2012 filed by Roasammal Memorial Minority Educational against NCTE was ordered. When the case was argued by me for and on behalf of NCTE I placed all the facts as per the reply sent by you. During the course of argument the Hon'ble Court took a decision why cant you co-operate for a Re-inspection by NCTE to find out the infrastructure was available or not. But I placed our position and Rule position stating that it is not possible. The Hon'ble Court delivered order after being reserved the matter. I have sent these informations in my earlier communications to you when the case was being argued by me. I am practicing Advocate for the past 30 years and handed Central Govt. Department cases as Central Govt. Standing Counsel, as Panel Counsel, as Standing Counsel from 1993 onwards. I have earned very good name for all Central Govt. Departments. So I request you to continue to mark cases for NCTE to handle the cases in High Court of Madras.

The SRC, NCTE is not received any Court Order copy till date.

In meantime SRC, NCTE is in receipt of letter dtd. 20.12.2013 from Shri P.R. Gopinathan, Advocate on 21.12.2013 (copy enclosed) alongwith the Hon'ble High court of Judicature at Madras in Writ Appeal no. 1138 of 2013 and Contempt Petition no. 1678 of 2013 and Writ Petition no. 16955 of 2013 and MP no. 1 of 2012 dtd. 13.12.2013 reads as under:

“..... We make it clear that the appellant shall be entitled to grant of recognition only form the academic year 2014-2015.

In the result, the writ appeal is allowed and the impugned order passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P.No. 20419/2011, is set aside and the second respondent-NCTE is directed to consider and pass orders on the application of the appellant/writ petitioner within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this judgment, for the purpose of grant of recognition to the petitioner for starting one year B.Ed course from the academic year 2014-2015. No costs. Consequently, connected MP is closed.

In the light of the order passed in the writ appeal, nothing survives in W.P.No. 16955/2013 and the same is closed. The contempt petition is also closed and the second respondent-NCTE shall comply with the directions of this Court within the period stipulated above. No costs”.

The above matter was placed before 257th meeting of SRC held on 20th -22nd December, 2013 and decided to let us ask our Lawyer to file an appeal in the Supreme Court.

Shri P.R.Gopinathan, Advocate has submitted the following documents pertaining to M.P.No. 2 of 2013 Rev Appln No. SR 103663 of 2012 in W.A.No. 1138 of 2012 and Cont.Petttn No. 1678 of 2012 filed by NCTE/New Delhi and RD/SRC/NCTE/Bangalore Vs (APS09050/SRCAPP500) Rosammal Memorial Minority College of Education (Women), Tamilnadu alongwith original copy of

the Visiting Team report through Shri. Ravikumar (Regular Staff- Chowkidar) on 14.12.2013.

The details of documents are as under:

1. My original letter to R.D/SRC dtd. 13.12.2013.
2. Your letter dtd. 11.12.2013 as reply to the pet's letter commenting on our V.T.Report.
3. A copy of my written submission filed before the Court on 03.12.2013.
4. A copy of Dates & Events filed before the Court on 11.09.2013.
5. Original V.T. Report dtd. 19.11.2013, alongwith all the Annexures and one extra copy.
6. Copy of 2011(2) scale judgment, filed before the Court.
7. Copy of Weltech College of Education v/s State of U.P. & others, filed before the Court.
8. Copy of Appellants comments on the NCTE's V.T. Report.
9. Copy of additional typed set of papers filed by the institution.
10. Original Certified order copy dtd.04.11.2013 in M.P.No.2/2013.
11. Copy of Grounds is New applications filed by NCTE before the Court.
12. Copy of review affidavit filed NCTE before the Court.
13. Copy of delay is filing the New Application by NCTE.
14. Copy of condone delay is re-presenting by NCTE.
15. NCTE's New Application paper book filed on 04.12.2012.
16. Counter Affidavit of NCTE is Cont. Pet.No. 1678/12.
17. Affidavit filed by the pet.Imt. is Cont.Pet.No. 1678/12.
18. Copy of Pet's Imt. Paper book is Cont.Pet.1678/12.
19. W.A. No. 1138/2012 Paper Book of the Pet's institution filed on 12.06.2012.
20. Copy of W.P.No. 16955/2013 Ass, T.S. & C.Ass of NCTE.

Copy of the above documents alongwith the' original Court Order dated 13.12.2013 and it was received on 21.12.2013 and the same sent to NCTE Hqrs., on 24.12.2013 through blue dart express services for needful action immediately.

Now, this office is in receipt of letter dtd. Nil received on 24.12.2013 submitted by the institution alongwith copy of the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Appeal no. 1138 of 2013 and Contempt Petition no. 1678 of 2013 and Writ Petition no. 16955 of 2013 and MP no. 1 of 2012 dtd. 13.12.2013. (copy of the order enclosed). Institution in its letter states that

".. the Honorable High Court of Madras passed an order directing the SRC NCTE to consider and pass the order on the application of our college is viz. Rosammal College of Education, Tuticorin District with in a period of two weeks for starting one year B.Ed course from the academic year 2014-15. The period of two weeks ends on 27.12.2013. Therefore you are requested to comply the honorable court order and issue recognition orders for the session 2014-15 with in stipulated time period".

Again another email sent to Dr. B.P.Pandey, Under Secretary (Legal) NCTE Hqrs., on 24.12.2013 and 31.12.2013 and the copy marked to Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Advocate, Supreme Court of India, New Delhi to file an appeal in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India immediately as per the direction of SRC.

		<p>The Committee considered the matter, letter from the institution and Hon'ble High Court order dated 13-12-2013 and it has been decided to file an SLP (decision taken in 257th meeting) in the Hon'ble Supreme Court. In the meanwhile, subject to the outcome in the SLP, in compliance of the High Court Order, LOI be issued to the applicant institution.</p>
26	<p>APS08953 B.Ed Sri Sharana Nilaya Chandayya Dr.B.R. Ambedkar Smaraka Akhil Karnataka Korwar Education Society, Dharwad District KA</p>	<p>W.P.No. 60480/2010(EDN-REG-P) filed by Sri. Sharana Nuliya Chandayya Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Smaraka Akhil Karnataka Korwar Education Society, Gongadhar Nagar, Hubli-580020, Dharwad Dt., Karnataka Vs. SRC, NCTE. A Court order dated 21st November, 2013 is received by this office on 26.12.2013 in W.P.No.60480/2010 filed by Sri. Sharana Nuliya Chandayya Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Smaraka Akhil Karnataka Korwar Education Society, Gongadhar Nagar, Hubli-580020, Dharwad Dt., Karnataka.</p> <p>The order dated 21.11.2013 recd. by this office on 26.12.2013 states that</p> <p>“In this petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of notice dated 9.2.2009 issued by the respondent-Southern Regional Committee, NCTE, Bangalore.</p> <p>2. The prayer for quashing of notice does not arise, since it was of the year 2009. IN view of the facts and circumstances of the case, the only relief that could be granted to the petitioner is by directing the respondent to consider the representations dated 28.7.2008 and 13.1.2010 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law.</p> <p>3. Petitioner stated in the representations that he has already invested huge amounts to have infrastructural facilities as per NCTE norms and the institution has been recognized by the Human Resources Development, Government of India. With these observations, the petition stands disposed.</p> <p>4. Respondent is directed to consider the representations dated 28.07.2008 and 13.01.2010 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.</p> <p>5. Writ petition is disposed of accordingly.”</p> <p>As seen from the records in the file, the application submitted by the Trust/Society for offering B.Ed. course is closed vide this office letter No.F.SRO/NCTE/2008-2009/9159 dated 9.2.2009 due to non-compliance to the deficiencies within stipulated 90 days period, as per the provisions contained in the NCTE regulation dated 10.12.2007. In the letter it is also stated that No further correspondence whatsoever will be entertained in this regard. A copy of the letter addressed to the institution is enclosed for information.</p> <p>Further, the Society had submitted a representation on 13.1.2010 which is received by this office on 15.01.2010 which is after the issuance of the letter of closure to the Society/Trust. Hence, the above Court order along with the original file of the institution is placed before SRC</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter; Hon'ble High Court order dated 21-11-2013, and decided that in compliance of the Court order, the case will be examined in the next meeting. Southern Regional Office is advised by the Committee to put up a regular agenda note.</p>

<p>27.</p>	<p>APS02330/ APS02200 B.Ed/ D.Ed Sri Venkat Women's Educational Rural and Urban Integrated Development Society and Prashwanath College of Education for Women, Davanagere District KA</p>	<p>W.P.Nos. 51/2013 & 1050-1051/2013(EDN-REG-P) between 1. Sri Venkat Women's Educational Rural and Urban Integrated Development Socieity(Regd.) Ajjihalli Post, Chennagiri, Davanagere Dt. And 2. Parshwanath College of Education for women, Davanagere and Parshwanath TTI, Davanagere District, Karnataka</p> <p>The SRC in its 210th meeting held on 22nd and 23rd August, 2011 and confirmation of the decision by SRC in its 233rd meeting held on 26th -28th September, 2011, Withdrawal orders were issued to the D.Ed. course (APS02200) and B.Ed. course (APS02330) vide order No.APS02330-2200/B.Ed.-D.Ed./KA/2012-13/47368 dated 21.11.2012.</p> <p>Further, a letter dated 28.11.2012 is received on 3.1.2013 from Sri. Ashok Haranahalli's office along with a copy of Writ petition No.16680-82(Edu) filed by Sri. Venkat Women's Educational Rural and Urban Integrated Development Society® and others in the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka.</p> <p>The letter states that "the above petition was filed seeking for quashing the showcause Notice dated 25.3.2011 as the same is unsustainable in law. The above matter came up for consideration before the Hon'ble High Court today(i.e., on 28.11.2012) for preliminary hearing in 'B' group. After hearing the matter, <u>the Hon'ble Court disposed of the writ petition with an observation that the petitioner have to give reply to the showcause notice and after consider the same and pass orders in accordance with law within 15 days.</u> With the above observation, the writ petition was disposed of. I have applied for the certified copy of the order, and the same will be sent to you on its receipt."</p> <p>In the meanwhile, this office has received a Court order dated 1.2.2013 in W.P.Nos.51/2013 & 1050-1051/2013(EDN-REG-P) between 1. Sri Venkat Women's Educational Rural and Urban Integrated Development Socieity(Regd.) Ajjihalli Post, Chennagiri, Davanagere Dt. And 2. Parshwanath College of Education for women, Davanagere and Parshwanath TTI. The extract of the order is as under:- "3.The practice adopted by the petitioners in approaching the Court every now and then seeking interim orders for running the courses, seeking admission and permission for the students to appear for the exams is deprecated. However, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners-institution will provide basic infrastructure such as building. He had also demonstrated that building plan is already approved and land has been sanctioned. The same goes to show that the petitioners have complied with the basic and primary objection raised by the respondent-authorities. So far as providing library, laboratory and competent teaching faculty are concerned, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that they shall be met with in another six months period and own building will be established if one year time is granted.</p> <p>In view of the above, the impugned orders passed by the 2nd respondent authority withdrawing recognition of the courses run by the petitioners-institution, shall stand postponed by another one year. The petitioners have to establish their own building swithin one year and provide the infrastructure such as library, laboratory and competent teaching staff within one year and provide the infrastructure such as library, laboratory and competent teaching staff within a period of six months from today. However, it is made clear that, if the said deficiencies are not complied with by the petitioners-institution within the</p>
------------	---	--

stipulated period, the orders at Annexures-'A' and 'B' dated 21.11.2012 shall survive.

With the aforesaid observations, writ petitions are disposed accordingly."

The SRC in its 240th meeting held during 9th to 11th March, 2013 considered the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka order dt. 01.02.2013 and noted the matter. Further, the committee advised Southern Regional Office to resubmit this case by end of Nov-2013 to check whether the institution has comply with the High Court order that the institution has to submit its reply to the show cause notice already issued, failing which our derecognising order will have to be reactivated by Feb-2014.

Further, a letter dated 10th April, 2013 is received from the Under Secretary to Government , Higher Education Department(Universities), Karnataka Government Secretariat, Higher Education Department, M.S. Building, Bangalore on 22.4.2013 regarding the Court order dated 1.2.2013 wherein the court has postponed by one year the withdrawal of recognition of the courses run by the petitioner institution by the NCTE, Bangalore and had requested to reexamine the case and furnish a report to the Government immediately.

Hence, a letter was addressed to the Under Secretary to Government, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Bangalore on 10.5.2013 regarding the decision of the Committee with a copy marked to the institution for information.

Now, on 12.8.2013, a letter is received from Dr. Rakesh Tomar, Research Officer with a request to file an appeal against this order before the Division Bench, a copy of the same is enclosed for your perusal and reference.

He had stated that the matter relates to the withdrawal of recognition for conducting of B.Ed. and D.Ed. courses. SRC, NCTE has withdrawn the recognition vide its order dated 3.8.2010 and the institution is before the Court by way of one writ petition and another. Moreover the present judgment is against the NCTE regulation as the Hon'ble Court granted so called provisional recognition for a period of one year. As per judgement dated 31.1.2011 in Civil Appeal No.1125-1128, in Hon'ble Supreme Court of India held that the provision contained in Section 14 and the regulation framed for grant of recognition are mandatory and an institution is not entitled to get recognition unless it fulfills the conditions specifically in various clauses of the Regulations.

The SRC in its 252nd meeting held during 13-14 September, 2013 has **noted** the Hon'ble High Court order dated 27/06/2013 wherein the Court marked that withdrawal order of the petitioner-institution by NCTE shall stand postponed by another one year directing the petitioner-institutions have to establish their own building within one year and provide the infrastructure such as library, laboratory and competent teaching staff within a period of six months from to-day. However, it is made clear that, if the said deficiencies are not complied with by the petitioners-institution within the stipulated period, the orders at Annexure-A, and 'B' dated 21.11.2012 shall survive.

So far, the institution has not submitted any request/reply/documents regarding providing infrastructural and instructional facilities.

Hence, as per the decision taken in the 240th meeting of SRC, the above matter was placed before the SRC in its 257th meeting held during 20-22 December,

		<p>2013 wherein the Committee decided as under:- “According to the High Court order, the institution had to remove the deficiencies within 6 months and establish the building within one year. In the meanwhile, we were required to postpone enforcement of our withdrawal order accordingly. Issue order for extension of recognition for B.Ed. and D.Ed. for the academic year 2013-14. Resubmit the case on 1st March, 2014.”</p> <p><u>Remarks of SRO:</u></p> <p>Since the Court order dated 1.2.2013 states that “if the said deficiencies are not complied with by the petitioners institution within stipulated period, the orders at Annexure-A and B dated 21.11.2012 shall survive.”</p> <p>Hence, the above matter is once again put up for reconsideration on the decision taken in 257th meeting of SRC regarding issuance of extension of recognition for the academic year 2013-14.</p> <p>The above matter is placed before SRC for its consideration and decision in the court matter.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, Court has given the applicant one year’s time. The case may be put up with a full agenda note thereafter, (i.e., after 31.01.2014).</p>
28.	<p>APS06049 B.Ed St. Aloysius Institute of Education, Mangalore KA</p>	<p>St. Aloysius Institute of Education, P.B.No.720, Kudumal Ranga Rao Road, Kodiyal bail , Mangalore-560003, Karnataka.</p> <p>Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society, Mangalore, Karnataka had submitted an application to the SRC, NCTE for grant of recognition to St. Aloysius Institute of Education, P.B.No.720, Kudumal Ranga Rao Road, Kodiyal bail, Mangalore-560003, Karnataka and was granted recognition on 30.03.2007 for B.Ed. course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students with a condition to shift to its own premises/building within three years from the date of recognition (if started in rented premises).</p> <p>The Secretary, MJES vide letter dated 8.8.2012 received by this office on 10.08.2012 has requested permission for shifting of the B.Ed. course to a new building. The Secretary has submitted a Demand Draft No. 002525 dated 7.8.2012 of Central Bank of India for Rs.50,000/- .</p> <p>The SRC in its 232nd meeting held on 29th to 31st August 2012 has considered the reply of the institution dt.08.08.2012 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause composite inspection for shifting of premises</u> under NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>As per direction of SRC the inspection of the institution is carried on 19.10.2012. The SRC in its 237th meeting held on 5th – 6th January 2013 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE Act for the following:</p> <p>1) As per Sale Deed the name of the Society is Karnataka Jesuit Educational Society whereas as per recognition order , the Society name is Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society.</p>

- 2) As per land documents, only 2145 sq.mtrs land area is available on the Sy. Nos mentioned in all documents.
- 3) Sale Deed, Affidavit and LUC the Society name is Karnataka Jesuit Educational Society where as in other documents like BCC, Building Plan, LUC, is in the name of Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society. It needs to be clarify.
- 4) Multi-Purpose hall is 1530 sq.ft as against NCTE Norms of area of 2000 sq.ft.
- 5) BCC is not approved by Competent Government Authority.
- 6) Original FDRs of Rs. 5.00 lakhs and Rs. 3.00 lakhs is not submitted.
- 7) In the Non-Encumbrance Certificate name of the institution/Society is not mentioned.
- 8) Staff profile(s) are not submitted in the prescribed format in original. Staff list is not approved by the affiliating body.
- 9) Principal is not having Ph.D and hence not qualified as per NCTE Norms.
- 10) The Lecturer(s) in fine arts is not qualified as per NCTE Norms and Standards as they are not having Master Degree in Fine Arts.

Accordingly, a Show Cause notice was issued on 06.03.2013. The institution had submitted a written representation on 01.04.2013

The Southern Regional Committee in its 245th Meeting held on 19th – 21st May, 2013 considered the reply of the institution and decided to reject the proposal of the institution for shifting vide their letter dated 08.08.2012.

Further the Committee considered the written reply of the institution vide their letter dt. 01.04.2013 on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-

- 1) As per Sale Deed the name of the Society is Karnataka Jesuit Educational Society whereas as per recognition order, the Society name is Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society. As per NCTE regulations, 2009, the land and building should be registered in the name of the Society/Trust/institution.
- 2) In the reply, to the M.P.hall having 1530 sq.ft instead of 2000 sq.ft. as per regulations, the institution has submitted a photocopy of the building plan of St. Aloysius Higher Primary school at Sy. No/ 210/P2 RS.No.269. From the documents submitted, it is observed that B.Ed College is offered in a building where many other courses are offered. As per NCTE regulations 2009, the Teacher Education Course shall not be allowed to have any other institution, within its demarcated area or building and shall not have any other courses in the building.
- 3) Building completion certificate approved by Government Engineer in the prescribed format is not submitted.
- 4) Staff list approved by the Mangalore University is submitted by the College. The year & date of approval is not mentioned in the Mangalore University staff list. As per the staff available, only One Principal and 6 lecturers are available. As per NCTE regulations, 2009, One Principal and 7 lecturers should be appointed as per NCTE regulations, 2009 for offering B.Ed. course.
- 5) Lecturer in Fine Arts is not approved by Mangalore University.

Based on the above points the committee decides to withdraw the recognition of the B.Ed course run by the St. Aloysius Institute of Education, P B No. 720, Kudumal Ranga Rao Road, Kodiyalball, Mangalore-560 003, Karnataka from the academic year 2013-14, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed course, if any, to complete their course.

But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.

The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly.

Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any.

As per the decision of SRC, the recognition of the institution was withdrawn vide order no. F.No.APS06049/B.Ed/KA.2013-14/52656 dated 10.07.2013.

In the Meantime the institution had filed an appeal under Section 18 of NCTE Act, before the appellate Authority, NCTE, New Delhi against the With drawl order of SRC.

The appellate authority vide order No.F.No.89- 555/2013/Appeal/16th Meeting-2013 dated 13.11.2013 has made the following observations :-

“The Council noted that St. Aloysius Institute of Education, Dakshina Kannada, Karnataka was granted recognition for conducting B.Ed. course in the year 2007 with the condition to shift to Its own premises/building within three years from the date of recognition. The Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society which is the appellant society submitted a proposal for shifting of the premises in 2012. SRC on receiving the proposal caused a composite inspection on 19-10-2012. Based on the deficiencies reported by the Visiting Team, deficiency letter and Show Cause Notice were issued to the institution. Finally, it was observed that title of the land documents of the proposed land & building, are not in favour of the applicant society. The appellant has admitted that the multipurpose hall is being constructed and is likely to ready in September, 2013 whereas inspection was conducted in December, 2012 and withdrawal order was issued in July, 2013. The appointment of principal and 6 faculties were approved by Mangalore University on 29-08-2013 which is also subsequent to the refusal orders. The appellant apprised the Council that it had applied to NCTE for relaxation of the land & building norms for the intuition. The Council concluded that the grounds on which SRC has withdrawn the recognition are justified and accordingly the withdrawal order dated 10-07-2013 is confirmed.

After perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC is confirmed.

The Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against ”

The above decision of the appellate authority was placed before SRC in its 257th Meeting held on 20th – 22nd December 2013 and the committee noted the matter.

On, 27.12.2013, the Secretary, Mangalore Jesuit Education Society, St. Aloysius College, Mangalore – 575003 has submitted a copy of the interim order of the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Bangalore dated 18.12.2013 in W.P.No. 56434/2013 filed by St.Aloysius Institute of Education, Mangalore. The respondents are as under;

1. NCTE Headquarters
2. SRC,NCTE
- 3.The Secretary, Department of Education and Literacy, MHRD
4. Department of Higher Education , State of Karnataka
5. Mangalore University represented by Registrar
6. Karnataka Jesuit Educational Society.

The interim order of the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P.No. 56434/2013 dated 18.12.2013 is as under;

'It is stated that the recognition of the petitioner institution is withdrawn primarily on the ground that it has not met the land and building norms which are required to be complied with in respect of its institution. The learned senior advocate Shri.Madhusudhan .R.Naik would submit that there is no impediment to comply with such norms in the manner required by NCTE, if reasonable time is granted. But, in the meanwhile, if the recognition is withdrawn and the institution grinds to a halt, it would affect hundreds of students and their career and therefore has sought for an interim relief.

Accordingly, pending disposal of this writ petition, interim order is granted as prayed for;'

Note : It is prayed to stay the operation of the orders dated 13.11.2013 vide F.No.89-555/2013 Appeal/16th Meeting – 2013 passed by the 1st respondent herein which is at Annexure –'A' and the operation and execution of the order dated 10.07.2013 vide F.No.AP/50-6049/B.Ed/KA/2013-14/52656 passed by the 2nd respondent herein which is at Annexure-'B'

The above court order is placed before SRC for decision and direction.

Contd. from pre-page;

On, 27.12.2013, the Secretary, Mangalore Jesuit Education Society, St. Aloysius College, Mangalore – 575003 has submitted a copy of the interim order of the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Bangalore dated 18.12.2013 in W.P.No. 56434/2013 filed by St.Aloysius Institute of Education, Mangalore. The respondents are as under;

1. NCTE Headquarters
2. SRC,NCTE
- 3.The Secretary, Department of Education and Literacy, MHRD
4. Department of Higher Education , State of Karnataka
5. Mangalore University represented by Registrar
6. Karnataka Jesuit Educational Society.

The interim order of the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P.No. 56434/2013 dated 18.12.2013 is as under;

'It is stated that the recognition of the petitioner institution is withdrawn primarily on the ground that it has not met the land and building norms which are required to be complied with in respect of its institution. The learned senior advocate Shri.Madhusudhan .R.Naik would submit that there is no impediment to comply with such norms in the manner required by NCTE, if

		<p>reasonable time is granted. But, in the meanwhile, if the recognition is withdrawn and the institution grinds to a halt, it would affect hundreds of students and their career and therefore has sought for an interim relief.</p> <p>Accordingly, pending disposal of this writ petition, interim order is granted as prayed for;</p> <p>Note : It is prayed to stay the operation of the orders dated 13.11.2013 vide F.No.89-555/2013 Appeal/16th Meeting – 2013 passed by the 1st respondent herein which is at Annexure –‘A’ and the operation and execution of the order dated 10.07.2013 vide F.No.AP/50-6049/B.Ed/KA/2013-14/52656 passed by the 2nd respondent herein which is at Annexure-‘B’</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, noted that:</p> <p>1. The institution was given recognition on 30/03/2007. The institution has had 7 years time to take appropriate action. They can not say, they have not had time to follow the norms/standards.</p> <p>2. According to the NCTE Regulations, no more time can be given, for removal of deficiencies after VT inspection.</p> <p>As per 12 of Regulations 2009, ‘In cases, where the Regional Committee, after consideration of the report of the visiting team and other facts on record, is of the opinion that the institution does not fulfill the requirements for starting of conducting the course or for enhancement of intake, such an institution shall not be allowed any further opportunity for removal of deficiencies or inspection and the decision of the Regional Committee thereon shall be final, against which the institution may prefer an appeal under section 18 of the National Council for Teacher Education Act’.</p> <p>3. The deficiencies are many and serious.</p> <p>Further,</p> <p>4. The Committee decided and advised SRO to file an appeal and obtain ‘stay’</p>
29	<p>APS09462/ APS01996/ APS01791/ APS03826/ APS00828/ APS05474/ APS08873/ APS08149 M.Ed/ B.Ed/ D.Ed/ B.Ed/ M.Ed/ D.Ed M.Ed/ M.Ed Gold Field College of Education,</p>	<p>Court order dated 10th December,2013 in W.P.No. 20892/2013(EDN-RES) connected with W.P.No.35865 of 2013(EDN-REG-P), W.P.No.18924 of 2013(EDN-RES), W.P.No.20868 of 2013(EDN-REG-P) and W.P.Nos.17161-17162 of 2013(EDN-RES)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. W.P.No.20892 of 2013 is filed between Gold field College of Education, Kolar Road, Bangarpet, Karnataka and SRC, NCTE(1R) and others 2. W.P.No.35865 of 2013 is filed between Sri. Nirvanaswamy College of Education, Kanakapura, Ramanagar Dt. Karnataka and SRC,NCTE(1R), NCTE, ND(2R) and the State of Karnataka 3. W.P.No.18924 of 2013 is filed between St. Paul’s TTI, Prashanthnagar, Vijayagar west, Bangalore-79, Karnataka and NCTE, ND(1R), SRC, NCTE(2R) and the State of Karnataka 4. W.P.No.20868 of 2013 is filed between K.S.S. Foundation , Davanagere and SRC,NCTE(1R), NCTE,ND(2R) and the State of Karnataka 5. W.P.Nos. 17161-17162 of 2013 is filed between 1. Pali Education Society, Vijayagar west, Bangalore ,2.KIET, Bangalore and 1.NCTE, ND

<p>Bangarpet</p> <p>Sri Nirvanaswamy College of Education, Kanakapura, Bangalore Rural District</p> <p>St. Paul's Teacher Training Institute, Bangalore</p> <p>KSS College of Education, Davanagere District</p> <p>St, Paul's M.Ed College, Bangalore</p> <p>KIET College of Education, Bangalore KA</p>	<p>and 2.SRC,NCTE and the state of Karnataka</p> <p>The Order dated 10.12.2013 received by this office on 30.12.2013 is as under:- "The petitions coming on for Preliminary hearing in 'B' Group, are considered together and disposed of by this common order.</p> <p>2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.</p> <p>3. The petitioners in all these petitions are aggrieved by similar orders passed in respect of their institutions. The impugned orders in each of these petitions, is passed by the SRC, NCTE, who is one of the respondents in each of these petitions. Though the said respondent has been served, there is no representation on behalf of the said respondent. Therefore, the complaint of the several petitioners would have to be summarily accepted.</p> <p>In any event, the order impugned in each of these petitions, appears to have been passed without notice to the petitioners and without affording them an opportunity of hearing. In a similar situation, this Court in W.P.No.33166/2013 by order dated 8.11.2013, had allowed the petition on that very ground and had directed that the petitioner be given due notice and afforded an opportunity of hearing, before any adverse orders were passed. The present petitioners also would be entitled to a similar such relief.</p> <p>Therefore, the impugned orders in the respective petitions are hereby quashed. The Southern Regional Committee, National Council for Teacher Education which is one of the respondents in each of these petitions, shall, in the event that it seeks to proceed against the respective institutions, issue appropriate notice to the petitioners and after affording them an opportunity of hearing as against any such notice, pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law. The petitions stand disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>In respect of W.P.Nos.17161-17162/2013, it is needless to mention that the recognition conferred earlier, continues."</p> <p>A brief of the above mentioned institutions is also placed before SRC along with the Court order for its consideration and decision, please.</p> <p>Code: - APS09462 Course:- M.Ed COURT MATTER Gold Field College of Education, Karahalli Extension, Kolar Main Road, Bangarpet-563114, Karnataka</p> <p>Gold Field College of Education, Karahalli Extension, Kolar Main Road, Bangarpet-563114, Karnataka was granted recognition for M.Ed course with an intake of 25 students vide- order F.SRC/NCTE/2009/M.Ed/8358 DT 12.01.2009. Enhancement of intake of 10 seats from existing 25 to 35 has been permitted vide order F.No.F.SRO/NCTE/2012-2011/20588 dt. 23.08.2010.</p> <p>A report is received on 14.09.2012 from the chairman & on behalf of members of Bangalore University Task force on M.Ed Colleges affiliated to Bangalore University.</p> <p>The reports states that "the Task force visited 7 M.Ed Colleges affiliated to Bangalore University & submitted its report to the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor on 22nd August,2012 & further a special meeting of Academic Council of Bangalore University was converted to discuss & approve the report of the Task force.</p>
---	---

In the light of the above, the members of Task force thought of appraising SRC on its findings for kind notice & necessary action”.

The SRC in its 233rd meeting held on 26th –28th September 2012 considered the report of Bangalore University, Task Force of the 7 M.Ed colleges affiliated to Bangalore University vide letter dt 14/09/2012 & it was decided to serve Show Cause notice under NCTE act.

Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued on 19.11.2012. The institution has submitted a written representation on 11.12.2012.

The 241st meeting held 29th–31st March & 01st April 2013 considered the written reply of the institution on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-

1. No documentary proof is submitted towards removing the deficiencies pointed by the Task force committee.
2. From the reply of the institution, it appears that the management/institution has not initiated any steps to remove the deficiencies pointed out by the Task Force.
3. Approved staff list by the Bangalore University exclusively provided for M.Ed. course is not submitted.
4. The infrastructural and instructional facilities created by the institution as per NCTE regulations, is yet to be ascertained as the institution is offering B.Ed.(AOS00249) and M.Ed.(APS09462) course.
5. Consolidated salary is paid and paid by cash. As per NCTE regulations, 2009, either UGC/Central/State Govt. salary is to be paid to the staff.

Based on the above points the committee decided to withdraw the recognition of the M.Ed course run by the Gold Field College of Education, Karahalli Extension, Kolar MainRaod, Bangarpet - 563114, Karnataka from the academic year 2013-14, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in M.Ed, course, if any, to complete their course. But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order. The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly.

Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any.

Accordingly, withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 16.5.2013.

In the meanwhile, on 08.04.2013, a letter is received from the Secretary, Subhashini Education Trust, enclosing a copy of the Writ petition No. 2602/2013(EDN-RES) & 7321-7338/2013 filed by the institution wherein the NCTE New Delhi is the 3rd respondent and SRC-NCTE is the 4th respondent. The institution has requested for restoration of recognition.

The interim order dated 8.2.2013 is as under:-

“A Writ petition filed by the above named petitioner under article 226 of the constitution of India, has been registered by this court. Read the IA 3/2013 filed on 4.2.2013 by the advocate for the petitioner for impleading the petitioners.

After hearing, the Court made the following order:-

IA 3/ 2013 is filed seeking permission to applicants to come on record as additional petitioners. For the reasons stated in the affidavit filed in support of

this application, IA 3/2013 is allowed. Applicants/students are permitted to come on record by way of impleading.

Impleading petitioners/students are permitted to take up the ensuing examination of M.Ed Course conducted by the Bangalore University, subject to the result of the writ petition. Post this matter week after next. Petitioner's counsel is permitted to amend the cause title."

On 21.5.2013, a letter dated 16.5.2013 is received from the institution regarding the interim order of Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka at Bangalore along with a copy of Writ Petition No. 20892 of 2013(EDN-RES) filed by the institution praying to stay the operation and implementation of annexure-E the decision of the 241st meeting of the 1st respondent held on 29th and 31st March 2013 and 01/04/2013 and also to direct the 1st respondent not to post the said decision annexure-F in its website.

The Interim order dated 16.5.2013 is as under:-

"The learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the facts and circumstances are identical with the facts and circumstances in writ petition Nos.17161-17162 of 2013(EDN-RES) where in this court has inclined to grant interim order of stay of the impugned order identical to the one challenged in the present writ petition.

Interim order of stay of the impugned order till the next date of hearing. Post along with W.P.No.17161-17162/2013 on 05/06/2013.

Note : It is prayed to stay the operation and implementation of the decision of the 241st meeting of the institution held on 29th and 31st March, 2013 and 1.4.2013 and also to direct the first respondent not to post the said decision in its website."

A letter is addressed to the advocate to vacate the interim stay granted to the institution on 1.6.2013. A brief of the case is also sent to the advocate for defending the matter on behalf of SRC, NCTE.

The SRC in its 246th meeting held during 2nd to 4th June, 2013 considered the matter and advised SRO to pursue the matter with the lawyer for getting the "stay" vacated. Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the advocate on 10.7.2013. Again, on 12.9.2013 and 26.10.2013 letters were addressed to the advocate for defending the case on behalf of NCTE.

Now, a Court order dated 10.12.2013 is received by this office on 30.12.2013 in combined writ petitions filed by the institution in W.P.No.20892 of 2013 connected with other writ petition nos.35865 of 2013, 18924 of 2013, 20868 of 2013 and 17161-17162 of 2013.

APS01996-B.Ed. course COURT ORDER

Sri. Nirvanaswamy College of Education, Sree Degula Math, Kanakapura 562117, Bangalore Rural District, Karnataka.

Sri. Nirvanaswamy College of Education, Sree Degula Math, Kanakapura-562117 Bangalore Rural District, Karnataka was granted recognition for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students vide order dated 02.12.2004.

The institution vide its letter dated 17.10.2007 submitted a proposal for shifting of premises along with D.D.of Rs.40,000/- towards shifting fee. Inspection was carried out on 25th October, 2010. The inspection report was received along with VCD.

	<p>The SRC in its 198th meeting held on 24th –26th November, 2010 considered the VT report and all relevant documentary evidences and decided to issue Showcause Notice. Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 10.01.2011 for the following deficiencies:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The total Built up area earmarked for the B.Ed programme is only 8788 Sq. ft and for D.Ed programme is 5576 Sq.ft which is grossly inadequate for both the Teacher Education Programmes as per NCTE norms. The same is also corroborated by the management by affixing signature of the management representative in the essential data.• The institute has not submitted the Land usage certificate from a competent Govt. approved authority. <p>The institution submitted its written representation on 07.02.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 201st meeting held on 22nd –23rd February 2011 considered the reply to Show Cause Notice, VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to issue Final Showcause Notice. Accordingly, a Final Showcase Notice was issued to the institution on 18.03.2011 for the following deficiencies:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The institution has to submit details of built up area earmarked for both D.Ed and B.Ed courses.2. Building completion certificate from the authorised competent Government Engineer in the prescribed format to be submitted.3. Land Usage Certificate from the competent Government authority to be submitted. Land Usage Certificate from the competent authority to be submitted. <p>The institution had submitted its written representation on 15.04.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 205th meeting held on 18th –19th May, 2011 considered written representation of the institution and decided to issue Show Cause Notice and Showcase notice was issued to the institution on 27.06.2011 for the following deficiencies:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Approved Building plan from competent Govt. authority is to be submitted.• Approved Land Usage Certificate from the concerned Government authorities stating that the land is exclusively permitted/ sanctioned for Educational Purposes.• In the same building in the first floor a PU College is functioning and in the third floor of the same building an I.T.I is also functioning. The management is sharing B.Ed course along with the other programmes. <p>The institution has submitted its representation on 29.07.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 210th meeting held on 22nd – 23rd August considered the written reply of the institution dated 29.07.2011 on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• In the same building in the first floor, a PU College is functioning and in the third floor of the same building, an I.T.I is also functioning. The management is sharing B.Ed course along with the other programmes. The management has admitted that Pre-University course classes are being in the same building in which B.Ed course is being run.• Approved Land Usage Certificate from the concerned Government authorities stating that the land is exclusively permitted/ sanctioned for
--	--

		<p>Educational Purposes.</p> <p>Based on the above points the committee decides to withdraw the recognition of the B.Ed course (APS01996) run by the Sri Nirvanaswamy College of Education, Sree Degula Math, Kanakaapur-562117, Bangalore Rural District, Karnataka, from the academic year 2012.13, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed, course, if any, to complete their course. Accordingly, a withdrawal order was issued to the institution for B.Ed. course on 10.10.2011.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the withdrawal order, the institution has approached appellate authority. Shri.K.R. Jayadevappa, Principal, Sri Nirvanaswamy College of Education, Bangalore, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 18-01-2012. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that a) as the PU College building was under renovation and the new block was under construction, a few PU classes were held temporarily in the same building at the time of inspection. Now the PU College classes were being held separately in its own building, exclusively meant for PU College; b) SRC's observations that, ITI was also functioning in the third floor of the same building, was factually incorrect. The building where B.Ed. College was functioning did not have third floor at all (photo copy of the building is enclosed for your kind verification). The ITI college was being run in a separate building by the management and this building was about 3Km away from the B.Ed. College premises. Further Bangalore University, had renewed the affiliation of B.Ed. course for the academic year 2011-12 vide its notification No. 3/ 4/2011-12 dated 22/07/2011. (copy is enclosed for your kind verification); c) As the College building comes under Budikuppe "Gramathana", conversion of agriculture land into non-agriculture land does not arise.</p> <p>The Council was, in view of the submission made by the appellant, observed that a re-inspection of the institution is required to be caused in order to verify the claims of the appellant for providing exclusive and adequate infrastructure and instructional facilities for B.Ed. College of Education. The Council, therefore, concluded that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal with a direction to SRC for causing re-inspection of the institution after obtaining a payment of Rs. 40,000/- from the institution.</p> <p>The appellate authority vide its order F.No.89-449/2011 dated 15.2.2012 stated that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal with a direction to SRC for causing Re-Inspection of the institution after obtaining a payment of Rs. 40,000/- from the institution.</p> <p>The matter was placed before 218th meeting of SRC held on 28th February, 2012 and the decision of the appellate authority was informed to the institution on 2.4.2012. The institution submitted a written representation dated 11.4.2012 enclosing Demand Draft No.383392 dated 11.4.2012 for Rs.40,000/- towards inspection fee. Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was carried out on 12.07.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 235th meeting held on 21st- 22nd November,2012 considered the VT report,VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show Cause Notice under NCTE act.</p> <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued on 31.12.2012. The institution has submitted a written representation on 22.01.2013</p>
--	--	--

The SRC in its 242nd meeting held during 14-16 April, 2013 considered the written reply of the institution on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-

- The sale deed is registered in the name of individual Sri Sri Mummadi Nirvanaswamy and not in the name of institution as per NCTE regulations 2009.
- The original blue print of building plan approved by the Competent authority is not submitted. As per the copy of blue print at Sy.No.276, property No.359 the built up area for Nirvanaswamy College is having First Floor-8788 Sq.ft. and Second Floor – 8788 Sq.ft As per copy of blue print of building plan at Sy.No.276 for, the existing D.Ed College is having 11917 Sq.ft. of built up area.
- As per copy of BCC dated 04.06.2009, Ground Floor-8788 Sq.ft, First Floor- 8788 Sq.ft, Second Floor- 8788 Sq.ft at Sy.No. 276 is available in the name of Sree Sree Sree Mummadi NirvanaSwamigalu, President, Sri Degula Math. As per copy of BCC, the land is in individual name and not in the name of the institution. The original BCC approved by the Government Engineer is not submitted by the College.
- In BCC the institution has not earmarked built up area for offering B.Ed & D.Ed course exclusively
- The built up area as per BCC and building plan are not matching.
- A copy of LUC dated 19/01/2013 issued by village development officer or Sy.No 276 in the name of Sri Mummadi Nirvanaswamiji, Sri Degula Math, Kanakapura for construction of Sri Nirvanaswamy College of Education is submitted.
- The size of Multipurpose Hall is 1030 Sq.ft which is less and not as per NCTE regulations, 2009.
- Appointment of Principal is not approved by the Tumkur University.

Based on the above points the committee decides to withdraw the recognition of the B.Ed course run by Sri. Nirvanaswamy College of Education, Sree Degula Math, Kanakapura-562117, Bangalore Rural District, Karnataka, from the academic year 2013-14, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed course, if any, to complete their course.

It was made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order. The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly. Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any.

As per the decision of SRC, a withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 18.5.2013.

This office has received an interim order dated 23.8.2013 on 2.9.2013 from the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka at Bangalore. The interim order dated 23.8.2013 is as under:-

"Interim order as prayed for. Post this case along with W.P. 17161 and 17162 of 2013 on 2.9.2013.

Note: It is prayed to grant an interim order of stay of the enforcement and all further action and proceedings under and in pursuance of the impugned order bearing F.No.APS01996/B.Ed./KA/2013-14/51748 dated 18.5.2013 vide Annexure-L passed by

		<p><i>the 2nd respondent.”</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As per the Supreme Court vide their order in Civil Appeal No. 1125-1128/2011 in SLP No. 17165-68/2009 filed by NCTE Vs ors, which reads as under: “An institution is not entitled to recognition unless it fulfills the conditions specified in various clauses of the Regulations. The Council is directed to ensure that in future no institution is granted recognition unless it fulfils the conditions laid down in the Act and the Regulations and the <u>time schedule fixed for processing the application by the Regional Committee and communication of the decision on the issue of recognition it strictly adhered to</u>”. 2. The institution has not availed the opportunity of preferring appeal before the appellate authority. <p>Based on the above, a letter is addressed to the advocate on 20.9.2013 along with a brief of the institution and a copy of the interim order dated 23.8.2013 for filing statement of objections and vacating the interim stay granted to the institution and also for defending the case on behalf of SRC, NCTE and NCTE, New Delhi.</p> <p>The SRC in its 253rd meeting held during 30th September and 1st October, 2013 considered the matter, decided and advised Southern regional office to pursue the matter with the lawyer, the Lawyer to be informed immediately to file the counter without delay and get the stay vacated soon.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, letters were addressed to the advocate on 20.9.2013 and 17.10.2013.</p> <p>Now, a Court order dated 10.12.2013 is received by this office on 30.12.2013 in combined writ petitions filed by the institution in W.P.No.20892 of 2013 connected with other writ petition nos.35865 of 2013, 18924 of 2013, 20868 of 2013 and 17161-17162 of 2013.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, decided that enough opportunities were given to these institutions to explain their cases. The Lawyer to be asked to file an appeal and obtain ‘stay’. Southern Regional Office to report action taken in the 260th meeting.</p>
--	--	--

**Consideration of Agenda Items- Volume-05
New Application -2013-2014 and 2014-2015**

30	SRCAPP2151 SRCAPP209 M.P.Ed B.P.Ed Sri Venkateswara University, Chittoor District AP	<p>Sri Venkateswara University, Plot No.580, Chandragiri Road, Tirupati Village & Taluk, SVU Campus Post, Tirupati City, Chittoor District-517 502, Andhra Pradesh</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>SRCAPP2151-M.P.Ed Course (2014-15)</th> <th>SRCAPP209/B.P.Ed(2013-14)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Sri Venkateswara University, Plot no.580, Chandragiri Road Street, Tirupati Village & taluk, SVU Campus Post, Chittoor District-517 502, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Venkateswara University, Plot No.580,</td> <td>University, Chandragiri Road, Tirupathi Village, City and Taluk, Chittoor District-517502, Andhra Pradesh</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	SRCAPP2151-M.P.Ed Course (2014-15)	SRCAPP209/B.P.Ed(2013-14)	Sri Venkateswara University, Plot no.580, Chandragiri Road Street, Tirupati Village & taluk, SVU Campus Post, Chittoor District-517 502, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Venkateswara University, Plot No.580,	University, Chandragiri Road, Tirupathi Village, City and Taluk, Chittoor District-517502, Andhra Pradesh
SRCAPP2151-M.P.Ed Course (2014-15)	SRCAPP209/B.P.Ed(2013-14)					
Sri Venkateswara University, Plot no.580, Chandragiri Road Street, Tirupati Village & taluk, SVU Campus Post, Chittoor District-517 502, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Venkateswara University, Plot No.580,	University, Chandragiri Road, Tirupathi Village, City and Taluk, Chittoor District-517502, Andhra Pradesh					

		<p>Chandragiri Road, Tirupati Village & Taluk, SVU Campus Post, Tirupati City, Chittoor District-517 502, Andhra Pradesh for M.P.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 10.07.2013 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 19.07.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 21.10.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 21.10.2013.</p> <p>The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 29.11.2013</p> <p>The University has applied two application SRCAPP209-B.P.Ed course for the session 2013-14 and SRCAPP2151-M.P.Ed course for the session 2014-15. As per the NCTE regulations 2009, it is inadmissible to consider M.P.Ed course within a gap of one year after applying B.P.Ed course for the session 2013-14.</p>	<p>Sri Venkateswara University, Plot No.508, Chandragiri Road, Tirupathi Village, Taluk and City, S.V.U.Campus Post Office, Chittoor District – 517502, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to University, Chandragiri Road, Tirupathi Village, City and Taluk, Chittoor District-517502, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course for one year duration under Section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31/12/2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 10-01-2013.</p> <p>NCTE-Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, its amendment Notified on 26th November 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2 (B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1 (1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <p>The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application. Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application. Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application.</p> <p>The SRC in its 238th Meeting held on 05th-06th February, 2013 has considered the original file of the institution and other related documents, NCTE Act, 1993, Regulations and guidelines from time laid on the table the Regional Committee decided to reject the application on the following grounds: The Processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of</p>
--	--	---	---

		<p>submission of online application – as per the Regulations 2009 Para 7[1-A(i)]a).</p> <p>Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application;</p> <p>The society has submitted private Lease Deed dated 02.04.1962, as such copy of registered land document as required are not dispatched with the on-line application, as required under Sub-regulation 7 (1-A)(i)(c) of NCTE regulations 2009.</p> <p>Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 06/03/2013. Which was returned back undelivered on 11/03/2013 again Re-posted vide no. F.No.SRCAPP209(2013-14)/B.P.Ed/AP/2012-13/49552 dated 14/03/2013.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide no. F.No.89-214/2013-Appeal/11th Meeting-2013 dated 07/08/2013 stating that “...the council concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC for further processing of the application as per the Regulation”.</p> <p>The SRC in its 252nd meeting held on 13th-14th September 2013, the committee has considered the appellate authority order dated 07.08.2013 and decided to process the application for B.P.Ed course as per the Regulations.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, the application was processed, scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 29.10.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 29.10.2013.</p> <p>The university has submitted its written representation on 29.11.2013</p> <p>The SRC in its 256th meeting held on 4th-6th December 2013 and the committee considered the matter, it is decided to cause inspection for B.P.Ed course (SRCAPP209) applied for the session 2013-14 in accordance with the</p>	
--	--	--	--

		<p style="text-align: right;">preference indicated by the University.</p> <p>The SRC in its 256th meeting held on 4th-6th December 2013, and the committee decided that University has applied two application SRCAPP209-B.P.Ed course for the session 2013-14 and SRCAPP2151-M.P.Ed course for the session 2014-15. As per the NCTE regulations 2009, it is inadmissible to consider M.P.Ed course within a gap of one year after applying B.P.Ed course for the session 2013-14.</p> <p>In the meantime, the university has submitted its written representation on 09.12.2013 stated as follows:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“Sri Venkateswara University Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh has applied for B.P.Ed (SRCAPP209) for the academic year 2013-14 and M.P.Ed (SRCAPP2151) course for the academic year 2014-15. So please consider the first preference for the grant of recognition to B.P.Ed course –(SRCAPP209) and second preference second consideration for grant of M.P.Ed course (SRCAPP2151).</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Hence I request you to consider our request for grant of recognition for the above courses for which I shall be thankful to you sir.”</i></p> <p>Note: As per decision of SRC in its 256th meeting held on 4th-6th December 2013, the inspection intimation was sent to the university for B.P.Ed course (SRCAPP209)</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, request of the institution vide their letter dated 09-12-2013, and decided that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the University’s request for withdrawal of application for M.P.Ed (SRCAPP2151) course is accepted. 2. VT inspection for B.P.Ed (SRCAPP209) course may be organized urgently. 3. University can apply for M.P.Ed only after three years as per NCTE Regulations 2009.
31.	<p>SRCAPP92 D.Ed Holy Path College of Education, Khammam District AP</p>	<p>Holy Path College of Education, plot No.270, Old Paloncha Village, Old Paloncha post Office, Paloncha Taluk and Town, khammam District-507115, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Little Flower Educational Development Society, Plot No.37-1-413(8), Ramanagar, 9th line, Ongole Village and Post Office, Ongole Taluk and Town, Prakasam District – 523001, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Holy Path College of Education, plot No.270, Old Paloncha Village, Old Paloncha post Office, Paloncha Taluk and Town, khammam District-507115, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed Course of two year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 31.01.2013/26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 24.05.2013</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the deficiency letter was duly considered by SRC in its 246th</p>

		<p>Meeting held on 02nd-04th June, 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The institution has not submitted valid minority certificate issued by the competent authority from the State Govt. as D.El.Ed is banned in the State of Andhra Pradesh. <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.Ed course.</p> <p>Accordingly, a rejection order was issued to the institution vide no. F.No.SRCAPP92/D.Ed/AP/2013-14/52582 dated 04/07/2013.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide order no. F.No.89-508/2013/Appeal/15th Meeting/2013A77988 dated 12.11.2013 stating that “...the council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to reconsider the decision by accepting the Minority Certificate which was available to the SRC.”</p> <p><i>NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby remands back the case of Holy Path College of Education, Khammam, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.”</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 256th meeting held on 4th6th December 2013, the committee considered the appellate authority order dated 12.11.2013 and decided to process and put up in the next meeting.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Appellate authority order dated 12-11-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the Central University of Kerala fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p> <p>Further, the Committee advised Southern Regional Office to ask the applicant to return the FDRs.</p>
32	<p>SRCAPP975 D.Ed Chenna Keshava College of Education, Rangareddi District AP</p>	<p>Chenna Keshava College of Education, Plot No. 43/AA, Burugupally Village, Vikarabad Post and Taluk, Rangareddi District – 501101, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Sri Chenna Keshava Educational Society, Plot No. 4-5-2, Alibagh Street, Vikarabad Post and Taluk, Rangareddi District - 501101, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Chenna Keshava College of Education, Plot No. 43/AA, Burugupally Village, Vikarabad Post and Taluk, Rangareddi District – 501101, Andhra Pradesh for D.El.Ed Course of two years duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 29.09.2011 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 03.10.2011.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 18.10.2011/ 15.12.2012 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 30.12.2011.</p> <p>The institution has not submitted reply to the deficiency letter even after stipulated</p>

period of 60 days.

As per sub-clause (1) of Clause 7 of NCTE Regulation 2009, the applicant institution is required to submit deficiencies to the Southern Regional Committee Office within 60 days from the date of receipt of communication of deficiencies, failing which the application will be treated as rejected and closed, no extension to time will be granted and any submission received after 60 days will be treated as invalid and not entertained.

The SRC in its 221st meeting held on 19th – 20th April 2012 considered the matter as the institution has not submitted reply for deficiency letter even after stipulated period of 60 days from the date of issue of deficiency letter and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per clause 7 (1) of NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.El.Ed course.

Accordingly, a rejection order was issued to the institution vide no. F.No. SRCAPP975/D.El.Ed/AP/2012-13/41888 dated 30.05.2012.

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE Hqrs vide No.F.No.335/2012 Appeal/8th Meeting- 2012 dated 18.09.2012 has stated as follows:

“the Council conclude that there was adequate ground to remand the case to the SRC with a direction to process the application and to take the further decision thereafter.

The council hereby remands back the case of Chenna Keshava College of Education, Plot No. 43/AA, Burugupally Village, Vikarabad Post and Taluk, Rangareddi District – 501101, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.

In pursuance to the Appellate authority order dated 24.09.2012, the SRC in its 233rd meeting held on 26th –28th September 2012 decided to process and put up the application.

Accordingly, the application is being processed and placed before SRC in its 234th Meeting held on 17th – 18th October 2012, considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 24.09.2012 to the deficiency letter and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE Act, for the following:

- 1) In the building plan submitted, Sy.No. and land area & built up area is not mentioned.
- 2) In the building completion certificate and land usage certificate sy.No. 43/A/2 & 43/A/1 is mentioned, which are not tallying with land document, building plan submitted.
- 3) Notarised land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the educational purpose is not submitted.
- 4) Original Up-to-date encumbrance certificate issued by sub-registrar is not submitted.
- 5) The management is running “Srinivasa Pharmaceutical Institute” in the same building/premises where the proposed course is located, which is not permissible as per NCTE norms.

Accordingly Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 19.11.2012. The institution has submitted its written representation on 21.11.2012.

The SRC in its 236th meeting held on 16-18th December 2012 considered the reply of the institution dt.21.11.2012 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.

The inspection of the institution was fixed between 15th-19th January 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 08.01.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 17.01.2013. The VT report was received by SRC on 21.01.2013.

The SRC in its 241st meeting held on 30-31st April 2013 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, for the following:

1. The Institution has not submitted Re-validated FDRs, since the FDRs of Rs. 5 lacs and 3 lacs expired on 28.09.2012 & 28.09.2012 respectively.
2. As per Land document, mentioned Sy.No. 43/Telugu letters, but in Building plan, Building completion certificate, Land usage certificate, the Sy.Nos are given as 43/A/1 and 43/A/2, which needs clarification from the institution.
3. Notarised land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the educational purpose is not submitted. Proceedings of Revenue Divisional Officer not submitted for conversion of land from agricultural to educational purposes. The institution has submitted for Commercial purpose not for Educational purpose.

In the meanwhile, the institution has submitted in its written representation on 08.05.2013 (with reference to website minutes of 241st meeting of SRC).

The reply of the institution was duly considered by SRC in its 245th meeting held on 19th-21st May 2013, and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:

- The Sy.Nos. are discrepant in land documents and other documents submitted. As per Land document, mentioned Sy.No. 43/Telugu letters, but in Building plan, Building completion certificate, Land usage certificate, the Sy.Nos are given as 43/A/1 and 43/A/2.
- The institution has submitted land usage certificate dt. 23.11.2006 with Sy.No. 43/AA, whereas another land usage certificate dt. 06.05.2013 reflects Sy.No. 43/A/1 & 43/A/2.
- Land usage certificate specifies only 'commercial' use and not for 'educational purpose'.

Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected

		<p>& based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to refuse and reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.Ed. course.</p> <p>Accordingly, a rejection order was issued to the institution vide No.F.No.SRCAPP975/D.Ed/AP/2013-14/52366 dated 20.06.2013.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE Hqrs vide No.F.No.89-540/2013 Appeal/16th Meeting- 2013 dated 13.11.2013 has stated as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to process the application and to take the further decision thereafter.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">he council hereby remands back the case of Chenna Keshava College of Education, Rangareddi, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.</p> <p>The SRC in its 257th meeting held on 20th-22nd December 2013, considered the appellate authority order dated 13.11.2013 and it has decided to process the application.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, Appellate authority order to process the application and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to D.Ed course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50(Fifty only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Due to paucity of time and 3rd March 2014 timeline, the institution to be asked to submit the LOI reply within 30 days from the receipt of the LOI letter.</p>
33	<p>SRCAPP20 06 B.Ed MRK College of Education, Rangareddi District AP</p>	<p>MRK College of Education, Plot No.11 & 12, Yapral Village, JJ Nagar Post Office, Malkajgiri Taluk, Secunderabad City, Rangareddi District-500087, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>MRK Educational Society, Plot No.11 & 12, Patel Enclave Street, Yapral Village, JJ Nagar Post Office, Malkajgiri Taluk, Secunderabad City, Rangareddi District-500087, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to MRK College of Education, Plot No.11 & 12, Yapral Village, JJ Nagar Post Office, Malkajgiri Taluk, Secunderabad City, Rangareddi District-500087, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed Course of One year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 20.05.2013.</p>

		<p>The reply of the institution to the Deficiency letter was duly considered by SRC in its 246th Meeting held on 02nd-04th June, 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The institution has not submitted valid minority certificate issued by the competent authority from the State Govt. as B.Ed is banned in the State of Andhra Pradesh. • Original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund from a Nationalized Bank in favour of Society/college/institution name is not given. • In the approved building plan submitted, site area is not mentioned. • In the building completion certificate submitted, at column No. 9 course name is not mentioned. • In the land usage certificate proceedings submitted, it is mentioned that agricultural land to non-agricultural land for layout plots purpose at Sy.No. 192, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations 2009. The land should be converted from agriculture to non-agricultural only for the purpose of Education. <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course.</p> <p>Accordingly, a rejection order was issued to the institution on 03.07.2013.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide no. F.No.89-572/2013 Appeal/16th Meeting-2013 dated 13.11.2013 stating that “...the council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to reconsider the documents furnished by the appellant and take a decision as per regulations.</p> <p><i>NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby remands back the case of MRK College of Education, Rangareddi, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.”</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 257th meeting held on 20th – 22nd December 2013, considered the appellate authority order dated 13.11.2013 and it has decided to process the application.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, Appellate authority order dated 13-11-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Further, the Committee advised Southern Regional Office should place the VT inspection report in the 260th meeting.</p>
34.	SRCAPP19 86 B.Ed VCS College of Education,	<p>Vee Chidambaranar Educational Trust, Plot No. 5/11, Street/Road. Vellakarai Road, Vettukulam Village, Vandipalayam Post Office, Cuddalore Taluk & District, Pin-607004, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to VCS College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 5/11, Plot No. 5/11, Street No. 5/11, Vettukulam Village, Vandipalayam Post Office, Cuddalore Taluk & District, Pin-607004 , Tamilnadu for B.Ed Course for one year duration under Section</p>

<p>Cuddalore District TN</p>	<p>14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 28.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 02.01.2013 along with processing fees paid through challan dt.29.12.2012 for Rs.50050/-.</p> <p>Para 7[1-A(i)] and 7[1-A(ii)] of extant NCTE Regulations published in the Gazettee of India on 31st August 2009, as amended from time to time, the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <p>Para 7[1-A(i)]</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"><p>(a) The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application;</p><p>(b) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application;</p><p>(c) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.</p></div> <p>Para 7[1-A(ii)]</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"><p>The Regional Committee shall, after recording reasons for rejection under the circumstances referred to in clause (i) above, return the application to the applicant and refund the processing fees within 30 days of the online submission of the application.</p></div> <p>Further, 8(7)(i) of NCTE Regulations reads as under:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"><p>No institution shall be granted recognition under these Regulations unless the institution or society sponsoring the institution is in possession of required land on the date of application. The land free from all encumbrances could be either on ownership basis or on lease from Government or Government institutions for a period of not less than 30 years. In cases where under relevant State or Union Territory laws the maximum permissible lease period is less than 30 years, the State Government or Union Territory Administration law shall prevail. However, no building shall be taken on lease for running any teacher training course.</p></div> <p>In this application the following has been observed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• On-line application was submitted on 28.12.2012 and challan was remitted on 29.12.2012. Hence, the society has not remitted the processing fee as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application, as required under sub-regulation 7(1-A)(i)(a) of NCTE Regulations 2009. <p>The SRC in its 240th meeting held on 09th-11th March, 2013 reviewed the duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per 7 (1-A (i)) of NCTE Regulations 2009.</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection letter was issued to the institution on 10.05.2013.</p>
------------------------------	---

SRC, NCTE is in receipt of letter dt. 17.06.2013 alongwith court notice dt. 11.06.2013 in the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No. 15288 of 2013 and has stated that " take notice that the WP.No. 15288 of 2013 is set down for hearing on 19.06.2013 for orders as to admission.

Notice of Motion returnable by 19.06.2013 has been ordered on 07.06.2013".

In the meantime, SRC, NCTE has received Email on 20.06.2013 from Advocate, Shri.Ramakrishna Reddy and has stated that,

" the demand draft is obtained on 27.12.2012 itself and the same also reflected in the online print out the Court is of the view that the said bonafide mistake of not directly sending to NCTE and opting to go to ICICI Bank for remittance may be reconsidered. I have taken time to get instructions from you.

Please advise me regarding the above matter so as to enable me to address the Court".

The SRC in its 247th meeting held on 20th – 22nd June, 2013 considered the letter (E-mail) dt.20.06.2013 from the Advocate and noted the matter, further, it is decided that when the notice is received from the Hon'ble Court, the lawyer to the asked to defend the case. It is to be noted here that date of deposit in the Bank is not relevant; date of delivery of D/D to NCTE is material.

Accordingly, a letter was sent to the advocate on 28.06.2013.

The Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. no. 15288 of 2013 and MP No.1 of 2013 dtd. 15.07.2013 reads as under:-

".....The petitioner submitted an on line application on 28.12.2012 requesting the respondent to grant permission to Start B.Ed course with effect from the academic year 2013-2014 by issuing appropriate orders of recognition. The petitioner has taken the demand draft on 27.12.2012 itself and the same was sent to the respondent along with the application.

The application was rejected only on the ground that the prescribed fee was not remitted on the date on which the application was made. The reasoning given by the respondent for rejection appears to be incorrect in view of the purchase of demand draft one day prior to the submission of application. The only requirement is that along with the application, necessary fee also should be remitted. There is no prohibition for taking the demand draft one day prior to the submission for taking the demand draft one day prior to the submission of application. The petitioner complied with the requirement of the statute in its letter and spirit and as such, the respondent was not justified in rejecting the application. The impugned order is accordingly set aside and the matter is remitted for fresh consideration.

The respondent (The Regional Director, NCTE, Bangalore) is directed to consider and dispose of the application submitted by the petitioner for recognition merits and as per law taking into account the demand draft dated 27.12.2012 taken for the purpose of payment of required fee.

The writ petition is allowed to the extent indicated above. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed".

		<p>The above matter was placed before SRC in its 251st Meeting held on 25th -27th August, 2013 and the committee decided to Process the application. Accordingly, the application was scrutinized and a deficiency letter along with State Government Recommendation letter was issued to the institution on 30.09.2013.</p> <p>Now the institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 28.11.2013.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution to the deficiency letter dated 28/11/2013, and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Further, the Committee advised Southern Regional Office should place the VT inspection report in the 260th meeting.</p>
35	<p>SRCAPP19 34 B.Ed Amman College of Education, Vellore District TN</p>	<p>Amman Educational Trust, Plot No. 385, Street/Road. 385, C.L. Road, Near Om Sakthi Temple Village, Kadher Pet Post Office, Vaniyambadi Taluk, Vellore District, Pin-635751, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Amman College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 419/1, Plot No. 419/1,418/2,418/3, Street/ No. Near Vaani, Chettiyappanur Village, Kadher Pet Post Office, Vaniyambadi Taluk, Vellore District, Pin-635751, Tamilnadu for B.Ed Course for one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 27.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 31.12.2013 along with processing fees of Rs.50,000/- vide Demand Draft bearing No. 046893 dt.27.12.2012 drawn on State Bank of India.</p> <p>Para 7[1-A(i)] and 7[1-A(ii)] of extant NCTE Regulations published in the Gazette of India on 31st August 2009, as amended from time to time, the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <p>Para 7[1-A(i)]</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>(a) <i>The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application;</i></p> <p>(b) <i>Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application;</i></p> <p>(c) <i>Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.</i></p> </div> <p>Para 7[1-A(ii)]</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><i>The Regional Committee shall, after recording reasons for rejection under the circumstances referred to in clause (i) above, return the application to the applicant and refund the processing fees within 30 days of the online submission of the application.</i></p> </div> <p>The SRC in its 238th meeting held on 05th - 06th February 2013 reviewed the duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for</p>

the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per Regulations 7 [1-A (i)].

- The Society has not dispatched copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application, within 7 days of the submission of the on-line application, as required under sub-regulation 7(1-A)(i)(c) of NCTE Regulations 2009.

Accordingly, rejection letter was issued to the institution on 19.03.2013

The institution, preferred appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no.F.No.89-170/2013 Appeal/9th Meeting-2013 dtd. 25.07.2013 has stated as follows:-

*“after the perusal of the documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserved to be remanded to the SRC. The appellant is directed to send the Tamil Version of the land document to the SRC immediately.
NOWHEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Amman College of Education, Vellore, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.*

As per the directions of the appellant authority order dated 25.07.2013, the institution has submitted the Tamil Version of the land document to the SRC on 12.08.2013.

The SRC in its 251st Meeting held on 25th -27th August, 2013 considered the Appellate authority order dated 25.07.2013 directing SRC-NCTE to further process the application for B.Ed course as per Regulations and accordingly decided to process the application for B.Ed course.

The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on **09.10.2013**. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on **09.10.2013**.

Now, the institution has replied to the deficiency letter on **11.12.2013**.

The Committee considered Appellate Authority order dated 25/07/2013, the reply of the institution as per the direction of the council was duly considered and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:

- **Proper Certified copy of the land documents from Govt. authority is not submitted.**
- **The institution has not submitted original building completion certificate issued by the Govt. Engineer. Building Completion Certificate copy submitted by the institution is also not certified by competent authority.**
- **Land Use Certificate in original stating that the land is converted for**

		<p>education purpose is not submitted.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encumbrance Certificate submitted by the institution is in individual's name, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations 2009. • The institution has not submitted original FDRs. Copy of the FDRs submitted for Rs. 5 & 3 lacs expired on 12-12-2013. <p>The reply of the institution is not satisfactory and unconvincing.</p> <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course.</p>
--	--	--

LOI Cases

36	<p>SRCAPP16 65 D.Ed Vaishnavi College of Elementary Education, Medak District AP</p>	<p>Vaishnavi College of Elementary Education, Khasara No.79/25A, Plot No.19-54/6A/1, Narsapur Village and Post, Narsapur Taluk, Medak District-502313, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Dr. YSR Memorial Educational Society, Plot No.13-110, Main Road, Toopran Village & Post, Gajwel Taluk, Medak District-502334, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Vaishnavi College of Elementary Education, Khasara No.79/25A, Plot No.19-54/6A/1, Narsapur Village and Post, Narsapur Taluk, Medak District-502313, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed Course of two years duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 01.10.2011 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 03.10.2011.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 18.10.2011 / 09.12.2011 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 29.12.2011. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 29.02.2012.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 220th Meeting held on 30th & 31st March, 2012 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dated 29.02.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 14(1) of NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 27.04.2012. The institution has submitted written representation on 21.05.2012. The institution has submitted reply for Show Cause Notice after the expiry of stipulated time of 21 days period as per clause 14(1) of NCTE Regulations.</p> <p>The SRC in its 223rd meeting held on 29th - 31st May, 2012 considered the reply of the institution, which is received on 21.05.2012, i.e., after 24 (<u>Twenty Four</u>) days from the date of issue of show cause notice dated 27.04.2012 and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.Ed course.</p> <p>Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution vide no. F.No.SRCAPP1665/D.Ed/AP/2011-12/43205 dated 27.06.2012.</p>
----	--	--

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs and the appellate authority in its order No. F.No.89-475/2012 Appeal/9th Meeting-2012 dated 10.10.2012 stated that “...the council concluded that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and remand the case to the SRC for consideration of show cause notice reply and for taking further decision thereafter”.

In pursuant to the appellate authority order, the reply for show cause notice was placed in 235th Meeting held on 21st - 22nd November, 2012.

The SRC in its 235th meeting held on 21st - 22nd November 2012, considered the reply of the institution and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.

Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 10th - 13th December, 2012 the same was intimated to the institution on 30.11.2012 and the inspection of the institution was conducted on 11.12.2012.

The SRC in its 237th meeting held on 05th - 06th January 2013, decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to D.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 (Fifty only) (one unit) for the academic year 2013-14 subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.

Accordingly, a LETTER OF INTENT was issued to the institution on 28.01.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 01.04.2013.

The institution has submitted reply to Letter of Intent after the stipulated time of two months from the date of issue of the LOI. Letter of intent was issued to the institution on 28.01.2013. Receipt of LOI reply from the institution was received on 26.03.2013., from the reply it is noticed that:

1. Original staff list duly approved by the competent authority is not submitted.
2. The Institution has not submitted Principal Experience certificate with marks sheets.
3. Photocopy of marks sheets of lecturers not submitted.
4. The institution has submitted affidavits of One Principal and five lecturers only.
5. The institution does not fulfil all the conditions in view of the above as directed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal No. 1125-1128/2011 in the matter of Bhanwar singh, Shiksha Mahavidalaya and ors dt. 31.01.2011, the gist of which is reproduced below.

“An institution is not entitled to recognition unless it fulfils the conditions specified in various clauses of the Regulations. The Council is directed to ensure that in future no institution is granted recognition unless it fulfils the conditions laid down in the Act and the Regulations and the time schedule fixed for processing the application by the Regional Committee and communication of the decision on the issue of recognition it strictly adhered to”.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 243rd Meeting held on 29th – 30th April, 2013 considered the matter, as the institution has submitted reply to Letter of Intent after stipulated period of two months & non submission of approved staff list

		<p>from the date of issue of LOI letter and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.Ed course & to withdraw LOI issued to the institution.</p> <p>Accordingly, a withdrawal order of LOI was issued to the institution vide No.F.No.SRCAPP1665/ D.Ed/ AP/2013-14/51919 dated 29.05.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of the SRC letter of intent withdrawal was placed before SRC in its 249th Meeting held on 24th - 26th July, 2013 and the committee decided that the cases of LOI-Reply after 03.03.2013 will go for academic year 2014-15. This case also would fall in that category since they had time till 28.03.2013. We have given time till Dec-2013 in all such cases. Accordingly, in this case the order dated 29.05.2013 is reviewed and time is given till Dec-2013 to rectify the deficiencies in the staff list.</p> <p>Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC a letter was issued to the institution on 13.09.2013.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the withdrawal of letter of intent of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide order No.F.No.89-483/2013/Appeal/15th Meeting/2013 dated 12.11.2013 stating that “...the council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to examine the approved staff list and take a fresh decision. The appellant is also directed to forward all the requisite documents to the SRC immediately. <i>NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby remands back the case of Vaishnavi College of Elementary Education, Medak, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.</i></p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 256th Meeting held on 04th - 06th December, 2013 considered the appellate authority order dated 12.11.2013 and decided to Process the LOI Reply and put up in 257th Meeting.</p> <p>Accordingly, the application is processed</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, Appellate authority order dated 12/11/2013 to examine the approved staff list submitted by the institution and to take a fresh decision, accordingly the said application is processed and cleared for issue of formal recognition w.e.f 2014-15.</p> <p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to D.Ed., course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 (fifty only) students, with effect from the academic session 2014-2015.</p> <p>Further, the Committee advised Southern Regional Office to obtain original FDRs and issue Formal Recognition.</p>
37	SRCAPP14 13 D.Ed Viswashanthi D.Ed College, Kurnool District	<p>Viswashanthi D.Ed College, Plot/Khasara No.240/2, Sri Balaji Nagar, Allagadda Village and Post, Allagadda City and Taluk, Kurnool District-518543, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Sri Gayathrimata Educational Society Plot No.2-5-99, Satram Street, Allagadda Village and Post, Allagadda City and Taluk, Kurnool District-518543, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Viswashanthi D.Ed College, Plot/Khasara No.240/2, Sri Balaji Nagar, Allagadda Village and Post, Allagadda</p>

AP	<p>City and Taluk, Kurnool District-518543, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed Course of two years duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 30.09.2011 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 03.10.2011.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 18.10.2011 / 15.12.2011 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 29.12.2011 and the institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 28.02.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 220th meeting held on 30-31 March 2012 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 28.02.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 14(1) of NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 26/04/2012. The institution has submitted written representation on 25/04/2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 222nd meeting held on 09th – 10th May 2012 considered the reply of the institution dt.25-04-2012 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was fixed between 01st - 05th June 2012, the same was intimated to the institution on 01.06.2012.</p> <p>Meanwhile, a letter dated 30.05.2012 has been received in the office of SRC on 04.06.2012 and stated as follows:-</p> <p><i>“we have received letter from NCTE, Bangalore regarding inspection of the Viswashanthi D.Ed College, Allagadda, Kurnool Dist. As we are preparing for the inspection, I, C.Sreenatha Reddy correspondent of Viswashanthi D.Ed College, Allagadda, met an accident while going to Kurnool on 28.05.2012 at 5.30PM. I am suffering with multiple fractures to leg and hand. I got operation on 29.05.2012 morning. Doctor advised me to take complete bed rest for three months. So attending of the inspection cannot be fulfilled without me. I will be ready for the inspection after fully recovery of my health. So please postpone our college inspection.”</i></p> <p>Again a letter received on 11.07.2012 from the institution stating as, <i>“we applied for D.Ed College with the name of Viswashanthi D,Ed College (SRCAPP1413) at Allagadda, Kurnool District, A.P under Sri Gayathrimata Educational Society, Allagadda. As Sri. C.Sreenatha Reddy, Correspondent of the college met with an accident and can't look after routine activities. Due to ill health he can't proceed for the recognition of the D.Ed College and can't maintain the college. So the institution has requested to cancel the application for the D.Ed college and to send back the application”.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 228th meeting held on 24th & 25th July 2012, considered the matter and as per the request of the institution the application for D.El.Ed course (SRCAPP1413) is hereby permitted to withdraw, as the said course was applied for the session 2012-2013.</p>
----	---

Further, with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, decided as per clause of NCTE Regulations 2009, to refuse and reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.El.Ed course (SRCAPP1413).

A letter has been received on 06.08.2012 stating as follows that *conducting of inspection for D.Ed College in the 1st week of June 2012. But I met an accident at that time and was unable to fulfil the needs of inspection. It is requested that our application may kindly be reconsidered for inspection instead of closer on own request as we are withdrawing our request for closer.*

The matter was placed before SRC in its 230th meeting held on 16th-17th August 2012 and the committee considering the matter and decided to reconfirm the earlier decision taken.

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC rejection order was issued to the institution on 05/09/2012.

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs and the appellate authority in its order No. F.No. 89-724/2012Appeal/15th Meeting-2012 dated 12/03/2013 stated that

“...after perusal of the documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the council concluded that the appeal deserved to be remanded to the SRC to conduct inspection of the institution and take further action as per the Regulations.

The council hereby remands back the case of Viswashanti D.Ed College, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.

The SRC in its 244th meeting held on 9th-11th May 2013, considered the Appellate authority order dt. 12/03/2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to **cause inspection** under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.

Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between (27-31st May 2013) again re-scheduled on 3rd to 6th July 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 20.06.2013.

As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 04.07.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 10.07.2013

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to D.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50(Fifty only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.

Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 11.09.2013.

The institution had replied to LOI on 30.12.2013

	<p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to D.Ed., course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 (fifty only) students, with effect from the academic session 2014-2015.</p>
--	---

Show Cause Notice Reply

38	<p>APS07220 APS08952 T.P.T. H.P.T Sri Sai Aditya Telugu Pandit Training College, East Godavari District AP</p>	<p>Sarojini Educational Society, Kakinada, East Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Sai Aditya Telugu Pandit Training College, D.No.# 2-16-1, Venkatnagar, Kakinada, East Godavari District-533003, Andhra Pradesh for T.P.T course of one year duration with an intake of 50 students on 23.05.2007.</p> <p>The SRC in its 254th meeting held on 25th-27th October 2013 considered the matter, comparative statement of all the 3 courses of the said institution and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice for H.P.T & T.P.T courses under NCTE Act, for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The institution has not submitted certified copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority, i.e, sub-registrar. • Total built up area for T.P.T course as per Affidavit is 1500 sq.mtrs, where as in the building plan, total built up area mentioned is only 1102.83 sq.mtrs, which are not tallying. • In the building plan submitted, total extent of site area is not mentioned. • Building plan submitted is in the name of Sri Lakshmi Aditya Students Hostel, Kakinada, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations; building plan should be in the name of the Institution as per NCTE Regulations. • Building completion certificate submitted is approved by Licensed Surveyor, which is not permissible as per NCTE regulations. As per NCTE regulations building completion certificate should be approved by Govt. Engineer. • In the building completion certificate submitted, built up area and type of roofing is not mentioned. • Reserve fund of Rs. 3 lacs for T.P.T course is not available; the institution has to submit the same for 5 years duration. • Up-to-date Encumbrance certificate issued by the competent authority is not submitted. <p>Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 27.11.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 19.12.2013 (one day delay).</p> <p>The Committee considered the written reply of the institution vide their letter dated 19/12/2013 to the show cause notice issued on 27/11/2013 on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for both T.P.T & H.P.T for the following reasons:-</p>
----	--	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delay in deficiency letter reply is within admissible limits. • Building plan submitted is in the name of ‘Sri Lakshmi Aditya Students Hostel’ Kakinada, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations; building plan should be in the name of the institution as per NCTE Regulations. • Building Completion Certificate submitted is in the name of ‘Sri Lakshmi Aditya Students Hostel’ Kakinada, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations; building plan should be in the name of the institution as per NCTE Regulations. • Building is also on lease basis, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations. • Land area is inadequate. <p>Based on the above points the committee decides to withdraw the recognition of the T.P.T (APS07220) & H.P.T. (APS08952) courses run by the Sarojini Educational Society, Kakinada, East Godawari District, Andhra Pradesh from the academic year 2014-15, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in T.P.T & H.P.T. courses, if any, to complete their course.</p> <p>But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.</p> <p>The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly.</p> <p>Further, decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any, after ensuring payments to all dues of faculty and staff.</p>
--	--	---

Other Items

39	APS04569 D.Ed Shree Pushpagiri Teacher Training Institute, Hassan District KA	<p>Shree Shree Shaila Pushpagiri Samsthana Shree Mata Samaja Purobhivruddi Sangha, Hassan District, Karnataka has submitted an application to the Southern regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to Shree Pushpagiri Teacher Training Institute, Administrative Road, Northern Extension, Hassan – 573201, Karnataka for Elementary (D.Ed) course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 (Fifty) students and recognition was given to the institution on 26.09.2007.</p> <p>On 03.12.2013, a letter dated 20.11.2013 is received from President and Secretary of Shri Shrishaila Pushpagiri Samsthana Shree Mata, Chilkur, Hassan stating as under:-</p> <p>“Because of the nil admissions to the course, we are not able to run the college in future Hence we request you to withdraw the recognition which was given by the NCTE-SRC and also we request you to return the 5 Lakhs Endowment Fund and 3 Lakhs Fixed Deposit Original Receipts to withdraw the amount early as possible and oblige”.</p> <p>The institution along with the letter dated 20.11.2013, enclosed a original letter issued by Principal, DIET, Hassan dated 02.12.2013 stating that after the inspection conducted on 16.11.2013 by the DIET, there was no additions were</p>
----	--	---

		<p>done to 2013-14 sessions for first year D.Ed and Second year D.Ed courses and requested to return the FDR's to the institutions.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Institutions request for closure of D.Ed course and decided to permit the closure by withdrawing the recognition for (APS04569) D.Ed course with effect from 2013-2014, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in D.Ed, course, if any, to complete their final year course.</p> <p>But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.</p> <p>The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly.</p> <p>Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any, and to return the FDRs after ensuring settlement of all staff/faculty claims..</p>
--	--	---

As per Decision of the SRC 257th Meeting decision of the following files are put up for consideration

40.	<p>SRCAPP1 893 B.Ed Central University, Thiruvarur District TN</p>	<p>Central University, Thiruvarur P.O. Taluka & District, Pin - 610004, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Central University, Thiruvarur P.O. Taluka & District, Pin - 610004, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to B.Ed Course for one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 26.12.2012. The University has submitted hard copy of the application on 31.12.2012 along with processing fees vide Demand Draft bearing No. 582362 dt.24.12.2012 for Rs.40000/- drawn on Indian Overseas Bank.</p> <p>Para 7[1-A(i)] and 7[1-A(ii)] of extant NCTE Regulations published in the Gazettee of India on 31st August 2009, as amended from time to time, the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Para 7[1-A(i)]</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>(a) <i>The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application;</i></p> <p>(b) <i>Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application;</i></p> <p>(c) <i>Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/University applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.</i></p> </div> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Para 7[1-A(ii)]</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><i>The Regional Committee shall, after recording reasons for rejection under the circumstances referred to in clause (i) above, return the application to the applicant and refund the processing fees within 30 days of the online</i></p> </div>
-----	--	--

submission of the application

The SRC in its 238th meeting held on 05th-06th February, 2013 and reviewed the duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per Regulations 7 (1-A) (i).

1. The University has submitted DD of Rs.40,000/- only as processing fee instead of Rs.50,000/-, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997. Hence, the same is not in conformity of sub-regulation 7(1-A)(i)(a) of NCTE Regulations 2009.
2. The University has submitted only one set of on-line application, which is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application, as required under sub-regulation 7(1-A)(i)(b) of NCTE regulation.
3. The University has also not dispatched copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the University applying for the course possessed land on the date of application within 7 days of the submission of the on-line application, as required under sub-regulation 7(1-A)(i)(c) of NCTE Regulations 2009.

Accordingly, rejection letter was issued to the University on 26.03.2013.

Further, the University in its covering letter (dtd. 27.05.2013) has stated that the on-line application submitted by the University is for starting the **Integrated programme in B.Sc.Ed/B.A.Ed** on 26.12.2012. Whereas, it has been observed from the file that the University has submitted the on-line application for starting **B.Ed face to face programme only** and hence the application has been processed for **B.Ed course only** and **not for Integrated programme.**

A brief case was send to NCTE, Hqrs., on 31.05.2013.

The matter was placed before SRC in its 248th Meeting held on 13th-15th July, 2013 and decided to write to MHRD for relaxation. As per the oral instructions of Regional Committee the matter is resubmitted for consideration and decision.

The SRC in its 250th Meeting held on 11th-13th August, 2013 has considered the matter and decided that University be advised to apply to NCTE as per procedure meant for innovative teacher education programmes. Accordingly, a letter sent to the University on 09.10.2013.

The University letter dtd. 21.10.2013 has stated that

“ we have already submitted our application for Innovative four year integrated BA/B.Sc.-Ed programme to SRC vide our letters of even no, dated 31.08.2013 and 6.09.2013 along with Demand Draft for Rs. 50000/- towards processing fee.

It has now been understood from your letter cited that the application for Innovative Programme need to be sent to NCTE Headquarters. If so, our application mentioned above for Innovative programme dated 31.08.2013 mentioned above may kindly be forwarded to NCTE Headquarters under intimation to us. Copy of our application dated 31.08.2013 and letter dated 06.09.2013 are enclosed for ready reference”.

		<p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 254th Meeting held on 25th-27th October, 2013 and decided to Send the Guidelines to the University and advise them of the procedure to be followed in cases of innovative courses.</p> <p>The SRC in its 254th Meeting held on 25th-27th October, 2013 considered the matter and decided and advised Southern Regional Office to send the Guidelines to the University and advise them of the procedure to be followed in cases of innovative courses.</p> <p>The SRC in its 255th Meeting held on 13th-15th November, 2013 considered the matter, University letter dated 21.10.2013 and other relevant documents of the institution, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to write to the University and ask them to clarify whether they want this course (the university has applied on-line application is for starting B.Ed - SRCAPP1893 face to face programme only) to be pursued for a 4-year integrated course or whether they are withdrawing the application.</p> <p><u>This office is yet to be receive the guidelines.</u></p> <p>The SRC in its 257th meeting held on 20th -22nd December, 2013 and decided to he University has separately re-submitted the case for integrated course. This application is for conventional B.Ed course. Process accordingly and put up in the next meeting.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply from the University and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the University fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p> <p>Further,</p> <p>The Committee advised SRO to ask VT members to obtain documents relating to allotment of land and building by the University to the Department of Education, as also Building plan and Building Completion Certificate.</p> <p>VT Inspection report should be placed in the 260th meeting.</p>
--	--	--

Consideration of Agenda Items- Volume-06
Court Cases

41.	APS01905 B.Ed Sri Vishwabha rathi Education Society, Tumkur District KA	<p>Court order dated 7th October,2012 in W.P.No. 44573/2013(EDN-REG-P) filed by Sri.Vishwabharathi Education Society, Near KMF Mallasandra-572107, Tumkur Tq., Tumkur Dt., Karnataka Vs. SRC, NCTE.</p> <p>Digvijaya Rural College of Education, Tumkur District, Karnataka.</p> <p>Digvijaya Rural College of Education, Hadavanahalli Gate, Near Mysore Cement Factory, Turuvekere Taluk-572211, Tumkur District, Karnataka had submitted an application for starting B.Ed course on 31.12.2003. The institution was granted recognition on 30.11.2004 with an annual intake of 100 students. This office was in receipt of a letter No.TY: VCPS: 2011-12:98 dated 21.05.2011 from the Vice-chancellor, Tumkur University, Tumkur by enclosing a list of 19 institutions along with their internal committee observations, requesting to initiate immediate action.</p>
-----	--	--

The SRC in its 206th meeting held on 09th-10th June, 2011 noted the inspection VT Report forwarded by the Vice-Chancellor, Tumkur University vide letter dated 21.05.2011 and the deficiencies pointed out in the 19 institutions in Tumkur District and decided to issue show cause notice under section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, a show case notice was issued to this institution also on 08.07.2011. The institution submitted its reply on 09.08.2011.

The SRC in its 211th meeting considered the letter dt.21.05.2011 from Vice-Chancellor, Tumkur University pointing out deficiencies in the 19 Tumkur University colleges and also the reply of the above institution dated 09.08.2011 and other documents submitted by the institution and decided to cause composite inspection for all the courses at institution premises under Section 17 of NCTE Act, to verify whether the institution is maintaining instructional and infrastructural facilities as per NCTE norms. The institution is directed to submit certain documents within 30 days of the receipt of the notice, with Demand Draft of Rs.40,000/- as inspection fee towards the all the courses being run, in favour of "The Member Secretary, NCTE" payable at Bangalore.

Accordingly, a letter dated 19.10.2011 was addressed to the institution regarding the conduct of inspection between 28th October, 2011 to 2nd November, 2011. On 2.11.2011, this office has received a letter from Dr. U. V. Kumaran and Sri. S. Bangaraiah, both VT Members stating that the management was not ready to inspect the College during the specified date(s) and that the management had already informed to the Regional Director, NCTE to postpone the date of inspection.

The SRC in its 215th meeting held on 12th –13th December, 2012 considered the matter and all relevant documents and decided to cause inspection to examine whether the institution fulfills all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms and on payment of Rs. 40.000/- towards inspection fee. As per the decision of SRC, The visiting team comprising of Dr. S. Thangasamy, Director and Professor, Centre for Educational Research, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai and Dr. C. Raja Moauli, Professor, Dept. of Education, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Open University, Hyderabad was proposed to the institution during 6th to 8th February, 2012. Accordingly, a letter was addressed to the institution on 18th January, 2012 to be ready for inspection.

Dr. S. Thangasamy, Director vide letter dated 31.1.2012 has stated that the VT members need not visit the college at Turuvekere taluk since it is a rural college. Further, there is no written letter from the institution requesting for postponement. Since the institution was not ready for inspection, a blank inspection report is submitted by the VT members.

The SRC in its 224th meeting held on 14th – 17th June 2012, considered the matter and it was decided to serve Final Show Cause Notice Under NCTE Act.

Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 09.07.2012.

The institution had not submitted any reply, so far. The institution had not submitted reply for Show Cause Notice even after the expiry of stipulated time of 21 days from the date of issue of the notice.

Keeping in view, Supreme Court vide their order in Civil Appeal No. 1125-1128/2011 in SLP No. 17165-68/2009 filed by NCTE Vs ors, which reads as under:

“An institution is not entitled to recognition unless it fulfills the conditions specified in various clauses of the Regulations. The Council is directed to ensure that in future no institution is granted recognition unless it fulfils the conditions laid down in the Act and the Regulations and the time schedule fixed for processing the application by the Regional Committee and communication of the decision on the issue of recognition it strictly adhered to”.

The SRC in its 246th meeting held during 2nd to 4th June 2013 considered the non-reply of the institution to the issue of show cause notice dt. 09/07/2012 and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to withdraw the recognition for (APS01905) B.Ed course with effect from 2013-14, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed course, if any, to complete their final year course.

It was made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order. The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly. Further, decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any, after ensuring settlement of all faculty/staff claims.

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 25.7.2013.

On 12.12.2013, a Court order dated 7.10.2013 is filed by Sri Vishwabharathi Education Soccity, Sri. Vsihwabharathi Group of institutions, Near KMF Mallasandra-572107, Tumkur Tq, Tumkur Vs. the State of Karnataka and others wherein the SRC, NCTE is the 4th respondent.

The extract of the Court order dated 7.10.2013 received on 12.12.2013 is as under:-
“3. A notice was issued by the 4th respondent to the petitioner at Annexure G1 dated 9.7.2012 to showcause as to why the recognition should not be withdrawn. The petitioner was granted 21 days time from the date of receipt of the said notice to file a reply. The petitioner has filed its reply as per Annexure H dated 26.7.2012. This reply was received by the 4th respondent on 27.7.2012. However, the 4th respondent has passed the impugned order without considering the reply.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that along with the reply, the petitioner has produced the title deeds in respect of the land over which the school building has been constructed. It has also produced photoclipings of the building of the petitioner institution as per Annexures-P to P8, which shows that petitioner has in fact provided necessary infrastructure. In the impugned order, the 4th respondent has observed that petitioner has not filed reply within the stipulated time of 21 days. The material on record clearly shows that the petitioner has filed its reply as per Annexure H dated 26.7.2012. I am of the view, that respondent No.4 has to reconsider the matter.

5. In the result, the petition succeeds and accordingly, it is allowed in part. The order at Annexure J dated 25.7.2013 is hereby quashed. Consequently, the order at Annexure K dated 7.8.2013 is also quashed. Petitioner is permitted file additional reply, if it so desires, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The 4th respondent is directed to consider the reply dated 26.7.2012 and additional reply, if any and pass appropriate order thereon in accordance with law.

		<p>Liberty is reserved to the 4th respondent to make fresh inspection of the petitioner institution before passing the order as above. No costs.”</p> <p>Remarks of SRO:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The copy of the writ petition filed by the institution/Notice of the Hon’ble Court was not received by this office so far. • Court order is received directly from the petitioner advocate. • From the center diary report, it is observed that the institution has not submitted any reply to this office either on 26.7.2012 or on 27.7.2012 as stated by the Society. Further, the institution/Society has not approached the remedy of appeal before the Appellate authority as per Section 18 of NCTE Act. <p>Since the original file of the institution, Digvijaya College of Education(APS01905) is not traceable, the Court order is moved in the part file.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, decided that</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) SRC can not accept documents from the applicant’s Lawyer. SRO should obtain a copy of the order from our Lawyer. 2) SRC can deal with this case after we complete attention to the ‘new’ cases by mid-February.
--	--	---

State Government Letter

42	<p>----- Clarification regarding issue Minority status Certificate for starting Teacher Training Courses from Govt. of Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p>Clarification regarding issue Minority status Certificate for starting Teacher Training Courses from Govt. of Tamilnadu.</p> <p>The National Council for Teacher Education is a Statutory Body under the aegis of the Ministry of Human Resources and Development, set up with a view to achieving planned and coordinated development of teacher education system throughout the country, the regulation and proper maintenance of norms and standards in the teacher education system and the matters connected therewith.</p> <p>2. In exercise of the powers conferred y sub-section (2) Section 32 of the National Council of Teacher Education Act, 1993, the National Council for Teacher Education in super-session of the National Council for Teacher Education (Recognition, Norms and Procedure) Regulations 2007 made the National Council for Teacher Education (Recognition, Norms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 notified on 31.08.2009, as amended form time to time.</p> <p>3. The NCTE, with a view to achieving planned and coordinated development of teacher education system and in order to regulate the growth of teacher education at all levels, has decided, on the basis of recommendations of the State Government/UTs not to accept the applications for recognition of teacher training courses including additional intake/increase in seats to existing recognized courses for the concerned academic sessions. However, the restrictions will not apply in case of Minority Educational Institutions established under Article 30 of the Constitution. Applications are being processed accordingly.</p> <p>4. For processing applications received from institutions as minority institution, this office require a certificate from the competent issuing authority stating about the Minority status of institution.</p> <p>5. Therefore, it is requested that necessary certificate about the minority status of institution may be issued to the institutions for enabling this office to process the applications accordingly.</p>
----	---	--

A letter sent to the Govt. of Tamilnadu, Higher Education(E1) Department, Chennai on 18.06.2013 for the above reason.

SRC, NCTE is in receipt letter dtd.21.11.2013 from Thiru Apurva Verma, I.A.S., Principal Secretary to Government, Higher Education(E1) Department, Chennai on 02.12.2013 has stated that

"I am directed to inform that in the Government order first cited the following guidelines have been issued for conferment of minority status to the educational institutions, claiming the status:-

- i) The object of the education institutions should be for promoting the interest of the minority concerned and it should subserve the interest of the minority community concerned.
- ii) Such educational institutions should have been established by the minority and should be continuously administered only by the members of that minority.
- iii) An education institution which was originally not established by a minority community cannot acquire such status or character subsequently under any circumstances.
- iv) All the Trustees or members of the Governing body of the minority educational institutions shall belong only to the concerned minority.
- v) In the case of self-financing educational institutions imparting professional courses of education established and administered by any minority they shall admit students of that minority alone, not exceeding 50% of the sanctioned strength. If there is any vacancy not filled as above such vacancy in that 50% shall be filled up only on the basis of merits and from common merit list prepared by the competent authority.
- vi) To decide whether an applicant is a minority or not based on religion or language, the total population of that minority in the State of Tamilnadu shall be taken into consideration and not the population of the minority in any particular region where the educational institution is situated.
- vii) In so far as Tamil Nadu State is concerned, any person, whose mother tongue is any language other than Tamil shall be considered as linguistic minority in the State and in respect of religious minority, any person whose religion is other than Hinduism shall be considered as a religious minority in the State.

2. As per the guide lines referred to in para 1 above, minority status is conferred only to the colleges run by an educational Trust and not to any Trust. The conditions (ii), (iii) and (v) above specifically indicated that the minority status is granted only to the already established institutions.

3. In the above circumstances, your request for issue of minority status certificate to the proposed self financing colleges of Education to start B.Ed course for enabling the National Council for Teacher Education to process the application, is not feasible of compliance".

The Committee considered the matter, seen the reply from the Principal Secretary to Govt., Higher Education (E1) Department, Chennai vide letter dated 02-12-2013, decided as under:

The issue with Tamilnadu Govt. was more about issuing the certificate even before our recognition. Anyway, we need not run after the State Govt. to issue such a certificate. The applicants should submit the minority certificate either from the State Govt. or from the National Commission for Minority Education Institutions. Otherwise, we can not give them the benefit.

LOI Cases

43	SRCAPP13 27 M.Ed Immanueal Arasar College of Education, Kanyakuma ri District TN	<p>Immanuel Arasar College of Education, Plot/Khasara no. 926/39, Street No. Edavilagam, Nattalam Post, Vilavancode Taluk, Kanyakumari District, Pin-629165, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Immanuel Arasar International Institute of Science & Technology Educational Charitable Trust, Plot No. 926/39, Street/Road. Edavilagam, Nattalam Post, Nattalam Village, Vilvancode Taluk, Kanyakumari District-629195, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Immanuel Arasar College of Education, Plot/Khasara no. 926/39, Street no. Edavilagam, Nattalam Post, Vilavancode Taluk, Kanyakumari District, Pin-629165, Tamilnadu, for M.Ed Course for One year duration under Section 15(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 30.09.2011. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 10.10.2011 (Dispatched on 04.10.2011).</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 01.11.2011. A reminder letter to the State Government was sent on 23.12.2011. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 07.12.2011. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 07.02.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 219th meeting held on 15th-16th March, 2012 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 07.02.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show Cause Notice under Section 14(1) of NCTE Act. Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 10.04.2012. The institution has submitted its written representation on 09.05.2012.</p> <p>The institution has submitted reply to the Show Cause Notice after stipulated period of 21 days from the date of issue of the Notice.</p> <p>The SRC in its 223rd Meeting held on 29th-31st May 2012 considered the reply of the institution, which is received on 09.05.2012, i.e., after 29 (<u>Twenty Nine</u>) days from the date of issue of show cause notice letter and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to refuse and reject the application of the institution for recognition of M.Ed course. Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 25.06.2012.</p> <p>The institution preferred an appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-376/2012 Appeal/8th Meeting-2012 has stated as follows:-</p> <p><i>“after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing the Council concluded that there was adequate ground to remand the case to the SRC for considering the reply to the show cause notice and taking further decision thereafter.</i></p> <p><i>NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Immanuel Arasar College of Education, Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE for necessary action as indicated above”.</i></p> <p>Accordingly, as per decision of the appellate authority, reply Show Cause Notice received on 09.05.2012 for the Show cause notice dtd. 10.04.2012.</p>
----	---	---

The SRC in its 235th meeting held on 21-22nd November, 2012 considered the reply of the institution vide letters dt. 09.05.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Final Show cause Notice under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, for the following:

- Built up area shown in building plan is not matching with that of affidavit submitted.
- As per Tamil Version of the land document, land registration was done on 17.10.2005, whereas English version is reflecting that it was executed on 13.10.2002, it needs clarification from the institution.
- The institution has not submitted valid minority certificate issued by the competent authority from the State Govt. as B.Ed is banned in the State of Tamilnadu.
- The institution has submitted proof of completion of academic year 2011-12 only, from DTERT Chennai, proof of completion of two more academic year is not submitted.
- Institution has to submit Latest 'NAAC' Certificate.

Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 01.01.2013. The institution has submitted a written representation on 15.01.2013.

The SRC in its 241st meeting held on 29th & 31st March 2013 & 01st April 2013 considered the reply of the institution dt.15-01-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms. Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was fixed between 13th - 17th May 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 06.05.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 19.05.2013.

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, reply of the institution, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the SRC in its 247th Meeting held on 20th-22nd June, 2013 decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to M.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 35(Thirty Five only)(one unit) subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session. Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 16.08.2013. The institution has submitted reply on 26.09.2013.

The SRC in its 254th Meeting held on 25th-27th October, 2013 considered the reply of the Institution to LOI and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 of NCTE Act.

By seeing the website the institution has submitted written representation on 11.12.2013

The Committee considered the reply of the institution to the LOI issued and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 of NCTE Act for the following:

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The institution should submit details of marks of all the staff members and experience certificate of the Principal. • Retired faculty can be re-employed on contract basis only on a yearly basis and that too only up to 70 years of age. <p>In view of the above and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee decided to issue a Notice to the institution, as to why the application for recognition be not rejected and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a written representation within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the rejection of application for recognition, based on the records available, with no further notice.</p>
44.	SRCAPP20 33 B.P.Ed Sri Lakshmi B.P.Ed College, Kurnool District AP	<p>Sri Lakshmi B.P.Ed College, Kasara No. 286, P Chinthakuntla Village, Allagadda Post Office and Taluka,, Allagadda City, Kurnool District – 518 543, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Vaibhav Educational Society, Sreenivasa Nagar Road, Allagadda Village and Post Office, Allagadda Town and Taluka, Kurnool District – 518 543, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Lakshmi B.P.Ed College, Kasara No. 286, P Chinthakuntla Village, Allagadda Post Office and Taluka,, Allagadda City, Kurnool District – 518 543, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of One year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 29.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 31.12.2012.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/28.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 28.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 17.05.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 246th Meeting held on 02nd-04th June, 2013 considered the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter dt.17-05-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 26th-31st August, 2013 the same was intimated to the institution on 22/08/2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 01/09/2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 06/09/2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.P.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(one hundred only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 01-11-2013.</p>

		<p>The institution has replied to LOI on 30/12/2013.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply of the institution to the LOI issued and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 of NCTE Act for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The approved staff list submitted by the institution is not attested by the Registrar in all the pages. The institution should submit approved staff list attested by the Registrar in every page. <p>In view of the above and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee decided to issue a Notice to the institution, as to why the application for recognition be not rejected and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a written representation within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the rejection of application for recognition, based on the records available, with no further notice.</p>
45	<p>SRCAPP80 B.P.Ed Jyothishmathi College of Physical Education, Karimnagar District AP</p>	<p>Jyothishmathi College of Physical Education, Plot No.581, 582, 583, Ramakrishna Colony Village, Nustulapur Post Office, Thimmapur Taluk, Karimnagar City & District - 505481, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Juvvadi Educational Trust, Plot No.10-3-219, Vidyanagar Street, Karimnagar Village & Post Office, Karimnagar City, Taluk & District - 505001, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Jyothishmathi College of Physical Education, Plot No.581, 582, 583, Ramakrishna Colony Village, Nustulapur Post Office, Thimmapur Taluk, Karimnagar City & District - 505481, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013 / 28.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 28.03.2013.</p> <p>The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 07.05.2013.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 245th Meeting held on 19th - 21st May, 2013 has considered the reply of the institution dated 07.05.2013 to the deficiency letter and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 16th - 19th July, 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 10.07.2013.</p> <p>As per the revised V.T. Members and dates given by the competent authority, again the VT was fixed on 26th - 31st August, 2013 and a letter was issued to the institution for inspection of the institution on 23.08.2013. The V.T. was cancelled and finally, inspection of the institution was fixed on 30th September to 4th October, 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 18.09.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 02.10.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 21.10.2013.</p>

		<p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.P.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(one hundred only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session. LOI was issued to the institution on 26/11/2013</p> <p>The Institution replied to LOI on 28/12/2013.</p> <p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to B.P.Ed., course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (Fifty only) students with effect from the academic session 2014-15.</p>
46.	<p>SRCAPP14 38 B.Ed Princess Durrus Shehavar College for Women, Hyderabad District AP</p>	<p>Princess Durrus Shehavar College of Education for Women, Plot/Khasara No. 17-8-467, Bagh-e-Jahanara, Yakuthpura Post and Taluk, Hyderabad District-500002, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Minhaj Ush Sharqia Educational Society, Plot No. 17-8-467, Bagh-e-Jahanara Village, Yakuthpura Post and Taluk, Hyderabad District-500023, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Princess Durrus Shehavar College of Education for Women, Plot/Khasara No. 17-8-467, Bagh-e-Jahanara, Yakuthpura Post and Taluk, Hyderabad District-500002, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed Course of one years duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 30.09.2011 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 07.10.2011.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 03.11.2011/29.12.2011 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 30.12.2011. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 01.02.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 217th meeting held on 08th-09th February, 2012 considered the reply of the institution dt.01.02.2012 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was fixed between 25th to 29th February, 2012. The same was intimated to the institution on 21.02.2012. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 27.02.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 221st meeting held on 19th – 20th April 2012 considered the VT Report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under section 14 of NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 16/05/2012. The institution has submitted its written representation dt.11/06/2012.</p>

The institution has submitted reply for show cause notice after the expiry of the stipulated time of 21 days period as per clause 14 (1) of NCTE Regulations.

The institution has been given time to reply, in spite of giving time to the applicant institution enabling it to rectify its deficiencies/short comings, yet applicant has not submitted the replies within stipulated time.

Supreme Court vide their order in Civil Appeal NO. 1125-1128/2011 in SLP No. 17165-68/2009 filed by NCTE Vs ors, which reads as under:

“An institution is not entitled to recognition unless it fulfils the conditions specified in various clauses of the Regulations. The Council is directed to ensure that in future no institution is granted recognition unless it fulfils the conditions laid down in the Act and the Regulations and the time schedule fixed for processing the application by the Regional Committee and communication of the decision on the issue of recognition it strictly adhered to”.

The SRC in its 225th Meeting held on 25th-27th June, 2012 considered the reply of the institution, which is received on 11/06/2012, i.e., after 26 (Twenty six) days from the date of issue of show cause notice dt. 16/05/2012 and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to refuse and reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course.

Accordingly, a rejection order was issued to the institution on 23/07/2012.

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs and the appellate authority in its order No. F.No. 89-605/2012Appeal/12th A 61735 Meeting-2012 dated 03/01/2013 stated that *“...the council concluded that there is adequate ground to accept the appeal and remand back the case to the SRC to reissue the deficiency letter and thereafter process the case as per regulations”.*

The appellate authority order was placed before SRC in its 240th Meeting held on 09th-11th March, 2013 considered the Appellate authority order dt. 03/01/2013 and decided to reissue the deficiency letter, further process the application of the said institution as per NCTE Regulations.

Note:

As per application deficiency letter was issued, the inspection of the institution was conducted and the notice was issued to the institution. The institution has submitted its written representation after the stipulated period of 21 days. Later it was rejected by SRC in its 225th Meeting 25th-26th June, 2012.

As per the appellate authority order it is contradictory instead of processing show cause notice reply it is mentioned that re-issue deficiency letter.

The SRC in its 247th meeting held on 20th-22nd June 2013, considered the matter and decided to further process the reply of the institution with respect to the deficiency letter issued.

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, reply of the institution to

		<p>show cause notice, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee in its 254th meeting held on 25-27th October, 2013 decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(one hundred only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 07/11/2013</p> <p>The institution has replied to LOI on 26/12/2013.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply of the institution to the LOI issued and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 of NCTE Act for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The approved staff list submitted by the institution is not attested by the Registrar in all the pages. The institution should submit approved staff list attested by the Registrar in every page. • In the approved staff list submitted by the institution, Lecturer (Education) in not eligible for the post, as she is having only 47% of marks in M.A, Degree. • The Institution has not appointed part time lectures in Music & Physical Education. • The institution has not appointed Lecturer in Physical Science Education & Bio. Science Education. <p>In view of the above and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee decided to issue a Notice to the institution, as to why the application for recognition be not rejected and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a written representation within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the rejection of application for recognition, based on the records available, with no further notice..</p>
47.	<p>SRCAPP21 18 B.P.Ed Sri YVS and Sri SRM College of Physical Education, East Godavari District AP</p>	<p>Sri YVS and Sri BRM College of Physical Education, Sy.No.230, Plot No.4-163/3, Street No.4/2, Mukteswaram Village & Post Office, Inavilli Taluk, East Godavari District - 533211, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Sri YVS and Sri BRM Memorial Educational Society, Plot No.4-163/3, Jambavulapeta Road, Mukteswaram Village & Post Office, Inavilli Taluk, East Godavari District - 533211, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri YVS and Sri BRM College of Physical Education, Sy.No.230, Plot No.4-163/3, Street No.4/2, Mukteswaram Village & Post Office, Inavilli Taluk, East Godavari District - 533211, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been</p>

received in the office of SRC on **04.01.2013**.

The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/28.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 28.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 21.05.2013.

The SRC in its 246th meeting held on 02nd - 04th June, 2013 considered the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter dated 21.05.2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.

Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 16th - 19th July, 2013, The same was intimated to the institution on 10.07.2013.

As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 23.07.2013 and the VT report received in the office of SRC on 26.07.2013.

The SRC in its 251st Meeting held on 25th - 27th August, 2013 considered the VT report, VCD of the institution and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under section 14(1) of NCTE Act.

Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 01.10.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 30.09.2013.

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Southern Regional Committee in its 254th Meeting held on 25th - 27th October, 2013 has decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to B.P.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (one hundred only) (one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.

Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 04.11.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 30.12.2013.

The Committee considered the reply of the institution to the LOI issued and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 of NCTE Act for the following:

- **The approved staff list submitted by the institution is not attested by the Registrar in all the pages. The institution should submit approved staff list attested by the Registrar in every page.**
- **The institution should indicate the subject wise selection of Lecturers.**

In view of the above and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee decided to issue a Notice to the institution, as to why the application for recognition be not rejected and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a written representation within 21 days from the date of

		receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the rejection of application for recognition, based on the records available, with no further notice.
--	--	---

Other Items

48.	AOS01925 B.Ed JSS Institute of Education, Bangalore KA	<p>JSS Institute of Education, JSS Educational Complex, 38th Cross, 8th Block, Jayanagar, Bangalore – 560082, Karnataka.</p> <p>JSS Mahavidyapeetha, Mysore, Karnataka had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to JSS Institute of Education, JSS Educational Complex, 38th Cross, 8th Block, Jayanagar, Bangalore – 560082, Karnataka for Secondary (B.Ed) Course of one year duration from the academic session 2004-05 with an annual intake of 100 students and recognition was granted to the institution on 01.12.2004 with a condition to shift to its own premises / building within three years from the date of recognition. (in case the course is started in rented premises).</p> <p>A letter dated 19.12.2013 has received from the Principal, JSS Institute of Education received on 26.12.2013, which is as under:</p> <p>“We started offering one year B.Ed course at our Jayanagar campus, Bangalore from the year 2004-2005 after due recognition from your good office. The admissions to the said course are not as expected from the beginning of the course and getting worse year by year. Due to lack of admission of students to the said course, we are constrained to wind-up the same from the ensuing academic year (2013-14).</p> <p>Our management after studying the situation has instructed us to wind-up the said course from the year 2013-14 (copy enclosed). We therefore, kindly request your goodself not to continue the recognition to our B.Ed course from the year 2013-14 onwards. Needful action may be taken in this regard with intimation to this college”.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Institutions request for closure of B.Ed course and decided to permit the closure by withdrawing the recognition for (APS01925) B.Ed course with effect from 2013-2014, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed course, if any, to complete their final year course.</p> <p>But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.</p> <p>The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly.</p> <p>Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any, after ensuring settlement of all staff/faculty claims.</p>
49.	APS02532 D.Ed Best D.Ed College. West	<p>Best D.Ed College, Eluru Revenue Division, 1-31-7, K.N. Road, Bye-Pass Junction, Tadepalli Village, Tadepalligudem-534101, West Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>The Bhushan Educational Society, Tadepalligudem, West Godavari District,</p>

<p>Godavari District AP</p>	<p>Andhra Pradesh has submitted an application to the SRC of NCTE seeking grant of recognition to Best D.Ed College, Eluru Revenue Division, 1-31-7, K.N. Road, Bye-Pass Junction, Tadepalli Village, Tadepalligudem-534101, West Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh for Elementary (D.Ed) course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students was issued to the institution on 05/08/2005.</p> <p>The reply to show cause notice for B.Ed was placed before SRC in its 233rd Meeting held on 26th-28th September, 2012 and it has decided to put up all 3 cases SRCAPP1041, APS00363 & APS02532. (Best College of Education)</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC all the three cases comparative statement was placed before SRC in its 234th Meeting held on 17th-18th October, 2012 considered the composite inspection report, reply of the institution and other relevant documents, along with the original file of the institution and decided to restore recognition to <u>APS00363-B.Ed</u> and continue recognition accorded to the institution. But incase of APS02532 the decision was not taken.</p> <p>The SRC in its 241st Meeting held on 29th – 30th March and 1st April, 2013 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 21.02.2013, and decided to await submission of revised approved staff list for both D.Ed and D.Ed-AI.</p> <p>The SRC in its 245th Meeting held on 19th-21st May, 2013 considered the written reply of the institution on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The revised staff list shows the required number of staff for D.Ed and D.Ed-AI. But, 2 members of the basic unit (D.Ed) are not qualified as per NCTE norms. <p>Based on the above points the committee decides to withdraw the recognition of the D.Ed (APS02532) course run by the Best D.Ed College, , K.N. Road, Tadepalli Village, Tadepalliguden Post, West Godavari District – 534 101, Andhra Pradesh from the academic year 2013-14, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in D.Ed, course, if any, to complete their course.</p> <p>Accordingly, a withdrawal order was issued to the institution vide no. F.No.APS02532/D.Ed/AP/2013-14/52579 dated 03/07/2013.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the withdrawal of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide order no. F.No.89-490/2013/Appeal/15th Meeting/2013A77956 dated 12.11.2013 stating that “...the council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to consider the faculty list submitted by the appellent institution on 02.08.2013 within 15 days from the date of issue of the order on the appeal and take an appropriate decision afresh.</p> <p><i>NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby remands back the case of Best D.Ed College, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.”</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 256th meeting held on 4th-6th December 2013, considered the appellate authority order dated 12.11.2013 and decided to process the application.</p> <p>In the meantime, the institution has submitted reply on 26.11.2013</p>
-------------------------------------	--

		<p>Accordingly, the application was processed and placed before SRC in its 257th meeting held on 20th-22nd December 2013 and the committee considered the matter and decided <u>to Seen.</u></p> <p>It is requested to give specific decision in this matter.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, the revised staff list submitted shows that all the faculty are qualified, and other related documents of the institution, along with the original file of the institution and decided to continue recognition accorded to the institution.</p>
--	--	--

Consideration of Agenda Items- Volume-07
Court Cases

50	<p>APS05398 D.Ed New Model College of Elementar y Education, Cuddapa District AP</p>	<p>New Model College of Elementary Education, siddavatam , Cuddapa District, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Sri Sai Educational Society, Kadapa District-516001, Andhra Pradesh has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to New Model College of Elementary Education, siddavatam , Cuddapa District, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed course recognition order was issued to the institution on 22/04/2008 for D.Ed course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students.</p> <p>Meantime, an abstract received from the Government of Andhra Pradesh dated 01/07/2011 received on 15/07/2011 stating that “...they have admitted extra students in D.Ed, 1st year after completion of 3rd phase DIETCE-2010 counseling during the academic year 2010-2011. But in the 4th phase counseling, the convener DIETCET-2010 have allotted students to the vacant seats of their colleges under category-A seats and requested the government to accord permission for the extra seats for the year 2010-2011 as a special case”.</p> <p>The representation was placed before SRC in its 209th Meeting held on 31st July, 2011, and the committee has decided to issue show cause notice to the institution. Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 07/10/2011. The institution has submitted its written representation on 11/11/2011.</p> <p>The reply of the institution was placed before SRC in its 218th Meeting held on 218th Meeting held on 28 February, 2012 and the committee considered the matter and decided that, in view of the condonation ordered by the State Govt. SRC may warn the erring institution and require them to give an undertaking that there will be no recurrence of such a lapse.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 06/06/2012.</p> <p>Now, a complaint has been received from Shri. B. Kishore Kumar, Staff Reporter, Zee 24 Hour TV Channel, D.No. 42/585-3, Behind Gate of Saraswathi Vidyalayam, N.G.O. Colony, Kadapa District-516002, Andhra Pradesh on 30/04/2013 & 02/05/2013 stating that “... the new model college of Education & Diet college was</p>
----	--	---

initially established in Siddavattam in Kadapa District, Andhra Pradesh at the following address in the year 2005-06 R.S. Nagar, Eguvapeta Siddavattam Post & Mandal, Kadapa District, Andhra Pradesh this college functioned at the above address up to 2007-2008. There after this college was unauthorisedly shifted to D.No. 20/864 Radha Krishna Nagar, Co-Operative Colony, Kadapa in the year 2008 and is functioning at this address regularly till now. This fact was brought to the notice authorities several times, but in vain. In spite of complaint several times, the above concerned authorities have not taken any action against the college for its illegal functioning at the place other than the sanctioned place since 2008”.

The SRC in its 245th Meeting held on 19th-21st May, 2013 considered the non-reply of the institution to the SRC letter dt. 06.06.2012 on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-

- The Committee in its 218th meeting held on 28th Feb, 2012 considered the condonation ordered by the State Govt. and decided to warn the erring institution and an undertaking was sought from the institution that there will be no recurrence of such a lapse (regarding admission of extra students in D.Ed 1st year as per letter received from Government of Andhra Pradesh dt. 01/07/2011).

Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 06/06/2012. But, so far the institution has not submitted any reply nor given any undertaking towards recurrence of such lapse.

Based on the above points the committee decides to withdraw the recognition of the D.Ed (APS05398) course run by the New Model College of Elementary Education, Siddavattam, Cuddapa District, Andhra Pradesh from the academic year 2013-14, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in D.Ed, course, if any, to complete their course.

But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.

The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly. Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any.

As per the decision of SRC, withdrawn order was issued to the institution on 20.06.2013.

A letter has been received from Government of Andhra Pradesh on 14.11.2013 with the request to send the status position of New Model College of Elementary Education, Kadapa District, Andhra for D.Ed Course.

Accordingly, a letter was sent to the Sri. G. Gopal Reddy, Director, SCERT on 03.12.2013.

Now, a court order has been received from Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in W.P.No. 35033 of 2013 dated 13.12.2013 stating as follows:

“The relief sought for in this writ petition is to declare the order dated 20.06.2013 in F.No. APS05398/D.Ed/AP/2013-14/52372 on the file of the second respondent, as illegal and arbitrary.

With the consent of both Sri N. Sreedhar Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Sri K. Ramakanth Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for respondents 1 and 2, the Writ Petition is being disposed of at the stage of admission.

Consequent on the amendment petition, in W.P.M.P.No. 45315 of 2013 being allowed, the relief sought for in the writ petition includes a declaration that the order of the second respondent dated 20.06.2013 is illegal. The second respondent dated by the said proceedings dated 20.06.2013, withdraw recognition to the petitioner-college for D.Ed course in terms of section 14 (3) (b) of the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993 (for brevity, 'the Act')

Sri N. Sreedhar Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, would draw attention of this court to the affidavit, filed in support of W.P.M.P. NO. 45135 of 2013, wherein the petitioner undertook to withdraw the appeal filed by them before the first respondent and to question the impugned order passed by the second respondent in the present writ petition itself. In view of the undertaking now given by the petitioner to withdraw the appeal, and in view of the prayer having been amended pursuant to the order of this court in W.P.M.P. No. 45135 of 2013. The question, which necessitates examination, is whether the order of the second respondent dated 20.06.2013 is in accordance with law or not.

The only ground on which the recognition, granted to the petitioner earlier, was withdrawn is that they had failed to submit an undertaking as directed by the second respondent vide proceedings dated 06.06.2012. pursuant to the show cause notice issued earlier, the petitioner submitted a reply which was considered by the second respondent in its meeting held on 28.02.2012, and it was decided that, in view of the condonation ordered by the State Government, the erring institution (the petitioner herein) may be warned and be required to give an undertaking that there will be no recurrence of such a lapse. The petitioner was, therefore, informed by the second respondent, vide proceedings dated 06.06.2013, that the excess admissions were condoned as directed by the State Government; and they should submit an undertaking that no excess admission would be made in future. The impugned order dated 20.06.2013 was passed, withdrawing recognition to the petitioner-institution, solely on the ground that the petitioner had failed to furnish the said undertaking as directed by the second respondent in its proceedings dated 06.06.2013.

Section 17 (1) of the Act enables the Regional Committee, on its own motion or on any representation received from any person, and on being satisfied that a recognized institution has contravened any other provisions of the Act, Rules, regulations, orders made or issued thereunder, or any condition subject to which the recognition under Sub-Section (3) of Section 14 or permission under Sub-Section (3) of Section 15 was granted, to withdraw recognition in writing. Under the proviso thereto, no such order shall be passed against the recognized institution unless a reasonable opportunity of making representation, against the proposed order, has been given to such recognized institution.

While Sri N. Sreedhar Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, would submit that an undertaking was furnished by the petitioner, (and a copy of the undertaking given by the petitioner has also been placed before this court), it would be wholly inappropriate for this court to examine whether the said undertaking is in accordance with the order of the second respondent dated 06.06.2012. the fact, however, remains that, in view of the stipulation in the proviso to section 17 (1) of the Act, the recognition granted to the petitioner earlier could not have been

		<p>withdrawn without giving them a reasonable opportunity of making a representation against the proposed action. the proviso to Section 17 (1) of the Act obligated the second respondent to issue a notice to the petitioner to show cause why their recognition should not be cancelled for their failure to furnish the undertaking in terms of the proceedings dated 06.06.2012, permit them to submit their reply thereto and, therefore, to pass an order in accordance with law. As the impugned order dated 20.06.2013 is passed in contravention of the proviso to Section 17 (1) of the Act, and as the said order was neither preceded by a show cause notice nor was the petitioner given an opportunity to submit a representation, it is liable to be, and is accordingly, quashed. Needless to state that this order shall not preclude the second respondent from putting the petitioner on notice, give them an opportunity of being heard, and, thereafter, pass an order afresh in accordance with law.</p> <p>The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly, the miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall also stand disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs”.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, the letter related to condonation ordered by the State Govt. connected to allotment of extra students in the 27 colleges, was addressed to the AP Govt. Apparently it was not conveyed to the Colleges. It is decided by the Committee now to write directly to the 27 colleges and require them to furnish the undertaking.</p> <p>Further, the Committee considered the Hon’ble High Court order and decided to continue recognition accorded to the New Model College for B.Ed</p>
--	--	---

As per Decision of the SRC 255th Meeting decision of the following files are put up for consideration

51.	<p>APS08133 B.Ed Arunai College of Education, Thiruvanna malai District TN</p>	<p>Arunai College of Education, No. 197/56B, Annasalai, Tindivanam Road, Thiruvannamalai-606601, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Arunai College of Education, No. 197/56B, Annasalai, Tindivanam Road, Thiruvannamalai-606601, Tamilnadu had submitted an application for B.Ed Course on 02.01.2006. The institution was granted recognition on 18.10.2007 with an annual intake of 100 students, with the condition to shift to own premises within 3 years.</p> <p>The institution is also running D.T.Ed(APS08112) and D.T.Ed-AI (APS07411) courses.</p> <p>The institution vide its letter dated 10.10.2012 has submitted supporting documents alongwith DD bearing no. 557699 dtd. 09.10.2012 for Rs. 50,000/- towards shifting of premises.</p> <p>The SRC in its 234th meeting held on 17th -18th October 2012, has considered the institution letter dt.10.10.2012 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms Accordingly, inspection was carried out on 28.11.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 237th meeting held on 05th-06th January, 2013 has considered the VT report of the institution, VCD and other related documents along with the original file of the institution shifting to new/permanent premises is permitted, subject to submission of staff list as per NCTE regulations 2009. Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 26.02.2013. The institution has submitted its reply on</p>
-----	--	---

15.03.2013.

The SRC in its 244th meeting held on 09th-11th May 2013, considered the written reply of the institution on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-

- The staff list submitted by the institution is not duly approved by the affiliating body/University.

Based on the above points the committee decides to withdraw the recognition of all the three courses viz., B.Ed (APS08133), D.T.Ed (APS08112) & D.T.Ed (APS07411) courses run by Arunai College of Education, No. 12/37, Thiruvannamalai-606601, Arunai Teacher Training Institute, No. 12/37, Chenappa Street, Thiruvannamalai-606601 & Arunai Tharani Teacher Training Institute, No. 29, VanaTharayan palaya Street, Thiruvannamalai-606601 respectively from the academic year 2013-14. Accordingly, withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 03.06.2013.

The institution has moved to the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in WP no. 15315 of 2013 and MP nos. 1 & 2 of 2013 judgment dated 27.06.2013 reads as under:-

"The impugned order is set aside and the matter is remitted to the first respondent for fresh consideration. The petitioner is directed to forward a copy of the staff list approval dated 20.03.2013 along with a copy of this order, to the National Council for Teacher Education. The first respondent is directed to consider the staff list approval produced by the petitioner and take a decision in the matter as expeditiously as possible and in any case, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is allowed to the extent indicated above. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed."

As per the direction of the Hon'ble Court, the institution has submitted letter dated 29.07.2013 along with approved staff list dated 07.06.2012 and 20.03.2013 issued by Tamilnadu Teacher Education University, Chennai.

Further, the institution has also stated that:

"We have already decided to close the ARUNAI TEACHER TRAINING INSTITUTE (APS08112) with sanctioned intake of 50 students offering D.T.Ed course, with effect from the Academic year 2013-2014 and has not admitted any student in the Diploma course from the Academic years 2012-2013 and 2013-2014 and this fact has been communicated to the NCTE, Government of Tamil Nadu and DTERT.

WE ARE NOT RUNNING ARUNAI THARANI TEACHER TRAINING INSTITUTE, (APS07411). Besides it is promoted by Sri Arunai Tharani Educational Trust) No. 29 Vanatharayan palaya street, Thiruvannamalai and it is run by a different trust and different management and it is not our institution. We are no way connected with that institution. However the Notarized copy obtained from the Institution is submitted for your reference ad action."

The SRC in its 251st Meeting held on 25th -27th August, 2013 considered the Hon'ble High Court order dated 27/06/2013 directing to consider the staff list approval produced by the petitioner and take a decision in the matter as expeditiously as possible. In the light of the Hon'ble Court direction, the case is reexamined and noted that the approved staff list submitted by the institution is not as per the NCTE norms.

Hence, the earlier decision of withdrawal of recognition for B.Ed (APS08133) taken in the 244th meeting held on 09th – 11th May, 2013 is confirmed and stands.

The institution preferred appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-415/2013 Appeal/14th Meeting-2013 dtd. 30.10.2013 has stated as follows:-

“ After perusal of the documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and after considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded tha the appeal deserved to be remended to SRC with a direction to reconsider the reply submitted by the appellant with regard to the staff list approvals and process the matter further as per regulations.

NOWTHEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Arunai College of Education, Tiruvannamalai, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.

The SRC in its 255th Meeting held on 13th-15th November, 2013 considered the Appellate authority order dated 30/10/2013, decided to process the application further as per the Regulations.

The institution has moved to the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in WP no. 27589 of 2013 and MP nos. 1 & 2 of 2013 judgment dated 04.11.2013 reads as under:-

“..... the NCTE is directed to communicate its order made on the basis of the decision taken on 25th to 27th August 2013 as found in the website of the Council, to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible, and in any case, on or before 20.11.2013. It is open to the petitioner to challenge the decision in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of with the above direction. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petitions are closed”.

A brief of the case sent to the advocate on 21.11.2013.

Accordingly, the application is being processed as per direction of SRC in its 255th Meeting held on 13th -15th November, 2013.

The institution vide its letter dtd. 03.12.2013 has submitted written representation along with approved staff list from Tamilnadu Teachers Education University, dtd. 20.03.2013, 04.09.2013 and 07.06.2012

The Committee considered the matter, the approved staff list submitted, and other related documents of the institution, along with the original file of the institution and decided to continue recognition accorded to the institution.

New Application -2013-2014

52	<p>SRCAPP1 71 B.P.Ed Sadulla B.P.Ed College, Guntur District AP</p>	<p>Sadulla B.P.Ed College, Khasara / Plot No. 471/C, Mulakaluru Village and Post Office, Narasaraopet Taluka and City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Navodaya G.P.U.V. Sangam, Plot No. 13-5-1, Islampet Road, Narsaraopet Village, Post Office, Taluka and City, Guntur District – 522601, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sadulla B.P.Ed College, Khasara / Plot No. 471/C, Mulakaluru Village and Post Office, Narasaraopet Taluka and City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course for one year duration under Section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>Para 7[1-A(i)] and 7[1-A(ii)] of extant NCTE Regulations published in the Gazette of India on 31st August 2009, as amended from time to time, the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <p>Para 7[1-A(i)]</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>(a) <i>The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application;</i></p> <p>(b) <i>Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application;</i></p> <p>(c) <i>Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.</i></p> </div> <p>Para 7[1-A(ii)]</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><i>The Regional Committee shall, after recording reasons for rejection under the circumstances referred to in clause (i) above, return the application to the applicant and refund the processing fees within 30 days of the online submission of the application.</i></p> </div> <p>Further, 8(7)(i) of NCTE Regulations reads as under:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><i>No institution shall be granted recognition under these Regulations unless the institution or society sponsoring the institution is in possession of required land on the date of application. The land free from all encumbrances could be either on ownership basis or on lease from Government or Government institutions for a period of not less than 30 years. In cases where under relevant State or Union Territory laws the maximum permissible lease period is less than 30 years, the State Government or Union Territory Administration law shall prevail. However, no building shall be taken on lease for running any teacher training course.</i></p> </div> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 238th Meeting held on 05th – 06th February 2013 reviewed the duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per</p>
----	---	---

		<p>Regulations [7 1-A (i)].</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application – as per the Regulations 2009 Para 7[1-A(i)]a). <p>Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 06/03/2013.</p> <p>Public Notice – Processing Fees Late Submission by One Day</p> <p>As per the Public Notice dated 5th September, 2013 NCTE Head Quarters has stating that the important Policy Decision taken by the National Council for Teacher Education in its 31st Meeting held on 29th July, 2013 that the applications of the institutions, who could not make payment of processing fee on or before submission of Online Applications resulting in summary rejection by the concerned Regional Committees of NCTE, may be considered if payment has been made on the next banking / working day following the date of submission of the application, since the software does not allow for payment of the processing fees either on or before the date of submission of applications.</p> <p>The SRC in its 255th meeting held on 13-15th Nov, 2013, the Committee decided to process the application of the above said cases.</p> <p>The application of the said institution was scrutinized and a copy of the application was sent to State Govt. for recommendation on 03/12/2013.</p> <p>A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 03/12/2013.</p> <p>The Institution has submitted its reply on 20.12.2013.</p> <p>The Committee advised SRO to arrange for inspection urgently.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply to the deficiency letter from the institution vide letter dated 20-12-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p> <p>VT inspection report to be submitted in the 260th meeting.</p>
53	<p>SRCAPP1 66 D.Ed Jareenataz Diet College, Guntur District AP</p>	<p>Jareenataz Diet College, Plot No.520, Mulakaluru Village, Post Office, Narasaraopet Taluk & City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Datta Sai Educational Society, Plot No.13-2-137/2, V.R.Nagar, Narasaraopet Village, Post, Taluk & City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Jareenataz Diet College, Plot No.520, Mulakaluru Village, Post Office, Narasaraopet Taluk & City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed course on 31.12.2012 for Two year duration under section 14(1) of the NCTE Act 1993 and received in the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>NCTE-Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, it amendment Notified on 26th November 2010 which reads as follows:-</p>

		<p>2 (B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1 (1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application. b) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application. c) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application. <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 238th Meeting held on 05th-06th February, 2013 duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per Regulations 2009 [7 1-A (i)].</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application – as per the Regulations 2009 Para 7[1-A(i)]a). <p>Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 06/03/2013.</p> <p>As per the Public Notice dated 5th September, 2013 NCTE Head Quarters has stating that the important Policy Decision taken by the National Council for Teacher Education in its 31st Meeting held on 29th July, 2013 that the applications of the institutions, who could not make payment of processing fee on or before submission of Online Applications resulting in summary rejection by the concerned Regional Committees of NCTE, may be considered if payment has been made on the next banking / working day following the date of submission of the application, since the software does not allow for payment of the processing fees either on or before the date of submission of applications.</p> <p>The SRC in its 255th meeting held on 13th-15th November 2013, considered the matter and decided to process the application.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 03.12.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 03.12.2013. The Institution has submitted its reply on 20.12.2013.</p> <p>The Committee advised SRO to arrange for inspection urgently.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply to the deficiency letter from the institution vide letter dated 20-12-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p> <p>VT inspection report to be submitted in the 260th meeting</p>
54.	SRCAPP1 68 D.Ed Jani DIET	<p>Jain DIET College D.Ed, Khasara / Plot No. 636/C, Issapalem Village, Narasaraopet Post, Taluka and City, Guntur District – 522601, Andhra Pradesh.</p>

<p>College D.Ed, Guntur District AP</p>	<p>Yaseen Educational Society, Plot No. 13/2A/19, Islampet Road, Narasaraopet Village and Post Office, Narasaraopet Taluka and City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Jain DIET College D.Ed, Khasara / Plot No. 636/C, Issapalem Village, Narasaraopet Post, Taluka and City, Guntur District – 522601, Andhra Pradesh for D.El.Ed Course for one year duration under Section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>NCTE-Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, it amendment Notified on 26th November 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2 (B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1 (1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> d) The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application. e) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application. f) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application. <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 238th Meeting held on 05th-06th February, 2013 duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per Regulations 2009 [7 1–A (i)].</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application – as per the Regulations 2009 Para 7[1-A(i)]a). <p>Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 06/03/2013.</p> <p>As per the Public Notice dated 5th September, 2013 NCTE Head Quarters has stating that the important Policy Decision taken by the National Council for Teacher Education in its 31st Meeting held on 29th July, 2013 that the applications of the institutions, who could not make payment of processing fee on or before submission of Online Applications resulting in summary rejection by the concerned Regional Committees of NCTE, may be considered if payment has been made on the next banking / working day following the date of submission of the application, since the software does not allow for payment of the processing fees either on or before the date of submission of applications.</p> <p>The SRC in its 255th meeting held on 13th-15th November 2013, considered the matter and decided to process the application.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 03.12.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 03.12.2013.</p>
---	---

		<p>The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 20/12/2013.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter vide letter dated 20/12/2013 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice Under Section 14 of NCTE Act for the following -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An original certified land document approved by the competent authority is not submitted. The land documents submitted is only a photocopy. The institution has to submit the original certified copy. • The Instituion has not submitted original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund from a National Bank. <p>In view of the above, the Committee decided to issue a Showcause Notice to the institution as to why the recognition be not rejected and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a <u>written representation</u> within 21 days from the date of issue of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the Rejection of the application for recognition, based on the records available with no further notice.</p>
55	<p>SRCAPP1 72 D.Ed Roshan B.Ed College, Guntur District AP</p>	<p>Roshan B.Ed college, plot No. 306/B, Vissapallem street & Village, Nrsasarapet Talu & City, Guntur District – 522 601, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Tez Musilam Minority Educational Society, Plot/Street No.13-2A-135/2, Ramthulanagar Village, Narasaraopet P.O & Taluk, Narasaraopet City, Guntur District -522601 Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Roshan B.Ed College, Plot/khasara No.306/B, Vissapalem Street & Village, Narasaraopet P.O & Taluk, Narasaraopet City, Guntur District-522601 had applied for grant of recognition to Tez Musilam Minority Educational Society, Plot/Street No.13-2A-135/2, Ramthulanagar Village, Narasaraopet P.O & Taluk, Narasaraopet City, Guntur District -522601 Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed Course for one year duration under Section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 04.01.2012.</p> <p>NCTE-Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, its amendment Notified on 26th November 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2 (B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1 (1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> g) The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application. h) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application. i) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent

		<p>authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 238th Meeting held on 05th-06th February, 2013 duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per Regulations 2009 [7 1–A (i)].</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application – as per the Regulations 2009 Para 7[1-A(i)]a). <p>Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 06/03/2013.</p> <p>As per the Public Notice dated 5th September, 2013 NCTE Head Quarters has stating that the important Policy Decision taken by the National Council for Teacher Education in its 31st Meeting held on 29th July, 2013 that the applications of the institutions, who could not make payment of processing fee on or before submission of Online Applications resulting in summary rejection by the concerned Regional Committees of NCTE, may be considered if payment has been made on the next banking / working day following the date of submission of the application, since the software does not allow for payment of the processing fees either on or before the date of submission of applications.</p> <p>The SRC in its 255th meeting held on 13th-15th November 2013, considered the matter and decided to process the application.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 03.12.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 03.12.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 20/12/2013.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter vide letter dated 20/12/2013 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice Under Section 14 of NCTE Act for the following -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The institution has submitted Society Registration of Muslim Minority Educational Society, Which is not permissible as per NCTE regulations 2009. As per On-line application the name of the Society is ‘Tez Mullim Minority Educational Society’, for which the institution has to produce the Minority certificate. Uncertified photocopies can not be relied upon. <p>In view of the above, the Committee decided to issue a Show cause Notice to the institution as to why the recognition be not rejected and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a <u>written representation</u> within 21 days from the date of issue of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the Rejection of the application for recognition, based on the records available with no further notice.</p>
--	--	---

LOI/Formal Recognition Cases

56.	SRCAPP1 86 B.P.Ed Kranthi College of Physical Education, Chittoor District AP	<p>Kranthi College of Physical Education, Plot No.114, Mamanduru Village & Post Office, Renigunta Taluk, Tirupati City, Chittoor District-517520, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Smt Kousalyadevi Educational Society, Plot No.19-7-134, Gopal Raju Colony, Tirupathi Village & Post Office, Tirupathi Taluk & City, Chittoor District-517501, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Kranthi College of Physical Education, Plot No.114, Mamanduru Village & Post Office, Renigunta Taluk, Tirupati City, Chittoor District-517520, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 07.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/12.04.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 13.04.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 11.06.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 248th Meeting held on 13th-15th July, 2013 considered the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter vide letter dt.11.06.2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 26th-31st August, 2013 the same was intimated to the institution on 22/08/2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 01/09/2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 05/09/2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.P.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(one hundred only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 01/11/2013.</p> <p>The institution has replied to LOI on 31/12/2013.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply of the institution to the LOI issued and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 of NCTE Act for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• As per the staff list submitted, the Principal has no Ph.d and is not qualified as per NCTE norms 2009. <p>In view of the above, the Committee decided to issue a Notice to the institution as to why the recognition be not rejected and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a <u>written representation</u> within 21 days from the date of issue of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing</p>
-----	--	--

		<p>which action will be taken including the Rejection of the application for recognition, based on the records available with no further notice.</p>
57	<p>SRCAPP1 099 D.Ed Siddhu College of Education D.Ed, Nalgonda District AP</p>	<p>Siddhu College of Education D.Ed., Plot/Khasara No. 207, Ward No.1, Huzurnagar Village and Post, Huzurnagar City and Taluk, Nalgonda District - 508204, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Siddhu Educational Society, Near Public Club, Huzurnagar Village and Post, Huzurnagar City and Taluk, Nalgonda District-508204 Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Siddhu College of Education D.Ed., Plot/Khasara No. 207, Ward No.1, Huzurnagar Village and Post, Huzurnagar City and Taluk, Nalgonda District - 508204, Andhra Pradesh for D.E.C.Ed Course of two years duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 29.09.2011 and physical copy received on 30.09.2011.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 03.11.2011/ 14.12.2011. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 29.12.2011. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 24.02.12</p> <p>The SRC in its 220th Meeting of SRC held on 30th – 31st March, 2012 considered the reply of the institution dt. 24.02.2102 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 14(1) of NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 26.04.2012. The institution has submitted its written representation on 16.05.2012.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the show cause notice was duly considered by SRC in its 223rd meeting held in 29th-31st May 2012, the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, and deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Certified copy of the land documents from Govt. authority is not submitted. Land is not registered in the name of the Society/institution on the date of on-line application. The institution has submitted sale deed dt. 18.02.2011 subsequent to the date of application, which is not permissible as per NCTE regulations 2009. The land should be registered on or before on-line application as stipulated in the regulations.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">The course applied by the institution is for D.E.C.Ed, whereas the name of the institution is read as ‘Sindhu College of Education D.Ed’. Change of course from D.E.C.Ed to D.El.Ed is not permissible as per Regulations at a later date.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Proceedings of Revenue Divisional Officer not submitted for conversion of land from agricultural to educational purposes.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Proof of completion of 3 academic sessions for B.Ed course being run by the intuition is not submitted.</p> <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to refuse and reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.Ed course.</p>

Accordingly, a rejection order was issued to the institution vide no. F.No. SRCAPP1099 (2012-13)/D.E.C.Ed/AP/2011-12/43298 dated 29.06.2012.

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE H.Qtrs and the appellate authority order, in its order stated as follows:-

After Perusal of the documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the council concluded that there was adequate ground to remand the case to the SRC for processing of the application for D.Ed course as per Regulations.

The SRC in its 235th meeting held on 20th-21st October 2012, considered the Appellate authority order dt. 10.10.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE Act, for the following:

Original certified copy of the land documents from Govt. authority is not submitted.
Notarized English version of the certified copy of land documents not submitted.
The institution has not submitted proceedings of Revenue Divisional Officer for conversion of land from agricultural to non-agricultural and educational purposes.

Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 20.12.2012. The institution has submitted its reply on 26.12.2012.

The SRC in its 239th meeting held on 26th-27th February 2013, considered the reply of the institution dt.26-12-2012 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.

The inspection of the institution was fixed between 18th -22th March 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 14.03.2013. The inspection was conducted on 21.03.2013. The VT report was received by SRC on 25.03.2013.

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, reply of the institution, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee in its 242nd meeting held on 14-16th April, 2013 decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to D.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50(Fifty only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.

Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 27/04/2013. The institution has replied to LOI vide letter dt. 21/06/2013.

The SRC in its 248th Meeting held on 13th-15th July, 2013 considered the matter and it was decided to serve Notice Under Section 14 of NCTE Act for the following deficiencies:-

Accordingly, a notice was issued to the institution on 05/09/2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 18/09/2013

The written representation of the institution was placed before SRC in its 253rd meeting held on 30th Sept, and 1st Oct, 2013 and the Committee considered the

		<p>matter, request letter of the institution vide letter dated 18-09-2013, requesting to give another chance for fresh appointment of the Principal (as the earlier principal appointed by the institution was not in conformity to NCTE norms 2009), since this will be a case only for 2014-15, the committee decided to be liberal in their approach in such LOI cases. Await till 31st December, 2013.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter was sent to the institution on 11.11.2013.</p> <p>Note: Also a letter has been sent to the Director, SCERT, Hyderabad on 20/11/2013 for furnishing the status of Siddhu College of Education.</p> <p>The Institution has submitted its written representation on 26/12/2013.</p> <p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to D.Ed., course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 (fifty only) students, with effect from the academic session 2014-2015.</p>
--	--	---

Other Items

58	<p>APS04462 B.Ed Sri Sundareswari College of Education, Virudhunagar District TN</p>	<p>Sri Sundareswari College of Education, No. 12, Madavarvalakam Street, Sri Villiputtur, Virudhunagar District, Pin-626125, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Sri Sundareswari College of Education, No. 12, Madavarvalakam Street, Sri Villiputtur, Virudhunagar District, Pin-626125, Tamilnadu had submitted an application for B.Ed Course on 01.12.2005. The institution was granted recognition on 09.01.2007 with an annual intake of 100 students, with the condition to shift to own premises within 3 years.</p> <p>The institution vide its letter dated 28.06.2012 has submitted DD bearing no. 404640 dated. 26.06.2012 for Rs. 50,000/- towards processing fee for shifting of premises and had stated the following:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“We are very happy to inform you that, we have constructed the new building for our own premises in the new address Sri Sundareswari College of Education, Sivakasi Road, Malli, Srivilliputtur, Virudhunagar District.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Kindly accept this letter and to visit our institution as soon as possible to give the shifting permission”.</p> <p>The SRC in its 229th meeting held on 30th-31st July 2012, considered the reply of the institution dt.28.06.2012, request of the institution and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause shifting inspection</u> under NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms. Accordingly, inspection was carried out on 14.08.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 231st meeting held on 22nd-23rd August, 2012 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show Cause Notice under NCTE Act. Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 13.09.2012. The institution had submitted its written</p>
----	--	--

		<p>representation on 03.10.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 237th meeting held on 05th-06th January, 2013 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 03.10.2012 other related documents along with the original file of the institution shifting to new/permanent premises is permitted, subject to submission of approved staff list as per NCTE norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter sent to the institution on 06.06.2013. The institution has submitted written representation on 01.08.2013.</p> <p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to B.Ed., course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (one hundred only) students, with effect from the academic session 2014-2015.</p> <p>Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2014-15</p>
59	<p>SRCAPP2 31 B.P.Ed Rangumudri Appalaswamy Naidu Physical Education College, Srikakulam District AP</p>	<p>Rangumudri Appalaswamy Naidu Physical Education College, Khasar No.165, 165-3, 5, 8, 9, 153P, Konangipadu Revenue Iruvada Village, Chilakalapalli Post Office, Vangara Taluk, Rajam City, Srikakulam District-535557, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>RM Educational Society, Plot No.3-1, Sivalayam Street, Chilakalapalli Village & Post Office, Balijipeta Taluk, Bobbili City, Vizianagaram District-535557, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Rangumudri Appalaswamy Naidu Physical Education College, Khasar No.165, 165-3, 5, 8, 9, 153P, Konangipadu Revenue Iruvada Village, Chilakalapalli Post Office, Vangara Taluk, Rajam City, Srikakulam District-535557, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 03.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 31.01.2013/12.04.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 12.04.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 10.06.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 249th meeting 24th-26th July, 2013 has considered the matter and advised Southern Regional Office to Re-submit with a comparative statement for proposed course B.P.Ed and other existing courses being run by the institution viz. B.Ed and D.Ed. Also to check for the deficiencies listed by the association and put up in the 250th meeting.</p> <p>As per the advise of Southern Regional committee to Re-submit with a comparative statement for Proposed course B.P.Ed and other existing courses being run by the institution viz. D.Ed and B.Ed. Also to check for the deficiencies listed by the association, accordingly, the comparative statement with deficiency are made ready and submitted for perusal of the Committee.</p> <p>The SRC in its 254th Meeting held on 25th-27th October, 2013 considered the matter and on verification of the comparative statement of all the courses run by the institution including the present proposed course B.P.Ed, the following observation are made.</p> <p>1.As per NCTE regulations 2009, B.P.Ed (SRCAPP231) should be stand-alone</p>

		<p>course, but, D.Ed (APS02812) course is seen to be overlapping.</p> <p>2. The four SY.nos. shown for D.Ed add up to 3.76 acres, if this is deducted from 10.4 acres shown for B.P.Ed, the area for B.P.Ed is only 6.64 acres. Which is inadequate as per NCTE regulations / norms, 2009 requirement of 8.00 acres.</p> <p>3. It is not clear whether the buildings for B.P.Ed & D.Ed are separate.</p> <p>Based on the above, the Committee considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt.24-05-2013 to the deficiency letter and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Further, the Committee decided to know & determine the extent of overlap between B.P.Ed and B.Ed at the time of inspection.</p> <p>Also VT to be informed and should report back on the continuity of Survey numbers.</p> <p>Cause Inspection to determine the extent of overlap between B.P.Ed & D.Ed. Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 28th November to 02nd December, 2013 the same was intimated to institution on 22.11.2013.</p> <p>Meantime, the visiting team member has submitted a letter dated 28.12.2013 received on 01.01.2014 stated that <i>"the visiting team member visited the institution for inspection but, the management has not interested in conducting the inspection. The institution sent a letter alongiwth visiting team member stating that <u>"we came to know that as per the Supreme Court decision the NCTE giving recognition for 2014-15. Even though we submitted application for 2013-14.</u></i></p> <p><u><i>Therefore the management decided not to start B.P.Ed course. kindly close my proposals regarding B.P.Ed course recognition".</i></u></p> <p>The Committee considered the request of the institution to withdraw their application for B.P.Ed (SRCAPP231) Course applied for the session 2013-14 vide online application dated 31/12/2012, decided to permit the withdrawal of the said application and advised SRO to close the case.</p>
60.	<p>APS01161 D.T.Ed-AI Rajammal Rangasam y Teacher Training Institute, Namakkal District TN</p>	<p>Rajammal Rangasamy Teacher Training Institute, KSR Kalvi Nagar, Tirchengode, Namakkal District, Pin-637209, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Rajammal Rangasamy Teacher Training Institute, KSR Kalvi Nagar, Tirchengode, Namakkal District, Pin-637209, Tamilnadu had submitted an application for D.T.Ed Course on 24.12.2002. The institution was granted recognition on 13.12.2004 with an annual intake of 100 students which includes the existing intake of 50 students and an additional intake of 50 students.</p> <p>The institution vide its letter dt. 28.11.2013 has stated that</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>" In our Rajammal Rangasamy Teacher Training Institute running the Diploma in Elementary Education with an Additional Intake from 2004-2005 onwards. Now we would like to withdrawn the recognition order for D.El.Ed., Additional Intake only. So we kindly request you to permit to withdrawn the recognition order for D.El.Ed., Additional only. We herewith submit the copy of Recognition Order of D.El.Ed., Additional Intake and</p> </div>

		<p>copy of FDR's for your kind reference".</p> <p>The Committee considered the Institutions request for closure of D.T.Ed-AI course and decided to permit the closure by withdrawing the recognition for (APS01161) D.T.Ed-AI course with effect from 2014-2015, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in D.T.Ed-AI course, if any, to complete their final year course.</p> <p>But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.</p> <p>The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly.</p> <p>Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any, after ensuring settlement of all faculty and Staff claims.</p>
--	--	--

New Application -2013-2014

61	<p>AP1990 AP AL College of Education, Guntur District AP</p>	<p>AL College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.67, 68, 69, 70, 82, 84, Plot No. 67, Lodge Cent Street, Guntur Village and Taluk, Arundalpet Post Office, Guntur City and District – 522002, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>AEL Church, Plot No. 67, Lodge Centre Road, Guntur Village and Taluk, Arundalpet Post Office, Guntur City and District – 522002, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to AL College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.67, 68, 69, 70, 82, 84, Plot No. 67, Lodge Cent Street, Guntur Village and Taluk, Arundalpet Post Office, Guntur City and District – 522002, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed Course for two years duration under Section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 28.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 03.01.2013.</p> <p>NCTE-Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, it amendment Notified on 26th November 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2 (B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1 (1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application. b) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application. c) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application. <p>The SRC in its 238th Meeting held on 05th-06th February, 2013 and on careful perusal of the original of the institution and other related documents, NCTE Act,</p>
----	--	---

		<p>1993, Regulations and guidelines from time to time laid on the table the Regional Committee decided to reject the application on the following grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application - (as per Regulations 2009 Para 7[1-A(i)]a). <p>Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 06/03/2013.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide no. F.No.89-216/2013 Appeal/11th Meeting-2013 dated 25/09/2013 stating that “...the council concluded that the appeal deserved to be accepted and the matter remanded to the SRC with a direction to process the application as per Regulations.</p> <p><i>NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby remands back the case of AL College of Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.</i></p> <p>The Committee in its 254th meeting held on 25-27th October, 2013 considered the Appellate Authority order dated 25/09/2013 and decided to process the application of the said institution as per Regulations.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply to the deficiency letter from the institution vide letter dated 18-12-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p> <p>VT inspection report to be put up in the 260th meeting</p> <p>The VT members to be asked to obtain original FDRs from the institution.</p>
--	--	--

Consideration of Table Agenda

62	<p>SRCAPP18 59 M.Ed-DE School of Distance Education, Plot/Khasara a No. 314. Maruthamal Street, Vadavalli Village, Bharathiar University Post, Coimbatore Taluk & District,</p>	<p>School of Distance Education, Plot/Khasara No. 314, Maruthamal Street, Vadavalli Village, Bharathiar University Post, Coimbatore Taluk & District, Pin – 641 046, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Bharathiar University, Maruthamalai Road, Coimbatore Village, Bharathiar University Post, Coimbatore Taluk & District, Pin – 641 046, Tamilnadu has applied for grant of recognition to School of Distance Education, Plot/Khasara No. 314, Maruthamal Street, Vadavalli Village, Bharathiar University Post, Coimbatore Taluk & District, Pin – 641 046, Tamilnadu for M.Ed-DE course for one year duration under section 15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 23.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 02.01.2013.</p> <p>NCTE- H'qrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009 and amendment Notified on 26th November, 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2(B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1(1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the</p>
----	---	--

<p>Pin-641046, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p>following circumstances:</p> <p>The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application.</p> <p>Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.</p> <p>Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society, institution applying for the Course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee considered the matter in its 238th Meeting held on 05th – 06th February, 2013 and on careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other related documents, NCTE Act 1993 Regulations and guidelines from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to summarily reject as per Regulations [7-1-A (i)] the application on the following grounds:</p> <p>The Society has not dispatched copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application, within 7 days of the submission of the on-line application, as required under sub-regulation 7(1-A)(i)(c) of NCTE Regulations 2009.</p> <p>Accordingly, the application of School of Distance Education, Plot/Khasara No. 314, Maruthamal Street, Vadavalli Village, Bharathiar University Post, Coimbatore Taluk & District, Pin – 641 046, Tamilnadu for permission to M.Ed- DE course was rejected on 15.03.2013.</p> <p>The SRC NCTE received a letter from the institution on 22.03.2013 & 25.03.2013 along with D.D. stating that “the processing fee of Rs. 50050/- was paid by D.D No. 715137 dated 19.12.2012 along with online application submitted on 23.12.2012 and the hard copy of the application in triplicate was dispatched on 29.12.2012.</p> <p>Hence, it is requested that though our application had reached your office on 02.01.2013, considering the date of Demand Draft, the application may be considered for recognition of M.Ed-DE course”.</p> <p>With regard to copy of the Tamilnadu Government Land Acquisition Act 1993 G.O. M.S.No.694, 9th April, Education, Science and Technology for supporting Land document of the University, the copy of the Land Acquired Document from Thasildar, Coimbatore is also enclosed.</p> <p>The SRC in its 248th meeting held on 13-15th July, 2013 considered the matter and advised Southern Regional Office to further process the application and put up.</p> <p>Accordingly the case is further processed and put for consideration of the Committee.</p> <p>The Committee in its 253rd meeting held on 30th Sept, & 01st Oct, 2013 considered the matter and it was decided to serve Notice under Section 14 of NCTE Act for the following deficiencies:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The University should submit the UGC clearance certificate regarding
---	---

		<p>Distance learning.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The State Govt. support letter with regard to the starting of Distance learning is to be submitted. <p>Accordingly, Notice was issued to the University on 05/01/2014.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the University fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p> <p>VT inspection report to be put up in the 261st meeting</p> <p>The VT members to be asked to obtain original FDRs from the University and UGC clearance for Self Learning Materials.</p>				
63.	<p>SRCAPP2158 SRCAPP2157 B.P.Ed/M.P.Ed Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli District. TN</p>	<p>Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara No. 623, 616, 649, 648, NH -210, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin - 620024, Tamilnadu.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>SRCAPP2157-M.P.Ed</th> <th>SRCAPP2158-B.P.Ed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> <p>Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara No. 623, 616, 649, 648, NH -210, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin - 620024, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Plot No. 623, 616, NH -210, Pudukottai Road, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin-620024, Tamilnadu for <u>M.P.Ed</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>25.07.2013</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>29.07.2013</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>29.10.2013</u>.</p> <p>A deficiency letter was issued to the University on <u>04.11.2013</u>.</p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 256th Meeting held on 04th-06th December, 2013 and decided to cause</p> </td> <td> <p>Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara No. 623, 616, 649, 648, NH -210, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin - 620024, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Plot No. 623, 616, NH -210, Pudukottai Road, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin-620024, Tamilnadu for <u>B.P.Ed</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>25.07.2013</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>29.07.2013</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>29.10.2013</u>.</p> <p>A deficiency letter was issued to the University on <u>04.11.2013</u>.</p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 256th Meeting held on 04th-06th December, 2013 and decided to cause</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	SRCAPP2157-M.P.Ed	SRCAPP2158-B.P.Ed	<p>Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara No. 623, 616, 649, 648, NH -210, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin - 620024, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Plot No. 623, 616, NH -210, Pudukottai Road, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin-620024, Tamilnadu for <u>M.P.Ed</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>25.07.2013</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>29.07.2013</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>29.10.2013</u>.</p> <p>A deficiency letter was issued to the University on <u>04.11.2013</u>.</p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 256th Meeting held on 04th-06th December, 2013 and decided to cause</p>	<p>Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara No. 623, 616, 649, 648, NH -210, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin - 620024, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Plot No. 623, 616, NH -210, Pudukottai Road, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin-620024, Tamilnadu for <u>B.P.Ed</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>25.07.2013</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>29.07.2013</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>29.10.2013</u>.</p> <p>A deficiency letter was issued to the University on <u>04.11.2013</u>.</p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 256th Meeting held on 04th-06th December, 2013 and decided to cause</p>
SRCAPP2157-M.P.Ed	SRCAPP2158-B.P.Ed					
<p>Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara No. 623, 616, 649, 648, NH -210, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin - 620024, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Plot No. 623, 616, NH -210, Pudukottai Road, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin-620024, Tamilnadu for <u>M.P.Ed</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>25.07.2013</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>29.07.2013</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>29.10.2013</u>.</p> <p>A deficiency letter was issued to the University on <u>04.11.2013</u>.</p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 256th Meeting held on 04th-06th December, 2013 and decided to cause</p>	<p>Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara No. 623, 616, 649, 648, NH -210, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin - 620024, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Plot No. 623, 616, NH -210, Pudukottai Road, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin-620024, Tamilnadu for <u>B.P.Ed</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>25.07.2013</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>29.07.2013</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>29.10.2013</u>.</p> <p>A deficiency letter was issued to the University on <u>04.11.2013</u>.</p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 256th Meeting held on 04th-06th December, 2013 and decided to cause</p>					

	<p>inspection.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter was sent to the University on 20.12.2013.</p> <p>The University has submitted written representation to deficiency letter on 29.11.2013 along with DD bearing no. 941327 dtd. 29.09.2013 for Rs. 50,000/- drawn on State Bank of India.</p> <p>A letter was sent to the University on 20.12.2013 regarding inspection of intimation.</p> <p>The inspection of the University was fixed between 06.01.2014 to 10.01.2014. The same was intimated to the University on 31.12.2013.</p>	<p>inspection.</p> <p>Now, the University is requesting to sent back the application of B.P.Ed course. Since both application have been submitted for the same academic session 2014-15</p>
<p>Note: The University has stated that “ <i>the University has decided to request you to consider M.P.Ed., (2 years) application submitted by us for the academic year 2014-2015. <u>The B.P.Ed., application may please be withhold or sent back to this office</u></i>”</p>		
<p>The above matter was placed before SRC in its 258th meeting held on 03rd -05th January, 2013 and decided</p>		
<p><i>Our earlier decision was wrong. Regulations require a running B.P.Ed for Universities to be eligible to apply for M.P.Ed. Let us write to the University to check whether they want to opt for B.P.Ed instead of M.P.Ed”.</i></p>		
<p>Accordingly, an e-mail was sent to the University on 04.01.2014. The University had replied to email on 05.01.2014 and has stated that</p>		
<p><i>“... Bharathidasan University would like to opt B.P.Ed as per the regulations of NCTE, for which I request you to kindly arrange NCTE visiting team inspection for the same. Further I request you to consider our application for M.P.Ed programme during 2015-16”.</i></p>		
<p>The University has opted for the B.P.Ed course vide their e-mail dated 05/01/2014. Their option is recognized , Accordingly the Committee decided to cause inspection for B.P.Ed course.</p>		
<p>The Committee considered the matter, request of the University to opt for B.P.Ed course in place of M.P.Ed course applied together for the session 2014-15 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> for B.P.Ed (SRCAPP2158) course under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the University fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p>		
<p>VT inspection report to be put up in the 261st meeting</p>		

Guidelines for processing of Innovative Programme in Teacher Education

64.	----- ----- Approval of Guidelines for Innovative Programm es -----	Detailed guidelines for Innovative programmes are uploaded on the SRC website on 05/01/2014.
-----	--	---