

**SOUTHERN REGIONAL COMMITTEE
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
BANGALORE**

**Minutes of the 259th Meeting of SRC held at the Conference Hall of
NCTE, Bangalore on 17th – 19th Jan, 2014**

The following Persons attended the Meeting:-

- | | | | |
|----|--|---|------------------------|
| 1. | Shri S.Sathyam I.A.S (Retired) | - | Chairman |
| 2. | Prof. K. Dorasami | - | Member |
| 3. | Prof. Sandeep Ponnala | - | Member |
| 4. | Dr.M.P.Vijaykumar, | - | Member |
| 5. | Prof. M.S. Lalithamma. | - | Member |
| 6. | Prof.K.A. Hashim (KL)
(Director SCERT) | - | Representative |
| 7 | Dr. P. Revathi Reddy.
Regional Director | - | Non-Member
Convenor |

1.The following Members/Representative did not attend the Meeting

Prof. M.A. Khader. Member and the Representatives of the Govts. of Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Tamilnadu, U.T's of Lakshadweep, Pondicherry & Andaman & Nicobar Islands.

2.The following Member could not attend on 19-01-2014 because of other unavoidable commitments and had taken leave of absence.

Prof. M.S. Lalithamma. Member

**SOUTHERN REGIONAL COMMITTEE
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
BANGALORE
MINUTES OF 259th MEETING OF SRC-NCTE**

1	Confirmation of Minutes of SRC in its 258 th Meeting held on 03 rd -05 th January, 2014	Confirmed
2	Action Taken Report (ATR) on the Minutes of SRC in its 257 th Meeting held on 20-22 nd December, 2014	Checked details. The pending cases (52+7) should be pursued by RD on a case-by-case basis.

Consideration of Agenda Items- Volume-01
Court Cases

Sl. No	Code Course Name of the Institution State	Remarks
1.	<p>APS01885/ AOS00463/ AOS00464/ APS03424/ AOSO0302/ APS03481/ APS01767 B.Ed Kalpathuru Vidhya Samsthe, Tiptur Sree Siddaganga Education Society, Tumkur Sri Siddhartha Education Society, Maralur Indira Education Society, Tumkur K.S.E.F. College of</p>	<p>Court order dated 10.12.2013 from the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P.No 49492 -49496 and 49812-49813 of 2013 and 50291-50297 of 2013 (EDN-REG-P) filed by the following institutions.</p> <p>The above writ petition is filed by the following petitioners</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Kalpathuru Vidhya Samsthe,Tiptur (APSO1885) 2. Sree Siddaganga Education Society,Tumkur(AOS00463) 3. Sri Siddhartha Education Society,Maralur(AOSO464) 4. Indira Education Society,Tumkur(APSO3424) 5. K.S.E.F.College of Education,Tumkur(AOSO0302) 6. Sri.T.V.V.College of Education,Tumkur(APSO3481) 7. Vidyavahini Samsthe ,Tumkur(APSO1767) <p>The following are the respondents .</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.The Tumkur University is the first respondent , 2. SRC,NCTE is the second respondent 3. NCTE,New Delhi is the third respondent <p>The Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka vide order dated 10.12.2013 has made the following order ;</p> <p>“ These petitions coming on orders, on service of notice on respondent No. 3 , the learned senior advocate Shri. Subramanya Jois appearing for the Counsel for the petitioners states that notice to respondent No. 3, may be dispensed with an in the light of the circumstances that this court has already taken a view in similar circumstances in other batch of writ petitions, that these petitions may</p>

<p>Education, Tumkur Sri. T.V.V. College of Education, Tumkur Vidyavahini Samsthe, Tumkur KA</p>	<p>also be disposed of in the light of the same.</p> <p>2. On the facts of the present case , it is noticed that the petitioners are said to be running colleges of education , which have been duly recognized by the National Council of Teacher Education (NCTE) , a statutory body of Government of India and are affiliated to the Tumkur University. It transpires that by virtue of the orders passed withdrawing recognition for the academic year 2013-14, which was not preceded by any notice or an opportunity of hearing, though there was a reply to the notice issued by the second respondent, the second respondent however, without affording an opportunity of hearing, has proceeded to pass several impugned orders in respect of the respective petitioners. It is that which is sought to be questioned by this common petition filed by the several institutions.</p> <p>3. Having regard to the fact that there are no statement of objections filed to the present petitions and that other respondents, though served, remaining unrepresented , the fact that there was no opportunity of hearing and that the objections filed by the respondents in so far as the show – cause –notice issued notice does not appear to have been considered.</p> <p>The petition deserves to be summarily allowed and are accordingly allowed. The impugned annexures are quashed. The respondents, however, are at liberty to consider the objections filed to the original notice after re-issuing notice to the petitioners and afford an opportunity of hearing and to proceed further in accordance with law.”</p> <p>Remarks of SRO;</p> <p>Petitioner No.3 , Sri Siddhartha Education Society,Maralur(AOSO464) had filed an appeal under Section 18 of NCTE Act, before the Appellate Authority, NCTE, New Delhi against withdrawal order of SRC.</p> <p>The appellate authority vide Order F.No. 89- 377/2013/Appeal/14th Meeting 2013 dated 30.10.2013 noting the submissions of the appellant concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to SRC with a direction to re- examine all the documents submitted by the appellant and take a fresh decision in the meantime the order of withdrawal may be kept in abeyance.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 255th Meeting of SRC held on 13th – 15th November 2013 considered the Appellate authority order dated 30/10/2013, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to process and put up the said case in the 257th meeting.</p> <p>The institution has submitted a written representation on 18.11.2013 along with supporting documents .Accordingly, the details are processed .The brief of the institution is enclosed.</p> <p>The brief of the following petitioner institutions were enclosed ; 1. Kalpathuru Vidhya Samsthe,Tiptur (APSO1885) , 2. Sree Siddaganga Education society,Tumkur(AOS00463), 3. Indira Education Society,Tumkur(APSO3424), 4. K.S.E.F.College of Education,Tumkur(AOSO0302), 5. Sri.T.V.V.College of Education,Tumkur(APSO3481), ,6. Vidyavahini Samsthe ,Tumkur(APSO1767)</p> <p>The SRC in its 257th meeting held during 20-22 December, 2013 considered</p>
--	---

		<p>the above Court matter and decided that “a number of show cause notices have been given all these cases. Therefore, the Lawyer to be asked to file an appeal immediately in all the cases and obtain ‘stay’. The matter to be pursued with the Lawyer.”</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the advocate on 8.1.2014 requesting him to file an appeal and obtain stay to the Court order dated 10.12.2013.</p> <p>In the meanwhile, an e-mail is received on 10.1.2014 from the advocate, Sri. PS Dinesh Kumar, Legal counsel for defending in the Hon’ble High Court of Karnataka stating that “in my opinion, in view of the observations contained in paragraph No.3 of the order, it is not a fit case to file an appeal. The direction of the Hon’ble Court is to consider the objections filed to the original notice after re-issuing notice to the petitioner and afford an opportunity of hearing. It is therefore, desirable to comply with the order instead of challenging the same in appeal.”</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, decided that earlier decision taken in 257th meeting held on 20-22nd Dec, 2013, to file an appeal through our Lawyer is revised. Even the Lawyer’s advice to file a petition for ‘review’ is put on hold.</p> <p>Further, it is decided by the committee to issue notice in all the 7 cases (viz. APS01885, AOS0463, AOS00464, APS03424, AOS00302, APS03481 & APS01767) for a personal hearing in 260th meeting.</p> <p>Further course of action will be decided after the personal hearing.</p>
2.	<p>APS00807 B.Ed Oriental College of Teacher Education, Kerala KL</p>	<p>Oriental College of Teacher Education, Poonath, Post, Naduvanoor(via), Calicut Dt., Pin-673614, Kerala</p> <p>Fathima Memorial Educational Trust, Calicut, Kerala had submitted an application for grant of recognition to Oriental College of Teacher Education, Poonath, Post, Naduvanoor(via), Calicut Dt., Pin-673614, Kerala for Secondary(B.Ed.) course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The institution was granted recognition on 23.10.2006 from the academic session 2006-07 with a condition to shift to its own premises since the institution is started in rented premises.</p> <p>On 10.5.2011, a complaint was received from Sri. Abdul Gafoor T.P., Thaniparambil House, pembra, Kozhikode regarding the functioning of Oriental College of teacher education.</p> <p>The complainant had alleged that “though the college has started its functioning four years ago, the management has not started the construction of the new building yet. We know that our batch is the fourth batch of the college. The last 4 years, the college has not provide minimum facilities to the students. The college is now functioning on a rented building. NCTE has given them 3 years time to complete the building work. The construction work has not started yet. We understood that the management of the college has no intention to start the work. So affiliation for this batch from the University has not issued yet. Though the Management has collected huge amounts from the students they are not giving minimum facilities to us and so we complained to the Universities about this. So, we the students of the College requested the NCTE authorities to take necessary action against the management of the</p>

College otherwise they will continue the process of admission of next batch and the students of the coming batch will be cheated.”
Again, on 1.8.2011, a complaint is received from Sri. Asokan MC, Manager, KET College of Teacher Education, Kokkalloor P.O., Balussery via, Kozhikode, Kerala against the College. The complainant has alleged that “the College has been functioning in a temporary rented building since 2006 October. Though the College started five years ago, the management of the College has no intention to construct the permanent building yet and they are collecting huge amount from the students as fees. Now, the management of the college has given advertisement for admission to 2011-12 batch. NCTE has issued public notice to students informing that not to join such colleges. The College is affiliated to Calicut University. The syndicate meeting of the University held on 3.2.2010 decided to conduct inspection in various B.Ed. colleges for verification of the infrastructure and other facilities available in the B.Ed. Colleges. University has issued notice to them to shift to permanent site at the earliest. But after the verification and inspection the said College was working as usual on a rented building without providing minimum facilities to the students. All other colleges except the said college who got permission from NCTE after 2006 had constructed permanent building as per NCTE norms and reported to the NCTE for inspection.

The above said college is violating the rules of NCTE and it is doubted that the University authorities has given them all possible help to run the College. So, we request the Hon’ble Regional Director to conduct an immediate enquiry about the functioning of the said college at the earliest and take necessary action for the violation of the NCTE rules”.

On receipt of the complaint, this office has issued a letter to the complainant to submit an affidavit on Rs.100/- non-judicial stamp paper on 29.8.2011. On 5.9.2011, Sri. Ashokan M.C., Manager, KET College of Teacher Education has submitted the complaint on a original affidavit of Rs.100/- stamp paper.

Further, again on a complaint is received on 27.10.2011 from Sri. Asokan MC, Manager, KET College of Teacher Education, Kokkalloor P.O., Balussery via, Kozhikode, Kerala against the college on an original affidavit of Rs.100/- stamp paper stating the following:-

“Oriental College of Teacher Education Poonath Po, Naduvannor via APSO No.00807 dated 23.10.2006 is now working on a temporary rented commercial building without providing necessary facilities directed by NCTE and violating the rules of Calicut University and State Government.

I have submitted a complaint (Affidavit) on 2.9.2011 regarding the illegal functioning of the said College, but no action were taken against the College. Now, I would like to submit more details about the College.

1. As I have filed a suit against the illegal functioning of Oriental College of Teacher education, in the High Court of Kerala on 30th August, 2011 the Court directed to the concerned authorities to take appropriate action against my complaint.
2. Calicut University Registrar directed the Management of the College to shift the College to the permanent building on 14.09.2011 and report to them within ten days.

But violating all these orders, the College is now working in the same temporary building and they have no intention to construct the permanent building. The Management has started admission for 2011-12 Batch(fifth batch). So I humbly request to take action against the illegal functioning of the College at the earliest

to avoid cheating of the students.”
The SRC in its 217th meeting held on 8-9 February, 2012 considered the request of the institution vide letter dated 18.1.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, Notice was issued to the institution on 14.03.2012. The institution has submitted its representation on 9.4.2012.

The SRC in its 222nd meeting held on 09th –10th May 2012 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 09.04.2012 and all other relevant documentary evidences and decided to cause shifting inspection at the new premises as per NCTE Act and as per request of the institution, on payment of Rs. 50000/- towards inspection fee and to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.

Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was carried out on 30.05.2012.

The SRC in its 228th meeting held on 24th -25th July 2012 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE Act.

Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 16/08/2012. The institution has submitted a written representation on 27/09/2012

The reply of the institution to the show cause notice dt. 27.09.2012 was duly considered and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:

1. Original Certified copy of land documents in the name of the institution is not submitted, as per regulations 2009.
2. Approved blue print of the building plan issued by competent civil authority is not submitted. In the copy of the building plan, the name of the college, site area and course name is not mentioned.
3. Building plan is in the name of Fathima Memorial Educational Trust and not in the name of the college.
4. From the copy of the building plan it is not known whether the building is offering only Teacher Education Programme or not .
5. Built up area mentioned in the building plan (3922.27 sq.mts) does not match with that of the Building Completion Certificate(16,166 sq.ft).
6. As per Non Encumbrance Certificate and Land Use Certificate submitted, land is in the name of Mr. Shoukathali, Secretary, Fathima Memorial Educational Trust .The land should be in the name of the institution as per NCTE regulations 2009.

Based on the above points the SRC in its 239th meeting held on 26th – 27th February 2013 decides to withdraw the recognition of the B.Ed course run by the Oriental College of Teacher Education, Calicut District, Kerala from the academic year 2013-14, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed, course, if any, to complete their course. It was made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order. The Affiliating body / Examining board / body were informed accordingly. Further, decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any.

		<p>As per the decision of SRC, a withdrawal order was issued to the institution vide order no. F.SRO.NCTE/APS00807/B.Ed/KL/ 2013-2014/50382 dated 05.04.2013.</p> <p>In the meantime the institution had filed an appeal under Section 18 of NCTE Act, before the appellate Authority, NCTE, New Delhi against the Withdrawal order of SRC,</p> <p>It was seen from the file that a Writ Petition No. 28660/2012 is filed by Abida. T and Others Vs Calicut University and others in the Hon,ble High Court of Kerala Praying to quash clause 5.2.1(iv) in Ext. P5 Prospectus published by the Director of Collegiate Education, Thiruvananthapuram wherein SRC, NCTE is the Second respondent. A letter is addressed to the advocate Shri. V.M. Kurian to defend the case in the interest of SRC, NCTE and NCTE, New Deldi vide this office No. F. KL/APS0 0807/SRO/NCTE/2012/47886/ dated 27.12.2012.</p> <p>The appellate authorities Vide order No. F. No. 89-381-2013 appeal/14th meeting -2013 dated 30.10.2013 noted that the appellate has enclosed only copies of some documents which are both in the regional language and English and which do not meet the grounds cited in the order. He has not submitted certified copy of the land document and approved copy of building plan showing the name of the college. In the copy the building plan there is over-writing. In these circumstances, the council concluded that the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC confirmed.</p> <p>After perusal of the documents, Memorandum of appeal. Affidavit, the documents available on record and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.</p> <p>The Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against “</p> <p>The SRC in its 255th meeting held during 13th to 15th November, 2013 has noted the matter.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, Hon'ble High Court of Kerla order date 18/11/2013 in W.P. (c) no. 27304 of 2013 and decided that our earlier decision of defending the case through our Lawyer is revised.</p> <p>Further, it is decided by the committee to issue notice to the said college (Petitioner) for a personal hearing in 260th meeting.</p> <p>Further course of action will be decided after the personal hearing.</p>
3.	SRCAPP668 D.Ed Sri Balaji College of Elementary Education, Srikakulam District AP	<p>Sri Balaji College of Elementary Education, Satya Sai Nagar, Siddipeta Road, Balaga(Rural), Chapuram Panchyati,Srikakulam District-532001, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Surapu Annamma Educational Society, Seddipeta Road, Chapurum Village, Srikakulam Post and District-532001, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Sai Balaji College of Elementary Education, Satyasai Nagar, Siddipeta Street, Balaga (Rural), Chapuram Village, Srikakulam Post and Taluk, Srikakulam City and District-532001, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed course of two years duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern</p>

	<p>Regional Committee, NCTE online on 24.09.2011 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 26.09.2011</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 29.09.2011. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 23.12.2011. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 30.01.2012. Recommendation from State Government is not received.</p> <p>The SRC in its 217th meeting of SRC held on 08th -09th February, 2012 considered the reply for deficiency letter and other relevant documents submitted by the institution and decided to cause inspection at the premises to examine whether the institution fulfills all the requirements as per the norms and to ascertain infrastructural and instructional facilities available at the premises for the proposed course.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was fixed between 1st to 4th March 2012 and the same was intimated to the institution on 21.02.2012. Accordingly inspection of the institution was conducted on 03.03.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 221st meeting held on 19th-20th April 2012, considered the VT Report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under section 14(1) of NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 16.05.2012. The institution has submitted its written representation on 04/06/2012.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the show cause notice was duly considered by SRC in its 224th meeting held on 26th to 28th September 2012, and it has decided to reject the application of the institution.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, rejection order was issued to the institution on 09.07.2012.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-410/2012Appeal/8th meeting -2012 has stated that "...the council concluded that there was no ground to accept the appeal. Accordingly, the appeal is rejected and SRC order dated 09.07.2012 is confirmed".</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 18.10.2012, along with judgment copy of High Court of Andhra Pradesh in Writ Petition No.31513 of 2012 dated 09.10.2012. The judgment order stated as follows:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>"The impugned orders of the respondents are set aside. Respondent No.2 is directed to reconsider the petitioners application and grant recognition to them for commencement of the D.El.Ed course from the academic year 2012-13 subject to their fulfillment of all other requirements as per the Regulations".</i></p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 235th meeting held on 20th-21st November 2012, and it has decided to process the application.</p> <p>In the meanwhile, the institution has submitted its written representation on 15.11.2012.</p>
--	---

The SRC in its 236th Meeting held on 16th-17th December, 2012 considered the Hon'ble High Court order dt. 09-10-2012, reply of the institution vide letter dt. 15.11.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act.

Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 22/01/2013. As per the website information the institution has submitted written representation on 22/01/2013.

The reply of the institution to the show cause notice was duly considered by SRC in its 241st Meeting held on 29th-31st March & 01st April, 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:

1. Total earmarked area for the proposed course is not given in the building plan and total site area is mentioned in the building plan as 910.87 sq.mtrs. which is less than the requirement of 2500 sq.mtrs as per NCTE 2009 norms. Total built up area of 1506.48 sq.mtrs is also not adequate as per NCTE 2009 regulations. There is a discrepancy inland area.
2. Approved blue print of the building plan issued by competent civil authority is not submitted. Building plan approved by Sarpanch of the village, which's not permissible.

Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee has decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.Ed course.

Accordingly, a rejection order was issued to the institution vide no. F.No.SRCAPP668/D.Ed/AP/2013-14/51790 dated 18.05.2013.

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide order no. F.No.89-378/2013-Appeal/14th Meeting-2013 dated 30.10.2013 stating that "... the council concluded that the appeal deserved to be remanded to SRC, with a direction to ask the appellant institution to deposit the inspection fee within 15 days and after receipt of the same the SRC should conduct inspection within 45 days and take further action as per the Regulation. During the proposed inspection the Visiting team should obtain authentic and relevant documents.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sri Sai Balaji College of Elementary Education, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The SRC in its 255th meeting held on 13th-15th November, 2013 considered the appellate authority order dated 30.10.2013 and decided to process the application.

As per the decision of SRC, the application was processed and placed before SRC in 256th meeting held on 4th to 6th December, 2013 and the Committee considered the matter, reviewed and found no valid building plan claimed as in the appeal with the appellate authority to have been already submitted. Therefore the application is rejected.

Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 24.12.2013.

		<p>Meantime, a court order has been received from the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in W.P. No. 38960 dated 2013. The Hon'ble Court has stating that ".....it is the case of the petitioners that contrary to the order of the appellate authority dated 20.10.2013, the second respondent has passed the impugned order dated 24.12.2013 without calling upon the petitioners to deposit the inspection fee, and without conducting any inspection whatsoever.</p> <p><i>Sri. K. Ramakanth Reddy, Learned Standing Counsel for NCTE, would fairly state that the order of the second respondent falls foul of the order passed by the first respondent dated 30.10.2013.</i></p> <p><i>In view of the submissions made by the Learned Standing Counsel, I consider it appropriate to set aside the impugned order; <u>permit the petitioners to deposit the inspection fee within one week from today; direct the second respondent to have an inspection caused within three weeks from the date on which the petitioners deposit the inspection fee; and pass an order thereafter at the earliest, in any event not later than one month from the date on which the petitioners deposit the inspection fee.</u></i></p> <p><i>The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly. The miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall also stand disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs."</i></p> <p>The institution in its letter dated 7.1.2014 has submitted D.D.of Rs. 50,000/- as an inspection fee.</p> <p>The SRC considered the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh order and all the relevant documents by the institution and decided to cause inspection at the premises Under Section 14 of NCTE Act to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p>
--	--	---

Consideration of Agenda Items- Volume-02
New Application -2014-2015

4.	SRCAPP2187 SRCAPP2186 B.Ed M.Ed Adikavi Nanaya University, East Godavari District AP	Adikavi Nannaya University, Plot No.1, Street No.1, Rajahmundry Village & Taluk, Rajanagaram Post, Rajamundry City, East Godavari District-533105, Andhra Pradesh	
		SRCAPP2187/B.Ed (2014-15)	2186/M.Ed (2014-15)
		Adikavi Nannaya University, Plot No.1, Street No.1, Rajahmundry Village & Taluk, Rajanagaram Post, Rajamundry City, East Godavari District-533105, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Government of Andhra Pradesh, Plot No.1, Rajarajanarendra Nagar Street, Rajahmundry Village & Taluk, Govt Arts College Post, Rajamundry City, East Godavari District-533105, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on	Adikavi Nannaya University, Plot No.001, Street No.001, Velugubanda Village, Rajanagaram Post, Rajamundry City, East Godavari District-533105, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Government of Andhra Pradesh, Plot No.1, Veerabadrapuram Street, Rajahmundry Village, Govt Arts College Post, Rajamundry Taluk & City, East Godavari District-533105,

**259th Meeting of SRC
17-19th January, 2014**

		<p>31.07.2013 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 08.08.2013. The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 26.10.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 29.10.2013.</p> <p>D.L. Reply not received.</p> <p>The SRC in its 256th meeting held on 4th-6th December 2013, and decided Cause Inspection for applications received from the academic session – 2014-15 (Govt. Only). VT scheduled between 13th December 2013 to 15th January 2014.</p> <p>In the meantime, University has submitted its written representation 01.01.2014 and stated as follows:-</p> <p><i>“university has sent proposals to NCTE for consideration to start B.Ed., and M.Ed., courses in Adikavi Nannaya University, I am by direction to inform you that preference will be given to the M.Ed course initially.</i></p> <p><i>I am further by direction to inform you that the commission for inspection may kindly visit Adikavi Nannaya University during 0th -11th January 2014 or any other date convenient to the members of the Council.”</i></p>	<p>Andhra Pradesh for M.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.07.2013 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 08.08.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 26.10.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 30.10.2013.</p> <p>D.L. Reply not received.</p> <p>The SRC in its 256th meeting held on 4th-6th December 2013, and decided Cause Inspection for applications received from the academic session – 2014-15 (Govt. Only). VT scheduled between 13th December 2013 to 15th January 2014.</p> <p>Accordingly, the intimation of inspection was sent to the university on 01.01.2014</p>
		<p>The said University has applied online dated 31/07/2013 for the two courses viz, SRCAPP2187-B.Ed & SRCAPP2189-M.Ed for the session 2014-15. The University in their letter dated 31/12/2013 decided to opt for M.Ed –course (SRCAPP2186) in place of B.Ed course (SRCAPP2187) and preferred to withdraw the application for B.Ed course.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided to allow application for B.Ed to be withdrawn by the party.</p> <p>Further, advised Southern Regional Office to proceed with CAUSE Inspection for M.Ed course as already decided in 256th meeting held on 4-6th Dec, 2013.</p>	

As per Decision of the SRC 256th Meeting decision of the following files are put up for consideration

5.	APS03334 D.Ed Sri Jagadguru Fakira Channaveeres hwar Teacher	<p>W.P.No.75146/2013(EDN-REG-P) filed by Sri Jagadguru Fakira Channaveereshwar Teacher Training Institute, Shirahatti-582120, Gadag Dt., Karnataka Vs. SRC, NCTE and NCTE, New Delhi before the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka Circuit Bench at Dharwad.</p> <p>Sri. Jagadguru Fakeer Channaveereshwar Teacher Training Institute, Shirahatti-</p>
----	---	--

<p>Training Institute, Gadaga District KA</p>	<p>582120, Gadag Dt., Karnataka was granted recognition vide Order No.F.KR/ELE/78/SRO/NCTE/2000-2001/2143 dated 09.10.2000 for Elementary course of two years duration with an annual intake of 30 from the academic session 2000-2001.</p> <p>The Chairman of the institution vide letter dtd. 2.3.2012, recd. by this office on 6.3.2012 has requested for shifting of the building. He has stated that</p> <p>“the D.Ed. College building is in dilapidated condition and cracks have developed on the walls. Due to heavy winds, the tiles of the roofs are weak and can devastate at any time. Conducting of classes in the present building has become very difficult for both the staff and the students. Hence , a building has been taken on rent to shift the building to the rented premises. A representation has already been addressed to DIET, Gadag on 19.01.2012 for shifting of the premises. For the above said reasons, it is requested to grant permission to shift the premises temporarily to a new building since the devastation can happen at any moment. If any untoward incident happens, then the management /institution/staff of the Teacher Training Institute are not responsible for the loss occurred. Hence, temporary permission is requested from your office.”</p> <p>The SRC in its 221st meeting held on 19th – 20th April, 2012 considered the complaint letter from DSERT Bangalore and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, Notice was issued to the institution on 21.05.2012. The President, S.J.F. Teacher Training Institute has submitted written representation on 8.6.2012 and 11.6.2012</p> <p>The SRC in its 224th meeting held during 14th to 17th June, 2012 considered the written reply of the institution on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-</p> <p>The building has asbestos sheeted roof in the first floor and toilets , this is against the NCTE norms.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Institution was granted recognition in temporary premises to run B.Ed course on 09.10.2000 with a condition that it should shift to its own permanent premises in 3 years. i.e., on or before 09.10.2003. The Institution is still running in temporary premises and has not shifted to new premises even after a lapse of more than 11 years and 08 months. As per NCTE norms and standards and as per regulations, 2009, the institution should have own land and building. • As admitted by the institution/management, the institution is running in a dilapidated building, which has developed cracks, roofed tiles are weak and building may collapse at any time. Conducting classes in such a building is unsafe and dangerous to the staff & students. The institution in their reply to the show cause notice, sought permission to shift to another temporary building for a stop-gap arrangement for a period of 2-3 years and requested SRC to conduct inspection. There is no provision for stop-gap arrangement for a period of 2-3 years as per regulations, 2009. • The chairman of the institution vide letter dt. 2.3.2012 has requested this office to give permission to shift to the rented new building, by giving various reasons which are not acceptable, as already more than 10 year and 5 months elapsed since giving recognition. Construction of the new building is not at all started till date. • The management has violated all the norms and tried to suppress and
---	---

	<p>mislead NCTE.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The management has admitted in its reply that building is not ready and want time to construct new building, which is not permissible as per NCTE norms. <p>Reply to the show cause notice is not convinced and unsatisfactory.</p> <p>The reply submitted by the institution on 11.06.2012 for the above queries, has not given any proper and genuine reasons.</p> <p>Based on the above points the committee decided to withdraw the recognition of the D.Ed course run by the Sri. Jagadguru Fakeer Channaveereshwar Teacher Training Institute, Shirhatti-582120, Gadag District, Karnataka, from the academic year 2012.-13 in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in D.Ed, course, if any, to complete their course.</p> <p>It was made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order. The Affiliating body / Examining board / body were informed accordingly. Further, it was decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 9.7.2012.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the withdrawal order, the institution had preferred an appeal before the NCTE, HQ against the SRCs order of withdrawal of recognition and the appellate authority at NCTE, HQ vide Order No.F.No.89-416/2012 Appeal/9th Meeting-2012 dated 10.10.2012 has concluded that there was no ground to accept the appeal and accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order of withdrawal dated 9.7.2012 was confirmed. The appellate authority order was placed before 235th meeting of SRC held on 21-22 November, 2012 and the Committee has noted the matter of confirmation of SRC's order.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the order of SRC and appellate authority order, the institution had filed a writ petition No.75146/2013 in the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka at Dharwad.</p> <p>On 4.11.2013, a Court order dated 27.9.2013 filed by the institution in W.P.No.75146/2013 is received by this office from the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Dharwad bench wherein SRC,NCTE is the 1st respondent , NCTE, New Delhi is the 2nd respondent.</p> <p>The order of Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Dharwad dated 27.9.2013 is as under:-</p> <p><i>"3. It is not in dispute that the respondents granted recognition to the petitioner institution as per the order dated 9.10.2000 subject to certain conditions. According to the petitioner, they have complied the conditions specified in the order of recognition. On the other hand, the respondents contend that petitioner have not complied the conditions specified in the order of recognition dated 9.10.2000. In such a situation, it is obligatory on the part of the respondents to conduct inspection under Section 13, of the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993(for short 'the NCTE Act'). Admittedly, in the instant case, the respondents have not conduct any such inspection. The Supreme Court in NCTE and another Vs.Vaishnavi Institute of Technology and Management reported in (2012) 5 SCC 139 held that it is obligatory on the part of the respondents to conduct inspection under Section 13 and notify the institute to take follow-up action pursuant thereto. In the instant case, no such exercise is</i></p>
--	---

		<p><i>undertaken by the respondents. Therefore, the impugned order of withdrawal dated 9.7.2012 and the consequent order of the Appellate authority dated 10.10.2012 are liable to be quashed. However, liberty is reserved to the respondents to conduct inspection as per Section 13 of the NCTE Act and to take appropriate action, in accordance with law against the petitioner. The petitioner to pay the necessary fee for inspection under section 13 of the NCTE Act.</i></p> <p><i>For the reasons stated above, the following:-</i></p> <p>ORDER</p> <p><i>(i) Writ petition is hereby allowed.</i></p> <p><i>(ii) The impugned order at Annexure-G dated 9.7.2012 passed by the 1st respondent and the impugned order at Annexure – M dated 10.10.2012 passed by the 2nd respondent-Appellate authority are hereby quashed.</i></p> <p><i>(iii) Within four weeks from today, the petitioner to deposit the necessary fee for inspection and on payment of such fee, the respondents to inspect the petitioner institute under Section 13 of the NCTE Act and to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.”</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 255th meeting held during 13-15 November, 2013 considered the Court order dated 27.9.2013 in W.P.No.75146/2013 and decided that “inspection fee not paid. V.T. inspection cannot be organized. The application for revival of recognition is rejected. Case is closed.”</p> <p><u>In the meanwhile, a copy of the letter dated 22.10.2013 addressed to the Member Secretary is received by this office on 14.11.2013 along with a fee of Rs.50,000/- submitted to NCTE, New Delhi vide Demand Draft dated 22.10.2013.</u></p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 256th Meeting held on 4th – 5th December 2013 considered the Hon’ble court orders and all the relevant documents by the institution and decided to cause inspection at the premises Under Section 13 of NCTE Act. The institution is directed to submit Demand Draft of Rs.50, 000/- inspection fee towards the D.Ed course in favour of “The Member Secretary, NCTE” payable at Bangalore.</p> <p>In view of the fact that the conduct of inspection of the institution to be referred to the NCTE Hqrs as it is a case of Section-13.</p> <p>The SRC considered the Hon’ble High Court of Karnataka order and all the relevant documents by the institution and decided to cause inspection at the premises Under NCTE Act to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>The Committee also advised Southern Regional Office to put up the case in 261st meeting.</p>
--	--	--

New Application -2013-2014

6.	SRCAPP1805 D.Ed-AI SR D.Ed College, Guntur District AP	<p>SR D.Ed College, Plot/Khasara No. 222/A1, 222/A2, 222/B, 224 and 225, NRT Road, Brahmanapalli Village, Vinukonda Post, Taluk & City, Guntur District - 522 647, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>The Vivekananda Vidya Samstha Society, Plot No. 222/A1, 222/A2, 222/B, NRT Road, Brahmanapalli Village, Vinukonda Post, Taluk & City, Guntur District - 522</p>
----	---	---

647, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to SR D.Ed College, Plot/Khasara No. 222/A1, 222/A2, 222/B, 224 and 225, NRT Road, Brahmanapalli Village, Vinukonda Post, Taluk & City, Guntur District - 522 647, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed-A.I Course for two years duration under Section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 12.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 17.12.2012.

NCTE-Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, its amendment Notified on 26th November, 2010 which reads as follows:-

2 (B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1 (1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:

- a) The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application.
- b) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.
- c) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 238th Meeting held on 05th - 06th February, 2013 duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per Regulations 2009 [7 1-A (i)].

- The Processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application - as per the Regulations 2009 Para 7[1-A(i)]a).

Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 06.03.2013.

The institution has filed Court case in the Hon'ble High Court of Hyderabad in W.P.No.6118 of 2013. As per the Court notice SRC-NCTE to appeal before the Court on 29th April, 2013. Accordingly a letter to the advocate sent on 23.04.2013.

As per the Public Notice dated 5th September, 2013 NCTE Head Quarters has stating that the important Policy decision taken by the National Council for Teacher Education in its 31st Meeting held on 29th July, 2013 that the applications of the institutions, who could not make payment of processing fee on or before submission of Online Applications resulting in summary rejection by the concerned Regional Committees of NCTE, may be considered if payment has been made on the next banking / working day following the date of submission of the application, since the software does not allow for payment of the processing fees either on or before the date of submission of applications.

		<p>The SRC in its 255th Meeting held on 13th - 15th November 2013, the Southern Regional Committee decided to process the application of the above said cases.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 31.12.2012. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 06.12.2013.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply to the deficiency letter from the Institution vide letter dated 18-12-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the Institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the Institution, as per the norms.</p>
7.	<p>SRCAPP93 B.P.Ed Sri Prakash Physical Education, East Godavari District AP</p>	<p>Sri Prakash Physical Education College, Plot/Khasara No. 574, 48/1F2, Street No. 1, Rajupeta Village, Tuni Post Office and Taluk, Tuni City, East Godavari District – 533 401, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Sri Prakasah Educational Society, Plot No. 574, 48/1F2, Sri Prakash Nagar Road, Rajupeta Village, Tuni Post Office and Taluk, Tuni City, East Godavari District – 533 401, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Prakash Physical Education College, Plot/Khasara No. 574, 48/1F2, Street No. 1, Rajupeta Village, Tuni Post Office and Taluk, Tuni City, East Godavari District – 533 401, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 07.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 24.05.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 247th meeting held on 20th-22nd June 2013, considered the matter and advised Southern Regional Office to put up a comparative chart of the three cases of proposed B.P.Ed course, B.Ed and D.Ed courses already run by the institution in the next meeting.</p> <p>Accordingly, a comparative statement is prepared and placed before SRC in its 254th meeting held on 25th-27th October, 2013 and committee considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt.24-05-2013 to the deficiency letter and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, intimation for inspection was issued to the institution on 17.12.2013.</p> <p>The institution has sent a letter dated 17.12.2013 which is received by SRC on 31.12.2013 stating as follows:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“the management of Sri Prakash Educational Society, Tuni has decided to made an application for establishment of Sri Prakash Physical Education College at Rajupeta, Tuni. Southern Regional Committee, NCTE,</i></p>

		<p><i>Bangalore after scrutiny of our application allotted Application No.SRCAPP93.</i></p> <p><u><i>In the Governing Body meeting of the society held on 01.12.2013, it is decided to drop the idea of establishing the College of Physical Education, because of huge investment required for establishment of Physical Education College.</i></u></p> <p><u><i>We now request you, to defer the further proceedings on our application and arrange to return the fixed deposits enclosed towards Endowment and Reserve Fund.”</i></u></p> <p>The Blank VT report was received from institution on 31.12.2013 and enclosing the letter dated 26.12.2013 (stating the content of letter dated 17.12.2013)</p> <p>The said Institution had applied for B.P.Ed course (SRCPP93) on-line dated 31/12/2012. The application was processed and as per the decision of the Committee, inspection of the institution was arranged and informed to the institution accordingly.</p> <p>But, in the meanwhile, the institution vide their letter dated 17/12/2013 opted to withdraw the application for B.P.Ed course applied for the session 2013-14, due to the reasons mentioned in their letter.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided to permit withdrawal of application for B.P.Ed course. Advised Southern Regional Office to return the FDRs.</p>
8.	<p>SRCAPP1972 B.Ed-AI Al Madina College of Education, Mahaboobnagar District AP</p>	<p>Al Madina College of Education, Plot No.270/A, Salemnagar Street & Village, Dharmapoor Post Office, Mahaboobnagar City & Taluk, Mahaboobnagar District - 509001, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Madina Educational Society, Plot No.270/A, Salemnagar Street & Village, Dharmapoor Post Office, Mahaboobnagar City & Taluk, Mahaboobnagar District - 509001, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Al Madina College of Education, Plot No.270/A, Salemnagar Street & Village, Dharmapoor Post Office, Mahaboobnagar City & Taluk, Mahaboobnagar District - 509001, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed-A.I Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 28.12.2012 and physical copy received on 07.01.2013 (dispatched on 03.01.2013).</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013 followed by reminder on 19.04.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 19.04.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 24.06.2013 (i.e. after 66 days delayed).</p> <p>The SRC in its 249th Meeting held on 24th - 26th July, 2013 considered the matter and advised Southern Regional Office to Re-submit in 250th Meeting with a Comparative Statement for D.Ed, B.Ed, M.Ed, M.Ed-AI and B.Ed-AI.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Comparative Statement of all the above courses as directed by the Southern Regional Committee is placed for its perusal.</p>

		<p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 255th Meeting held on 13th - 15th November, 2013 has considered the matter, reply of the institution to the deficiency letter on 24.06.2013, comparative statement of all the 4 courses of the said institution and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show Cause Notice for B.Ed-AI (SRCAPP1972) course under section 14 of NCTE Act, for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Building plan submitted by the institution is not approved by the competent authority. • Up-to-date Encumbrance Certificate issued by the competent authority is not submitted. The institution has submitted Encumbrance Certificate for the period from 01.01.1981 to 31.12.1982 only. <p>In view of the above and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee decided to issue a Show cause Notice to the institution as to why the application for recognition be not rejected thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a written representation within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the rejection of application for recognition, based on the records available, with no further notice.</p> <p>Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 19.12.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 08.01.2014.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the show cause notice was duly considered and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Building plan submitted by the institution is not approved by the competent authority. <p>The reply to the show cause notice is not satisfactory and unconvincing.</p> <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed-AI course.</p> <p>Further, the Committee advised Southern Regional Office to put up the B.Ed (APS0-0006) case in the 260th meeting.</p>
--	--	---

Appeal Cases

9.	<p>APS09534 B.Ed Periyar Maniammal University, Thanjavur District TN</p>	<p>Periyar Maniammal University, Department of Education School of Humanities Universities, Science & Management, Periyar Nagar, Vallam, Thanjavur-613403, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Periyar Maniammal University, Department of Education School of Humanities Universities, Science & Management, Periyar Nagar, Vallam, Thanjavur-613403, Tamilnadu had submitted an application for B.Ed Course on 17.06.2008. The institution was granted recognition on 25.09.2009 with an annual intake of 100 students, with the condition to shift to own premises</p>
----	--	--

within 3 years.

The institution vide its letter dated 20.12.2011 submitted its proposal for change of premises for the programme within the University campus. Accordingly, a letter sent to the institution seeking for Rs. 40,000/- towards change of premises along with documents on 04.01.2012.

The institution vide its letter dtd. 08.02.2012 submitted required documents and DD bearing no. 644257 dtd. 25.01.2012 for Rs. 40,000/- towards change of premises fee on 08.02.2012.

The NCTE, Hqrs vide letter dtd. 27.02.2012 had forwarded a complaint dtd. 16.01.2012 which was received against the institution from Dr. B. Ramaswamy, Mayur Vihar, Delhi-110091. NCTE, Hqrs has stated that "*some allegations have been made by complainant against the aforesaid institution. You are, therefore, requested to examine the matter and to take necessary action against the institution as per the NCTE Act, 1993, by placing the complaint before the Regional Committee and to furnish action taken to NCTE, Hqrs., New Delhi within a month*".

The SRC in its 219th meeting held on 15th-16th March, 2012 considered the matter and decided to call for Affidavit from the complainant. Also the committee decided to call for comments from the institution after receiving the affidavit from the complainant.

Accordingly, a letter was sent to the complainant on 13.04.2012. The complainant has not submitted any written representation/affidavit till date.

The institution has submitted shifting inspection fee of Rs. 40,000/- on 08.02.2012. Further, as per NCTE notification dated 13.09.2011, the institution is required to submit another Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) as shifting fee as per norms.

Further, the NCTE Hqrs has forwarded a complaint against the institution on 06.12.2012 from Dr. B.Ramaswamy, Mayur Vihar, Delhi-110091 and has requested to take necessary action against the institution as per the NCTE Act, 1993, by placing the complaint before the Regional Committee.

The SRC in its 236th meeting held on 16th - 17th December, 2012, considered the complaint matter and reply of the institution dt. 08/02/2012 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject

to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms, after collecting balance of inspection fee of Rs. 10000/- and instruct VT members to look into the specific allegations in the complaint. Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was fixed between 15th-19th January 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 08.01.2013. The inspection was conducted on 19.01.2013 and has submitted balance of inspection fee of Rs. 10000/- by DD bearing No.543665 dt.17.01.2013.

The SRC in its 241st meeting held on 29th & 31st March 2013 & 01st April 2013 considered the VT report, VCD of the institution and advised Southern Regional Office to put up the details in the standard format in the next meeting.

The SRC in its 242nd Meeting held on 14th -16th April, 2013 considered the VT report, VCD and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-

1. Certified copy of land documents issued by the Sub-Registrar is not submitted. If the documents are in Tamil, Notarised English version of the same required.
2. Non-Encumbrance Certificate is not submitted in original and the University has submitted Non-Encumbrance Certificate in the name of Periyar Maniammal Institute of Science and Technology and not in the name of Periyar Maniammai College of Technology for Women. This needs clarification. Notarised English version of Encumbrance Certificate is required.
3. The University has submitted the Deed of Declaration in the name of Periyar Maniammai College of Technology for Women. Whereas, the Non-Encumbrance Certificate is in favour of Periyar Maniammal Institute of Science and Technology. This needs clarification.
4. The University has submitted photocopy of the affidavit. In the affidavit built-up area is not mentioned. Hence, fresh original affidavit showing built-up area is required.
5. Blue Print of the Building Plan required, if the approving authority is in Regional Language, Notarised English version of the same required.
6. Original FDRs of Rs. 5 lacs and Rs. 3 lacs from Nationalised Bank for a duration of 5 years required.
7. Principal/Head is having only 4 years of Teaching experience is college of Education. As per NCTE norms Ten years teaching experience is required out of which at least 5 years teaching experience in a Secondary Teacher Education institution.
8. Lecturer in Foundation course and Methodology course are not identified. Lecturer in Art Education is not having Master Degree in Fine Arts/Music with 55% marks. Hence, Lecturer in Art Education with required qualification required to be appointed as per NCTE norms.
9. As per NCTE norms at least one lecturer should have specialization in ICT and another in the special education.

The institution has failed to follow the rigid application of NCTE norms and standards. It is a case of strict application of norms and standards as directed by S,C, in their order dt. 31-01-2011 in case Civil Appeal No.1125-1128/2011 in SLP, SLP No. 17165-68/2009 filed by NCTE Vs ors, which reads as under:

“An institution is not entitled to recognition unless it fulfills the conditions specified in various clauses of the Regulations. The Council is directed to ensure that in future no institution is granted recognition unless it fulfils the conditions laid down in the Act and the Regulations.

Based on the above points the committee decides to withdraw the recognition of the B.Ed course run by the Periyar Maniammal University, Department of Education School of Humanities Universities, Science & Management, Periyar Nagar, Vallam, Thanjavur-613403, Tamilnadu, from the academic year 2013-14, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed, course, if any, to complete their course. Accordingly, withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 24.05.2013.

The institution vide its letter dtd. 30.08.2013 has stated that,

“ our application on 29.10.2009 to SRC, NCTE, Bangalore for recognition

		<p>of B.Sc.Ed., (4 year integrated programme), we were advised to present our proposal of B.Sc.Ed., programme before the Standing Committee, New Delhi (letter dated July 19, 2013) (Ref.4) and has requested the SRC, NCTE, Bangalore to process our application further.</p> <p>The norms for B.Sc.Ed. Programme has been released in the website (minutes of 236th meeting of SRC, NCTE, Bangalore) and our application has not been further process so far.</p> <p>In this connection, we would like to submit that we have provided all infrastructures for starting this programme and we are in full preparedness to provide this programme to the students of this region who hails from rural areas. We therefore, request you to kindly process our application to accord final recognition to the B.Sc.Ed. (four year integrated) programme at the earliest and oblige”.</p> <p>The institution preferred appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-390/2013 Appeal/14th Meeting-2013 dtd. 07.11.2013 has stated as follows:-</p> <p><i>“ after perusal of the documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and considering the oral arguments advance during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserved to be remanded to SRC with a direction to issue a show cause notice to the appellant institution within 15 days from the date of issue of the order in the appeal and thereafter complete further processing as per the Regulations within 45 days. In the meantime the order of withdrawal should be kept in abeyance.</i></p> <p>NOWTHEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Periyar Maniammal University, Thanjavur, Tamilandu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.</p> <p>The SRC in its 256th meeting held on 04th –06th December, 2013 has considered the appellate authority order dated 07/11/2013 directing to take necessary action and advised Southern Regional Office to process the case and put up in the 257th meeting.</p> <p>Accordingly, the application is being processed:</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, Appellate Authority order dated 07/11/2013, decided that the University to be asked to file staff list, in accordance with NCTE norms, as approved by the University and signed by the Registrar.</p>
--	--	--

LOI Cases

10.	SRCAPP1810 B.Ed Sre Ramana College of Education Trust, Dharmapuri District TN	<p>Sre Ramana College of Education Trust, Upparpatti Village & Post Office, Uthangarai Taluk, Dharmapuri District, Pin – 635207, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Sre Ramana College of Education Trust, Upparpatti Village & Post Office, Uthangarai Taluk, Dharmapuri District, Pin – 635207, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Sre Ramana College of Education Trust, Upparapatti Village & Post Office, Uthangarai Taluk, Dharmapuri District, Pin – 635207, Tamilnadu for B.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on</p>
-----	---	---

		<p>13.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 19.12.2012.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on followed by reminder on 02.01.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 14.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 13.05.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 246th meeting held on 02nd-04th June, 2013 considered the reply of the institution dt.13-05-2013 to the deficiency letter and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was fixed between 01st-05th July,2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 22.06.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 22.08.2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(one hundred only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 04.11.2013. The institution has submitted LOI Reply on 03.01.2014.</p> <p>The Committee duly considered the LOI reply of the institution vide letter dated 03/01/2014 and noted that the institution has not submitted service certificates of Principal and lecturers appointed for the said course.</p> <p>Based on the above, the Committee advised Southern Regional Office to obtain the service certificate of Principal and lecturers from the institution and thereafter, Formal Recognition order with effect from 2014-15 to be issued.</p>
11.	<p>SRCAPP552 M.P.Ed Annamalai University, Cuddalore District TN</p>	<p>Annamalai University, Music College Road, Thiruvetkalam, Annamalai Nagar, Chidambaram, Cuddalore District, Pin – 608002, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Annamalai University, Music College Road, Thiruvetkalam, Annamalai Nagar, Chidambaram, Cuddalore District, Pin – 608002, Tamilnadu had submitted application for starting M.P.Ed, B.P.Ed and D.P.Ed courses on 26.09.2011 for the session 2012-13. It is ascertained after scrutiny of your application in accordance with clause 8 (2) and 8(3) of NCTE Regulation 2009, that:-</p> <p>“In the first instance an institution shall be considered for grant of recognition of only one course for the basic unit as prescribed in the norms and standards for the particular teacher education programme”.</p> <p>“An institution can apply for one basic unit only of an additional course or for an additional unit of the existing recognized course after completion of three academic session of the respective course, for which the institution shall submit</p>

application before the cut of date prescribed for submission of applications in the year succeeding the completion of three academic sessions”.

As the University has submitted three applications for M.P.Ed, B.P.Ed and D.P.Ed courses for the session 2012-13 and as per NCTE Regulation 2009 reproduced above, an institution shall be considered for grant of recognition for only one course for the basic unit as prescribed in the norms and standards for the particular teacher education programme.

Accordingly, a letter dt. 04.10.2011 was issued to the University seeking to inform the name of one course that the University wants to apply for further processing and consideration. As per Regulation 2009, 8(2) and 8(3) an institution cannot apply for more than one course at one time.

The University vide letter dt.15.10.2011 has submitted its reply has stated that they have sufficient instructional and infrastructural facilities with highly qualified teaching faculties and has requested to consider for all the three courses namely D.P.Ed, B.P.Ed and M.P.Ed course.

The SRC in its 213th meeting held on 06-07 November, 2011 considered the reply of the University letter dt. 15.10.2011 and all other relevant details and decided that, the applicant-University has not been able to appreciate the Legal position relating to consideration of applications by the Southern Regional Committee. As per the NCTE regulations 2009, the committee is not allowed to consider applications for more than one course at a time. The applicant-University has neither accepted this position nor indicated its preference of any one out of the three courses applied for. That being so, the application cannot be processed further. Accordingly, all the three applications are rejected and rejection letter was issued to the institution on 24.01.2012.

The institution preferred appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order dt. 18.04.2012 has stated as follows:-

“after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after consideration oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that there was adequate justification in remaining the case to the SRC for further processing of the application of M.P.Ed. course on merit as per Regulations”.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Annamalai University, Cuddalore, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.

As per the Regulations an University application for M.P.Ed can be considered only if the University is already running or offering B.P.Ed course. The appellate has not noted this point. The SRC decided to seek clarification about this decision and pending clarification from Appellate authority, the committee decided to process the M.P.Ed application.

The SRC in its 222nd Meeting held on 09th-10th May, 2012 considered the Appellate authority order dt. 18.04.2012 and decided to process the application further on submission of original application and related supporting documents as per the regulations.

The institution has replied to the deficiency letter dt. 23.07.2012, vide their letter dt. 17.08.2012.

The SRC in its 231st meeting held on 22nd-23rd August 2012, considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 17.08.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show cause Notice. Accordingly, Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 13.09.2012. The institution submitted its reply on 03.10.2012.

The SRC in its 235th meeting held on 21st-22nd November, 2012 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 03.10.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Final Show cause Notice under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act. Accordingly, by seeing the website the institution had submitted its written representation on 24.12.2012.

The SRC in its 239th meeting held on 26th-27th February, 2013 considered the reply of the institution dt.24-12-2012 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.

The inspection of the institution was fixed between 13th-17th May, 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 06.05.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 16.05.2013.

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, reply of the institution, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the SRC in its 249th Meeting held on 24th-26th July, 2013 decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to M.P.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 40(Forty only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session. Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 23.08.2013.The University has submitted LOI reply on 07.10.2013.

The SRC in its 254th Meeting held on 25th-27th October, 2013 considered the reply of the University to LOI and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 of NCTE Act . By seeing the website the University has submitted the written representation on 30.12.2013.

Annamalai University, Cuddalore District Tamilnadu had applied for M.P.Ed (SRCAPP552), B.P.Ed (SRCAPP550) and D.P.Ed (SRCAPP553) courses for the session 2012-13 vide on-line dated 26.09.2011.

On scrutiny of applications of the said institution, it was noticed that the University has applied for 3 courses for the same session. As per NCTE regulations 2009, 'An institution shall be considered for grant of recognition of only one course for the basic unit as prescribed in the norms and standards for the particular teacher education programme'.

In this regard a letter was written to the university to opt for any one course applied for the session 2012-13, informing the University that ' as per Regulation 2009 8(2) and 8 (3) an institution cannot apply for more than one course at one time.

The institution's request to consider all the 3 courses vide their letter dt. 15-

		<p>10-2011 was duly considered and the committee in their meeting 213 held on 9-07 Nov, 2013 rejected all the 3 application applied for the session 2012-13 on the ground that (as per regulation 2009) an institution can not apply for 3 courses at a time.</p> <p>The University preferred an appeal to NCTE (H'qrs), New Delhi. The Appellate authority in their order dated 18-04-2012, directed SRC to process M.P.Ed course.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, after, all the formalities and decided to cause inspection for M.P,Ed course in its 239th meeting held on 26-27th Feb, 2013, Letter of intent was issued to the institution for M.P.Ed course as per the decision in 249th meeting held on 24-26th July, 2013.</p> <p>On observation the committee decided that Regulations of 2009 (Appendix – 8, Preamble 1. (2) which reads “only University departments or institutions offering B.P.Ed, Programme are eligible to offer this course), require a running B.P.Ed for Universities to be eligible to apply for M.P.Ed.</p> <p>In view of the above, Letter of Intent earlier given for M.P.Ed course is withdrawn.</p> <p>The application for M.P.Ed course applied on-line dt. 26/09/2011 is rejected.</p>
12.	<p>SRCAPP44 B.P.Ed Annamacharya a College of Physical Education, Rangareddi District AP</p>	<p>Annamacharya College of Physical Education, Plot No.17/1/AA, National HW Road, Piglipur Village, Batasingaram Post Office, Hayath Nagar Taluk, Hyderabad City, Rangareddi District - 501512, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Annamacharya Educational Trust, Plot No.17/1/AA, National High Way-9 Road, Piglipur Village, Batasingaram Post Office, Hayath Nagar Taluk, Hyderabad City, Rangareddi District - 501512, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Annamacharya College of Physical Education, Plot No.17/1/AA, National HW Road, Piglipur Village, Batasingaram Post Office, Hayath Nagar Taluk, Hyderabad City, Rangareddi District - 501512, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013 / 26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 06.05.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 245th Meeting held on 19th - 21st May, 2013 has considered the reply of the institution dated 06.05.2013 to the deficiency letter and all other relevant documents and decided to Cause Inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 30th September - 04th October, 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 18.09.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 03.10.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 21.10.2013.</p>

		<p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Southern Regional Committee in its 255th Meeting held on 13th - 15th November, 2013 has decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to B.P.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (one hundred only) (one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, a Letter of Intent was issued to the institution on 27.11.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 08.01.2014.</p> <p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to B.P.Ed., course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (one hundred only) students, with effect from the session 2014-15.</p>
13.	<p>SRCAPP109 B.Ed JEC College of Education, Hyderabad District AP</p>	<p>JEC College of Education, Khasara No.533/A, Plot No.1-23, Main Road, Almasguda Village, Meerpet Post Office, Saroornagar Taluk, Hyderabad City & District - 500059, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Sri Sowjanya Educational Society, Plot No.17-1-383/A/31, Outside Vinaynagar Colony, Saidabad Village & Post Office, Saroornagar Taluk, Hyderabad District - 500059, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to JEC College of Education, Khasara No.533/A, Plot No.1-23, Main Road, Almasguda Village, Meerpet Post Office, Saroornagar Taluk, Hyderabad City & District - 500059, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/19.04.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 19.04.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 13.06.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 249th Meeting held on 24th - 26th July 2013, considered the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter dated 13.06.2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to Cause Inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 07th - 12th October 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 27.09.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 09.10.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 11.10.2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee in its 254th Meeting held on 25th - 27th October, 2013 has decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to B.Ed course of one year duration</p>

		<p>with an annual intake of 100 (one hundred only) (one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 07.11.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 06.12.2013.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 257th Meeting held on 20th - 22nd December, 2013 has considered the reply of the institution to the LOI issued and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act for the following: The institution has not appointed Librarian as per NCTE norms 2009. Before issuance of Notice, the institution has submitted its written representation on 01.01.2014 (with reference to Web site Decision of 257th Meeting).</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution vide letter dated 06/12/2013 to LOI issued. It is observed that the institution has not appointed Librarian as per NCTE norms 2009.</p> <p>The Committee decided that the institution to be asked to submit Librarian's appointment certificate as attested by the Registrar.</p> <p>Thereafter, Formal Recognition to be issued with effect from 2014-15.</p>
14.	<p>SRCAPP2091 B.Ed Jadcherla College of Education, Mahabubnaga r District AP</p>	<p>Jadcherla College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.277, Aloor Road, Badepally Village, Jadcherla Post, Taluk & City, Mahbubnagar District - 509 301, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Dr. Isaiah Educational Society, Plot No.405, S.S. Apartments, Near H P Petrol Bunk, Chatanya, Hyderabad City, Rangareddi District - 500 060, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Jadcherla College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.277, Aloor Road, Badepally Village, Jadcherla Post, Taluk & City, Mahbubnagar District - 509 301, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed Course of One year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 30.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 07.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 31.01.2013 / 26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 23.05.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 246th Meeting held on 02nd - 04th June, 2013 considered the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter dated 23.05.2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 26th - 31st August, 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 22.08.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 31.08.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 06.09.2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and</p>

		<p>guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to B.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (one hundred only) (one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE / State Government / Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 01.11.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation (LOI Reply) on 11.12.2013.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 257th Meeting held on 20th - 22nd December, 2013 has considered the reply of the institution to the LOI issued and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The institution has not appointed Physical Science Education Lecturer and Part-time Music Lecturer. <p>Before issuance of Notice, the institution has submitted its written representation on 02.01.2014 (with reference to Web site decision of 257th Meeting).</p> <p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to B.Ed., course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (one hundred only) students, with effect from the session 2014-15.</p>
15.	<p>SRCAPP48 D.P.Ed Sahasra College of Physical Education, Hyderabad District AP</p>	<p>Sahasra College of Physical Education, Plot No.123, Khasara No.146, Gurranguda Village, Enjapoor Post Office, Saroornagar Taluk, Hyderabad City & District - 501501, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Jakkidi Educational Society, Plot No.1-23, Gurranguda Street, Saroornagar Village, Enjapoor Post Office, Saroornagar Taluk, Hyderabad City & District - 500079, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sahasra College of Physical Education, Plot No.123, Khasara No.146, Gurranguda Village, Enjapoor Post Office, Saroornagar Taluk, Hyderabad City & District - 501501, Andhra Pradesh for D.P.Ed Course of two years duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 07.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 31.01.2013 / 12.04.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 12.04.2013.</p> <p>The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 20.05.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 246th Meeting held on 02nd - 04th June, 2013 considered the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter dated 20.05.2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to Cause Inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 16th - 19th July, 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 10.07.2013.</p>

		<p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 20.07.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 26.07.2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, court order and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the SRC in its 253rd Meeting held on 30th September & 01st October, 2013 has decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to D.P.Ed course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 (Fifty only) (one unit) subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, a Letter of Intent was issued to the institution on 11.10.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 06.12.2013.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply of the institution to the LOI issued and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 of NCTE Act for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The institution has not submitted staff list as per NCTE norms 2009. Four (04) Sports trainers (Part time) are not available. The institution has to submit staff list as per the NCTE norms 2009. • Approval of University to be certified by the Registrar in every page of the staff list. <p>In view of the above and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee decided to issue a Notice to the institution, as to why the application for recognition be not rejected and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a written representation within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the rejection of application for recognition, based on the records available, with no further notice.</p>
16.	<p>SRCAPP1128 D.Ed Sri Venkateswara School of Education, Chittoor District AP</p>	<p>Sri Venkateswara School of Education, Khasara No. 451, Plot No.357, Sadakuppam Village & Post Office, Bangarupalayam Taluk & City, Chittoor District-517416, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Sri Venkateshwara Educational & Charitable Society, Plot No. 357, Gajulapalli Street, Sadkuppam Village & Post, Bangarupalayam Taluk & City, Chittoor District-517416, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to <i>Sri Venkateswara School of Education, Khasara No. 451, Plot No.357, Sadakuppam Village & Post O</i> 29.09.2011. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 04.10.2011.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 18.10.2011. A reminder letter to the State Government was sent on 16.12.2011. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 29.12.2011. Now the institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 23.02.2012.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 219th Meeting held on 15th and 16th</p>

March 2012 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 23.02.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 14(1) of NCTE Act.

Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued on 04.04.2012. The institution has submitted written representation on 23.04.2012.

The SRC in its 222nd Meeting of SRC held on 09th – 10th May 2012, considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 23.04.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Final Show cause Notice under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, for the following:

1. Building plan shows Sy.Nos. as 358-5B, 358-6 & 357-1A, whereas in building completion certificate and affidavit it is given as 357 & 358, which are not tallying.
2. Proof of completion of 3 academic sessions towards B.Ed course which is already run by the institution from the affiliating body/State Govt/examining body is not submitted. The institution has submitted affiliation from Sri Venkateswara University for the academic year 2008-2009 year only.

Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 11.06.2012. The institution has submitted its written representation on 03.07.2012 (By hand).

The institution has submitted its reply after the stipulated period of 21 days. 1 day delayed.

Supreme Court vide their order in Civil Appeal NO. 1125-1128/2011 in SLP No. 17165-68/2009 filed by NCTE Vs ors, which reads as under:-

“An institution is not entitled to recognition unless it fulfils the conditions specified in various clauses of the Regulations. The Council is directed to ensure that in future no institution is granted recognition unless it fulfils the conditions laid down in the Act and the Regulations and the time schedule fixed for processing the application by the Regional Committee and communication of the decision on the issue of recognition it strictly adhered to”.

The SRC in its 227th meeting held on 17th – 19th July, 2012 considered the reply of the institution, which is received on 08/06/2012, i.e., after 23 (Twenty three) days from the date of issue of show cause notice dt. 16/05/2012 and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to refuse and reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.El.Ed course.

The application of Sri Venkateswara School of Education, Khasara No. 451, Plot No. 357, Sadakuppam Village & Post Office, Bangarupalayam Taluk & City, Chittoor District-517416, Andhra Pradesh, for permission to D.El.Ed Course is hereby refused/rejected. The original FDR No. 0047163 dated 22.09.2011 for Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 3 Lakhs issued by the State Bank of India are returned.

Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution vide order

F.No.APS01128/D.El.Ed/AP/2012-13/45133 dated 13.3.2012.

The institution filed court case in W.P. no 36541 of 2012. The Hon'ble Court has directed to appear personally or by advocate on 21.1.2012. Accordingly, brief of the case sent to the advocate on 31.12.2012.

The institution has simultaneously preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs, New Delhi and the original file was sent to NCTE-Hqrs on 6.11.2012.

A court order has been received on 03.01.2013 from Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in WPMP No. 46399 of 2012 in WP no. 36541 of 2012 filed by Sri Venkateshwara Educational and Charitable Trust, Chittoor District, Andhra Pradesh.

The Hon'ble Court has ordered as follows:

"This court, prima facie, find that the manner in which the application of the petitioner was processed by the respondent is not at all proper and fair. The petitioner submitted an application on 29.9.2011 to the respondent with a request to accord recognition for D.El.Ed course for the current academic year. It is an existing institution. Show cause notice, dated 11.6.2011, was issued to the petitioner, pointing out two deficiencies. The first was about discrepancy in the survey numbers, on which the building was constructed and the second was about non-submission of the proof of functioning of the B.Ed college for three consecutive years. The petitioner received that on 19.6.2012. on 27.6.2012, it submitted the compliance report. The certificates of affiliation of the B.Ed course for three consecutive years were enclosed and the discrepancy as to survey numbers was accordingly explained. However, the only ground on which the respondent rejected the case of the petitioner is that there was one day delay on the part of the petitioner in submitting the compliance report.

The petitioner initially approached the High Court of Karnataka by filing W.P. No. 29087 of 2012, since the office of the respondent is at Bangalore. The writ petition was rejected on 23.8.2012, requiring the petitioner to file writ petition in this court. Writ Appeal no. 5194 of 2012 was filed and the same was disposed of on 17.10.2012. It is thereafter that the present writ petition is filed.

The very objective of constituting the Council is to ensure that the institutions are established for training of teachers at various levels. There is severe shortage of trained teachers. This court would have certainly desisted from interfering, in case the respondent pointed out any deficiency as to the existence of infrastructure or non-compliance of other important aspects. A totally hyper-technical view was taken, stating that the compliance was furnished with delay of one day. As a matter of fact, the record discloses that the show cause notice, dated 11.6.2012, was dispatched two days thereafter.

Hence, there shall be interim direction to the respondent to pass orders forthwith, to enable the petitioner to admit the candidates for the current academic year in accordance with Rules".

The SRC in its 240th meeting held on 9th-11th March 2013, considered the Hon'ble

High Court of Andhra Pradesh order Dt. 05.12.2012 and decided to **process and cause inspection** simultaneously, by considering all other relevant documents under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.

Further,

The committee decided to report the facts to the High Court, that, it is too late now for giving recognition for current year; and, in view of the Supreme Court order dt. (13.12.2012), it will not be possible to give recognition for 2013-14 also. Case will be processed according to the Regulations and the schedule now prescribed by the Supreme Court.

As per decision of SRC, a letter to the Advocate intimating the facts of the case was sent on 22.04.2013 to appraise the court accordingly.

Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 13th to 14th June 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 06.06.2013.

As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 10.06.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 26.06.2013

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, reply of the institution, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to D.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50(Fifty only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.

The institution to be informed to submit revalidated FDRs immediately.

A letter of intent was issued to the institution on 10.09.2013.

Meantime, a letter has been received from the institution on 09.10.2013 which is as follows:

“... I wish to bring to your notice that the NCTE in its letter no 53582 dated 10.09.2013 has issued letter of intent for grant of recognition to D.Ed course to Sri Venkateswara School of Education, Bangaruplyam, Chittoor and instructed us to complete the process of appointment of faculty, obtaining the approval/affiliation from the state government, conversion of Endowment fund/reserve fund into joint account, submission of Annexure I, II, III, launching of website with hyper link to NCTE etc., within 60 days.

In this context, we wish to furnish the fact that the entire state administration of the AP government including the dept. of Education under indefinite strike since 30 July, 2013 against separation of Andhra Pradesh into two separate states. All sections of state govt. employees are under strike and are not available.

As per the direction of the NCTE, we have started the process by submitted all the required document along with the fee with SCERT for

		<p><i>constitution committee and to complete the approval process.</i></p> <p><i>There is abnormal delay in getting the sanctions from the concern authorities due to strike in the state of AP government,</i></p> <p><i>We therefore request you, kindly extend the time for another 2/3 months for submission of the documents such as staff approval, affiliation/approval orders of state govt. and to complete other formalities. A formal order in this regard is requested.”</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 254th meeting held on 25th-27th October 2013, considered the matter, request of the institution vide letter dated 09-10-2013, considering the genuineness of the reason given by the institution and asking for more time for submission of LOI reply, since this will be a case only for 2014-15, the committee decided to be liberal in their approach in such LOI cases, give time till 31st December, 2013.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 22.11.2013.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 23.12.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 30.12.2013.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply of the institution to the LOI issued and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 of NCTE Act for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Institution has to submit the staff list duly approved by the Director SCERT. <p>In view of the above and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee decided to issue a Notice to the institution, as to why the application for recognition be not rejected and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a written representation within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the rejection of application for recognition, based on the records available, with no further notice.</p> <p>Note: The Formal Recognition to be issued to the institution after getting the duly approved staff list from the Director SCERT, with effect from 2014-15 session.</p>
17.	<p>SRCAPP161 B.P.Ed Shiva Reddy Memorial College of Physical Education, Mahabubnagar District AP</p>	<p>Shiva Reddy Memorial College of Physical Education, Khasara No. 50/A, 44/A/5, 31, 36, 39/1, Plot No. 36, Street No. 1-1, Satharla Village and Post Office, Alampur City and Taluk, Mahabubnagar District – 509128, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Somanadri Educational Society, Plot No. Sy. No. 36., Street No. 1-1, Satharla Village and Post Office, Alampur City and Taluk, Mahabubnagar District – 509128, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Shiva Reddy Memorial College of Physical Education, Khasara No. 50/A, 44/A/5, 31, 36, 39/1, Plot No. 36, Street No. 1-1, Satharla Village and Post Office, Alampur City and Taluk, Mahabubnagar District – 509128, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has</p>

		<p>been received in the office of SRC on 03.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 23.05.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 247th meeting held on 20th-22nd June 2013, considered the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter dt.23-05-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 26th-31rd August 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 22.08.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 30.08.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 06.09.2013</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.P.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(one hundred only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply of the institution to the LOI issued and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 of NCTE Act for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The institution has not appointed three (03) sports trainers (Part time) as per the NCTE norms 2009. <p>In view of the above and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee decided to issue a Notice to the institution, as to why the application for recognition be not rejected and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a written representation within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the rejection of application for recognition, based on the records available, with no further notice.</p>
18.	<p>SRCAPP88 B.P.Ed S V College, Anantapur District AP</p>	<p>S V College, Plot/Street No.160, Kowlepalli Village & Post Office, Kadiri City & Taluk, Anantapur District - 515591, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Muneswari Educational and Welfare Society, Plot No.1/726, Police Line Road, Kadiri Village & Post Office, Kadiri City & Taluk, Anantapur District - 515591, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to S V College, Plot/Street No.160, Kowlepalli Village & Post Office, Kadiri City & Taluk, Anantapur District - 515591, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of one year duration under Section</p>

14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.01.2013.

The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 31.01.2013/28.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 28.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 20.05.2013.

The SRC in its 247th Meeting held on 20th - 22nd June, 2013 considered the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter dated 20.05.2012 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.

Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 26th - 31st August, 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 22.08.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 31.08.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 06.09.2013.

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Southern Regional Committee in its 254th Meeting held on 25th - 27th October, 2013 has decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to B.P.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (one hundred only) (one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.

Accordingly, a Letter of Intent was issued to the institution on 01.11.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 06.12.2013.

The Committee considered the reply of the institution to the LOI issued and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 of NCTE Act for the following:

- **The institution has appointed the Principal who is 62 years of age. As per NCTE norms 2009 (Second Amendment Regulations 2010). Principal being a retired employee, the Principal should be appointed on an annual basis but not beyond the age of 70 years**
- **The institution has not appointed Librarian as per NCTE norms 2009.**

In view of the above and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee decided to issue a Notice to the institution, as to why the application for recognition be not rejected and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a written representation within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the rejection of application for recognition, based on the records available, with no further notice.

19.	<p>SRCAPP200 D.P.Ed Gopala Krishna College Physical Education, Nalgonda District AP</p>	<p>Gopala Krishna College of Physical Education, Sy.No.93, 111, 112, Plot No.0-2, Sagar Road, Shrinadapuram R/O Ambatipally Village, Ramadguu Post Office, Annumula Taluk, Haliya City, Nalgonda District - 508278, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Gopala Krishna Educational Society, Plot No. 2-98/1, Neelaiguda Village, Anjanpally Post Office, Thripuraram Taluk, Miryalaguda City, Nalgonda District - 208207, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Gopala Krishna College of Physical Education, Sy.No. 93, 111, 112, Plot No. 0-2, Sagar Road, Shrinadapuram R/O Ambatipally Village, Ramadguu Post Office, Annumula Taluk, Haliya City, Nalgonda District - 508278, Andhra Pradesh for D.P.Ed Course of two years duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 03.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 31.01.2013/19.04,2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 19.04.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 29.05.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 247th Meeting held on 20th - 22nd June, 2013 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dated 29.05.2013 to the deficiency letter all other relevant documents and decided to Cause Inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms. Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 26th - 31st August, 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 22.08.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 29.08.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 06.09.2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Southern Regional Committee in its 254th Meeting held on 25th - 27th October, 2013 has decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to D.P.Ed course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 (fifty only) (one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, a Letter of Intent was issued to the institution on 04.11.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 02.01.2014.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply of the institution to the LOI issued and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 of NCTE Act for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The institution has not appointed four (04) sports trainers as per NCTE norms 2009. <p>In view of the above and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee decided to issue a Notice to the institution, as to why the application for recognition be not rejected and thereby providing an opportunity to the</p>
-----	---	--

		<p>institution to make a written representation within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the rejection of application for recognition, based on the records available, with no further notice.</p>
20.	<p>SRCAPP2030 M.Ed Yogivemana University, Kadapa District AP</p>	<p>Yogivemana University, Plot No.1, Street No.1, Vemanapuram Village, YVU Campus Post Office, Kadapa Taluk, City & District - 516003, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Yogivemana University, Plot No.1, Main Road, Vemanapuram Village, YVU Campus Post Office, Kadapa Taluk, City & District - 516003, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Yogivemana University, Plot No.1, Street No.1, Vemanapuram Village, YVU Campus Post Office, Kadapa Taluk, City & District - 516003, Andhra Pradesh for M.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 29.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 17.05.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 246th Meeting held on 02nd - 4th June 2013, considered the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter dated 17.05.2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to Cause Inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 26th - 31st August 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 22.08.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 02.09.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 26.09.2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the University, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Southern Regional Committee in its 254th Meeting held on 25th - 27th October, 2013 has decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to M.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 35 (thirty five only) (one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, a Letter of Intent was issued to the institution on 07.11.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 03.01.2014.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the university to LOI issued and noted that:</p> <p>The University had applied for M.Ed course (SRCAPP2030) on-line on 29/12/2012 and B.Ed course (SRCAPP2178) on-line on 30/07/2013. As per</p>

		<p>regulations 2009, SRC can not process simultaneously for both B.Ed (2178) and M.Ed (2030).</p> <p>In view of the above, the Committee advised Southern Regional Office to contact the said University, whether they prefer B.Ed or M.Ed and to be reported in the 259th meeting itself on 19 Jan, 2014. Accordingly, the University was contacted over phone (the registrar and Mr. G. Gulam Tariq Principal) to give University's option of preferring B.Ed course or M.Ed course and to be e-mailed back to this office on the same day of the meeting. But, so far, the University has not responded.</p>
21.	<p>SRCAPP1016 D.Ed Aditya College of Physical Education, East Godavari District AP</p>	<p>Aditya College of Physical Education, Plot No. 136/3, 136/4, Street No. 1, Surampalem Village, JNTU Engineering College Post, Gandepalli Taluk, Kakinada City, East Godavari District - 533437, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Aditya Academy, Plot No.2-6-17, Srinagar Road, Kakinada Village, JNTU Engineering College post, Kakinada Urban Taluk, Kakinada City, East Godavari District - 533003, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Aditya College of Physical Education, Plot No. 136/3, 136/4, Street No. 1, Surampalem Village, JNTU Engineering College Post, Gandepalli Taluk, Kakinada City, East Godavari District - 533437, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed course online on 29.09.2011 for two years duration under section 14(1) of the NCTE Act 1993 and received in the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE on 30.09.2011.</p> <p>NCTE-Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, its amendment Notified on 26th November 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2 (B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1 (1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application. 2. Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application. 3. Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application. <p>The SRC considered the matter in its 216th meeting held on 11th - 12th January, 2012 and careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other related documents, NCTE Act 1993. Regulations and guidelines from time to time laid on the table. The Regional Committee decided to reject the application on the following grounds.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Valid print out of hard copy not submitted. <p>Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution vide No. F.No.SRCAPP1016 (2012-13)/B.P.Ed/AP/2011-12/36892 dated 03.02.2012.</p>

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs and the appellate authority in its order No. F.No.89-55/2012 Appeal/4th Meeting-2012 A 51632 dated 11/05/2012 state that ***“the council concluded that there was adequate Justification in remanding the case to the SRC for further processing of the application on merit as per regulations”***.

The SRC in its 223rd meeting held on 29th - 31st May, 2012 has considered the Appellate authority order dated 11.05.2012 and decided to process the application on submission of original application and related supporting documents as per the regulations.

As per the direction of SRC application was processed and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 25.06.2012. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 09.07.2012.

The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 17.09.2012.

- The reply for DL submitted after the expiry of 60 days (i.e., 10 Days Late) The SRC in its 233rd Meeting held on 26th - 28th September, 2012, considered the reply of the institution, which is received on 17.09.2012, i.e., after 10 (Ten) days from the date of issue of deficiency letter dated 09.07.2012 and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per clause 7(1) of NCTE Regulations 2009, to refuse and reject the application of the institution for recognition of **B.P.Ed** course. Accordingly, a rejection order was issued to the institution on 30.10.2012.

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs and the appellate authority in its order No. F.No.89-825/2012 Appeal/2nd Meeting-2013 dated 01.03.2013 state that:

“..... after perusal of the documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the council concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC to consider the reply of the appellant institution and take further action as per the Regulations.

The Council hereby remands back the case of Aditya College of Physical Education, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.”

In pursuance to the appellate authority order, the reply of the institution for Deficiency letter is processed.

The SRC in its 244th meeting held on 9th - 11th May, 2013 considered the matter and in pursuance to the Appellate Authority order dated 01.03.2013 the file is processed and the following deficiencies are found:

- There are three legal entities, 1. S.S.S. Central Trust; 2. S.S.S. Institute of Higher Learning Public Charity Institution & 3. S.S.S. Institute of Higher learning. The Sy. Nos. of lands in reference to the three entities are badly mixed up. Issues related to Lease, Sub-Lease and Leave and Licence arise because of mix up. In the land documents submitted, flow of title to lands is not at all clear, in the circumstances the applicant should clarify with documentary support for title to land.

Further, the following deficiencies are persisting:

		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Certified copy of registered land documents is not submitted.• In the building completion certificate submitted, type of roofing is not mentioned.• Original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lakhs towards endowment and reserve fund from a Nationalized Bank in favour of Society / College / Institution name is not submitted• Processing fee of Rs. 40000/-DD validity expired. Revalidation of DD is required. <p>In view of the above, the institution is advised to clarify the above points.</p> <p>As per decision of SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 07.6.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 24.06.2013.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 249th Meeting held on 24th - 26th July, 2013 has considered the reply of the institution vide letter dated 24.06.2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms. Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 07th - 12th October, 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 27.09.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 11.10.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 15.10.2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.P.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(one hundred only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>LOI issue to the institution on 27/11/2013</p> <p>The institution had replied to LOI on 06/01/2014.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to <u>issue Formal Recognition order for the session 2014-15, after getting the following documents from the Institution.</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Service Certificate of Principal.2. In the approved staff list submitted, percentage of marks are corrected and overwritten. The institution to get the corrections certified.3. Dr. Suguna Chinnam Asst. Professor, M.B.B.S with 50% marks is not qualified for the post.
--	--	---

Show Cause Notice Reply

22.	<p>SRCAPP427 D.Ed MES College of Education, Khammam District AP</p>	<p>MES College of Education, K.No.92/A & 93, Barugudem, Arempula Post, Khammam District-507163, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Mohammadiya Educational Society, Warangal High Way, Barugudem Village, Arempula Post, Khammam District-507163, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to MES College of Education, K.No.92/A & 93, Barugudem, Arempula Post, Khammam District-507163, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed course of Two years duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 01.10.2010 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 15.04.2011(reconsideration of appeal order).</p> <p>In the first instance on perusal of the file noted that as per the letter 11.04.2011 enclosed along with the application it is mentioned that the hard copy of online application along with affidavit, necessary document and a DD of Rs. 40000/- was dispatched to SRC on 08.10.2011 bu speed post. But the status of our application on NCTE-SRC website it is mentioned as hard copy not received. The institution has sent a reminder in this regard on 09.04.2011 by speed post.</p> <p>As per clause 7 (1`-A) of the regulation 2009 wherein it is stipulated that “the application submitted online but not followed by dispatch through registered post or by hand with the document mentioned at (1) to (VII) above within 7 days shall be considered as incomplete and shall be summarily rejected with reasons recorded in writing and returned to the applicant along with the processing fees within 30 days of the receipt of the application”. The last date for dispatch of the application was 08.10.2010.</p> <p>Accordingly, the application for grant of recognition to M.E.S college of Education for D.El.Ed course was rejected and returned Vide letter dt. 20.04.2011. The institution preferred to go in for an appeal at NCTE hqrs, vide order dt. 06.07.2011 accepted the appeal and the order of SRC is reversed with directions to SRC to process the case as per provisions contained in the NCTE Act and NCTE Regulations 2009.</p> <p>The SRC in its 209th meeting SRC held on 31st July, 2011 considered the matter and decided to re-consider the application of the institution and further process the application as per the direction of the Council order dt. 06/07/2011.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 22.09.2011 followed by reminder on 21.11.2011. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 14.10.2011. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 13.12.2011. Recommendation from State Government has been not received.</p> <p>The committee in its 216th meeting of SRC held on 11th -12th January 2012 considered the written reply dated 13.12.2011 and other relevant documents submitted by the institution and decided to cause inspection at the premises to examine whether the institution fulfills all the requirements as per the norms and to ascertain infrastructural and instructional facilities available at the premises for the proposed course.</p> <p>Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was fixed between 07.02.2012 to 11.02.2012. The same was intimated to the institution on 24.01.2012. Accordingly inspection of the institution was conducted on 10.02.2012.</p>
-----	---	--

		<p>The SRC in its 219th Meeting held on 15th-16th March, 2012 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 14(1) of NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 04.04.2012. The institution has submitted its written representation on 23.04.2012 & 08.05.2012</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the show cause notice was duly considered and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As per building completion certificate, total built up area is inadequate for both B.Ed and proposed D.Ed course. • Canteen and Toilet area of 93 sq.mtrs of roof topping is covered with ACC sheets, which is not permissible as per NCTE regulations 2009. <p>The reply to the show cause notice is not satisfactory and unconvincing. Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.Ed course.</p>
23.	<p>APS02174 B.Ed Amitha B.Ed College, Bangalore KA</p>	<p>Amitha B.Ed. College, C.A.No.2, 3rd Main, West of Chord Road, 2nd Stage, Mahalakshmpuram, Bangalore-560086, Karnataka</p> <p>Ambedkar Memorial Society, Bangalore,Karnataka had submitted an application to the SRC of NCTE for grant of recognition to Amitha B.Ed. College, C.A.No.2, 3rd Main, West of Chord Road, 2nd Stage, Mahalakshmpuram, Bangalore - 560086, Karnataka is granted recognition for Secondary (B.Ed.) course of one year duration from the academic session 2004-2005 with an annual intake of 100 students vide order No.F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed./2004-2005/8636 dated 25.11.2004 with a condition to shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition.</p> <p>It is observed from the file that there are no correspondences pertaining to shifting of premises.</p> <p>An interim order dated 6.6.2013 is received by this office on 12.6.2013 from the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P.No.9411/2013 & 10762-10861/2013 and 10863-10911/2013 filed by Amitha B.Ed. College against Bangalore University(1R), The Registrar, Bangalore University, Bangalore(2R) and RD, SRC,NCTE(3R).</p> <p>The petitioner has prayed to announce the results of the 2nd semester B.Ed. examinations held in November/December, 2012 in respect of 100 students of the petitioner institution forthwith by granting continuation of affiliation for the academic year 2011-12 for the petitioner institution.</p> <p>The learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.1 and 2 submits that the Bangalore University's syndicate has resolved to withdraw the affiliation granted to the petitioner's college for want of infrastructural facilities etc. He submits that the syndicate has resolved to shift the eligible students of the petitioner college and of the similarly placed other colleges to the affiliated colleges and allow those students to appear for the examination at the affiliated colleges.</p>

	<p>The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner college has the recognition of NCTE for the academic year 2012-13. He further submits that the petitioner college has not received any order refusing to grant the continuation of affiliation for the academic year 2012-13.</p> <p>On hearing the learned advocates, the Hon'ble judge passed the following interim order states</p> <p>“1. The Bangalore University is directed to permit the students admitted for the academic year 2012-13 to appear for the forthcoming examination at the affiliated colleges centres subject to the said students meeting the eligibility criteria in all other respects.</p> <p>2. This interim order is subject to the final outcome of the writ petitions. List the matter for final disposal on 11.6.2013.”</p> <p>The above matter is placed before SRC for its consideration and decision regarding non-shifting of premises of the institution and the interim order granted by the Hon'ble Court.</p> <p>The SRC in its 248th meeting held during 13th to 15th July, 2013 considered the matter, Hon'ble High Court Interim order and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE Act, for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Institution was granted recognition in temporary premises to run B.Ed course on 25-11-2004 with a condition that it should shift to its own permanent premises in 3 years. i.e., on or before 25.11.2007. The Institution is still running in temporary premises and not shifted to new premises even after a lapse of stipulated 3 years and after lapse of time period of more than 8 years and 07 months. <p>The institution has not shifted to the new premises after grant of conditional recognition.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 27.8.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 18.9.2013</p> <p>The Committee in its 254th Meeting held on 25th – 27th October, 2013 considered the matter, decided that in the first instance, the Court case concerns Government of Karnataka and Bangalore University.</p> <p>Further, the Committee advised Southern Regional Office to examine w.r.t. show cause notice reply dated 18-09-2013 in the shifting matter and report in the 255th meeting scheduled on 13-15th Nov, 2013.</p> <p>Accordingly, the matter is examined and placed before SRC.</p> <p>The Committee in its 257th Meeting held on 20th – 22nd December, 2013 considered the matter, and also decision taken in the 254th SRC meeting held on 25th – 27th October, 2013, since the case is related to Government of Karnataka and Bangalore University, decided to await the orders of Court in the matter.</p> <p>Further, it is decided by the Committee, in the meanwhile, advised Southern Regional Office to examine in detail the reply to show cause notice from the</p>
--	--

		<p>institution vide letter dated 18/09/2013 and report in the format given by the Committee in the 258th meeting.</p> <p>The Committee considered the written reply of the institution to the show cause notice, and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice Under NCTE Act for the following -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Building completion certificate submitted by the institution is not approved by the competent authority, and also is not in the prescribed format. <p>In view of the above, the Committee decided to issue a Show Cause Notice to the institution as to why the recognition be not withdrawn and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a <u>written representation</u> within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the withdrawal, based on the records available with no further notice.</p>
--	--	---

Complaint Cases

24.	<p>APS08064 APS09277 B.Ed/ B.Ed- AI Vysya College of Education, Salem District TN</p>	<p>Vysya College of Education, Ramakrishnapuram, Masinaickenpatty, Ayothiyapattinam Post, Salem District, Pin-636103, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Vysya College of Education, Ramakrishnapuram, Masinaickenpatty, Ayothiyapattinam Post, Salem District, Pin-636103, Tamilnadu had submitted an application for B.Ed Course on 29.12.2006 and B.Ed-AI course on 07.12.2007. The institution was granted recognition for B.Ed course on 26.10.2007 with an intake of 100 students and B.Ed-AI course on 22.08.2008 with an annual intake of 200 students, thus making an annual intake of 100 students, which includes the existing intake of 100 students and additional intake of 100 students, with the condition to shift to own premises within 3 years.</p> <p>Vysya College of Education, Salem District has been granted recognition for offered B.Ed course on 26.10.2007 with an intake of 100 students.</p> <p>The institution was granted recognition for the B.Ed-AI course on 22.08.2008 with an intake of 100 students.</p> <p>The NCTE Hqrs vide letter dtd. 25.03.2013 received in this office on 01.04.2013 has forwarded complaint (Complaint no. C13000737) received against the institution from Shri P. Pradhap, President, VOS Association, Salem, Tamilnadu dtd. 29.01.2013 and had stated that “ the complainant has informed that the building of B.Ed course has been used for various programmes other than teacher training courses run by Vasavi Trust Group of Institutions and violation of NCTE’s Norms and Standard”.</p> <p>The SRC in its 247th Meeting held on 20th-22nd June, 2013 considered the compliant and decided to write to the complainant to give the complaint in the form of an affidavit.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter was issued to the complainant on 16.08.2013 and the same has been un-delivered and received on 28.8.2013. The same letter has been re-posted on 13.09.2013.</p>
-----	---	---

		<p>The Committee considered the matter, and noted that letter dated 16/08/2013 written to the complainant to give the complaint in the form of an affidavit had been returned back undelivered. Again re-posted on 13/09/2013.</p> <p>The complaint appears to be pseudonymous. Since our letter written to the complainant has come back undelivered, the affidavit is also not there to back-up, It is decided by the Committee to close the case.</p>
--	--	--

Other Cases

25.	<p>SRCAPP1201 D.Ed Madasau Bhaukailasam College of Education, Medak District AP</p>	<p>Madasu Bhukailasam College of Education, Sy No. 543, Chitukal Village and Post Office, Patancheru Taluka, Medak District – 506 167, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Dharani Educational Society, Plot No. 1-3-90/C, Geetha Nagar Village, Jangoan Post Office and Taluka, Warangal District – 506 167, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Madasu Bhukailasam College of Education, Sy No. 543, Chitukal Village and Post Office, Patancheru Taluka, Medak District – 506 167, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed Course of two years duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 29.09.2011 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 05.10.2011.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 22.12.2011 followed by reminder on 05.01.2012. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 30.12.2011 and the institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 29.02.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 220th meeting held on 30th –31st March 2012 considered the reply of the institution dt. 29.02.2102 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 14(1) of NCTE Act, for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Land documents of sale deed dt.17.01.2012 is registered after the on-line application, which is not permissible as per NCTE regulations 2009. 2. In the building completion certificate submitted, built up area is filled up by pen, name of the course is not mentioned in column No. 9 and type of roofing is not mentioned. 3. Notarized land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the purpose of educational institution is not submitted. Proceedings from the revenue divisional office not submitted. 4. In the affidavit submitted, point No. 6 is not duly filled up. 5. FDRs of Rs.5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund from a Nationalised Bank is not submitted. 6. Proof of completion of 3 academic sessions towards other courses which are already run by the institution from the affiliating body/State Govt/examining body is not submitted. <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 27.04.2012. The institution has submitted its written representation on 22.05.2012.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the show cause notice was duly considered by SRC</p>
-----	---	---

in its 223rd Meeting held on 29th-31st May, 2012 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:

1. Land documents of sale deed dt. 17-01-2012 is registered after the on-line application, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations 2009.
2. Notarized land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the purpose of educational institution only is not submitted.

Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee has decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to refuse and reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.Ed course.

Accordingly, a rejection order was issued to the institution on 29/06/2012.

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs and the appellate authority order in its order No. F.No. 89-420/2012Appeal/10th Meeting-2012 dated 11/12/2012 stated that

“...the Council taking into accounts facts and circumstances and recommendation of the State Govt of Andhra Pradesh decided that the application of the institution be processed by the SRC in accordance with the Regulations, as relaxed by the NCTE Committee and to take further decision thereafter ”.

The appellate authority order was placed before SRC in its 239th meeting held on 26th-27th February 2013, considered the Appellate authority's order dt. 11-12-2012 and decided to further process the application for D.Ed course as per Regulations to take further decision.

As per decision of SRC and as per appellate authority order, the application has been processed and placed before SRC in its 242nd meeting held on 14th-16th April 2013, and the committee considered the Appellate authority order dt. 11/12/2012 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.

Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between (27-31st May 2013) again re-scheduled on 3rd to 6th July 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 20.06.2013.

As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 05.07.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 10.07.2013.

The SRC in its 249th Meeting held on 24th-26th July, 2013 considered the VT report, VCD of the institution and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under section 14 of NCTE Act for the following deficiencies.

- Original Revalidated FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund from a Nationalised Bank in favour of Society/college/institution name is not submitted.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The institution has to submit fresh VCD covering front view of the building, other infrastructure & instructional facilities provided. <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 13.09.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 04.10.2013.</p> <p>stating that “ <i>the original revalidated FDR’s of Rs 5 & 3 lakhs towards</i> Endowment and Reserve fund from a Nationalized Bank in favour of the society name is at this juncture not revalidated the FDR’s the reason is Telangana bifurcating of state and Samikhandra Bundhs and not working the public offices including Nationalized Banks not working properly in our State of Andhra Pradesh. Kindly provide 15 days time from this day. For cause no. 1&2 also complying.</p> <p>The SRC in its 254th Meeting held on 25th-27th October, 2013 considered the matter, request of the institution vide letter dated 04-10-2013, considering the genuiness of the reason given by the institution and asking for more time for submission of Revalidated FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs, the committee decided <u>to give time till 30th Nov, 2013.</u></p> <p>Accordingly, a letter was sent to the institution on 22.11.2013 which was returned back undelivered on 05.12.2013. Again re-posted on 18.12.2013.</p> <p>The institution has not submitted original revalidated FDR’s of Rs. 5 & 3 lakhs so far.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, noted that the earlier letter dated 22/11/2013 written to the institution returned back undelivered. Again the same letter was reposted on 18/12/2013. The Committee decided and advised Southern Regional Office to issue again to both the addresses given.</p>
26.	<p>AOS00466 B.Ed Sri Venkateshwar a College of Education, Chitradurga District KA</p>	<p>Sri. Venkateshwara College of Education, Stadium Road, Chitradurga – 577 501, Karnataka.</p> <p>An application was received by Devaraj Urs Educational Society for grant of recognition to Sri. Venkateshwara College of Education, Stadium Road, Chitradurga – 577 501, Karnataka for B.Ed Course of One year duration from academic session 2000-2001 with an annual intake of 100 students and was granted recognition for offering B.Ed course on 09.08.2000 with an annual intake of 100 students from the academic session 2000-2001.</p> <p>In the meanwhile, a letter dated 08.10.2013 has received from the Principal, Sri Venkateswara College of Education, Chitradurga on 09.10.2013, which is as under:</p> <p>“We are applied for Accreditation by the NAAC. They are asking the recent copy of the NCTE-recognition. We had recognition letter issued on 01/09/2003 for the session 2003-2004. I here with enclose the Xerox copy of the same.</p> <p>So, I request you to issue the recent letter of recognition for the forth coming session 2013-2014 and do the needful.</p> <p>Further, I request you to mention in your letter stating that the recognition of our institution is counting as on date”.</p> <p>The institution has enclosed the email copy received from NAAC which is stating</p>

as follows:

“The NCTE recognition certificate uploaded along with the LOI is old (recognition for a period of 2 years only i.e. for 2002 & 2003). Hence edit option is provided to your LOI. Kindly upload the latest NCTE recognition certificate (i.e. for the academic year 2013-2014).

If the application is not submitted within seven days from receipt of this email, the institution will have to submit a fresh application”.

The matter was placed before SRC in its 257th Meeting held on 20th – 22nd December, 2013 and the Committee directed SRO to examine the case and put up a detailed agenda note in the proforma given by the Committee.

The recognition was given to the institution for a temporary basis for one year and the recognition was renewed and the intake was fixed every year based on the PAR submitted by the institution. Last renewal letter was sent on 01.09.2003 for 2002-2003 session with a condition to send PAR for the session 2003-2004 on or before 31st March 2004 failing which renewal of recognition for the same will not be considered. Later the institution was not submitted PAR and no renewal letter was issued to the institution.

The Committee considered matter, and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE Act for the following -

- **The Institution has not given any information and not submitted Performance Appraisal Report as per our letter dated 01-09-2003, for the session 2003-2004.**
- **Original Certified copy of the land documents in favour of the society /institution issued by the competent authority is not submitted.**
- **Original Copy of the Building plan with all infrastructural details is not submitted by the Institution.**
- **Original building completion certificate in proper format issued by the competent authority is not submitted.**
- **Notarised land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the purpose of educational institution is not submitted. Proceedings from the revenue divisional office not submitted.**
- **Up-to-date Encumbrance certificate issued by the competent authority is not submitted.**
- **Original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund respectively from a Nationalised Bank is not submitted.**

In view of the above, the Committee decided to issue a Show Cause Notice to the institution as to why the recognition be not withdrawn and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a written representation within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary

		<p>certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the withdrawal, based on the records available with no further notice.</p> <p>Note: Show cause notice to be issued by speed post (with ack due).</p>
27.	<p>APS00915 D.T.Ed SBG Teacher Training Institute, Cuddalore District TN</p>	<p>SBG Teacher Training Institute, Thenpathy Colony Road, Srimushnam, Cuddalore-608703, Tamilnadu</p> <p>SBG Teacher Training Institute, Thenpathy , Colony Road, Srimushnam, Cuddalore-608703, Tamilnadu has submitted an application for D.T.Ed Course on 31.12.2002. The institution was granted recognition on 12.01.2005 with an annual intake of 50 students, with the condition to shift to own premises within 3 years.</p> <p>The institution vide letter dated 04.02.2010 submitted Rs.40,000/- for shifting of premises at Nangavaram Road, Perugamani, Trichy District, Tamilnadu. The proposal for shifting of premises of SBG Teacher Training Institute, Trichy was misplaced in SBG Teacher Training Institute, Cuddalore file. The same was considered and accordingly the inspection of the institution was fixed between 11th-15th April 2011. The same was intimated to the institution on 06.04.2011. Accordingly shifting inspection of the institution was conducted on 04.05.2011.</p> <p>The management is also running B.Ed and D.T.Ed course in the same building.</p> <p>The SRC in its 206th meeting held on 09th-10th June 2011 considered the VT Report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show cause Notice to all the courses of NCTE Act. Accordingly, a Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 20.07.2011. The institution has submitted in its reply on 23.08.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 211th meeting held on considered the reply of the institution Dt. 23.08.2011, VT report of the institution and all other related documents along with the original file of the institution and decided that shifting to new/permanent premises be permitted for D.T.Ed (APS00915) course. Accordingly, shifting order was issued to the institution on 22.11.2011.</p> <p>The institution vide its letter dt. 02.12.2013 has stated that</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>“ Our SBG Teacher Training Institute grant by the SRC NCTE on 12.01.2002 vide letter F.TN/SRO/NCTE/2004-05/102 intake of 50 Students and Additional intake of 50 Students on 06.10.2006. Vide Letter No. F.SRO/NCTE/D.T.Ed-AI/2006-2007/8441. For the past two years Students are not interested our teacher training institute has completed their course. After no student for the course. The management had taken a decision to close the Teacher Training Institution course from 2013-2014 on wards and the matter was intimated to the concerned department of Tamilnadu Government. And we settle all benefits to our staff members. So I request you to withdraw our recognition. Release Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, signatures to close the account with Bank”.</p> </div> <p>The Committee considered the Institutions request for closure of D.T.Ed course and decided to permit the closure by withdrawing the recognition for (APS00915) D.T.Ed course with effect from 2014-2015, in order to enable</p>

		<p>the ongoing batch of students in D.T.Ed, course, if any, to complete their final year course.</p> <p>But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.</p> <p>The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly.</p> <p>Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore. If any, after ensuring settlement of all claims of staff/faculty..</p>
28.	<p>APS05182 D.T.Ed-AI Sri S.B.G. Teacher Training Institute, Cuddalore District TN</p>	<p>Sri. S.B.G. Teacher Training Institute, Thenapathy Colony Road, Kattumannarkoil Taluk, Cuddalore District, Pin-608703, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Sri. S.B.G. Teacher Training Institute, Thenapathy Colony Road, Kattumannarkoil Taluk, Cuddalore District, Pin-608703, Tamilnadu had submitted an application for D.T.Ed-AI Course on 29.12.2005. The institution was granted recognition on 06.10.2006 with an annual intake of 100 students which includes the existing intake of 50 and an additional intake of 50 students, with the condition to shift to own premises within 3 years.</p> <p>The institution vide its letter dt. 02.12.2013 has stated that</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>“ Our SBG Teacher Training Institute grant by the SRC NCTE on 12.01.2002 vide letter F.TN/SRO/NCTE/2004-05/102 intake of 50 Students and Additional intake of 50 Students on 06.10.2006. Vide Letter No. F.SRO/NCTE/D.T.Ed-AI/2006-2007/8441. For the past two years Students are not interested our teacher training institute has completed their course. After no student for the course. The management had taken a decision to close the Teacher Training Institution course from 2013-2014 on wards and the matter was intimated to the concerned department of Tamilnadu Government. And we settle all benefits to our staff members. So I request you to withdraw our recognition. Release Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, signatures to close the account with Bank”.</p> </div> <p>The Committee considered the Institutions request for closure of D.T.Ed-AI course and decided to permit the closure by withdrawing the recognition for (APS00915) D.T.Ed-AI course with effect from 2014-2015, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in D.T.Ed-AI, course, if any, to complete their final year course.</p> <p>But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.</p> <p>The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly.</p> <p>Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore. If any, after ensuring settlement of all claims of staff/faculty.</p>
29.	<p>APS08564 D.T.Ed-AI Sri Venkateshwar a Teacher Training Instiute,</p>	<p>Sri Venkateshwara Teacher Training Institute, Palayasevaram Village, Walajabad Via, Kancheepuram District, Pin-631605, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Sri Venkateshwara Teacher Training Institute, Palayasevaram Village, Walajabad Via, Kancheepuram District, Pin-631605, Tamilnadu had submitted an application for D.T.Ed-AI Course on 13.04.2007. The institution was granted recognition on 26.02.2008 with an annual intake of 100 students which</p>

	<p>Kancheepuram District TN</p>	<p>includes the existing intake of 50 students and an additional intake of 50 students, with the condition to shift to own premises within 3 years.</p> <p>The institution vide its letter dt. 04.12.2013 has stated that</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>“ We would like to bring to kind notice that for the last two academic year 2011-2012 and 2012-2013 we were unable to fill the additional intake of fifty student for the D.T.Ed., in our institution for varied reasons. Further to this situation, we would like to surrender the additional intake of fifty students for this academic year 2013-2014 onwards.</p> <p>We request you to kindly consider this status and do the needful and oblige”.</p> </div> <p>The Committee considered the Institutions request for closure of D.T.Ed-AI course and decided to permit the closure by withdrawing the recognition for (APS08564) D.T.Ed-AI course with effect from 2014-2015, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in D.T.Ed-AI, course, if any, to complete their final year course.</p> <p>But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.</p> <p>The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly.</p> <p>Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore. If any, after ensuring settlement of all claims of staff/faculty.</p>
<p>30.</p>	<p>APS03617 B.Ed R.V.S. College of Education, Dindigul District TN</p>	<p>R.V.S. College of Education, RVS Nagar, Kulathur, P.Paratpatti, Dindigul-624005, Tamilnadu</p> <p>R.V.S. College of Education, RVS Nagar, Kulathur, P.Paratpatti, Dindigul-624005, Tamilnadu had submitted an application for B.Ed Course on 24.12.2004. The institution was granted recognition on 31.08.2006 with an annual intake of 100 students.</p> <p>The Directions of MHRD to re-inspect all the institutions granted recognition by SRC in its 116th meeting as per the recommendation of Sri Sathyam Committee reports. The inspection of the institution was carried out on 17.12.2008.</p> <p>The VT report was considered by SRC in its 170th meeting held on 16th -17th February 2009 and decided to issue Show Cause Notice. Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 02.04.2009 for certain deficiencies. The institution had submitted its reply on 18.05.2009.</p> <p>The SRC in its 178th meeting held on 13th -14th July 2009 and SRC decided to issue notice under NCTE Act. The notice was issued to the institution on 17.08.2009. The institution has submitted written representation on 01.09.2009.</p> <p>The SRC in its 183rd meeting held on 22nd September 2009 and decided to seek clarification. Accordingly a clarification letter was issued to the institution on 03.11.2009. The institution submitted its reply on 24.11.2009.</p> <p>The SRC in its 188th meeting held on 28th-29th January 2010 and decided to call for clarification. Accordingly, clarification letter was issued to the institution on 02.03.2010. The institution submitted its reply on 15.03.2010.</p>

The SRC in its 190th meeting held on 29th-30th March 2010 considered the reply of the institution and has decided to continue the recognition accorded to the institution. Accordingly, continue recognition was issued to the institution on 18.05.2010.

The institution vide its letter dated.21.11.2013 and has stated that

“ We are presently running the B.Ed College at Dindigul, the following address.

RVS College of Education,
RVS Nagar, N.Paraipatti Post,
Karur Road, Dindigul-624 005.

Due to some administrative compulsions the college is being shifted to a new premises to the following address.

RVS College of Education
RVS Nagar, RVS Mettu hostel, Kullathur Post,
Karur Road, Dindigul-624005.

The new campus is situated very close to the existing building. The land where the new building is situated is in the name of RVS Educational Trust and the above building is owned by us. The building is constructed as per the NCTE norms and is having all infra structure facilities for running the B.Ed College.

We request you to kindly send all our future correspondence in the new address mentioned above”.

The Committee considered the matter, letter from the institution dated 21-11-2013 and all relevant documents of the institution and decided that:

The Committee advised Southern Regional Office to

1. Collect the Inspection fee of Rs. 50000/- first.

2. The institution is to be directed to submit the following within 21 days of the receipt of the notice, Duly filled in Questionnaire along with all the necessary documents for land, building approval and completion certificate from a competent Govt. Engineer, FDRs, up-to-date non-encumbrance certificate and other relevant documents. All the documents to be fully analysed and to put up in April 2014 for all the 3 courses run by the institution Viz, D.T.Ed, B.Ed & M.Ed courses.

3. After collecting the inspection fee and all documents as mentioned above, to arrange for causing inspection of the institution for shifting.

4. The institution has shifted to new premises without the prior permission of SRCNCTE, Bangalore. This is against 8 (11) of NCTE Regulations 2009, which reads as under:

“In case of change of premises, prior approval of the Regional Committee concerned shall be necessary, which could be accorded after due inspection of the institution at the new site. Application for change of premises, in triplicate, in the specified format along with other relevant documents shall be submitted by the Institution to the Regional Office for

		<p>prior approval of change of premises. The change can be permitted to a site which, if applied initially, could have qualified for establishment of an institution as per specified norms of National Council for Teacher Education. The change shall be displayed on website thereafter. The application for change of premises shall be accompanied by a demand draft of Rs. 50,000 (or as revised from time to time) of a Nationalised Bank drawn in favour of the Member Secretary, National Council for Teacher Education and payable at the city where the Regional Committee is located. The fee may also be paid online at the bank designated by National Council for Teacher Education”.</p>																														
31.	<p>APS02971 D.T.Ed Don Bosco Teacher Training Institute, Karaikal, Pondicherry PU</p>	<p>Don Bosco Teacher Training Institute, 8, East Street, Thammanangudy, Nallambal Village, Ambagarathur Post, Karaikal-609601, Pondicherry.</p> <p>Don Bosco Teacher Training Institute, 8, East Street, Thammanangudy, Nallambal Village, Ambagarathur Post, Karaikal-609601, Pondicherry had submitted an application for D.T.Ed Course on 31.12.2003. The institution was granted recognition on 18.10.2005 with an annual intake of 100 students.</p> <p>The institution vide its letter dt. 30.09.2013 has stated that</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>“ The Pondichery Don Bosco Society, Holy Spirit Church, Tagore Nagar, Puducherry was running Don Bosco Teacher Training Institute, at Thamanangudy, Ambagarathur Post, Karaikal-609601, conducting two years D.T.Ed course from the year 2005-06.</p> <p>The admission of students in the D.T.Ed course was decreasing year by year as shown below.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Year students</th> <th style="text-align: center;">1st year students</th> <th style="text-align: center;">2nd year</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2005-06</td> <td style="text-align: center;">98</td> <td style="text-align: center;">-----</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2006-07</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100</td> <td style="text-align: center;">98</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2007-08</td> <td style="text-align: center;">88</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2008-09</td> <td style="text-align: center;">69</td> <td style="text-align: center;">88</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2009-10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">56</td> <td style="text-align: center;">69</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2010-11</td> <td style="text-align: center;">37</td> <td style="text-align: center;">56</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2011-12</td> <td style="text-align: center;">11</td> <td style="text-align: center;">37</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2012-13</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NIL</td> <td style="text-align: center;">11</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013-14</td> <td colspan="2">no student has applied for admission</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>So we have decided to suspend the D.T.Ed course temporarily from this year 2013-14. When the required strength of students is available, we will renew the course under intimation to you”.</p> </div> <p>The Committee considered the matter, request letter from the institution dated 30/09/2013 regarding temporary closure of their D.T.Ed course from the year 2013-14. The Committee decided that:</p> <p>1. There is no provision in NCTE Regulations 2009 for any temporary closure. It is also decided to permit permanent closure and withdraw recognition with effect from 2014-15 as under:</p> <p>Decided to permit permanent closure by withdrawing the recognition for (APS02971)-D.T.Ed course with effect from 2014-2015, in order to enable the</p>	Year students	1 st year students	2 nd year	2005-06	98	-----	2006-07	100	98	2007-08	88	100	2008-09	69	88	2009-10	56	69	2010-11	37	56	2011-12	11	37	2012-13	NIL	11	2013-14	no student has applied for admission	
Year students	1 st year students	2 nd year																														
2005-06	98	-----																														
2006-07	100	98																														
2007-08	88	100																														
2008-09	69	88																														
2009-10	56	69																														
2010-11	37	56																														
2011-12	11	37																														
2012-13	NIL	11																														
2013-14	no student has applied for admission																															

	<p>ongoing batch of students in D.T.Ed, course, if any, to complete their final year course.</p> <p>But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.</p> <p>The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly.</p> <p>Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore. If any, after ensuring settlement of all claims of staff/faculty.</p>
--	--

Consideration of Agenda Items- Volume-03
Inspection u/s-14/Shifting Inspection Report

32.	<p>SRCAPP193 D.P.Ed Pragathi College of Physical Education, Vizianagaram District AP</p>	<p>Pragathi College of Physical Education, Plot/Khasara No.173, Plot No.34, 35, 42, VZM Road, Ardannapalam Revinew Village, Kothavalasa Post & Tauk, Vizianagaram City & District - 535183, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Pedro Educational Welfare Society, Plot No. F.No.302, No.10-51-14, Sai Bhagavan Sravanthi Towers Road, FAcours Lay Out Village, Waltair Up Lands Post, Visakhapatnam Taluk & City, Vishakhapatnam District - 530003, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Pragathi College of Physical Education, Plot/Khasara No.173, Plot No.34, 35, 42, VZM Road, Ardannapalam Revinew Village, Kothavalasa Post & Tauk, Vizianagaram City & District - 535183, Andhra Pradesh for D.P.Ed course of two years duration under Section 14 (1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 07.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/12.04.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 12.04.2013.</p> <p>The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 04.06.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 249th Meeting held on 24th - 26th July, 2013 has considered the matter, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to Re-submit with a comparative statement for B.P.Ed, B.Ed, D.Ed and proposed course D.P.Ed in the 250th meeting.</p> <p>The SRC in its 254th Meeting held on 25th - 27th October, 2013 has considered the reply of the institution dated 04.06.2013 to the deficiency letter and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 23rd - 24th December, 2013 & 26th - 28th December, 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 17.12.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 23.12.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 31.12.2013.</p>
-----	--	---

The Committee considered the matter, VT report, VCD of the institution and all the relevant documents of the institution and decided that:

1. Visiting Members did not have as a Member of Physical Education Instructor.

2. The 3 points particularly expected by the VT to look at (as per the complaint from Private Unaided Physical Education Colleges Management Association –AP, vide their letter dated 10-09-2013) is :

i) The land is not owned by the Society:

The above mentioned society along with its on-line application submitted two land documents for the said D.P.Ed Course. In the document number 2070/2010 dated 18-06-2010 of Sub-Registrar Office, Kothavalasa, Vizianagaram District the extent of land is 5.23 acres in survey numbers 34/4, 34/6, 34/5, 34/7, 35/5, 36/4, 42/7, 42/2, 34/1, 34/3, 49/25, 49/26, 48/14 & 42/4. In the document number 4110/2010 dated 23/12/2010 of Sub-Registrar office, Kothavalasa, Vizianagaram Dist the extent of land is 2.13 acres in survey number 34/7. Total extent of land area vide two documents is 7.36 acres.

b) In the document number 2070/2010 dated 18-06-2010 Telugu version copy the register number of the society is mentioned and it is registered in the name of the Pedro Educational Welfare Society represented by Vanumu Srinivasa Rao but in the document number 4110/2010 dated 23-12-2010 it is not mentioned that Pedro Educational Welfare Society is represented by Vanumu Srinivasa Rao and the document is also on the individual's name but not on the society's name. Hence it clearly shows that the land of extent 2.13 acres in the survey numbers 34/7 does not belong to the society.

ii) Land spread in different places, no continuity of survey numbers:

The land shown for the D.P.Ed college in the survey numbers 34/1, 34/3, 34/7 and 35/5, is not in one lot and it is situated at different places. The survey numbers are not in continuity. As evidence we here with submit the FMB of survey number 34. See the Map in the FMB. The land in the survey numbers 34/1, 34/3 and 34/7 are not in one lot, the land with survey numbers 34/2 is separating the two pieces of lands of survey numbers 34/1 and 34/3; 34/4, 34/5 and 34/6 are separating the two pieces of lands with survey numbers 34/3 and 34/7. This situation of land clearly shows that the land marked for the proposed D.P.Ed college is not situated in one lot. As per the norms and standards of NCTE-2009 The land for the physical education college should have clear demarcation.

iii) Unauthorized land conversion certificate submitted :

The said society obtained land use certificate i.e., conversion of agricultural land to non agricultural purpose from the Tahsildar, Kothavalasa mandal, Vizianagaram dist vide D.Dis.No.716/2010A Dt 23-12-2010 but Tahsildar is not the competent authority to issue land conversion certificate. As per the Andhra Pradesh Agricultural Land conversion for Nonagricultural Purpose Act- 2006 the competent

		<p>authority for hthd conversion and land use proceedings is the Revenue Divisional Officer of the concerned Revenue Division. <u>As per the endorsement S.R.No.1-201/13/A/RTI Dt 30-07-2(113Kothavalasa land in survey numbers 3414,34/6, 34/5,34/7,35/5,36/4, 4217,4212, 34/1, 413,49/25,49126,48114 arid 42/4 is not converted from agricultural purpose to non-agricultural purpose(Endorsement copy enclosed).</u> So the action of Pedro Educational Welfare Society is against the Andhra Pradesh State government rules</p> <p>3.Further, the Committee advised Southern Regional Office to arrange for causing inspection with proper composition of the VT, to give them clear advice about the deficiencies to be verified and documents to be collected.</p>
33.	<p>SRCAPP82 D.Ed Madeena D.Ed College, Kurnool District AP</p>	<p>Madeena D.Ed College, Plot No.11/32, Main Road, Koilkuntla Village & Post Office, Koilkuntla Taluk & City, Kurnool District-518134, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Gulzare Ahmmad Educational Society, Plot No.1-80-B, Rangarajupeta, Koilkuntla Village & Post Office, Koilkuntla Taluk & City, Kurnool District-518134, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Madeena D.Ed College, Plot No.11/32, Main Road, Koilkuntla Village & Post Office, Koilkuntla Taluk & City, Kurnool District-518134, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed Course of two year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 31.01.2013/26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 23.05.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 252nd Meeting held on 13th-14th September, 2013 considered the matter, reply of the institution vide letter dated 23-05-2013 to the deficiency letter, all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection for D.Ed (SRCAPP82) course under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 28th November to 02nd December, 2013 the same was intimated to the institution on 04.11.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 27.12.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 01.01.2014.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, VT report, VCD and all other relevant documents of the institution, decided that:</p> <p>On scrutiny of the applications submitted by the institution, it was observed that the same society had applied on-line dated 30/09/2011 for D.Ed course (SRCAPP1476) is in process and the matter is pending in High Court.</p> <p>The Same Society has applied again for D.Ed course (SRCAPP82) on-line dated 31/12/2012.</p> <p>In terms of regulations no.8.3 of Regulations 2009, the institution could not have applied again, after their application dated 30/09/2011, before completion of 3 academic years.</p>

		<p>8. 3. of regulations 2009 reads “An institution can apply for one basic unit only of an additional course or for an additional unit of the existing recognised course after completion of three academic sessions of the respective course, for which the institution shall submit application before the cut off date prescribed for submission of applications in the year succeeding the completion of three academic sessions. Maximum intake capacity of an institution taking into consideration of all courses, along with additional intake, in any case, shall not exceed 300”.</p> <p>Since the earlier case is pending in the Court, the second application should not have been entertained when its was received, decided to close this case (SRCAPP82)-B.Ed.</p>
34.	<p>APS09054 B.Ed Venkata Rathna College of Education, Kurnool District AP</p>	<p>Venkata Rathna College of Education Kurnool District-518002, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Sri Shiridi Sainath Educational Society, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh has submitted in the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to Venkata Rathna College of Education, No. 87/1364, Maruthi Nagar, Kallur, Kurnool District-518002, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed Course for one year duration under section 14(1) of the NCTE Act. 1993 and received in the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE on 08/10/2007.</p> <p>The application was processed and recognition was granted for offering B.Ed course with an annual intake of 100 students on 18/01/2008 at Venkata Rathna College of Education, No. 87/1364, Maruthi Nagar, Kallur, Kurnool District-518002, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 19/02/2013 stating as follows:</p> <p>“I humbly submit that I have already submitted a letter to Regional Director, SRC-NCTE, Bangalore, bearing No. 122483 Dt. 27/11/2012 and bearing No. 123138 Dt. 27/12/2012 in addition to the above letter. I am herewith enclosing a copy of the NCTE-Recognition dated 2008 of APS0 9054 of Venkata Ratna College of Education, Kurnool for your kind reference.</p> <p>In addition I am herewith submit the photos of labs, library and class rooms of above building is purely utilized on rented basis till the new construction of building is made by the Shri Shridi Sainath Educational Society.</p> <p>I request the honourable authorities to kindly permit us to use the present shifted building for running of Venkata Ratna College of Education (Doctor’s colony area) as per regulation stipulated during 2006. I am already enclosing an affidavit submitted for the construction of new building by the management.</p> <p>May I request you sir to kindly issue necessary permission orders for the same, for which kind of act, I shall remain grateful to you”.</p> <p>The SRC in its 250th meeting held on 11-13th August, 2013, considered the above matter and decided to submit comparative statement for APS09054, APS06270 and APS06268 in the meeting.</p> <p>Accordingly, a comparative statemet was prepared and placed before SRC in its 253rd meeting held on 30th Sept, 2013 and 1st Oct, 2013 and it has decided to deferred to next meeting.</p>

The Committee in its 254th meeting held on 25-27th Oct, 2013 considered the written representation of the institution vide letter dated 19/02/2013, all the relevant documents of the institution and decided and advised Southern Regional Office to collect inspection fee, there after to Cause Inspection of the New Premises for shifting.

If the new building is on a different land, VT to be asked to collect land documents, building plan & building completion certificate and to verify the details at the time of inspection.

Further, the Committee advised Southern Regional Office to complete the exercise before 30th Nov, 2013.

Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 28th Nov – 2nd Dec, 2013.

As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 22.11.2013 and the VT report received in the office of SRC on 01/01/2014.

The Committee considered the VT report, VCD, and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice Under NCTE Act for the following -

- **Certified copy of the Lease deed land documents from Govt. authority is not submitted. The institution has submitted lease deed documents not certified by the competent authority.**
- **Building plan from authorized Govt. authority is not submitted.**
- **Building completion certificate in the prescribed format from competent Govt. engineer is not submitted.**
- **Notarised land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the purpose of educational institution is not submitted. Proceedings from the revenue divisional office not submitted.**
- **The Affidavit in Rs.100/- stamp paper in the prescribed format with precise location of land details with Notary attestation is not submitted.**
- **Original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund respectively from a Nationalised Bank is not submitted.**
- **As per VT report, the size of the multipurpose hall is only 1000 sq.ft, which is less than the requirement of 2000 sq.ft. as per NCTE norms.**
- **As per VT report, the building is still under construction (lease land), which is not permissible as per NCTE regulations 2009. The total built up area is only 8807.06 sq.ft.**

As per 8 (10) of regulations 2009, which reads:

“At the time of inspection, the building of the institution shall be complete in the form of a permanent structure on the land possessed by the institution in terms of sub-regulation (7) of Regulation 8, equipped with all necessary amenities and fulfilling all such requirements as prescribed in

		<p>the norms and standards. The applicant institution shall produce the original completion certificate issued by the competent Government Authority or local body authority, approved building plan in proof of the completion of building and built up area and other documents to the visiting team for verification. No temporary structure or asbestos roofing shall be allowed in the institution, even if it is in addition to the prescribed built up area”.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As per VT report, Furniture provided is inadequate and to be strengthened. • As per VT report, no qualified Principal is appointed by the college. • As per VT report, all the facilities provided to the college needs to be strengthened. • As per VT report, separate faculty rooms are not available. • As per VT, other labs & physical Education needs to be strengthened. • AS per VT, Physical Education instructor is not available. <p>In view of the above, the Committee decided to issue a Show Cause Notice to the institution as to why the recognition be not withdrawn and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a <u>written representation</u> within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the withdrawal, based on the records available with no further notice.</p>
35.	<p>APS00290 B.Ed Elim College of Education, East Godav.ari District AP</p>	<p>Elim College of Education, Amalapuram Revenue Division Church of Christ Campus, Janakipeta Street, Amalapuram East Godavari District-533201, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Elim College of Education, Amalapuram Revenue Division Church of Christ Campus, Janakipeta Street, Amalapuram East Godavari District-533201. Andhra Pradesh had submitted an application on 31.12.2001 for grant of recognition to B.Ed programme.</p> <p>The application was processed and the inspection of the institution was conducted on 21/05/2002 and finally SRC granted recognition for starting B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students on 03.03.2003, with the condition to shift to its own premises within three years form the date of recognition.</p> <p>The institution has submitted D.D. of Rs. 40,000/- vide dated 17/09/2007 stating that “...the institution is running in its own permanent building”.</p> <p>A letter sent to the institution on 25/05/2009 calling for essential documents for shifting.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was conducted on 30.03.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 205th Meeting held on 18th-19th May, 2011 considered the VT Report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, for the following</p>

deficiencies:

1. The Affidavit in Rs.100/- stamp paper in the prescribed format with Notary attestation is to be submitted.
2. The latest Building Completion Certificate from the competent Government Engineer is not submitted.
3. Land Usage Certificate from the concerned Government authorities stating that the land is exclusively permitted/ sanctioned for Educational Purposes is to be submitted.
4. Fresh Non-Encumbrance certificate from the competent authority is not submitted.
5. Psychology lab needs to be strengthened.
6. Technology lab & Other labs/Physical Education needs to be strengthened.
7. Seating capacity in the library is only for 10 students, which is inadequate.
8. No journals are available in the library.

Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 30/06/2011.

The institution has not submitted reply to the show cause notice even after stipulated period of 30 days and till date.

NCTE-Hqrs in its letter dated 12/06/2012 has forwarded a complaint received from Sri. K.R. Shekar with the request to examine the matter and take necessary action against the institution (Elim College of Education).

Another complaint has been received from Smt. Sunitha Kusuma on 17/09/2012 stating that

“...the Elim College of Education, Amalapuram was formed and functioning since 2002-2003 to till date based on the orders issued by the competent authorities vide reference first to third cited. Having obtained certificate from the Government of Andhra Pradesh. Recognition from NCTE and affiliation from Andhra University the college “ELIM COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, Amalapuram” stated functioning form the academic year 2002-2003 with and intake of 100 students.

At the time of formation of college late Dhanaraj Bonthu the then secretary & correspondent has produced the necessary land documents for about five acres land and necessary equipment & infrastructure as per the NCTE Norms and Standards for Bachelor of education programme leading to Bachelor of Education (B.Ed) degree.

Subsequently due to financial crisis the then secretary & correspondent sold the lands shown for B.Ed the required equipment of laboratory and furniture are also not sufficient to full fill the norms prescribed by the NCTE regarding infrastructure two RCC building were provided B.Ed purpose out of which one building was already sold out and the B.Ed classes are being continued in single building only and it is not sufficient and moreover the building is not approved by the Amalapuram Municipal corporation authorities except ground floor. The management committee is misguiding the inspection team every time by showing orphanage buildings and school buildings in the church of Christ campus which were in the same premises.

After the demise of Dr. Dhanaraju Bonthu i.e. on August 3rd 2011 Mr. Joseph Raju Bonthu took charge as the secretary and correspondent for the Elim College of Education, Amalapuram and has submitted proposals

for sanctioning of D.Ed (DIET) college in the same premises to the Director, NCTE, Bangalore even though there are no required infrastructure and laboratory for B.Ed College.

1. Multipurpose hall with seating capacity of 200 and a dias (2000 sq.ft)
2. Library-cum-reading room(NIL)
3. ICT Resource Centre(NIL)
4. Psychology Resource Centre (NIL)
5. Art and Craft Resource Centre (NIL)
6. Health and Physical Education Resource Centre (NIL)
7. Science and Mathematics Resource Centre (NIL)
8. Principal's Office(NIL)
9. Staff Room (NIL)
10. Administrative Office(NIL)
11. Visitors Room(NIL)
12. Girl's Common Room(NIL)
13. Seminar Room(NIL)
14. Canteen(NIL)

I submit that the above facts are true and I am bringing to your kind notice to initiate suitable action as deemed fit by sending a special team of inspectors surprisingly and conducting an open enquiry by a special officer or by NCTE special team. I request an early action in this matter as a present batch has gone out and new batch will be coming after edcet-2012. If no action is taken by you in this matter then I will be forced to seek the court of law”.

Another complaint has been received from Sri. Jayanandam on 06/12/2012 regarding functioning of B.Ed College and irregularities and to take action against the institution.

The complaint and non-submission of show cause notice reply were placed before SRC in its 236th Meeting held on 16th-17th December, 2012 and the Committee considered the non-reply of the institution to the issue of show cause notice dt. 30/06/2012 and the complaints and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course.

Keeping in view, Supreme Court vide their order in Civil Appeal No. 1125-1128/2011 in SLP No. 17165-68/2009 filed by NCTE Vs ors, which reads as under:

“An institution is not entitled to recognition unless it fulfills the conditions specified in various clauses of the Regulations. The Council is directed to ensure that in future no institution is granted recognition unless it fulfills the conditions laid down in the Act and the Regulations and the time schedule fixed for processing the application by the Regional Committee and communication of the decision on the issue of recognition it strictly adhered to”.

As per the decision of SRC, a rejection order was issued to the institution on 05/02/2013.

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to

NCTE-Hqrs the appellate authority order vide no. F.No.89-107/2013Appeal/8th Meeting -2013 dated 22/05/2013 stated that

“...the council noted that the reply stated to have been sent on 26/09/2011 is not in the file of the SRC. In the copy of the reply submitted by the appellant no reasons for the delayed reply have been mentioned. In these circumstances the council concluded that the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC confirms. Since the appellant is an existing institution SRC should have issued an order of withdrawal and not an order of refusal. The SRC should issue a corrigendum to their order conveying their decision to withdrawal of recognition instead of refusing recognition.

After perusal of the documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the council concluded that the appeal deserved to be rejected and hence the order of the SRC is confirmed. The SRC is directed to issue a corrigendum mentioning withdrawal of recognition instead of refusal”.

The appellate authority order was placed before SRC in its 247th meeting held on 20th – 22nd June, 2013 and the Committee has **noted the matter**.

A court order has been received from the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in W.P.MP no. 20201 of 2013 in W.P. No. 16657 of 2013. The Hon'ble Court order stating as follows;

“Material filed before this court shows that explanation offered by the petitioner to the show cause notice dated 30.6.2011 was glossed over by both the authorities. The petitioner has been harping right from the beginning that the institution was being run in its own premises by complying with all the requirements. The primary authority, instead of considering the matter pragmatically with reference to the allegations in the show-cause notice and explanation offered by the petitioner, has taken into consideration additional factors, which were not mentioned in the show-case notice.

*In view of the same, there is a prima facie case in favour of the petitioner. Hence, there **shall be interim suspension as prayed for**”.*

A court notice has been received from the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in W.P.MP no. 20202 of 2013 in W.P.No. 16657 of 2013. The Hon'ble High Court as directed respondent no (3 & 4 i.e. the Government of Andhra Pradesh and The Andhra University) to appear before the court in person are by counsel.

Another court notice has been received from the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in W.P. No. 16657 of 2013 dated 13/06/2013. The Hon'ble Court as direction **respondent No. 2 (SRC-NCTE) do appear personally are by advocate on the day of 22/07/2013 at 10.30 a.m.**

Accordingly, a letter to the advocate enclosing brief of the case was sent on 11/07/2013, with the request to appear before the court and defend the case and behalf of SRC-NCTE and NCTE-Hqrs.

NCTE-Hqrs in its letter dated 08/07/2013 stating that *“the court notices dated 13.06.2013 received from the Hon'ble High Court along with a copy of writ petition in the matter mentioned above. It is seen that the petitioner is aggrieved*

by the orders of Appellate authority dated 22.05.2013 inter-alia confirmed the impugned order dated 05.02.2013 of SRC, NCTE withdrawal of recognition to secure allotment of students for the current year academic year 2013-14. The matter is listed for hearing on 22.07.2013.

You are, therefore, authorized to defend the case including on behalf of the NCTE (HQ) and file a detailed counter affidavit in order to vacate the stay”.

Accordingly, a letter to the advocate was sent on 02/08/2013 with the request to ensure the appearance of the counsel and to take immediate action for vacation of stay.

Meantime, the institution has submitted a letter dated 18.7.2013 stating as follows;

“This is to inform you that the undersigned had filed W.P. No. 16657 of 2013 before the Hon’ble Andhra Pradesh High Court challenging the order dated 22.5.2013 issued by the NCTE (New Delhi) whereby your office was directed to issue a corrigendum mentioning “Withdrawal of recognition instead of refusal”. The above appeal was filed against the order of your office, dated 5.2.2013, whereby our Elim College’s application for grant of recognition for starting B.Ed course was refused/rejected.

On hearing the arguments of both sides, the Hon’ble High Court was pleased to suspend the operation of the order dated 22.5.2013, of the appellate authority, NCTE(New Delhi) which in turn had confirmed the order dated 5.2.2013 issued by your office against Elim College of Education.

It is now noted that the NCTE-SRC(Bangalore) in its 247th meeting, dated 20th– 22nd June, 2013 in respect of Elim College of Education(APS00290)(at Sl.No. 55 of the Minutes of the Meeting), has stated the following:

“The Committee has noted the matter and direction of the Appellate authority vide appeal order dated 22.5.2013 to issue a corrigendum mentioning “Withdrawal of recognition instead of refusal”.

From the above, it appears that the NCTE-SRC, despite having been a party to the above writ petition has not been appraised of the order dated 13.6.2013 of the Hon’ble High Court vide which the order dated 22.5.2013 of the NCTE(New Delhi) was suspended. In view of the High Court’s order the NCTE-SRC cannot note or take any further action pursuant to the appellate authority’s order dated 22.5.2013.

Kindly ensure compliance with the High Court’s order in the above mentioned W.P.”

A contempt case has been received from the Hon’ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in C.C no. 1485 of 2013 against W.P.M.P. No. 20201 of 2013 in W.P. No. 16657 of 2013 filed by Elim College of Education, East Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh. The Hon’ble High Court has directed to appear in person on 16.9.2013 at 10.30 a.m.

A contempt court order/appeal order along with the original file were placed before SRC 252nd meeting to be held on 13th-14th September, 2013 and the committee has decided as follows;

SRC order in the 247th Meeting was only to correct a factual error in its earlier order as directed by the Appellate Authority. It will still be subject to the 'stay' order issued and any other orders that may be passed by the Hon'ble Court in the W.P. filed by the institution".

Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 14/19/2013.

Meantime, a judgment copy received from the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature, Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad in Writ Appeal No. 1557 of 2013 dated 11/09/2013 stating that

"the writ petitioner/respondent No. 1 shall file a written explanation to the show cause notice and the appellants shall consider such explanation and pass a speaking order, after giving an opportunity of personal hearing to the writ petitioner. This exercise shall be done within a period of three weeks from today. Of course, notice has to be given to the writ petitioner. In spite of notice, if the writ petitioner does not turn up for personal hearing, the issue will be a closed chapter. The interim order passed by the learned Single Judge shall stand set aside. Until a fresh decision is taken, the appellants shall not take any action against the writ petitioner.

Both the learned counsel for the parties submit that in view of this order nothing remains in the writ petition. Accordingly, it would be open for the parties to approach the learned Single Judge for early disposal of the writ petition in terms of this order.

The writ appeal is accordingly disposed of."

Accordingly as per the court direction, a letter was issued to the institution 20/09/2013, directing the petitioner institution to appear before SRC in its 253rd Meeting to be scheduled on 1st October, 2013 in person at 11.00 a.m.

As per the court direction and as per the letter dated 20.09.2013, the institution has submitted its written representation (reply to Show Cause Notice dt 31.06.2011) received on 25.09.2013.

The SRC considered the matter, as per the court direction a letter was issued to the institution on 20.09.2013, directing the petitioner to appear before SRC in its 253rd meeting scheduled on 1st October 2013 in person at 11.00 A.M.

The committee also considered the written representation received on 25.09.2013.

- As directed by the Court, personal hearing was given to the petitioner.
- The correspondent of the college was not present/did not appear.
- The superintendent and the Telugu lecturer who appeared on behalf of the institution were heard.

The committee advised them to give their contentions for the following:-

- 1) Land title for the survey number and premises where the building was constructed as per the original approved building plan.

		<p>2) Land Usage Certificate furnished is not by the competent authority and not in the prescribed format.</p> <p>3) The Survey number and the building plan submitted now is different from the original building plan.</p> <p>4) Reason for the delay in the submission of the reply for show cause notice dated 31.06.2011 (reply received only on 25.09.2013)</p> <p>5) The reason for submission of new building plan and land documents if they have been continuing to occupy the same permanent building from inception as claimed by the institution before the court.</p> <p>6) The reason for having paid the shifting inspection fee if no shifting was involved.</p> <p>Based on the above, the SRC in its 253rd meeting held on 30th September & 1st October 2013, decided that after receipt of their written submission to the above points, the case may be processed and put up in the next meeting for further decision.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter was sent to institution on 15.10.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 23.10.2013</p> <p>The SRC in its 254th meeting held on 25th-27th October 2013 and the committee has considered the written representation of the institution vide letter dated 23-10-2013, relevant documents of the institution, decided and noted that, the institution has shifted without prior permission from SRC NCTE. The institution has admitted this in their personal hearing given to them as per High Court direction dated 11/09/2013.</p> <p>In view of the High Court direction the Committee took the decision of causing inspection of the institution (after collecting inspection fee). But, this shall not be construed as amounting to condonation of the irregularity at this stage.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 12.11.2013 along with submitting Demand Draft of Rs.50,100/-.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 28th November –2nd December 2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 22.11.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 01.01.2014</p> <p>The committee considered the VT report, VCD and all other relevant documentary evidences and decided to allow for shifting of the institution to the new premises, <u>subject to the condition that after obtaining original FDRs.</u></p>
36.	<p>SRCAPP1898 APS02646 B.Ed/ B.Ed Nizam School of Education, Nalgonda District AP</p>	<p>Nizam School of Education, Plot No.182AA, 183AA and 190AA, Deshmukhi Village, Bata Singaram Post Office, Pochampally (M) & City, Nalgonda District-508284, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Ummul Qura Education Society, Plot No.8-2-677, Road No.12, Banjara Hills Post Office, Hyderabad City & Taluk, Hyderabad District-500034, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Nizam School of Education, Plot No.182AA, 183AA and 190AA, Deshmukhi Village, Bata Singaram Post Office, Pochampally (M) & City, Nalgonda District-508284, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed Course of two years duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 26.12.2012 and physical application has</p>

been received in the office of SRC on 28.12.2012.

The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013.

The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 27.05.2013(late by 2 days). There is no proof available on the cover.

The institution has submitted its reply after the expiry of stipulated time of 60 days.

“As per sub-clause (1) of Clause 7 of NCTE Regulation 2009, the applicant institutions is required to submit deficiencies to the Southern Regional Committee Office Within 60 days from the date of receipt of communication of deficiencies, failing which the application will be treated as rejected and closed, no extension to time will be granted and any submission received after 60 days will be treated as invalid and not entertained”.

While placing the agenda of delayed deficiency letter reply in the 249th meeting of SRC held on 24th-26th July 2013, and the committee decided that dates given in respect of B.Ed (2646) are discrepant. Recheck. Resubmit with a comparative statement for B.Ed (2646) and B.Ed (1898).

As per the decision of SRC, the comparative statement was placed before SRC in its 254th meeting held on 25th-27th October 2013 and the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter dated 27.05.2013 was duly considered and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:-

1. Approved blue print of the building plan issued by competent civil authority is not submitted. In the building plan submitted, total extent of land area is not mentioned.
2. Total built up area of 16745.25 Sq.ft as shown in building plan is not tallying with that of 22327 sq.ft as shown in building completion certificate.
3. Notarised land usage certificate from the Revenue Divisional Office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the educational purpose is not submitted. Proceedings of Revenue Divisional Officer not submitted for conversion of land from agricultural to non-agriculture. Land use certificate submitted is not in the prescribed format.

Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the SRC in its 254th meeting decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution (SRCAPP1898-B.Ed.)

Further, SRC decided to cause inspection of B.Ed (APS02646) course for reconstruction of file under NCTE Act. VT to be informed to collect all the relevant documents of the institution for B.Ed course run by the institution.

As per the decision of SRC, a rejection order was issued to the institution on 25.11.2013 (SRCAPP1898). Whereas, as per APS02646 B.Ed course an inspection of the institution was conducted on 26.12.2013 and the statement of the VT members as follows:

“It is pertinent to mention here that, in the Web portal of NCTE, it has been mentioned that Nizam College of Education, Deshmukhi Village, Pochampally Mandal, Nalgonda – 508284, Andhra Pradesh – APS02646-31/12/2003 – Granted 20.06.2000 B.Ed (100)” which is not correct (copy of detailed statement downloaded from NCTE Website enclosed).

Meantime, the institution has submitted its written representation on 26.11.2013 stated as follows:-

“.....we are in receipt of minutes of 254th meeting of SRC-NCTE held on 25th-27th October, 2013 wherein Recognition for B.Ed course for our college is rejected.

In response to our application, you have issued a show cause notice dated 26.03.2013 for which we have sent reply dated 24.05.2013 by Blue Dart Courier.

Further, we are to inform you that your deficiency letter dated 26th March 2013 was received by us on 29th March 2013 (Xerox copy of speed post cover of NCTE enclosed) and we have sent the reply on 24th May 2013 i.e. within days of receipt of communication from you by Blue Dart Couriers, although you have given 60 days time to sent reply. (Xerox copy of Receipt issued by Blue Dart Couriers enclosed).

We are also to inform you that, earlier we have applied for Grant of Recognition for B.Ed course on 30.09.2011 which was rejected vide NCTE letter No.SRCAPP1427 (2012-13)B.Ed/AP/2011-12/35961 dated 13.01.2012 (copy letter enclosed).

It is pertinent to mention here that, in the Web portal of NCTE, it has been mentioned that Nizam College of Education, Deshmukhi Village, Pochampally Mandal, Nalgonda – 508284, Andhra Pradesh – APS02646-31/12/2003 – Granted 20.06.2000 B.Ed (100)” which is not correct (copy of detailed statement downloaded from NCTE Website enclosed).

For your kind information, we state that in the list of B.Ed Colleges of Andhra Pradesh State Council for Higher Education in Nalgonda District, Andhra Pradesh, where currently B.Ed admissions were held, our college name is not reflecting, which clearly indicates that permission has not granted to our B.Ed College. (Copy of downloaded list from APSCH website enclosed).

We submit that the College building area is consisting of Ground + 3 Floors, Each Floor Area is 5581.75 sq.ft., thus the total area comes to 5581.75 x 4 floors = 22327 sq.ft., but not 16745.25 sq.ft which is erroneously shown in the 254th meeting of SRC held on 25th-27th October 2013.

Further, we are to inform you that the ‘Land Use Certificate’ is issued by Tahasildar, B. Pochampally, Nalgonda District on 09.05.2013 who is the competent Authority in Government, which is notarized. (Copy enclosed).

Therefore, we are herewith enclosing copies of the following documents once again to do the needful in the matter.

1. Approved Blue Print of Building Plan issued by competent Civil Authority. All deficiencies have been attended.
2. Affidavit and notarized land use certificate in prescribed format.

Under the circumstance explained above, we humbly request you to kindly grant recognition for B.Ed course 2014-15 and oblige.”

Note:

		<p>1. It is observed that as per VT report and as per the statement of the institution the B.Ed College i.e. APS02646 was not granted recognition by SRC. As per MIS data it is inadvertently reflected that the college was granted recognition during 2000. Hence the website needs to be corrected.</p> <p>Therefore, the application to be closed.</p> <p>2. The application of SRCAPP1898/B.Ed was already rejected by SRC on 25.11.2013.</p> <p>The Committee considered the VT report, VCD and all other relevant documents of the institution and decided that:</p> <p>1. The Application for B.Ed course (SRCAPP1898) was rejected on 25.11.2013.</p> <p>2. Reference to APS02646-B.Ed was wrong. No such case existed.</p> <p>3. Request of the institution to SRC to reconsider can not be considered. There is no provision for that in NCTE Regulations 2009. The Institution can only go for an appeal against our order in case SRCAPP1898.</p>
37.	<p>SRCAPP99 B.P.Ed Sri Prakash College of Physical Education, Visakhapatnam District AP</p>	<p>Sri Prakash College of Physical Education, Plot No. 121, 122/2B, 126/2A, NH5 Street. Namavaram (B.P.O.), Payakaraopeta, Visakhapatnam District, Pin-531126, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Sri Prakash College of Physical Education, Plot No. 121, 122/2B, 126/2A, NH5 Street. Namavaram (B.P.O.), Payakaraopeta, Visakhapatnam District, Pin-531126, Andhra Pradesh has submitted an application for grant of recognition to start Sri Prakash College of Physical Education, Plot No. 121, 122/2B, 126/2A, NH% Street, Namavaram BPO, Payakaraopeta Taluk & Cirty, Vishakhapatnam District-531126, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed course of one year duration under Section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE on-line on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 7.1.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 6.2.2013/14/3/2013(reminder. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.3.2-13. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 24.5.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 247th meeting held on 20th – 22nd June, 2013 considered the matter and advised Southern Regional Office to prepare a comprehensive comparative statement for all the 3 courses viz, B.Ed(0431), D.Ed(2813) and B.P.Ed(SRCAPP99) and put up in the 248th meeting.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 23rd-24th December, 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 17.12.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 27.12.2013 and the VT report received in the office of SRC on 31.12.2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993,</p>

		<p>Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.P.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(one hundred only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p>
38.	<p>AOS00431 B.Ed Sri Venkateshwar a College of Education, Visakhapatna m District AP</p>	<p>Sri Venkateshwara College of Education, P.L.Puram, Namavaram (B.P.O.), Payakaraopeta, Visakhapatnam District, Pin-531126, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Sri Prakash Educational Society, Kothapeta, Tuni, East Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE seeking permission to start Sri Venkateshwara College of Education, Payakaraopeta, P.L.Puram, Vishakapatnam District-531126, Andhra Pradesh for Elementary (B.Ed) course of one year duration from the academic session 1998-99-06 with an annual intake of 160 students was granted recognition on 09.06.1998 (As per MIS 25.09.2001).</p> <p>While placing D.L.Reply of SRCAPP99(B.P.Ed) & SRCAPP93(B.P.Ed) of 2013-14 applications was placed before SRC in its 247th meeting held on 20th-22nd June 2013 and the committee considered the matter and advised Southern Regional Office to prepare a comprehensive comparative statement for all the 3 courses viz, B.Ed (0431), D.Ed (2813) and B.P.Ed (SRCAPP99).</p> <p>Accordingly, a comparative statement of B.Ed (AOS0431), D.Ed (APS02813), B.P.Ed (SRCAPP99), B.P.Ed (SRCAPP93) was prepared and placed before SRC in its 254th meeting held on 25th-27th October 2013, considered the matter, on verification of the comparative statement of all the courses, and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection under NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the existing programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms. (SRC decided to reconstruct the file due to non-availability of original file)</u> Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 23rd to 24th December 2013 to 26th to 28th December 2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 26.12.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 31.12.2013</p> <p>The Committee considered the VT report of the institution, VCD and other related documents along with the original file of the institution and decided to continue recognition accorded to the institution.</p>
39.	<p>APS02813 D.Ed Sri Venkateshwar a College of Elementary Teacher Education, Visakhapatna m District AP</p>	<p>Sri Venkateshwara College of Elementary Teacher Education, P.L.Puram, Namavaram (B.P.O.), Payakaraopeta, Visakhapatnam District, Pin-531126, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Sri Prakash Educational Society, Kothapeta, Tuni, East Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE seeking permission to start Sri Venkateshwara College of Elementary Teacher Education, Payakaraopeta, P.L.Puram, Vishakapatnam District-531126, Andhra Pradesh for Elementary (D.Ed) course of two years duration from the academic session 2005-06 with an annual intake of 50 students was granted recognition on 26.08.2005.</p>

		<p>While placing D.L.Reply of SRCAPP99(B.P.Ed) & SRCAPP93(B.P.Ed) of 2013-14 applications was placed before SRC in its 247th meeting held on 20th-22nd June 2013 and the committee considered the matter and advised Southern Regional Office to prepare a comprehensive comparative statement for all the 3 courses viz, B.Ed (0431), D.Ed (2813) and B.P.Ed (SRCAPP99).</p> <p>Accordingly, a comparative statement of B.Ed (AOS0431), D.Ed (APS02813), B.P.Ed (SRCAPP99), B.P.Ed (SRCAPP93) was prepared and placed before SRC in its 254th meeting held on 25th-27th October 2013, considered the matter, on verification of the comparative statement of all the courses, and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the existing programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 23rd to 24th December 2013 to 26th to 28th December 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 17.12.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 24.12.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 31.12.2013</p> <p>The Committee considered the VT report of the institution, VCD and other related documents along with the original file of the institution and decided to continue recognition accorded to the institution.</p>
40.	<p>APS02812 APS00276 D.Ed B.Ed Rangamudri Teacher Training Institute, Srikakulam District AP</p>	<p>Rangumudri Teacher Training Institute, Iruvada, Chilakalapalli, Balijipeta, Vangara Mandal, Palakonda, Srikakulam District-535557, Andhra Pradesh (D.Ed course)</p> <p>Rangumudri College of Education, Palakonda Revenue Division, Iruvada Village, Vangara Mandal, Srikakulam District-535557, Andhra Pradesh (B.Ed course)</p> <p>Rangamudri Educational Society, Chilakalapalli, Vizianagaram District, Andhra Pradesh has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE seeking permission to start Rangumudri Teacher Training Institute, Iruvada, Chilakalapalli, Balijipeta, Vangara Mandal, Palakonda, Srikakulam District-535557, Andhra Pradesh for (D.Ed) course of two years duration from the academic session 2005-06 with an annual intake of 50 students was granted recognition on 24.08.2005.</p> <p>While placing D.L.Reply of SRCAPP231 (B.P.Ed) of 2013-14 before SRC in its 249th meeting held on 24th - 26nd July, 2013 and the committee considered the matter and decided to resubmit with comparative statement for B.P.Ed/B.Ed/D.Ed courses.</p> <p>Accordingly, a comparative statement of B.P.Ed (SRCAPP231), B.Ed (APS00276), D.Ed (APS02812), was prepared and placed before SRC in its 254th meeting held on 25th-27th October 2013 and the Committee considered the matter, on verification of the comparative statement of all the courses, and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the existing programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution for 3 courses was fixed between 28th November to 2nd December 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on</p>

		<p>22.11.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 25.12.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 01.01.2014.</p> <p>The Committee considered the VT report, VCD, and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice Under NCTE Act for the following -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Certified copy of the land documents from Govt. authority is not submitted. • Building plan from authorized Govt. authority is not submitted. • Built up area provided to the two courses are inadequate. • Original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund respectively for both the course separately from a Nationalised Bank is not submitted. • U-to-date non-encumbrance certificate issued by competent authority is not submitted. • No. of books provided in the library for B.Ed and D.Ed course is only 2524 numbers, which is inadequate as per NCTE norms. • The institution has not submitted duly approved staff list from affiliating body/University for both the courses respectively. <p>In view of the above, the Committee decided to issue a Show Cause Notice to the institution as to why the recognition be not withdrawn and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a <u>written representation</u> within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the withdrawal, based on the records available with no further notice.</p>
--	--	---

Consideration of Agenda Items- Volume-04 Court Cases

41.	<p>APS01712 B.Ed Rajiv Gandhi College of Education, Tumkur District KA</p>	<p>HMS Education Society, Settyhally Road, Tumkur District-572102, Karnataka had submitted an application in the name and style of Rajiv Gandhi College of Education seeking grant of recognition for starting B.Ed course on 30.12.2003.</p> <p>The application was processed and the finally the institution was granted recognition for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students on 25.11.2004 with a condition to shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition (in case the institution is started in rented premises)</p> <p>This office was in receipt of letter No.TY: VCPS: 2011-12:98 dated 21.05.2011 from the Vice-chancellor, Tumkur University, Tumkur by enclosing a list of 19 institutions with the committee observations by conducting inspections.</p>
-----	--	--

The SRC in its 206th meeting held on 9th-10th June, 2011 noted the inspection report of the Vice-chancellor, Tumkur University, dated 21.05.2011 and the deficiencies in the 19 institutions in Tumkur District and decided to issue show cause notice under section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 08.07.2011. The institution submitted in its reply on 01.08.2011.

The SRC in its 211th meeting held on 21-23 September 2011 considered the letter dt.21.05.2011 from Vice-Chancellor, Tumkur University pointing out deficiencies in the 19 Tumkur University colleges, and also the reply of the above institution dt. 01.08.2011 and other documents submitted by the institution and decided to cause composite inspection for all the courses at premises Under Section 17 of NCTE Act, to verify whether the institution is maintaining instructional and infrastructural facilities as per NCTE norms. The same was informed to the institution vide letter dated 04.10.2011

Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was carried out on 29.10.2011.

In the meantime, Tumkur University vide letter received on 17.10.2011 conducted re-visit to the institution during 15-19 and 27th of July, 2011 and made certain observations.

The SRC in its 216th meeting held on 11th and 12th January, 2012 considered the VT Report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 5.3.2012. The institution vide its letter received by this office on 2.5.2012.

The SRC in its 226th meeting held on 9th and 10th July, 2012 considered the written reply of the institution on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-

- The details required as per Questionnaire is not filled up completely.
- As per the questionnaire, the land area is mentioned as 1500 sq.mtrs., the built up area mentioned as per the copy of the building plan for B.Ed. and D.Ed. course is only 864 sq.mtrs as against 3000 sq.mtrs.
- As per the copy of the G.P.A. dt. 13.4.2009 registered in the office of the sub-Registrar, the institution is having Tumkur Tq., Kasaba hobli, Shettihalli gram panchayath, Khata No.249 with 1 acre area i.e., 4840 sq.yards in the name of HMS Education Society. Certified copy of the land document in the site No.48(as per copy of building plan) is not submitted.
- Approved blue print of the building plan is not submitted.
- BCC approved by the Govt. authorized Engineer is not submitted.
- Non-encumbrance certificate is not enclosed.

- Original FDRs of Rs.5 lakhs and Rs. 3 lakhs are not submitted.
- As per NCTE norms, the Principal should have Ph.D. with 10 years of experience in teacher education college.
- Staff list approved by the affiliating University is not submitted.
- No documentary proof submitted for having separate building and separate facilities and removal of B.Sc., computer science course from the institution.
- No documentary proof is submitted for providing salary and other benefits as per the State Government pay scale.
- No documentary proof is submitted for purchasing of the books.

- No documentary proof is submitted for separating the Science lab. and action initiated to provide separate facilities.
- Institution is required to submit Staff Profile duly signed by the competent authority.

Accordingly, withdrawal order was issued to the institution vide No. APS01712-B.Ed./KA/2012-2013/44404 dated 27.07.2012.

Aggrieved by the withdrawal order, the institution preferred an appeal before the appellate authority and the appellate authority vide its Order No.89-577/2012 Appeal/10th Meeting-2012 dated 11.12.2012 concluded that there was no justification to accept the appeal and has confirmed the order appealed against.

The institution had also approached Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka vide W.P.No.50102/2012 and WP Nos.5737-5786/2013 against R1-NCTE, Bangalore and R2-Tumkur University.

On 27.3.2013, this office has received a copy of the judgement dated 30.1.2013 from the institution which is ordered as under:-

"Para 7

'Insofar as the impugned order passed at Annexure-B is concerned, the same is quashed subject to petitioner complying with conditions other than the minor deficiencies pointed out, since there is substantial compliance of major deficiencies. It is for the 1st respondent Authority to accord approval of the admission made for the academic year 2012-13. It is for the petitioner to pay the court fee based on the number of candidates admitted to the course and it is for the respondent No.1 authority to direct the petitioner to comply with the deficiencies in another two months. If it is needed, respondent No.1 Authority may inspect the petitioner institution once again. Ordered accordingly. Petition is disposed of.'

The SRC in its 242nd meeting held on 14-16 April, 2013 considered the court order and decided and advised SRO as follows :

' to file appeal against the Hon'ble High Court order dt. 27.03.2013 citing Hon'ble Supreme Court Order about rigid application of norms/standards and 100% (Not just "Substantial") compliance.

Keeping in view, Supreme Court vide their order in Civil Appeal No. 1125-1128/2011 in SLP No. 17165-68/2009 filed by NCTE Vs ors, in the matter of Bhanwar Kanwar Singh Shiksha Mahavidyalaya and ors. Which reads as under:

"An institution is not entitled to recognition unless it fulfills the conditions specified in various clauses of the Regulations. The Council is directed to ensure that in future no institution is granted recognition unless it fulfils the conditions laid down in the Act and the Regulations and the time schedule fixed for processing the application by the Regional Committee and communication of the decision on the issue of recognition it strictly adhered to".

Accordingly, a letter was addressed to the advocate Shri.Ashok Haranahalli on 31.05.2013

requesting him to file an appeal on behalf of NCTE citing the Supreme Court vide

		<p>their order in Civil Appeal No. 1125-1128/2011 in SLP No. 17165-68/2009 .</p> <p>A letter has been received by this office from the institution on 17.12.2013, requesting for conduct of inspection along with the court order.</p> <p>A letter was received from Dr. Rakesh Thomar ,Research Officer,NCTE Hqrs on 19.08.2013 requesting to furnish action taken in the matter.. Since no reply was received from the advocate, another letter was sent on 12.09.2013</p> <p>A letter has been received from the advocate on 20.09.2013 requesting to send all the papers pertaining to the writ petition and the certified copy of the final order in order to prepare the appeal papers at the earliest .</p> <p>A letter to the advocate was sent on 25.09.2013 requesting to file an appeal immediately without delay</p> <p><u>It is not known ,whether he had filed an appeal before the Hon'ble Court or not.</u></p> <p>Further , no action has been taken in the file.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, letter dated 25/09/2013 written the Lawyer requesting to file an appeal in the said case. The Committee decided and advised Regional Director SRO to follow-up with the new lawyer to ensure that appeal is filed and 'stay' is obtained. If necessary, explain details of the case on phone (or, in person) to the Lawyer.</p>
42.	<p>APS03681 B.Ed Good Shepherd College of Education. Kanyakumari District TN</p>	<p>Good Shepherd College of Education, 31/6, 18B, Holy Cross College Road, Nagercoil-629004, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Good Shepherd College of Education, 31/6, 18B, Holy Cross College Road, Nagercoil-629004, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu had submitted an application for B.Ed Course on 30.12.2004. The institution was granted recognition on 21.11.2005 with an intake of 100 students, with the condition to shift to own premises within 3 years.</p> <p>As per decision of SRC in its 175th meeting held on 13th-14th May 2009, the office of SRC had reviewed the files of the institutions who had been granted recognition either in rented premises pending construction of own building or in lease premises. A list of such institutions were prepared and placed before SRC in its 181st meeting held on 20th-21st August 2009 wherein, it was decided that the institutions which had not shifted to the permanent premises even after the expiry of three years time limit be issued notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act for further action.</p> <p>Accordingly, a notice was issued to the institution on 08.10.2009 to submit the essential documents. The inspection of the institution was fixed between 18th-23rd April 2011. The same was intimated to the institution on 13.04.2011. Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 21.04.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 205th meeting held on 18th-19th May, 2011 considered the VT Report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show cause Notice under section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, a Show cause notice was issued to institution on 27.06.2011. The institution had submitted its reply on 19.07.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 211th meeting held on 21st-23rd September, 2011 considered the reply of the institution dt. 13.07.2011, 18.07.2011 & 09.09.2011, VT Report, VCD</p>

and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Final Show cause Notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, a Final Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 16.11.2011. The institution had submitted its written representation on 22.12.2011.

The SRC in its 216th meeting held on 11th-12th January, 2012 considered the written reply of the institution vide letter dt. 22.12.2011 on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution, the deficiencies still persists and decided not to permit shifting and **withdraw recognition** for the following reasons:-

1. A certificate from the affiliating body to the effect that no other programme is being run/shared by the institution in the same building/campus, along with the existing courses D.T.Ed, D.T.Ed-AI B.Ed and M.Ed is not submitted.
2. Sale deed is not in the name of the institution/society.

The reply of the institution to the show cause notice is not convincing and not satisfactory.

Based on the above grounds. The committee decided to withdraw the recognition of the B.Ed course (APS03681) course run by Good Shepherd College of Education, 31/6, 18B, Holy Cross College Road, Nagercoil-629 004, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu from the academic year 2012-13, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed course, if any, to complete their course.

Accordingly, withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 07.03.2012. The institution preferred appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No. 89-166/2012 Appeal/5th Meeting-2012 dt. 18.06.2012 has stated as follows:-

“after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that there was adequate ground to remand the case to the SRC to reconsider the matter taking into account the land documents and take further decision thereafter. The appellant is directed to submit copies of all the land documents to the SRC showing the details of land owned by sale and gift”.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the cases of Good Shepherd College of Education, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.

The SRC in its 226th meeting held on 09th-10th July 2012 considered the Appellate authority order dt. 18/06/2012 and decided to reconsider the matter taking into account the land documents and take further decision thereafter, subject to the submission of copies of all the land documents to the Southern Regional Office. Accordingly, the institution by seeing the website has submitted the land documents on 24.07.2012.

The SRC in its 229th meeting held on 30th-31st July, 2013 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 24.07.2012 and other related documents along with the original file of the institution shifting to new/permanent premises at **Good Shepherd College of Education, Holy Cross College Road, Nagercoil-629004, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu** is permitted and further decided to restore recognition of the institution. Accordingly, shifting and restoration of recognition order was issued to the institution on 24.08.2012.

Now, SRC, NCTE is in receipt of letter dtd. 06.09.2013 from Tamilnadu Teachers Education University, Chennai, on 10.09.2013 has withdrawn the affiliation granted to the College from the academic year 2013-2014.

The SRC in its 253rd meeting held on 30th September & 01st October, 2013 considered the matter, letter dated 06.09.2013 from Tamilnadu Teachers Education University, and regarding withdrawal of affiliation of the said college by TNTEU from the academic year 2013-14 based on the inspection conducted by the inspection commission dated 14.12.2012.

It is decided by the Committee and advised Southern regional office to

- Examine the documents of the institution, especially the title deeds and process the case for further decision.

It is also decided that the Committee can not act straightaway on the TNTEU observation. After examination of the documents of the said institution, if necessary, show cause notice will be issued to the institution.

Accordingly, the application is being processed.

The above matter was placed before SRC in its 257th Meeting held on 20th -22nd December, 2013 and decided that

1. Already the Committee has passed final orders in its 253rd meeting held on 30th Sept, & 1st Oct, 2013 in this case, wherein it was decided that, the Committee can not act straightaway on the TNTEU observation. After examination of the documents of the said institution, if necessary, show cause notice will be issued to the institution. Accordingly, it is decided to close the file.
2. The Committee advised Southern Regional Office to open a new file on TNTEU complaint.
3. TNTEU can not withdraw affiliation when NCTE recognition is in force.
4. Further, it is decided to examine their (TNTEU) complaints and, if necessary, a show cause notice to be issued to the college.

SRC, NCTE is in receipt of court order dtd. 18.12.2013 from the Hon'ble High Court Judicature at Madras in MP(MD) No. 2 of 2013 in WP(MD) No. 18767 of 2013 dtd. 28.11.2013 reads as under:-

"....This petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S.AJMAL ASSOCIATES, Advocate for the petitioner the Court made the following order:-

The order of withdrawing the affiliation is only dated 06/09.2013, whereas 78 students had already been admitted in the college during the beginning of the academic year. According, to the learned Senior Counsel because of the withdrawal of the affiliation during the middle of the academic year the fate of the 78 students is at stake.

Having regard to the above, there shall be interim stay of the withdrawal of affiliation only in respect of the students, who have been admitted during the current academic year. It is directed that the students who have already been admitted shall be permitted to undergo the course without any break.

		<p style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Post the matter after four weeks”.</p> <p>Accordingly, a brief of the case was sent to the Shri Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate on 17.01.2014.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter; Court order dated 28/11/2013 and noted that the case is between TNTEU and the Institution. SRCNCTE is only a proforma party. We should be a silent participant. If the TNTEU restores affiliation and reports the deficiencies to us, SRC can issue show cause notice or (even) cause inspection.</p>
43.	<p>SRCAPP2002 B.Ed SMBS College of Education B.Ed, Koppal District KA</p>	<p>SMBS College of Education B.Ed,Plot /Khasra No. 91/C/1,Plot No. 1/2009,1st Street, Marali Village and Post Office,Gangavathi Taluk and Town,Koppal District – 583268,Karnataka</p> <p>Smt. MNBV Trust SNG,Plot No. 4-4-22,19th Ward Nekar Street,Gangavathi Town and Post Office,Gangavathi Taluk, Koppal District – 583227,Karnataka had applied for grant of recognition to SMBS College of Education B.Ed,Plot /Khasra No. 91/C/1,Plot No. 1/2009,1st Street, Marali Village and Post Office,Gangavathi Taluk and Town,Koppal District – 583268,Karnataka for B.Ed Course for one year duration under Section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 29.12.2012. The institution had submitted hard copy of the application on 04.01.2013 along with processing fees vide Demand Draft bearing No. 153435 dated 26.12.2012 for Rs.50,050/- .</p> <p>A letter to the State Government was sent vide this office letter no. F.No.SRCAPP2002/B.Ed/KA/2013-14/48547 dated 04/02/2013</p> <p>NCTE-H'qrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009 and amendment Notified on 26th November, 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2(B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1(1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <p>a. <i>The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application.</i></p> <p>b. <i>Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.</i></p> <p>c. <i>Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/ Institution applying for the Course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application.</i></p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 240th meeting held on 9th – 11th March 2013 reviewed the duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffered from basic infirmities, was <u>summarily rejected</u> as per Regulations [7 1–A (i)] for the following reasons:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority

	<p>indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not submitted. The land is not registered in the name of the Society or the Institution.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• As per the land document submitted, the land is in the name of an individual i.e, in favour of the President of the Trust, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations 8, 7 (i) of 2009. <p>As per the decision of SRC, a rejection letter was issued to the institution vide order no.F.SRO.NCTE/SRCAPP2002/B.Ed/KL/2013-14/51561 dated 13.05.2013.</p> <p>The institution filed an appeal under Section 18 of NCTE Act, before the Appellate Authority, NCTE, New Delhi against the rejection letter of SRC.</p> <p>The appellate authority vide order F.No. 89-384/2013/Appeal/14th Meeting – 2013 dated 30.10.2013 has made the following observations :-</p> <p>‘ The Council noted from the copies of the documents submitted by the appellant that (a) in the sale deed, the sale was shown in favour of an individual, whose occupation was President of the Trust;(b) in the letter dated 29.05.2013 issued by Tahsildhar ,Gangavathi , it is mentioned that the land is in the name of the President (iii)in the RTC form, the name of the cultivator is shown as the President of the Trust (iv) in the translated copy of the sketch map also, the purchaser’s name is indicated as President of the Trust. This means that the documents do not categorically indicate that the land was purchased for the Trust and in Trust’s name. The Council also noted that the claim of the appellant that a reasonable opportunity should have been provided to him before passing the order is not justified because the order issued by the SRC is a summary rejection envisaged under clause 7 (1-A)(i) of the NCTE Regulations,2009 and not an order under section 14(b) of the NCTE Act.</p> <p>In view of the position stated above, the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in rejecting the application and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.</p> <p>After perusal of the documents ,Memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on record and considering the oral arguments advanced the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 255th Meeting held on 13th -15th November 2013 noted the matter.</p> <p>In the mean while,the institution has filed a writ petition in W.P.No.84464/2013 in the Hon’ble High Court of Karnataka at Dharwad praying to quash the impugned order dated 13.05.2013 issued by the second respondent (SRC,NCTE) and order dated 30.10.2013 issued by the ,1st respondent (NCTE ,New Delhi)</p> <p>A letter dated 15.11.2013 from the advocate Shri.M.B.Kanavi was received by this office on 22.11.2013 seeking parawise comments in W.P.No.84464/2013 filed by Smt.Mathada Neelamma Basayyaswamy Vidyavardhaka Trust Vs NCTE.</p> <p>A letter was addressed to the advocate on 04.12.2013 requesting him to appear before the Hon’ble Court and defend the case in the interests of NCTE.</p>
--	--

		<p>On 06.01.2014, the advocate, Mr.M.B.Kanavi has submitted a copy of the court order dated 13.12.2013 in W.P.No.84464 of 2013 filed by the institution. .The Hon'ble High Court order is as under ;</p> <p><i>' The learned Government Advocate, by referring to Regulation 8,7(1) of 2009 of National Council for Teacher Education Regulations, submits that if the land stands both in the name or an individual and if the same is in the name or possession of Institution, then the case of the petitioner has to be considered. He further submits that in this case, the land was purchased by one H.M. Siddarama Swami and the same has to be construed that the property is in possession of the purchaser and not in the name of the institution. No documents have been produced to show that land stands in the name of the institution. Under the circumstance, the order of rejection of application seeking recognition of B.Ed course, is just and proper.</i></p> <p><i>2. Heard the learned Counsel for the parties</i></p> <p><i>3.From the facts and circumstances and in view of the regulation referred above, in order to find out whether the land stands in the name of the institution or in the name of the individual, I have gone through the entry in the revenue records,The entry in the revenue records ,viz, the RTCs for the year 2012-13 and 2013-14 shows the President of the Institution as the owner .However, the sale deed is in the name of H.M.Siddaram Swami.Under the circumstance, the prayer made by the petitioner cannot be considered, However , liberty is reserved to the petitioner to produce relevant documents/materials to show that the land is in the name of the institution; and <u>further the respondent is directed to reconsider the case of the petitioner, in case, the petitioner satisfies the condition stipulated there in .</u></i></p> <p>In terms of the above , the petition stands disposed of '</p> <p>On 09.01.2014, the President ,Smt.Mathada Neelamma Basappayyaswamy Vidhyavardhaka Trust ® ,Sangapur – 583227,Gangavathi District,Koppal has submitted a written representation along with a copy of the court order and relevant documents which is as under ;</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, Hob'ble High Court order dated 13/12/2013, letter from the institution dated 09/01/2014 and decided that, the individual concerned is holding title in his capacity as the President of the Society. The decision of SRC to 'Summarily reject' was a mistake.</p> <p>Southern regional office to process the case further for issue of Deficiency Letter.</p>
44.	<p>APS01894 B.Ed SDM Trust B.Ed College, Bagalkot District KA</p>	<p>W.P.No.65281/2011(EDN-REG-P) filed by SDM Trust B.Ed. College, Terdal, Jamkhandi Tq., Bagalkot Dt., Karnataka (APS01894-D.Ed. course).</p> <p>SDM Trust's B.Ed College, Jamkhandi, Terdal-587315, Karnataka has submitted application for offering B.Ed course on 31.12.2003. The institution has been granted recognition by SRC for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students vide order dated 01.12.2004.with the condition to shift to its oen premises within three year.</p> <p>The institution vide its letter dated 12.10.2007 has submitted a proposal for shifting of premises along with Rs.40,000/- towards shifting fee. Inspection was carried out on 16th October 2010. The inspection report has been received along with VCD.</p>

The SRC in its 198th meeting held on 24-26 November 2010 considered the VT report and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to issue show cause notice. Accordingly, showcause notice was issued to the institution on 11.01.2011 for the following reasons:-

- ❖ The management is running a Hospital in the ground floor and B.Ed programme in the I & II floor of the same building, which is against the NCTE norms. This serious violation of NCTE norms cannot be condoned, even if the under-mentioned deficiencies are rectified.
- ❖ The Survey Nos are not shown in the lease agreement for the new premises.
- ❖ The Affidavit in Rs.100/- stamp paper in the prescribed format with notary attestation to be submitted.
- ❖ The institute has not submitted the Land useage certificate from a competent Govt. authority
- ❖ The latest Building Completion Certificate from the competent Government Engineer has not been submitted.
- ❖ Non-Encumbrance Certificate from the competent Government Authorised person / Authorities to be submitted.
- ❖ The facility for language learning lab is not available.

The institution submitted its written representation on 14.02.2011.

The SRC in its 201st meeting held on 22nd -23rd February, 2011 considered the reply submitted by the institution and found the reply to be unsatisfactory and unconvincing and decided to withdraw recognition of SDM Trust B.Ed. College, Terdal, Jamkhandi, Bagalkot Dt., Karnataka for B.Ed course (APS01894) with effect from 2012-13, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed course, if any, to complete their course.

It was made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order. The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly. Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore if any.

Accordingly withdrawal order was issued to the institution vide order No.F.SRO/NCTE/2010-2011/27864 dated 25.03.2011.

Aggrieved by the withdrawal order, the institution preferred an appeal before the appellate authority on 8.4.2011.

The appellate authority noted that visiting team in its report dated 16.10.2010 clearly mentioned that 1) on ground floor, a hospital is run by the management and 1st and 2nd floors of the building are meant for B.Ed. College. The appellant also admitted that at the time of inspection hospital was being run on the ground, but hospital has been shifted from property no.2995(where B.Ed. college is also run) to property no. 4725 at S.D.M. Trust Ayurvedic Medical College new campus. 2) the lease deed dated 24.01.2011 executed in favour of the S.D.M. Trust Tordal College mentioned that 100 bed hospital in property No.2995 that was leased to the Society shall not be made use for any other purpose, other than the running of hospital of the institution. So, the building existing on Sy.No.2995 cannot be used for B.Ed. College, rather it was meant for hospital only; because of the condition laid in the lease deed, utilization of the building for B.Ed. college is also unwarranted. 3) In the light of the condition laid in the lease deed, the land usage certificate, non-encumbrance certificate as well as building completion certificates in the name of B.Ed. college became un-acceptable.

Further, the building completion certificate does not mention the ground floor built up area. In view of the above, the Council came to the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected.

Hence, after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 25.3.2011 was confirmed vide order No.F.No.89-208/2011 Appeal/4th Meeting-2011 dated 06.07.2011.

Aggrieved by the appellate authority order confirming the withdrawal order issued by SRC, the institution approached Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka vide W.P.No.65281/2011 against SRC, NCTE and NCTE, New Delhi.

Letters were addressed to the advocate to defend the case on behalf of NCTE on 21.8.2012, 10.09.2012

Further, a Court order dated 3.12.2012 was received on 15.1.2013 from advocate Sri M.B.Kanavi in W.P.No.65281/2011(EDN-REG-P) filed by Sidramappa Danigond Memorial Trust, Bagalkot Dt., Karnataka and SDM Trust B.Ed. College, Terdal, Bagalkot Dt., against SRC, NCTE and NCTE, New Delhi.

As per para 2 of the order, "Learned counsel for the petitioner filed an affidavit of Chairman of petitioner Trust stating that they are going to shift B.Ed. College premises to a new building in CTS NO.4725. In view of the changed circumstances this writ petition is hereby disposed of permitting the petitioners to apply with necessary documents and by paying the necessary fee to the respondents seeking approval to run the B.Ed. course for the academic year 2012-13 with all supporting documents. In such an event the respondents to consider the same without being influenced by the impugned orders at Annexure-E and F and as expeditiously as possible."

The Southern Regional Committee in its 240th meeting held on 9-11 March, 2013 considered the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka dated 03.12.2012 and noted that the Court had permitted the institution to apply afresh for running the B.Ed Course (APS01894) during 2012-13, but even 3 months after the said order, the institution had not submitted any application to this office for permission to shift premises or to continue to run the course. The Committee also decided that the withdrawal order dated 25.03.2011 will be operative and to inform the institution, the University and the State Government.

Accordingly, as per the decision of 240th meeting of SRC, letter was addressed to the institution with a copies marked to 1) the Education Secretary, Primary and Secondary Education, Government of Karnataka, Bangalore 2) the Registrar, Karnataka University, Dharwad and 3) The Chairman SDM Trust, Jamkhandi, Bagalkot Dt., Karnataka.

On 8.11.2013, a letter is received from the advocate Sri.M.B. Kanavi regarding the court order dated 28.10.2013 in W.P.No.78132/2013(EDN-REG-P) which is as under:-

"Earlier this court in W.P.No.65281/2011 dated 3.12.2012 in paragraph NO.2 has passed the following order:-

"Learned counsel for the petitioner filed an affidavit of Chairman of petitioner Trust stating that they are going to shift B.Ed. College premises to a new building in CTS NO.4725. In view of the changed circumstances this writ petition is

		<p><i>hereby disposed of permitting the petitioners to apply with necessary documents and by paying the necessary fee to the respondents seeking approval to run the B.Ed. course for the academic year 2012-13 with all supporting documents. In such an event the respondents to consider the same without being influenced by the impugned orders at Annexure-E and F and as expeditiously as possible.”</i></p> <p><u>2. The learned counsel submits that, it is observed by this Court that, petitioner Trust are going to shift college premises to a new building and further, direction issued on 25.3.2011 to the respondents to pass appropriate orders, has not been complied with.</u></p> <p><u>3. The learned counsel for the respondents submits that, if the petitioner has applied for permission, the same would be considered along with Annexures.</u></p> <p><u>4. Under these circumstances, the respondents are directed to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.”</u></p> <p>In observations it court direction the institution has submitted documents for shifting the building along with DD of Rs 50,000/- on 18.11.2013.</p> <p>Syndicate Bank from the Chairman of SDM Trust, Terdal with a request regarding submission of proforma of building shifting. He has enclosed a copy of the Court order in W.P.NO.78132/2013 dated 28.10.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 256th Meeting held on 04th – 06th December, 2013 considered the matter; Hon’ble High Court of Karnataka order dated 28/10/2013, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to Process the case and to be put up in the 258th meeting.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, Hon’ble High Court order dated 03/12/2012 & 28/10/2013 and decided that the applicant to be asked to submit a certified copy of the Sale deed of the new land. The matter to be put up in the 261st meeting.</p>
--	--	--

Consideration of Agenda Items- Volume-05
New Application -2012-2013 and 2013-2014

45.	<p>SRCAPP2127 M.Ed-DE Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli District TN</p>	<p>Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara/Street No. 629, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Tiruchirappalli District, Pin-620 024, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Bharathidasan University, Plot No. 629, Trichy-Pudukkottai Highway, Palkalaiperur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Tiruchirappalli District, Pin-620 024, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara/Street No. 629, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Tiruchirappalli District, Pin-620 024, Tamilnadu for <u>M.Ed-DE</u> Course of two year duration under Section 15(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>31.12.2012</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>07.01.2013</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>04.02.2013</u> followed by reminder on <u>22.04.2013</u>. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on <u>22.04.2013</u>. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on <u>19.06.2013</u>.</p>
-----	---	---

		<p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 248th Meeting held on 13th -15th July, 2013 and decided to obtain DEC clearance from the University and then Cause Inspection.</p> <p>Accordingly, the University by seeing the website, vide its letter dated 17.07.2013 has stated that “I wish to inform you that we were intimidated by the Secretary, UGC that DEC has been repealed and deleted with immediate effect. Accordingly, DEC of IGNOU stands dissolved through the letter D.O.No.F.3-2/2012 (Admin I/A & B)”. Further, the University has enclosed a copy of the letter dated 28.05.2013/ 03.06.2013 from UGC.</p> <p>The SRC in its 250th Meeting held on 11th-13th August, 2013 considered the matter and advised the SRO to Process Deficiency Letter reply and put up in the 251st meeting. Accordingly, the application has been processed</p> <p>The SRC in its 252nd Meeting held on 13th-14th September, 2013 considered the matter, reply of the University to the deficiency letter and decided that, DEC is abolished; but, the work is given to UGC. The responsibilities of and powers for regulation of DEC are vested in a newly set up unit in the UGC. The Southern Regional Office has to write a letter to the University and advise to take clearance from the UGC and submit. Accordingly, a letter sent to the University on. 31.12.2013.</p> <p>The University vide its letter dtd. 09.01.2014 has stated that</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>“.. the University has to submit the clearance from the UGC to start M.Ed. (ODL) Programme through Distance mode within 15 days. We hereby inform you that we had already applied for obtaining UGC clearance on 05.10.2013 itself for M.Ed (ODL) Programme through distance mode.</p> <p>In the meantime, we had received a letter from UGC under third reference cited above, that the University may submit the SLM to the UGC for the proposed M.Ed programme in ODL mode. The SLM shall be evaluated by an Expert Team constituted by the UGC for this purpose and its decision shall be conveyed to the University.</p> <p>In the reference fourth cited above, we had sent a letter to Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi for the adoption of the M.Ed., course material to use for the first batch of our M.Ed., candidates.</p> <p>In view of the above, we request you to permit us to submit the clearance from the UGC after getting the clearance from the UGC at the earliest possible”.</p> </div> <p>The Committee considered the reply from the University vide letter dated 09/01/2014, regarding taking clearance from the UGC and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the University fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p> <p>The University to be advised to expedite submission of UGC clearance.</p>
46.	SRCAPP718 B.Ed Annai Indira Memorial College of Education, Tiruchirappalli	<p>Annai Indira Memorial College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.434/11, 13, 14, 9, 10, Plot No. 5/207, Navalur Kuttapattu, Muthukulam Village, Srirangam Taluk, Tiruchirappalli District-620009, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Sri G. Savarimuthu, Correspondent, Plot No. 5.207, Street No.1, Muthukulm village, Navalur Kuttapattu P.O., Srirangam Taluk, Trichy District-620009, Tamilnadu has applied for grant of recognition to Annai Indira Memorial College of Education,</p>

District TN	<p>Plot/Khasara No.434/11, 13, 14, 9, 10, Plot No. 5/207, Navalur Kuttapattu, Muthukulam Village, Srirangam Taluk, Tiruchirappalli District-620009, Tamilnadu for D.A.Ed(PA) course online on 26.09.2011 for two year duration under section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee NCTE and hard copy received on 28.09.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 217th meeting held on 08th-09th February 2012, considered the request of the new applicant institution for change of course from the one mentioned in on-line application and decided to <u>refuse/reject</u> application on the ground:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ “It is mandatory for the teacher educational institution to apply online for recognition of a teacher education course. Therefore if the institution has mentioned a particular course in on-line application the same course should be considered for processing and not to consider offline application for change of the course”. <p>The institutions may apply afresh for the next session.</p> <p>Further, as per Public Notice dated 30.07.2011 published in Times of India D.El.Ed, B.Ed, M.Ed & Language Pandit courses are banned in Tamilnadu.</p> <p>The application of Annai Indira Memorial College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 434/11,13, 14, 9, 10, Plot No. 5/207, Navalur Kuttapattu, Muthukulam Village, Srirangam Taluk, Tiruchirappalli District-620009, Tamilnadu for permission to D.A.Ed course is hereby rejected.</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection letter was issued to the institution on 15.03.2012.</p> <p>The institution, preferred appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-567/2013 Appeal/11th meeting-2013/A72248 dated 07.08.2013 has stated as follows:-</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 10px 0;"> <p><i>“after perusal of the documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserved to be remanded to SRC with a direction to process the application for B.Ed course on merits and after examining the minority status of the institution, as per the Regulations..</i></p> <p>NOWHEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Annai Indira Memorial College of Education, Tiruchirappalli, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.</p> </div> <p>The SRC in its 252nd Meeting held on 13th-14th September, 2013 considered the Appellate authority order dated 07/08/2013 with a direction to process the application for B.Ed course on merits and after examining the minority status of the institution as per the Regulations. Accordingly, the Committee advised Southern Regional Office to process the application of the said institution.</p> <p>Accordingly, Deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 04.11.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 04.01.2014.</p> <p>The Committee duly considered reply of the institution dated 04/01/2014 to the deficiency letter issued to the institution and all the relevant documents of the institution and deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total built up area shown is less and is very much inadequate, less than the requirement as per norms 2009.
----------------	---

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Building completion certificate submitted is not approved by Govt. Engineer. • As per the Building completion certificate, second floor is 2090.37 sq.ft, which is covered with A.C. roofing sheet, which is not permissible as per NCTE regulations. • Minority certificate submitted by the institution is not issued by competent authority. • Survey numbers in land usage certificate and non-encumbrance certificate are discrepant. <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course.</p>
47.	<p>SRCAPP1976 B.Ed Sharadavidyaniketan B.Ed College, Raichur District KA</p>	<p>Sharadavidyaniketan B.Ed College, Ward No. 4, Plot No. 157/1, Street No. 4, Manvi Village and Post, Manvi Taluk and Town, Raichur District - 584123, Karnataka. Sharada Education Society, Plot No. 157/1, Sharada Nagar Street, Manvi Village and Post, Taluk and Town, Raichur District - 584123, Karnataka had applied for grant of recognition to Sharadavidyaniketan B.Ed College, Ward No. 4, Plot No. 157/1, Street No. 4, Manvi Village and Post, Manvi Taluk and Town, Raichur District - 584123, Karnataka for B.Ed Course under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 28.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 02.01.2013</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 17.12.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 19.12.2013</p> <p>Now the institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 02.01.2014 and 06.01.2014</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply of the institution vide letter dated 02/01/2014 & 06/01/2014 to the deficiency letter issued, and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause composite inspection (for SRCAPP1976-B.Ed & APS04743-D.Ed course, under NCTE Act, to examine whether the University fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</u></p>
48.	<p>SRCAPP172 B.Ed Roshan B.Ed College, Guntur District AP</p>	<p>Roshan B.Ed College, Plot No.306/B, Vissapalem Street & Village, Narasaraopet Post, Taluk & City, Guntur District - 522601, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Tez Muslim Minority Educational Society, Plot No.13-2A-135/2, Ramthulanagar Village, Narasaraopet Post, Taluk & City, Guntur District - 522601, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Roshan B.Ed College, Plot No.306/B, Vissapalem Street & Village, Narasaraopet Post, Taluk & City, Guntur District - 522601, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed course on 31.12.2012 for one year duration under section 14(1) of the NCTE Act. 1993 and received in the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>NCTE-Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, its amendment Notified on 26th November 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2 (B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1 (1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following</p>

circumstances:

- a) The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application.
- b) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.
- c) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 238th Meeting held on 05th - 06th February, 2013 duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per Regulations 2009 [7 1-A (i)].

- The Processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application – as per the Regulations 2009 Para 7[1-A(i)]a).

Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 06.03.2013.

As per the Public Notice dated 5th September, 2013 NCTE Head Quarters has stating that the important Policy Decision taken by the National Council for Teacher Education in its 31st Meeting held on 29th July, 2013 that the applications of the institutions, who could not make payment of processing fee on or before submission of Online Applications resulting in summary rejection by the concerned Regional Committees of NCTE, may be considered if payment has been made on the next banking / working day following the date of submission of the application, since the software does not allow for payment of the processing fees either on or before the date of submission of applications.

The SRC in its 255th meeting held on 13th - 15th November 2013, considered the matter and decided to process the application of the above said case.

The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 03.12.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 03.12.2013.

The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 13.12.2013 & 20.12.2013. The deficiency letter reply was placed before SRC in its 258th Meeting held on 03rd - 05th January, 2014 and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for B.Ed. (SRCAPP172) course under section 14 of NCTE Act, for the following:

- The Registration is of Muslim Minority Educational Society. Registration of Tez Muslim Minority Educational Society should be produced. Uncertified photocopies can not be relied upon.

Before issuance of Show Cause Notice, the institution has submitted its written representation on 09.01.2014 (with reference to SRC-NCTE Website Decision of 258th Meeting).

The Committee considered the matter, written reply of the institution vide letter dated 09/01/2014 to the show cause notice issued and decided that, the institution to be asked to submit a minority certificate without over-writings.

After receipt of the same, the case to be put up in the 260th meeting.

49.	SRCAPP168 D.Ed Jani DIET College D.Ed, Guntur District AP	<p>Jani DIET College D.Ed, Khasara / Plot No. 636/C, Issapalem Village, Narasaraopet Post, Taluka & City, Guntur District - 522601, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Yaseen Educational Society, Plot No. 13/2A/19, Islampet Road, Narasaraopet Village & Post Office, Narasaraopet Taluk & City, Guntur District - 522601, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Jani DIET College D.Ed, Khasara / Plot No. 636/C, Issapalem Village, Narasaraopet Post, Taluka & City, Guntur District - 522601, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed course on 31.12.2012 for two years duration under section 14(1) of the NCTE Act. 1993 and received in the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>NCTE-Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, it amendment Notified on 26th November 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2 (B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1 (1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application. b) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application. c) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application. <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 238th Meeting held on 05th-06th February, 2013 duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per Regulations 2009 [7 1– A (i)].</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application – as per the Regulations 2009 Para 7[1-A(i)]a). <p>Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 06.03.2013.</p> <p>As per the Public Notice dated 5th September, 2013 NCTE Head Quarters has stating that the important Policy Decision taken by the National Council for Teacher Education in its 31st Meeting held on 29th July, 2013 that the applications of the institutions, who could not make payment of processing fee on or before submission of Online Applications resulting in summary rejection by the concerned Regional Committees of NCTE, may be considered if payment has been made on the next banking / working day following the date of submission of the application, since the software does not allow for payment of the processing fees either on or before the date of submission of applications.</p> <p>The SRC in its 255th meeting held on 13th-15th November, 2013 decided to process the application of the above said cases. The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State</p>
-----	--	--

		<p>Government for recommendation on 03.12.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 03.12.2013.</p> <p>The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 13.12.2013 & 20.12.2013.</p> <p>The deficiency letter reply was placed before SRC in its 258th Meeting held on 03rd - 05th January, 2014 and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for D.Ed. (SRCAPP168) course under section 14 of NCTE Act, for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land document is only a photocopy, obtain 'original' certified copy. • Obtain original FDRs. <p>Before issuance of Show Cause Notice, the institution has submitted its written representation on 10.01.2014 (with reference to SRC-NCTE Website Decision of 258th Meeting).</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply to the show cause notice from the Institution vide letter dated 10/01/2014 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the Institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p>
50.	<p>SRCAPP145 D.Ed Veena Vasudev College of Teacher Training, Mahabubnagar District AP</p>	<p>Veena Vasudev College of Teacher Training, Plot No.310, Achampet Village & Post Office, Achampet Taluk & City, Mahabubnagar District-509375, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>G.P.Educational Society, Plot No.310, Srisailam Road, Achampet Post Office, Achampet Taluk & City, Mahabubnagar District-509375, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Veena Vasudev College of Teacher Training, Plot No.310, Achampet Village & Post Office, Achampet Taluk & City, Mahabubnagar District-509375, Andhra Pradesh for D.El.Ed Course of two year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 08.01.2013(dispatched by courier dt 05.01.2013).</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 31.01.2013/26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013.</p> <p>The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 27.05.2013(dispatched by speed post dt. 22.05.2013)</p> <p>The SRC in its 247th Meeting held on 20th-22nd June, 2013 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt.22-05-2013 to the deficiency letter, all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was fixed between 27th – 30th November, 2013 the same was intimated to institution on 13.11.2013.</p> <p>Meantime, a letter received from the institution on 02.12.2013 with the request to postponement of inspection.</p> <p>Again re-scheduled fixed on 23rd-28th December, 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 17.12.2013.</p>

		<p>Meantime, the institution has submitted a letter dated 28.12.2013 received on 03.01.2014 stated that "... <i>this is to bring to your kind consideration and favorable orders that our college correspondent is not available in the state Andhra Pradesh and all original records available with him only. So, we are unable to make necessary arrangements for inspection.</i></p> <p><i>Hence, we request you Sir, please <u>postpone the said inspection schedule.</u> Sir, kindly look into the matter on humanitarian grounds and do the needful".</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, request letter from the institution dated 28/12/2013 for postponing the inspection schedule for the reasons mentioned in their letter and decided that the Inspection of the institution to be rescheduled and to fix it in the first half of Feburary-2014.</p>
51.	<p>SRCAPP197 B.P.Ed University College of Physical Education, Khammam District AP</p>	<p>University College of Physical Education, Yellandu Cross Road, Khammam Village and Post Office, Khammam Taluk and Town, Khammam District – 507002, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Kakatiya University, Yelladu Cross Road, Khamman Village and Post Office, Khammam Town and Taluk, Khammam District – 507002, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to University College of Physical Education, Yellandu Cross Road, Khammam Village and Post Office, Khammam Taluk and Town, Khammam District – 507002, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 03.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 08.03.2013/26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 10.04.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 07.06.2013.</p> <p>A comparative statement of all courses i.e (SRCAPP 152-B.P.Ed, SRCAPP 167-B.P.Ed, SRCAPP197-B.P.Ed, SRCAPP183-M.Ed and SRCAPP2020-M.P.Ed) was processed and placed before SRC in its 250th meeting held on 11th-13th August 2013, considered the above matter and decided to cause inspection for B.P.Ed course under section 15 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 15th, 17th, 18th & 19th October 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 27.09.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 17.10.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 22.10.2013</p> <p>The SRC in its 255th Meeting held on 13th-15th November, 2013 considered the matter, VT Report, VCD of the institution and all other relevant documents of the institution, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to write to the University to remove the following deficiencies as per the VT report submitted:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As per the VT report, total land area earmarked by the Registrar is a building and 6.0 Acres for the proposed course B.P.Ed, which is

		<p>inadequate and requirement of 8.0 Acres of land area as per NCTE norms 2009.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. As per building plan and building completion certificate, total built up area earmarked for the proposed course is 826 sq.mtrs and 8892 sq.ft. Respectively, which is inadequate and requirement of 1500 sq.mtrs as per NCTE norms of 2009.3. Total built up area given in building plan and building completion certificate is not tallying with affidavit submitted, wherein the total Built up area for the proposed course is shown as 1686.94 sq, mts.4. The institution is not a stand alone institution for proposed B.P.Ed course; facilities are shared with other PG courses run by the institution, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations 2009.5. As per VT report, the seating capacity in the library is only 50, which is inadequate and requirement as per NCTE norms 2009 for the proposed course and the institution is sharing the library with courses being run.6. The VT members have not filled up the total built up area for the proposed course B.P.Ed in the essential data sheet. <p>Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 19.12.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 21.12.2013 stating that “... with reference taken at the 255th Meeting of SRC NCTE, where it has been shown in the column “Remarks of SRC” pertaining to land and built-up area is insufficient.</p> <p><i>In this context, kakatiya University, Warangal here by submitting the certificate of allotment of 8.00 acres of land to the college of Physical Education, Kakatiya University, Khammam to start B.P.Ed course.</i></p> <p><i>However, a copy of letter 3rd reference cited of your office relaxation of 3 years has been given for the Universities for the development of infrastructure, required construction of building will be undertaken within the above period.</i></p> <p><i>Therefore, keeping in view of the above information / documents submitted by the University pertaining to the deficiencies, I request you kindly to consider the aforesaid information complete in all respects to you. Kindly grant recognition to start B.P.Ed course from the academic year 2013-2014”.</i></p> <p>A certificate dated 01.02.2013 from the Kakatiya University, has submitted (page No. 149 a).</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, letter from the University college dated 21/12/2013 and decided that, there is no undertaking from the State Govt. to support the request by this State University to relax the norms. (As per this office letter no. SRC/NCTE/RD/2013/54599, dated 29/10/2013-Grant of relaxation to Govt. Universities under State Govts/U.Ts of Southern Regional based on the reference cited in the said letter). The University has, therefore, to adhere to the norms.</p>
--	--	---

52.	<p>SRCAPP1965 M.Ed GET B.Ed College, Vellore District TN</p>	<p>GET B.Ed College, Khasara No.30/1& 30/2, V.S.Puram Village, Paradarmani Post, Gudiyattam Taluka, Vellore District, Pin - 632603, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Guruvappa Naidu Educational Trust, Plot No.30/1& 30/2, V.S.Puram Village, Paradarmani P.O., Gudiyattam Taluka, Vellore District, Pin - 632603, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to GET B.Ed College, Khasara No.30/1& 30/2, V.S.Puram Village, Paradarmani P.O., Gudiyattam Taluka, Vellore District, Pin - 632603, Tamilnadu for M.Ed Course for one year duration under Section 15(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 28.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 31.12.2012 along with processing fees paid through Credit Card vide ICICI Bank Transaction ID: 1356706146366.</p> <p>Para 7[1-A(i)] and 7[1-A(ii)] of extant NCTE Regulations published in the Gazette of India on 31st August 2009, as amended from time to time, the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <p>Para 7[1-A(i)]</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>a) <i>The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application;</i></p> <p>b) <i>Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application;</i></p> <p>c) <i>Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.</i></p> </div> <p>Para 7[1-A(ii)]</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><i>The Regional Committee shall, after recording reasons for rejection under the circumstances referred to in clause (i) above, return the application to the applicant and refund the processing fees within 30 days of the online submission of the application.</i></p> </div> <p>Further, 8(7)(i) of NCTE Regulations reads as under:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><i>No institution shall be granted recognition under these Regulations unless the institution or society sponsoring the institution is in possession of required land on the date of application. The land free from all encumbrances could be either on ownership basis or on lease from Government or Government institutions for a period of not less than 30 years. In cases where under relevant State or Union Territory laws the maximum permissible lease period is less than 30 years, the State Government or Union Territory Administration law shall prevail. However, no building shall be taken on lease for running any teacher training course.</i></p> </div> <p>The SRC in its 238th meeting held on 05th - 06th February 2013 reviewed the duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffers from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per Regulations 7 [1-A (i)].</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Society has submitted private Lease Deed dt.25.05.2005, as such, copy of registered land document as required are not dispatched with the on-line application. 2. It is also noted that the society has applied for two institutions simultaneously with the same Lease Deed dt.25.05.2005 for file Nos.SRCAPP2143 - B.Ed-AI and SRCAPP1965 – M.Ed courses.
-----	--	---

Accordingly, rejection letter was issued to the institution on 19.03.2013

The institution, preferred appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-171/2013 Appeal/9th Meeting-2013 dtd. 25.07.2013 has stated as follows:-

“ after the perusal of the documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserved to be remanded to the SRC, with the direction to process the application for M.Ed. course as per regulations.

NOWTHEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of G E T BED College, Vellore, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.

The SRC in its 251st Meeting held on 25th -27th August, 2013 considered the Appellate authority order dated 25.07.2013 directing SRCNCTE to further process the application for M.Ed course as per Regulations and accordingly decided to process the application for M.Ed course.

The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 09.10.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 23.10.2013.

Now, the institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 20.12.2013.

The Committee considered the Institutions request for closure of D.T.Ed – (SRCAPP1461) & D.T.Ed-AI (APS04832) course vide their letter dated 18/12/2013 & 20/12/2013 respectively and decided to permit the closure by withdrawing the recognition for D.T.Ed – (SRCAPP1461) & D.T.Ed-AI (APS04832) course with effect from 2014-2015, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in D.T.Ed & D.T.Ed -AI course, if any, to complete their final year course.

But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.

The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly.

Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any, after ensuring settlement all claims to staff/faculty.

Further,

The Committee considered the reply of the institution vide letter dated 20/12/2013 to the deficiency letter issued, and all other relevant documents and decided to cause composite inspection (for SRCAPP1965-M.Ed & APS03980-B.Ed course, under NCTE Act, to examine whether the Institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme & other existing course, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.

LOI Cases

<p>53.</p>	<p>SRCAPP1998 D.Ed Layola Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Rangareddy District AP</p>	<p>Layola Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Khasara / Plot No. 8 & 9, 1st Street, Yapral Village, J.J Nagar Post, Malkajgiri Taluk, Secunderabad City, Rangareddy District-500087, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Loyala Educational Society, Plot No. 8 & 9, Patel Enclave Road, Yapral Village, J.J. Nagar Post, Malkajgiri Taluk, Secunderabad City, Rangareddy District-500087, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Layola Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Khasara / Plot No. 8 & 9, 1st Street, Yapral Village, J.J Nagar Post, Malkajgiri Taluk, Secunderabad City, Rangareddy District-500087, Andhra Pradesh for D.El.Ed Course of One year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 29/12/2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 31/12/2012.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06/02/2013/26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 24.05.2013</p> <p>The SRC in its 247th meeting held on 20th-22nd June 2013, considered the reply of the institution dt.24-05-2012 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 25th-30rd November 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 13.11.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 28.11.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 02.12.2013</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee in its 256th meeting held on 4-6th Dec 2013 decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to D.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50(fifty only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 19/12/2013</p> <p>The institution replied to LOI on 09/01/2014.</p> <p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to D.Ed., course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 (fifty only) students, with effect from academic session 2014-2015.</p>
------------	---	---

54.	<p>SRCAPP1255 D.Ed Sri Nagoji Rao Diploma in Elementary Educational Institution, Mahabubnagar District AP</p>	<p>Sri. Nagoji Rao Diploma in Elementary Educational Institution, Khasara No.319/E, 319/Vu, 318/1, 318/2, 321/1, Plot No. 2247, Street No.10, Appananpally Village, Edira Town, Mahabubnagar Taluk, Mahabubnagar District-509002, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Sri Nagoji Rao Memorial Sharada Oriental Educational Society, Plot No.1-10-52, Shasaheb Gutta Street, Mahabubnagar Village, Mahabubnagar Taluk, Mahabubnagar District-509001, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri. Nagoji Rao Diploma in Elementary Educational Institution, Khasara No.319/E, 319/Vu, 318/1, 318/2, 321/1, Plot No. 2247, Street No.10, Appananpally Village, Edira Town, Mahabubnagar Taluk, Mahabubnagar District-509002, Andhra Pradesh for D.El.Ed Course of two years duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 29.09.2011 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.10.2011.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 28.10.2011/22.12.2011 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 29.12.2011. The institution replied to the deficiency letter on 05.03.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 19th – 20th April 2012 considered the reply of the institution dated 05.03.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 14(1) of NCTE Act, for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) In the approved building plan copy submitted, total earmarked built up area for the proposed course is not mentioned. Building plan submitted is in favour of the Society not in favour of proposed College. 2) Land usage certificate for Sy.Nos. 318/1, 2, 321/1AA & 2AA is not submitted. 3) Original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund from a Nationalized Bank in favour of Society/college/institution name if not given. 4) Encumbrance certificate submitted is <u>mortgaged</u>, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations 2009. 5) Details of other teacher educational programmes run by the institution in the same building/premises is not given. <p>A show cause notice was issued to the institution on 09.06.2012 The institution has submitted its written representation on 15.06.2012.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the show cause notice was duly considered by SRC in its 226th Meeting of SRC held on 9th and 10th July 2012 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On the date of on line application submission the land is mortgaged to a Bank, which is not permissible as per NCTE norms. <p>“As per Regulations 8 (7) (i) “No institution shall be granted recognition under these Regulations unless the institution or society sponsoring the institution is in possession of required land on the date of application. The land free from all encumbrances could be either on ownership basis or on lease from Government or Government institutions for a period of not less than 30 years. In cases where under relevant State or Union Territory laws the maximum permissible lease period is less than 30 years, the</p>
-----	---	---

State Government or Union Territory Administration law shall prevail. However, no building shall be taken on lease for running any teacher training course.”

Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to refuse and reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.El.Ed course.

Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 27.08.2012.

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs, the appellate authority vide order no. F.No89-495/2012 Appeal/9th Meeting-2012 dated 09.10.2012 stating that “...the council concluded that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and remand the case to the SRC for further processing as per regulations.

Now therefore, the council hereby remands back the case of Sri.Nagoji Rao Diploma in Elementary Educational Institution, Mahabubnagar District, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.

The appellate authority order was placed before SRC in its 235th meeting held on 21-22nd November, 2012 and the committee considered the matter and decided to further process the application of the said institution.

As per the decision of SRC, the application of the institution was processed and placed before SRC in its 237th Meeting held on 5th – 6th January 2013 and the committee considered the Appellate authority order dated 09.10.2012 and all other relevant documents and decided to **cause inspection** under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms. Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was fixed between 18th-22nd March, 2013 intimation letter was sent to the institution on 14/03/2013 and the inspection of the institution was conducted on 18/03/2013.

The SRC in its 242nd meeting held on 14th-16th April, 2013 considered the VT report, VCD and other relevant documents of the institution, found that the deficiencies still persist as under:

1. BCC submitted is not approved by Government Engineer. Two BCCs submitted in the same date. One reflects G.F ,F.F and the other reflects G.F. F.F .and S.F. Sy.No.s do not match with that of the one in building plan.
2. Sy.No. 319/O mentioned in the BCC is not reflected in the LUC. To be clarified by the Institution.
3. Sy.Nos 319/EE, 319/VU ,321/1/AA,2/AA in the land document is not reflected in the EC.
4. Sy.Nos in the BCC, Building plan do not match with that of the proceedings of the Revenue Department. To clarify.
5. Labs are not reflected in the building plan submitted. Multipurpose hall is not shown in the B.P.
6. Not known in which Sy.No. the institution is running.
7. FDRs of Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs.3 lakhs have expired on 28/09/2012.

		<p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to refuse and reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.El.Ed course.</p> <p>Accordingly, a rejection order was issued to the institution vide no. F.No.SRCAPP1255/D.El.Ed/AP/2013-14/51933 dated 29/05/2013.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide order no. F.No.89-414/2013-Appeal/14th Meeting-2013 dated 30.10.2013 stating that “...the council concluded that the appeal deserved to be remanded to SRC with the direction to issue a show cause notice and consider reply of the appellant before passing final orders.</p> <p><i>NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sri Nagoji Rao Diploma in Elementary Educational Institution, Mahabubnagar, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 255th meeting held on 13th-15th November 2013, considered the appellate authority order dated 30.10.2013 and the committee has decided to process the application.</p> <p>In the meantime, the institution has submitted its written representation on 12.11.2013 along with some documents.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC in its 255th meeting, the application is processed</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the Institution, Appellate authority order dated 30/10/2013, reply of the institution dated 12.11.2013 and all relevant documents of the institution, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to D.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50(fifty only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session</p> <p>LOI was issued to the institution on 24/12/2013.</p> <p>The institution has replied to LOI on 13/04/2014.</p> <p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to D.Ed., course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 (fifty only) students, with effect from academic session 2014-2015.</p>
55.	<p>SRCAPP2033 B.P.Ed Sri Lakshmi B.P.Ed College, Kurnool District AP</p>	<p>Sri Lakshmi B.P.Ed. College, Khasara No.286, P. Chinthakuntla Village, Allagadda Post, Taluk & City, Kurnool District - 518 543, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Vaibhav Educational Society, Sreenivasa Nagar Road, Allagadda Village & Post Office, Allagadda City & Taluk, Kurnool District - 518 543, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Lakshmi B.P.Ed. College, Khasara No.286, P. Chinthakuntla Village, Allagadda Post, Taluk & City, Kurnool District - 518 543, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of One year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 29.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 31.12.2012.</p>

		<p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/28.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 28.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 17.05.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 246th Meeting held on 02nd - 04th June, 2013 considered the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter dated 17.05.2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to Cause Inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 26th - 31st August, 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 22.08.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 01.09.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 06.09.2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the SRC in its 254th Meeting held on 25th - 27th October, 2013 has decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to B.P.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (one hundred only) (one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, Letter of Intent was issued to the institution on 01.11.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation (LOI reply) on 30.12.2013.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 258th Meeting held on 03rd - 05th January, 2014 has considered the Letter of Intent reply received from the institution on 30.12.2013 and decided to issue Notice to applicant.</p> <p>Before issuance of Notice, the institution has submitted its written representation on 10.01.2014 (as per website information) submitting original approved Staff List.</p> <p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to B.P.Ed., course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (Fifty only) students, with effect from academic session 2014-2015.</p>
56.	<p>SRCAPP1905 B.Ed Kurinchi B.Ed College, Salem District TN</p>	<p>Kurinchi B.Ed College, Plot/Khasara No. 32/11, Malliakarai Village and Post Office, Attur Taluk and City, Salem District – 636107, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Sri Venkateshwara Educational and Charitable Trust, Plot No. 32/11, Rasipuram Main Road, Malliakarai Village and Post Office, Attur Taluk and City, Salem District – 636107, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Kurinchi B.Ed College, Plot/Khasara No. 32/11, Malliakarai Village and Post Office, Attur Taluk and City, Salem District – 636107, Tamilnadu for B.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 27.12.2012 and physical copy on 27.12.2012.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State</p>

		<p>Government for recommendation on 05.02.2013 followed by reminder on 20.03.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 19.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 16.05.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 247th Meeting held on 20th-22nd June, 2013 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 16.05.2013 to the deficiency letter and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was fixed between 19th-22nd August, 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 10.08.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 19.08.2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the SRC in its 252nd Meeting held on 13th-14th September, 2013 decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(One hundred)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session. Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 30.09.2013. The institution has submitted reply on 28.11.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 256th Meeting held on 04th-06th December, 2013 considered the matter and it was decided to serve Notice under Section 14 of NCTE Act. Draft Notice was under process.</p> <p>Meantime, the institution seeing the website the institution has submitted written representation on 06.01.2014.</p> <p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to B.Ed., course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (One hundred only) students, with effect from the session 2014-2015.</p>
57.	<p>SRCAPP1327 M.Ed Immanuel Arasar College of Education, Kanyakumari District TN</p>	<p>Immanuel Arasar College of Education, Plot/Khasara no. 926/39, Street No. Edavilagam, Nattalam Post, Vilavancode Taluk, Kanyakumari District, Pin-629165, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Immanuel Arasar International Institute of Science & Technology Educational Charitable Trust, Plot No. 926/39, Street/Road. Edavilagam, Nattalam Post, Nattalam Village, Vilvancode Taluk, Kanyakumari District-629195, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Immanuel Arasar College of Education, Plot/Khasara no. 926/39, Street no. Edavilagam, Nattalam Post, Vilavancode Taluk, Kanyakumari District, Pin-629165, Tamilnadu, for M.Ed Course for One year duration under Section 15(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 30.09.2011. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 10.10.2011 (Dispatched on 04.10.2011).</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 01.11.2011. A reminder letter to the State Government was sent on 23.12.2011. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 07.12.2011. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 07.02.2012.</p>

The SRC in its 219th meeting held on 15th-16th March, 2012 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 07.02.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show Cause Notice under Section 14(1) of NCTE Act.

Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 10.04.2012. The institution has submitted its written representation on 09.05.2012.

The institution has submitted reply to the Show Cause Notice after stipulated period of 21 days from the date of issue of the Notice.

The SRC in its 223rd Meeting held on 29th-31st May 2012 considered the reply of the institution, which is received on 09.05.2012, i.e., after 29 (Twenty Nine) days from the date of issue of show cause notice letter and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to refuse and reject the application of the institution for recognition of M.Ed course. Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 25.06.2012.

The institution preferred appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-376/2012 Appeal/8th Meeting-2012 has stated as follows:-

“after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing the Council concluded that there was adequate ground to remand the case to the SRC for considering the reply to the show cause notice and taking further decision thereafter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Immanuel Arasar College of Education, Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE for necessary action as indicated above”.

Accordingly, as per decision of the appellate authority, reply Show Cause Notice received on 09.05.2012 for the Show cause notice dt. 10.04.2012.

The SRC in its 235th meeting held on 21-22nd November, 2012 considered the reply of the institution vide letters dt. 09.05.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Final Show cause Notice under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, for the following:

- Built up area shown in building plan is not matching with that of affidavit submitted.
- As per Tamil Version of the land document, land registration was done on 17.10.2005, whereas English version is reflecting that it was executed on 13.10.2002, it needs clarification from the institution.
- The institution has not submitted valid minority certificate issued by the competent authority from the State Govt. as B.Ed is banned in the State of Tamilnadu.
- The institution has submitted proof of completion of academic year 2011-12 only, from DTERT Chennai, proof of completion of two more academic year is not submitted.
- Institution has to submit Latest ‘NAAC’ Certificate.

Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 01.01.2013. The institution has submitted a written representation on 15.01.2013.

The SRC in its 241st meeting held on 29th & 31st March 2013 & 01st April 2013 considered the reply of the institution dt.15-01-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms. Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was fixed between 13th - 17th May 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 06.05.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 19.05.2013.

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, reply of the institution, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the SRC in its 247th Meeting held on 20th-22nd June, 2013 decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to M.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 35(Thirty Five only)(one unit) subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session. Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 16.08.2013. The institution has submitted reply on 26.09.2013.

The Committee considered the reply of the Institution to LOI and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 of NCTE Act for the following:

- The Institution has not submitted the staff list duly approved by the competent authority.
- Service certificate & marks cards of the Principal not submitted.
- Marks cards of all teaching staff are not submitted.

(There are many gaps in the staff list submitted, since recognition will only be for 2014-15, the Committee decided to give them time to fill up the gaps).

The Committee considered the matter and it was decided to serve Notice under section 15 of NCTE Act.

By seeing the website, the institutin has submitted written representation on 10-01-2014.

The Committee considered the reply of the institution to the LOI issued and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 15 of NCTE Act for the following:

- **As per the staff list submitted by the institution, Dr. Sheeba, Dr. Subha Pradha, Dr. Sobha, Dr. Vijayakumari & Dr. Valsala do not qualify accordingly to NCTE norms.**

The Institution has to submit a fresh 'duly approved staff list as per the NCTE norms 2009 from the University'.

In view of the above and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee decided to issue a Notice to the institution, as to why the application for

		recognition be not rejected and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a written representation within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the rejection of application for recognition, based on the records available, with no further notice.
--	--	---

Show Cause Notice Reply

58.	<p>APS02541 AP Stanford Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Nalgonda District AP</p>	<p>Stanford Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Hanumapur Village & Post, Bhongir Mandal, Nalgonda District - 508116, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Stanford Educational Society, H.No.11-14, Flat No.106, Prashantha Nilayam Apts., P & T Colony, Dilsukhnagar, Hyderabad - 500060, Andhra Pradesh had submitted an application to the SRC of NCTE for seeking recognition to Stanford Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Hanumapur Village & Post, Bhongir Mandal, Nalgonda District - 508116, Andhra Pradesh for Elementary (D.Ed) course of two years duration from the academic session 2005-06 with an annual intake of 50 students on 03.02.2004.</p> <p>The application for starting Stanford Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Hanumapur Village & Post, Bhongir Mandal, Nalgonda District - 508116, Andhra Pradesh was considered by SRC –NCTE under section 15 of NCTE Act, 1993. Accordingly, inspection of the institution was carried out on 18.03.2005 and the report of inspection team and other documents furnished by the institution along with the application were considered by the Southern Regional Committee in its 96th Meeting held on 19th - 20th July, 2005. The Regional Committee observed that the institution has made satisfactory arrangements in respect of infrastructural and instructional facilities for starting D.Ed course and decided to permit the institution to start Elementary (D.Ed) course from the session 2005-06.</p> <p>Stanford institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Hanumapur Village & Post, Bhongir Mandal, Nalgonda District - 508116, Andhra Pradesh was granted recognition for D.Ed course of two years duration on 26.08.2005.</p> <p>The SRC in its 247th SRC meeting held on 20th - 22nd June, 2013 while rejecting the new application of the above said institution/Society (Stanford Educational Society) applied for recognition of B.P.Ed course (SRCAPP66) for the session 2013-14, considered the matter that the same institution/Society is running other course Viz. APS00332-B.Ed course, APS02541-B.Ed, SRCAPP1485-B.Ed-AI & SRCAPP1528-B.Ed courses in the same premises.</p> <p>The committee decided and advised Southern Regional Office to prepare a comprehensive comparative statement of all 4 course i.e., APS00332-B.Ed course, APS02541-B.Ed, SRCAPP1485-B.Ed-AI & SRCAPP1528-B.Ed and put in 248th meeting.</p> <p>Accordingly, a comprehensive comparative statement of all courses (B.Ed-APS00332), B.Ed-AI (SRCAPP1485), D.Ed (SRCAPP1528) & D.Ed (APS02541) was processed and put up.</p> <p>The SRC in its 250th Meeting held on 11th - 13th August, 2013 considered the matter and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show Cause Notice under NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 30.09.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 21.10.2013.</p>
-----	---	---

		<p>The SRC in its 255th Meeting held on 13th - 15th November, 2013 considered the matter, written reply of the institution vide letter dated 21.10.2013 to the show cause notice issued and all the relevant documentary evidences of the institution and it was decided to serve Show Cause Notice under NCTE Act, for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Building plan submitted by the institution for the D.Ed (APS02541) course is same one submitted for B.Ed course related to SRCAPP0332, except the built up area. 2. The same Building Completion Certificate for B.Ed and B.Ed courses submitted, i.e., APSO0332 (B.Ed) and APSO02541 (B.Ed) at College No.2 of Building Completion Certificate full address of the society not mentioned. Square Yards No. is written by pen. At College No. 4 of building completion certificate name of the proposed course not mentioned. <p>Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 27.12.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 10.01.2014.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, observed that, there are many overlaps in the 4 cases. The Committee advised Southern Regional Office to re-examine closely and resubmit the case in 260th meeting. .</p>
--	--	--

Complaint Cases

59.	TN394 B.Ed Kalaimagal College of Education, Dharmapuri District TN	<p>Kalaimagal College of Education, 3/228, Unisinhalli Village, Kadathur Post, Pappireddipatti Taluk, Dharmapuri District, Pin-635303, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Kalaimagal College of Education, 3/228, Unisinhalli Village, Kadathur Post, Pappireddipatti Taluk, Dharmapuri District, Pin-635303, Tamilnadu had submitted an application for B.Ed Course on 07.10.2010. The institution was granted recognition on 19.07.2011 with an annual intake of 100 students, with the condition to shift to own premises within 3 years.</p> <p>The NCTE Hqrs vide letter dtd. 03.07.2012 has forwarded a complaint (Complaint no. C1200566) was received against the institution from Shri Ganesh through Email dated 21.06.2012 which has stated that the aforesaid institution is also running a school in the same building run by the same management/society.</p> <p>NCTE, Hqrs had requested to examine the matter and to take necessary action against the institution as per the NCTE Act, 1993, by placing the complaint before the Regional Committee.</p> <p>The SRC in its 236th meeting held on 16th-18th December, 2012 considered the letter from NCTE Hqrs New Delhi regarding a complaint from Mr. Ganesh through e-mail dt. June 21st, 2012 and decided to seek comments from the Institution and to send a copy of the complaint to the affiliating authority for their comments.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter sent to the institution on 05.02.2013.</p> <p>Till date the institution has not submitted comments/clarification on complaint even after expiry of Five months.</p> <p>The SRC in its 247th Meeting held on 20th-22nd June, 2013 considered the matter and letter from NCTE Hqrs New Delhi regarding a complaint from Mr. Ganesh through e-mail dt. June 21st, 2012 and decided that complainant to be asked to confirm the complaint through an affidavit.</p>
-----	---	---

Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 20.08.2013 and the same has been un-delivered and received on 28.08.2013. The same letter has been re-posted on 12.09.2013.

The SRC in its 255th Meeting held on 13th-15th November, 2013 considered the matter of non-delivery of the letter posted to the complainant Mr. Ganesh and decided and advised Southern Regional Office to re-issue the non-delivered letter by speed post with ack due.

Accordingly, a letter sent to the institution on 27.12.2013 and same has been un-delivered and received on 31.12.2013. (Speed Post letter enclosed).

The matter is placed before SRC along with original file for consideration and decision.

SRCAPP394-B.Ed

The SRC in its 247th Meeting held on 20th-22nd June, 2013 considered the matter and letter from NCTE Hqrs New Delhi regarding a complaint from Mr. Ganesh through e-mail dt. June 21st, 2012 and decided that complainant to be asked to confirm the complaint through an affidavit.

Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 20.08.2013 and the same has been un-delivered and received on 28.08.2013. The same letter has been re-posted on 12.09.2013.

The SRC in its 255th Meeting held on 13th-15th November, 2013 considered the matter of non-delivery of the letter posted to the complainant Mr. Ganesh and decided and advised Southern Regional Office to re-issue the non-delivered letter by speed post with ack due.

Accordingly, a letter sent to the institution on 27.12.2013 and same has been un-delivered and received on 31.12.2013.

The Committee considered the matter, and noted that letter dated 05/02/2013 written to the institution for clarification on the complaint, letter dated 20/08/2013 are returned back undelivered. Again on the advise of SRC letter dated 27/12/2013 sent by ack due also un-delivered and received in this office on 31.12.2013. complainant has also not responded when asked to confirm the complaint though an affidavit. It is decided by the committee to close the case.

Further,

The Committee decided and advised Southern Regional Office to register a fresh case for shifting of premises and put up in the 260th meeting.

Other Cases

<p>60.</p>	<p>APS04462 B.Ed Sri Sundareswari College of Education, Virudhunagar District TN</p>	<p>Sri Sundareswari College of Education, No. 12, Madavarvalakam Street, Sri Villiputtur, Virudhunagar District, Pin-626125, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Sri Sundareswari College of Education, No. 12, Madavarvalakam Street, Sri Villiputtur, Virudhunagar District, Pin-626125, Tamilnadu had submitted an application for B.Ed Course on 01.12.2005. The institution was granted recognition on 09.01.2007 with an annual intake of 100 students, with the condition to shift to own premises within 3 years.</p> <p>The institution vide its letter dated 28.06.2012 has submitted DD bearing no. 404640 dated. 26.06.2012 for Rs. 50,000/- towards processing fee for shifting of premises and had stated the following:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“We are very happy to inform you that, we have constructed the new building for our own premises in the new address Sri Sundareswari College of Education, Sivakasi Road, Malli, Srivilliputtur, Virudhunagar District.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Kindly accept this letter and to visit our institution as soon as possible to give the shifting permission”.</p> <p>The SRC in its 229th meeting held on 30th-31st July 2012, considered the reply of the institution dt.28.06.2012, request of the institution and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause shifting inspection</u> under NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms. Accordingly, inspection was carried out on 14.08.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 231st meeting held on 22nd-23rd August, 2012 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show Cause Notice under NCTE Act. Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 13.09.2012. The institution had submitted its written representation on 03.10.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 257th meeting held on 20th –22nd December, 2013 has considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 03.10.2012 other related documents along with the original file of the institution shifting to new/permanent premises is permitted, subject to submission of approved staff list as per NCTE norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter was sent to the institution on 06.06.2013. The institution has submitted the written representation on 01.08.2013.</p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 258th meeting held on 03rd – 05th January, 2014 and the committee decided to issue Formal Recognition with effect from 2014-15 instead of issuing Shifting permission.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter and noted that, the earlier decision in its 258th meeting held on 3-5th January, 2014 about ‘issuing formal recognition’ was incorrect. The Committee reviewed; and, a revised order to ‘permit shifting’ is passed. Order for Shifting of the institution to the new premises is to be issued accordingly.</p>
<p>61.</p>	<p>APS02428 D.El.Ed-AI Basaveshwar Vidya Sangha,</p>	<p>Basaveshwar Teacher Training Institute, Bagalkot – 587 101, Karnataka.</p> <p>Basaveshwar Vidya Vardhak Sangha, Bagalkot, Karnataka had submitted an application for grant of recognition to Basaveshwar Teacher Training Institute,</p>

<p>Bagalkot KA</p>	<p>Bagalkot – 587 101, Karnataka for for increase of intake of 40 for Elementary (D.Ed) Course from the academic session 2004-05 and the intake of the institution was increased from 40 to 100 vide order no. F.SRO/NCTE/D.Ed-AI/2004-2005/9613 dated 24.12.2004 with a condition to shift to its own premises / building within three years form the date of recognition (in case the course is started in rented premises).</p> <p>Now, a letter dt. 03.12.2013 from Principal, Basaveshwara Shikshak Training Institute, Bagalkote is received in the office of SRC on 11.12.2013. The institution is requesting to renew the recognition for 2013-2014 session. Till date the institution has not submitted any documents/proposal towards shifting of the premises. The Committee considered the institution's request for renewal of recognition vide their letter dated 03/12/2013, and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice Under NCTE Act for the following -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Institution was granted recognition in temporary/rented premises to run B.Ed-AI course on 24/12/2004 with a condition that it should shift to its own permanent premises in 3 years. i.e., on or before 23/12/2007. The Institution is still running in temporary/rented premises and has not shifted to new premises even after a lapse of more than 9 years and 01 month. • The institution to submit land and building documents approved by the competent authority. <p>In view of the above, the Committee decided to issue a Show Cause Notice to the institution as to why the recognition be not withdrawn and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a <u>written representation</u> within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the withdrawal, based on the records available with no further notice.</p>
------------------------	--

Consideration of Agenda Items- Volume-06

<p>62.</p>	<p>SRCAPP2181 B.Ed-DE Mother Theresa Womens University, Dindigul District TN</p>	<p>Mother Theresa Womens University, Anandagiri, Street No.4, Kodaikanal Post, Taluk and City, Dindigul District, Pin - 624101, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Mother Theresa Womens University, Anandagiri, Street No.4., Kodaikanal Post, Taluk and City, Dindigul District, Pin - 624101, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Mother Theresa Womens University, Anandagiri 4th Street, Kodaikanal Village and Post, Kodaikanal Taluk and City, Dindigul District, Pin - 624101, Tamilnadu for B.Ed-DE Course of two year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>30.07.2013</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>06.08.2013</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 04.11.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the University on 04.11.2013. The University has submitted written representation to Deficiency letter on 11.12.2013 along with DD bearing no. 383611 dtd. 27.11.2013 for Rs. 51,297/- drawn on State Bank of India.</p>
------------	--	--

		<p>As per direction of SRC, the matter was placed before SRC in its 256th Meeting held on 04th-06th December, 2013 and the committee decided to cause inspection.</p> <p>The inspection of the University was fixed between 06.01.2014 to 10.01.2014. The same was intimated to the University on 31.12.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 09.01.2014.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.Ed –DE course of two academic sessions / years with the basic unit of intake for the B.Ed (ODL) programme shall be 500 students subject to the condition that a study Centre shall enrol not more than one hundred students in a given session, subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p>
63.	<p>SRCAPP2156 M.Ed Alagappa University, Sivaganga District TN</p>	<p>Alagappa University, Plot No. 11/1pt, 1pt, College Road, Sekkalaikottai Village, Alagappapuram Post, Karaikudi City and Taluk, Sivaganga District, Pin-630003, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Alagappal University College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 11/1pt, 1pt, 10/1pt, Sekkalaikottai Village, Alagappapuram Post, Karaikudi City and Taluk, Sivaganga District, Pin-630003, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Alagappa University, Plot No. 11/1pt, 1pt, College Road, Sekkalaikottai Village, Alagappapuram Post, Karaikudi City and Taluk, Sivaganga District, Pin-630003, Tamilnadu for <u>M.Ed</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>24.07.2013</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>29.07.2013</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>04.11.2013</u>. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on <u>04.11.2013</u>.</p> <p>As per direction of SRC , the matter was placed before SRC in its 256th Meeting held on 04th-06th December, 2013 and decided to cause inspection. Accordingly, inspection intimation letter was sent to the University on 19.12.2013.</p> <p>The University has submitted Deficiency Letter reply on 02.01.2014.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was fixed between 06.01.2014 to 10.01.2014. The same was intimated to the institution on 31.12.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 08.01.2014.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the University, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to M.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 35(thirty five only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p>

64.	<p>SRCAPP2158 B.P.Ed Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli District TN</p>	<p>Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara No. 623, 616, 649, 648, NH -210, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin - 620024, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Plot No. 623, 616, NH -210, Pudukottai Road, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin-620024, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Bharathidasan University, Plot/Khasara No. 623, 616, 649, 648, NH -210, Suriyur Village, Bharathidasan University Post, Thiruvarumbur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City and District, Pin - 620024, Tamilnadu for B.P.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 25.07.2013 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 29.07.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 29.10.2013.</p> <p>A deficiency letter was issued to the University on 04.11.2013.</p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 256th Meeting held on 04th-06th December, 2013 and decided to cause inspection.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter was sent to the University on 20.12.2013.</p> <p>The University has submitted written representation to deficiency letter on 29.11.2013 along with DD bearing no. 941327 dtd. 29.09.2013 for Rs. 50,000/- drawn on State Bank of India.</p> <p>Intimation letter was sent to the University on 20.12.2013 regarding inspection.</p> <p>The inspection of the University was fixed between 06.01.2014 to 10.01.2014. The same was intimated to the University on 31.12.2013.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 10px 0;"> <p>Note: The University has stated that “ <i>the University has decided to request you to consider M.P.Ed., (2 years) application submitted by us for the academic year 2014-2015. <u>The B.P.Ed., application may please be withhold or sent back to this office</u>”.</i></p> </div> <p>The above matter was placed before SRC in its 258th meeting held on 03rd -05th January, 2013 and decided</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 10px 0;"> <p>“<i>Our earlier decision was wrong. Regulations require a running B.P.Ed for Universities to be eligible to apply for M.P.Ed. Let us write to the University to check whether they want to opt for B.P.Ed instead of M.P.Ed</i>”.</p> </div> <p>Accordingly, an e-mail was sent to the University on 04.01.2014.</p> <p>The University had replied to email on 05.01.2014 and has stated that</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 10px 0;"> <p>“<i>.... Bharathidasan University would like to opt B.P.Ed as per the regulations of NCTE, for which I request you to kindly arrange NCTE visiting team inspection for the same. Further I request you to consider our application for M.P.Ed programme during 2015-16</i>”.</p> </div> <p>The inspection of the institution was conducted on 08.01.2014 & 09.01.2014.</p>
-----	---	---

		<p>The Committee considered the institution's request for renewal of recognition vide their letter dated 03/12/2013, and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice Under section 14 of NCTE Act for the following -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As per Building plan, Building completion certificate, Affidavit submitted and VT report, total built up area for the proposed course B.P.Ed is only 12867 sq.ft. which is less than the requirement of 16000 sq.ft or 1500 sq.mts. <p>In view of the above, the Committee decided to issue a Show Cause Notice to the institution as to why the recognition be not withdrawn and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a <u>written representation</u> within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the withdrawal, based on the records available with no further notice.</p>
65.	<p>APS01086 D.Ed Fazfari Teacher Training Institute, Malappuram District KL</p>	<p>Fazfari Teacher Training Institute, M.A.F.M. Orphage and Arabic College Committee, Padinhattummuri, Malappuram District, Kerala.</p> <p>Fazfari Teacher Training Institute, M.A.F.M. Orphanage and Arabic College Committee, Padinhattummuri, Malappuram District, Kerala was granted recognition for Elementary course vide order- F.KL/ELE/N/28/SRO/NCTE/2004-2005/4569 dated 5/7/2004 from the academic session 2004-2005 with an annual intake of 50 students with a condition to shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition (in case the course is started in temporary premises)</p> <p>From the records it is observed that a letter seeking information on shifting of premises from temporary to permanent building on completion of 3 years from the date of recognition vide order F.SRO/NCTE/2007-08/8428 dated 21/8/2007 was issued to the institution.</p> <p>A draft letter addressed to the institution for shifting the institution to the permanent building/location is available with file. The letter is not dispatched. Further, there is no record regarding shifting of the institution to the permanent building/ location</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A letter has been received on 17.12.12 from the manager, Fazfari TTI, Padinhattummuri, Malappuram, Kerala regarding the request for permission to withdraw the interests of Endowment and Reserve Funds. 2. Another letter has been received on 3.1.2013 from the manager, Fazfari TTI, Padinhattummuri, Malappuram, Kerala regarding for permission letter to withdraw the interests of Fixed Deposits. <p>The SRC in its 241st meeting held on 29th – 30th March 2013 considered the matter and letter from the institution vide letter dated 17-12-2012 & 03-01-2013, and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 16/05/2013. The institution has submitted a written representation on 23/05/2013. The SRC in its 247th meeting held on 20th – 22nd June, 2013 considered the matter and decided to inform the institution to send the copy of their detailed report dt. 10/09/2007 and resubmit in the next meeting.</p> <p>Since, the institution has already submitted a copy of the letter dated 10/09/2007</p>

which is resubmitted to this office on 23/05/2013 regarding detailed report of shifting of premises from temporary to permanent building.

The SRC in its 253rd meeting held on 30th September & 01st vOctober 2013 considered the matter, institutions letter dated 10/09/2007/23/05/2013 and all relevant documents and decided to cause inspection at the New Premises under NCTE Act, to examine infrastructural and instructional facilities provided, whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the existing programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms. Inspection fee of Rs. 50000/- to be collected within 4 weeks before causing inspection, V.T. should be asked to check on the deficiencies in particular.

As per the decision of SRC the inspection fee letter was sent to the institution on 11.11.2013, the institution has submitted a reply on 13.11.2013 along with DD Rs. 50000/-

The inspection was scheduled during 8th and 11th January 2014 and the same was informed to the institution .

Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was carried out on 09.01.2014

The Committee duly considered VT report, VCD of institution and all the relevant documents of the institution and deficiencies still persist as under:

- **Total built up area shown is only 883 sq.mtrs, which is less than the requirement of 1500 sq.mtrs or 16000 sq.ft. as per NCTE norms 2009.**
- **The institution has not submitted Building completion certificate in a prescribed format approved by Govt. Engineer.**
- **Notarised land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the purpose of educational institution is not submitted. Proceedings from the revenue divisional office not submitted.**
- **U-to-date non-encumbrance certificate issued by the competent authority is not submitted.**
- **Original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund respectively from a Nationalised Bank is not submitted.**
- **The staff list submitted by the institution is not in accordance with 2009 regulations.**
- **Staff qualification given is defective.**
- **Staff list submitted is not approved by the affiliating body.**

Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.Ed course.