

**SOUTHERN REGIONAL COMMITTEE
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
BANGALORE**

Minutes of the 261st Meeting of SRC held at the Conference Hall of
NCTE, Bangalore on 09th – 10th Feb, 2014

The following Persons attended the Meeting:-

- | | | | |
|----|--|---|------------------------|
| 1. | Shri S.Sathyam I.A.S (Retired) | - | Chairman |
| 2. | Prof. K. Dorasami | - | Member |
| 3. | Prof. Sandeep Ponnala | - | Member |
| 4. | Dr.M.P.Vijaykumar, | - | Member |
| 5. | Prof. M.S. Lalithamma. | - | Member |
| 6. | Dr. P. Revathi Reddy.
Regional Director | - | Non-Member
Convenor |

1.The following Members/Representative did not attend the Meeting.

Prof. M.A. Khader. Member, Dr. (Smt.) Padma Sarangapani, representative of the 'Council', and the Representatives of the Govts. of Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Kerala, Tamilnadu, U.T's of Lakshadweep, Pondicherry & Andaman & Nicobar Islands.

**SOUTHERN REGIONAL COMMITTEE
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
BANGALORE
MINUTES OF 261st MEETING OF SRC-NCTE**

1	Confirmation of Minutes of SRC in its 260 th Meeting held on 29 th —31 st January, 2014	Confirmed
2	Action Taken Report (ATR) on the Minutes of SRC in its 259 th Meeting held on 17-19 th January	Noted

Consideration of Agenda Items- Volume-01-Inspection u/s-14

Sl. No	Code Course Name of the Institution State	Remarks
1.	SRCAPP166 D.Ed Jareenataz Diet College, Guntur District AP	<p>Jareenataz Diet College, Plot No.520, Mulakaluru Village, Post Office, Narasaraopet Taluk & City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Datta Sai Educational Society, Plot No.13-2-137/2, V.R.Nagar, Narasaraopet Village, Post, Taluk & City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Jareenataz Diet College, Plot No.520, Mulakaluru Village, Post Office, Narasaraopet Taluk & City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed course on 31.12.2012 for Two year duration under section 14(1) of the NCTE Act 1993 and received in the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>NCTE-Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, its amendment Notified on 26th November 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2 (B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1 (1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application. b) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application. c) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application. <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 238th Meeting held on 05th-06th February, 2013 duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern</p>

	<p>Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per Regulations 2009 [7 1–A (i)].</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application – as per the Regulations 2009 Para 7[1-A(i)]a). <p>Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 06/03/2013.</p> <p>As per the Public Notice dated 5th September, 2013 NCTE Head Quarters has stating that the important Policy Decision taken by the National Council for Teacher Education in its 31st Meeting held on 29th July, 2013 that the applications of the institutions, who could not make payment of processing fee on or before submission of Online Applications resulting in summary rejection by the concerned Regional Committees of NCTE, may be considered if payment has been made on the next banking / working day following the date of submission of the application, since the software does not allow for payment of the processing fees either on or before the date of submission of applications.</p> <p>The SRC in its 255th meeting held on 13th-15th November 2013, considered the matter and decided to process the application.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 03.12.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 03.12.2013.</p> <p>The Institution has submitted its reply on 20.12.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 258th meeting held on 3rd-5th January 2014, considered the reply to the deficiency letter from the institution vide letter dated 20-12-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 16th-22nd January 2014. The inspection intimation was sent to institution on 06.01.2014.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was conducted on 25.01.2014 and the VT report received in the office of SRC on 27.01.2014</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, VT report, and all the relevant documents of the institution, it is noted by the Committee that,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The VT inspection report is incomplete.• The VT members have not submitted VCD of the institution while conducting inspection of the institution.• The Re-inspection of the institution to be caused at our cost. <p>Further, the Committee advised SRO to write to the institution to drop the word ‘DIET’ from its name.</p>
--	--

2.	SRCAPP171 B.P.Ed Sadulla B.P.Ed College, Guntur District AP	<p>Sadulla B.P.Ed College, Khasara / Plot No. 471/C, Mulakaluru Village and Post Office, Narasaraopet Taluka and City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Navodaya G.P.U.V. Sangam, Plot No. 13-5-1, Islampet Road, Narsaraopet Village, Post Office, Taluka and City, Guntur District – 522601, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sadulla B.P.Ed College, Khasara / Plot No. 471/C, Mulakaluru Village and Post Office, Narasaraopet Taluka and City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course for one year duration under Section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>NCTE-Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, its amendment Notified on 26th November 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2 (B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1 (1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application.Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application. <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 238th Meeting held on 05th – 06th February 2013 reviewed the duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per Regulations [7 1–A (i)].</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The Processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application – as per the Regulations 2009 Para 7[1-A(i)]a). <p>Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 06/03/2013.</p> <p>Public Notice – Processing Fees Late Submission by One Day</p> <p>As per the Public Notice dated 5th September, 2013 NCTE Head Quarters has stating that the important Policy Decision taken by the National Council for Teacher Education in its 31st Meeting held on 29th July, 2013 that the applications of the institutions, who could not make payment of processing fee on or before submission of Online Applications resulting in summary rejection by the concerned Regional Committees of NCTE, may be considered if payment has been made on the next banking / working day following the date of submission of the application, since the software does not allow for payment of</p>
----	--	---

		<p>the processing fees either on or before the date of submission of applications.</p> <p>The SRC in its 255th meeting held on 13-15th Nov, 2013, the Committee decided to process the application of the above said cases. The application of the said institution was scrutinized and a copy of the application was sent to State Govt. for recommendation on 03/12/2013.</p> <p>A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 03/12/2013. The Institution has submitted its reply on 20.12.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 258th meeting held on 3rd-5th January 2014, considered the reply to the deficiency letter from the institution vide letter dated 20-12-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 16th-22nd January 2014. The inspection intimation was sent to institution on 06.01.2014.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was conducted on 25.01.2014 and the VT report received in the office of SRC on 27.01.2014</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.P.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(one hundred only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Further, the institution to submit original FDRs in joint account.</p>
3.	<p>SRCAPP2006 B.Ed MRK College of Education, Rangareddi District AP</p>	<p>MRK College of Education, Plot No.11 & 12, Yapral Village, JJ Nagar Post Office, Malkajgiri Taluk, Secunderabad City, Rangareddi District-500087, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>MRK Educational Society, Plot No.11 & 12, Patel Enclave Street, Yapral Village, JJ Nagar Post Office, Malkajgiri Taluk, Secunderabad City, Rangareddi District-500087, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to MRK College of Education, Plot No.11 & 12, Yapral Village, JJ Nagar Post Office, Malkajgiri Taluk, Secunderabad City, Rangareddi District-500087, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed Course of One year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 20.05.2013.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the Deficiency letter was duly considered by SRC in its 246th Meeting held on 02nd-04th June, 2013 and the reply is unconvincing</p>

and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:

- 1) The institution has not submitted valid minority certificate issued by the competent authority from the State Govt. as B.Ed is banned in the State of Andhra Pradesh.
- 2) Original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund from a Nationalized Bank in favour of Society/college/institution name is not given.
- 3) In the approved building plan submitted, site area is not mentioned.
- 4) In the building completion certificate submitted, at column No. 9 course name is not mentioned.
- 5) In the land usage certificate proceedings submitted, it is mentioned that agricultural land to non-agricultural land for layout plots purpose at Sy.No. 192, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations 2009. The land should be converted from agriculture to non-agricultural only for the purpose of Education.

Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course.

Accordingly, a rejection order was issued to the institution on 03.07.2013.

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide no. F.No.89-572/2013 Appeal/16th Meeting-2013 dated 13.11.2013 stating that “...the council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to reconsider the documents furnished by the appellants and take a decision as per regulations.

NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby remands back the case of MRK College of Education, Rangareddi, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.”

The SRC in its 257th meeting held on 20th – 22nd December 2013, considered the appellate authority order dated 13.11.2013 and it has decided to process the application.

The Committee considered the matter, Appellate authority order dated 13-11-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.

Further, the Committee in its 258th Meeting held on 03rd – 05th January, 2014 advised Southern Regional Office should place the VT inspection report in the 260th meeting.

Accordingly, inspection of the university was fixed for B.P.Ed course between 16th – 22nd January, 2014. The inspection intimation was sent to university on 07.01.2014.

The inspection of the university was conducted on **24.01.2014** and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 27.01.2014.

		<p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(one hundred only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p>
4.	<p>SRCAPP1990 D.Ed AL College of Education, Guntur District AP</p>	<p>AL College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.67, 68, 69, 70, 82, 84, Plot No. 67, Lodge Cent Street, Guntur Village and Taluk, Arundalpet Post Office, Guntur City and District – 522002, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>AEL Church, Plot No. 67, Lodge Centre Road, Guntur Village and Taluk, Arundalpet Post Office, Guntur City and District – 522002, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to AL College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.67, 68, 69, 70, 82, 84, Plot No. 67, Lodge Cent Street, Guntur Village and Taluk, Arundalpet Post Office, Guntur City and District – 522002, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed Course for two years duration under Section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 28.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 03.01.2013.</p> <p>NCTE-Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, it amendment Notified on 26th November 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2 (B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1 (1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application. b) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application. c) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application. <p>The SRC in its 238th Meeting held on 05th-06th February, 2013 and on careful perusal of the original of the institution and other related documents, NCTE Act, 1993, Regulations and guidelines from time to time laid on the table the Regional Committee decided to reject the application on the following grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application - (as per Regulations 2009 Para 7[1-A(i)]a). <p>Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 06/03/2013.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide no. F.No.89-216/2013 Appeal/11th Meeting-2013 dated 25/09/2013 stating that “...the council concluded that the appeal deserved to be accepted and the matter remanded to the SRC with a direction to process the</p>

		<p><i>application as per Regulations.</i></p> <p><i>NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby remands back the case of AL College of Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above."</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 254th meeting held on 25th -27th October, 2013 considered the Appellate Authority order dated 25/09/2013 and decided to process the application of the said institution as per Regulations.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 03.12.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 03.12.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 18.12.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 258th meeting held on 3rd-5th January 2014 considered the reply to the deficiency letter from the institution vide letter dated 18-12-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 16th-22nd January 2014. The inspection intimation was sent to institution on 06.01.2014.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was conducted on 26.01.2014 and the VT report received in the office of SRC on 27.01.2014</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the Institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to D.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50(fifty only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p>
5.	<p>SRCAPP92 D.Ed Holy Path College of Education, Khammam District AP</p>	<p>Holy Path College of Education, plot No.270, Old Paloncha Village, Old Paloncha post Office, Paloncha Taluk and Town, khammam District-507115, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Little Flower Educational Development Society, Plot No.37-1-413(8), Ramanagar, 9th line, Ongole Village and Post Office, Ongole Taluk and Town, Prakasam District – 523001, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Holy Path College of Education, plot No.270, Old Paloncha Village, Old Paloncha post Office, Paloncha Taluk and Town, khammam District-507115, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed Course of two year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 31.01.2013/26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 24.05.2013</p>

The reply of the institution to the deficiency letter was duly considered by SRC in its 246th Meeting held on 02nd-04th June, 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:

- The institution has not submitted valid minority certificate issued by the competent authority from the State Govt. as D.El.Ed is banned in the State of Andhra Pradesh.

Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.Ed course.

Accordingly, a rejection order was issued to the institution vide no. F.No.SRCAPP92/D.Ed/AP/2013-14/52582 dated 04/07/2013.

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide order no. F.No.89-508/2013/Appeal/15th Meeting/2013A77988 dated 12.11.2013 stating that

“...the council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to reconsider the decision by accepting the Minority Certificate which was available to the SRC.”

NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby remands back the case of Holy Path College of Education, Khammam, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.”

The SRC in its 256th meeting held on 4th-6th December 2013, the committee considered the appellate authority order dated 12.11.2013 and decided to process and put up in the next meeting.

The SRC in its 258th meeting held on 3rd-5th January 2014, considered the Appellate authority order dated 12-11-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to **cause inspection** under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the Central University of Kerala fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.

Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 16th-22nd January 2014. The inspection intimation was sent to institution on 07.01.2014.

The inspection of the institution was conducted on 22.01.2014 and the VT report received in the office of SRC on 27.01.2014

On careful perusal of the original file of the Institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to D.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50(fifty only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.

6.	SRCAPP2179 B.Ed Telangana University, Nizamabad District AP	<p>Telangana University, Suddapally Street & Village, TU Sub-post, Dichpally Taluk, Nizamabad District – 503322, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Telangana University, Sy.No. 2297, Dharmapuri Hills Road, Sarangapur Village & Post, Nizamabad Taluk & City, Nizamabad District-503186, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Telangana University, Suddapally Street & Village, TU Sub-post, Dichpally Taluk, Nizamabad District – 503322, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 30.07.2013 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 05.08.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 26.10.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 29.10.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 30.12.2013.</p> <p>As per the oral direction of the SRC, a list of application status report 2014-15 was placed before SRC in its 256th Meeting held on 04th-06th December, 2013 considered the matter and all relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 to examine whether the Central Universities & State University (Govt. only) applied for the session 2014-15, fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the Universities/inspection, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 16th-22th January, 2014 the same was intimated to the University on 07.01.2014.</p> <p>Meantime, an e-mail letter received from Anil Kumar with the request to due to some reason he is not visited the University.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 23.01.2014 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 27.01.2014.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the University, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(one hundred only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Further, the Committee advised SRO to write to the University about the deficiencies, they should remove within the period available before 3rd March or else, they should produce Central/State Govt. undertaking for getting relaxation of time-limits as stipulated in NCTE communication. (As per this office letter no. SRC/NCTE/RD/2013/54607, dated 29/10/2013-Grant of relaxation to Govt. Universities under State Govts/U.Ts of Southern Region based on the reference cited in the said letter).</p>
----	---	--

7.	<p>SRCAPP2178 B.Ed Yogi Vemana University, Kadapa District AP</p>	<p>Yogi Vemana University, Plot No.1, Street No.1, Vemanapuram Village, Vemanapuram, YV University Post Office, Kadapa Taluk & City, Kadapa District -516003, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Yogi Vemana University, Plot No.1, Street No.1, Vemanapuram Village, Vemanapuram, YV University Post Office, Kadapa Taluk & City, Kadapa District -516003, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Yogi Vemana University, Plot No.1, Main Road, Vemanapuram Village, Vemanapuram YV University Post Office, Kadapa Taluk & City, Kadapa District - 516 003, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 30.07.2013 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 05.08.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 26.10.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the university on 30.10.2013.</p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 256th meeting held on 4th-6th December 2013 considered the matter and all relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 to examine whether the Central and State University (Govt. only) applied for the session 2014-15, fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the universities/institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>As per direction of SRC, intimation regarding removal of deficiencies for inspection was sent to university on 19.12.2013</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the university was fixed between 06th - 10th January 2014. The inspection intimation was sent to university on 24.12.2013.</p> <p>The university has submitted deficiency letter reply on 03.01.2014</p> <p>The inspection of the university was re-fixed between 20th - 22nd January 2014. The inspection intimation was sent to university on 16.01.2014</p> <p>The inspection of the university was conducted on 21.01.2014 and the VT report received in the office of SRC on 24.01.2014</p> <p>The Committee considered the non-reply of the University to this office letter dated 04/02/2014. The matter related to University's option of preferring B.Ed course or M.Ed course applied together for the session 2014-15.</p> <p>The contents of the decision of 259th meeting wherein M.Ed course of the University is reproduced here:</p> <p>"The University had applied for M.Ed course (SRCAPP2030) on-line on 29/12/2012 and B.Ed course (SRCAPP2178) on-line on 30/07/2013. As per regulations 2009, SRC can not process simultaneously for both B.Ed (2178) and M.Ed (2030).</p> <p>In view of the above, the Committee advised Southern Regional Office to contact the said University, whether they prefer B.Ed or M.Ed and to be reported in the 259th meeting itself on 19 Jan, 2014. Accordingly, the University was contacted over phone (the registrar and Mr. G. Gulam Tariq</p>
----	---	---

		<p>Principal) to give University's option of preferring B.Ed course or M.Ed course and to be e-mailed back to this office on the same day of the meeting. But, so far, the University has not responded".</p> <p>Based on the above, the Committee decided to wait for the reply of the University till 262nd meeting.</p>
8.	<p>SRCAPP2190 M.Ed Palamuru University College of Education, Mahabubnagar District AP</p>	<p>Palamuru University College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.631, Bandameedipalli Village, Mahabubnagar Post Office, City & District - 509 001, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Government, Raichur Road, Bandameedipalli Village, Mahabubnagar Post Office, City & District - 509 001, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Palamuru University College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.631, Bandameedipalli Village, Mahabubnagar Post Office, City & District - 509 001, Andhra Pradesh for M.Ed Course of One year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.07.2013 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 12.08.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 21.10.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 21.10.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 256th Meeting held on 04th – 06th December, 2013 decided that "Ordinarily the Committee clears cases for inspection only after all deficiencies are removed. This is because, according to the NCTE Regulations, no time is to be given for removal of deficiencies after Inspection by the Visiting Team. But, recognizing the government emphasis on Government Universities / Colleges to start Teacher Education courses, and bearing in mind the Supreme Court direction about 3rd March 2014 as the cut-off date for recognizing courses for 2014-15 academic year, the committee has decided to cause inspection in this case in anticipation of removal of deficiencies". The same is informed to the institution on 19.12.2013.</p> <p>The university had submitted its reply for deficiency letter on 29.11.2013 As per the directions of SRC in 256th Meeting, inspection of the university was fixed for M.Ed course between 16th – 22nd January, 2014. The inspection intimation was sent to university on 07.01.2014.</p> <p>The inspection of the university was conducted on 21.01.2014 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 27.01.2014.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the University, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to M.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 35(thirty five only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p>

As per Decision of the SRC 259th Meeting decision of the following files are put up for consideration

Sl. No	Code Course Name of the Institution State	Remarks
9.	SRCAPP552 M.P.Ed Annamalai University, Cuddalore District TN	<p>Annamalai University, Music College Road, Thiruvetkalam, Annamalai Nagar, Chidambaram, Cuddalore District, Pin – 608002, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Annamalai University, Music College Road, Thiruvetkalam, Annamalai Nagar, Chidambaram, Cuddalore District, Pin – 608002, Tamilnadu had submitted application for starting M.P.Ed, B.P.Ed and D.P.Ed courses on 26.09.2011 for the session 2012-13. It is ascertained after scrutiny of your application in accordance with clause 8 (2) and 8(3) of NCTE Regulation 2009, that:-</p> <p>“In the first instance an institution shall be considered for grant of recognition of only one course for the basic unit as prescribed in the norms and standards for the particular teacher education programme”.</p> <p>“An institution can apply for one basic unit only of an additional course or for an additional unit of the existing recognized course after completion of three academic session of the respective course, for which the institution shall submit application before the cut of date prescribed for submission of applications in the year succeeding the completion of three academic sessions”.</p> <p>As the University has submitted three applications for M.P.Ed, B.P.Ed and D.P.Ed courses for the session 2012-13 and as per NCTE Regulation 2009 reproduced above, an institution shall be considered for grant of recognition for only one course for the basic unit as prescribed in the norms and standards for the particular teacher education programme.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter dt. 04.10.2011 was issued to the University seeking to inform the name of one course that the University wants to apply for further processing and consideration. As per Regulation 2009, 8(2) and 8(3) an institution cannot apply for more than one course at one time.</p> <p>The University vide letter dt.15.10.2011 has submitted its reply has stated that they have sufficient instructional and infrastructural facilities with highly qualified teaching faculties and has requested to consider for all the three courses namely D.P.Ed, B.P.Ed and M.P.Ed course.</p> <p>The SRC in its 213th meeting held on 06-07 November, 2011 considered the reply of the University letter dt. 15.10.2011 and all other relevant details and decided that, the applicant-University has not been able to appreciate the Legal position relating to consideration of applications by the Southern Regional Committee. As per the NCTE regulations 2009, the committee is not allowed to consider applications for more than one course at a time. The applicant-University has neither accepted this position nor indicated its preference of any one out of the three courses applied for. That being so, the application cannot be processed further. Accordingly, all the three applications are rejected and rejection letter was issued to the institution on 24.01.2012.</p>

	<p>The institution preferred appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order dt. 18.04.2012 has stated as follows:-</p> <p>“after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after consideration oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that there was adequate justification in remaining the case to the SRC for further processing of the application of M.P.Ed. course on merit as per Regulations”.</p> <p>NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Annamalai University, Cuddalore, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.</p> <p>As per the Regulations an University application for M.P.Ed can be considered only if the University is already running or offering B.P.Ed course. The appellate has not noted this point. The SRC decided to seek clarification about this decision and pending clarification from Appellate authority, the committee decided to process the M.P.Ed application.</p> <p>The SRC in its 222nd Meeting held on 09th-10th May, 2012 considered the Appellate authority order dt. 18.04.2012 and decided to process the application further on submission of original application and related supporting documents as per the regulations.</p> <p>The institution has replied to the deficiency letter dt. 23.07.2012, vide their letter dt. 17.08.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 231st meeting held on 22nd-23rd August 2012, considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 17.08.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show cause Notice. Accordingly, Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 13.09.2012. The institution submitted its reply on 03.10.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 235th meeting held on 21st-22nd November, 2012 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 03.10.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Final Show cause Notice under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act. Accordingly, by seeing the website the institution had submitted its written representation on 24.12.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 239th meeting held on 26th-27th February, 2013 considered the reply of the institution dt.24-12-2012 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was fixed between 13th-17th May, 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 06.05.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 16.05.2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, reply of the institution, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the SRC in its 249th Meeting held on 24th-26th July, 2013 decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to M.P.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 40(Forty only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of</p>
--	---

qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session. Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 23.08.2013. The University has submitted LOI reply on 07.10.2013.

The SRC in its 254th Meeting held on 25th-27th October, 2013 considered the reply of the University to LOI and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 of NCTE Act. By seeing the website the University has submitted the written representation on 30.12.2013.

The above matter was placed before SRC in its 259th Meeting held on 17th-19th January, 2014 and decided that

1. University can not have M.P.Ed without B.P.Ed
2. LOI earlier given is withdrawn.
3. Reject the application.

A letter received on 30.01.2014 and has stated that

“ I wish to inform you that Annamalai University is a State University in Tamilnadu established in the year 1929. The Department of Physical Education exists from the inception of this University. This University is offering B.P.Ed., and M.P.Ed., courses from the year 1974 and 1980 onwards respectively even before enactment of the NCTE Act, 1993.

We has submitted application for granting recognition to M.P.Ed., B.P.Ed and D.P.Ed., courses on 27.01.2011. However NCTE-SRC rejected all the three applications. Therefore left with no other option, we preferred an appeal to NCTE, Head Quarters and the Appellate Authority vide order dated 19.04.2012 has directed to process the application for M.P.Ed course. Accordingly the course application was processed by SRC and letter of Intent was issued based on the inspection held on 16.05.2013.

In the meantime we have also submitted application for B.P.Ed., course on 28.12.2012 seeking grant of recognition and after due inspection on 06.12.2013, the Regional Committee has also issued Letter of Intent for B.P.Ed., course also.

Now we came to know through the website of NCTE-SRC that our application for M.P.Ed course has been rejected citing the reason that University cannot have M.P.Ed without B.P.Ed and the LOI given earlier is withdrawn. In this regard we would like to bring the following to your kind notice:

- i) Annamalai University is a State University offering B.P.Ed courses since 1974 and M.P.Ed courses since 1980.
- ii) The University is having robust infrastructure and excellent faculty for the requirement of both B.P.Ed and M.P.Ed courses.
- iii) Annamalai University is a Unitary University, like other courses B.P.Ed and M.P.Ed courses are also offered by the Department of the University and not by a College affiliated to the University.
- iv) Quality of education is ensured in the University through strict scrutiny by University Authorities such as Boards of Studies, Faculty and Academic Council.
- v) In earlier years there are evidences that both B.P.Ed., and M.P.Ed.,

		<p>courses are issued in the same year for the same institution and in few Universities recognition for M.P.Ed courses have been given without insisting for B.P.Ed courses.</p> <p>vi) If approval is denied to the University for conducting M.P.Ed courses, the infrastructure facility will be grossly underutilized.</p> <p>vii) We also wish to place before you the intension of NCTE (H.Q.) Letter no.F.49-15/2013/NCTE/N&S/A73915, dated 12th September 2013) to give fillip to teacher education in general, and, to education to teacher educators. The Ministry of Human Resource Development, GOI, has urged the NCTE Regional Committees to grant relaxation of the procedural and infrastructural requirements prescribed by the NCTE regulations.</p> <p>In addition the NCTE(HQ) has also advised the Regional Directors, to inform the same to Government Universities under the control of State Government/U.T., and extend the relaxation in the regulation as they deem it appropriate to the State Universities.</p> <p>In view of the above it is requested to kindly reconsider the decision taken in SRC and accord approval for M.P.Ed courses as well as B.P.Ed courses in Annamalai University”.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the University vide their letter dated 28/01/2014, and decided that, Southern Regional Committee can not relax Regulations. The University to be advised to approach NCTE (H.Q) for relaxation of the 3-year gap requirement as requested by the University.</p>
--	--	---

Consideration of Agenda Items- Volume-02
Court Cases

10.	<p>SRCAPP832 B.Ed National College of Education, Salem District TN</p>	<p>National College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 886, Plot No. 555/4, Ayyampudur Village, Metturdam Taluk, Salem District-636303, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>National Educational Trust, Plot No. 555/4, Ayyampudur Village, Kolathur Post, Metturdam Taluk, Salem District-636303, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition National College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 886, Plot No. 555/4, Ayyampudur Village, Metturdam Taluk, Salem District-636303, Tamilnadu, for B.Ed Course for one year duration under Section 15(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 28.09.2011. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 29.09.2011.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 10.10.2011. A reminder letter to the State Government was sent on 23.12.2011. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 05.12.2011. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 13.02.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 219th meeting held on 15th-16th March 2012 considered the written reply of the institution vide letter dt. 13/02/2012 and other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, under Section 14 (1) of NCTE Act. The inspection of the institution</p>
-----	--	--

was fixed between 20th-26th April. 2012. The same was intimated to the institution on 28.03.2012. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 24.04.2012.

The SRC in its 222nd meeting held on 09-10th 2012, considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Final Show cause Notice. under Section 14 (1) of NCTE Act for the following :

1. Original building completion certificate from competent Govt. authorized engineer is not submitted.
2. In the non-encumbrance certificate submitted, land area is not given.
3. The institution has not submitted valid minority certificate issued by the competent authority from the State Govt. as B.Ed is banned in the State of Tamilnadu.
4. As per VTR, the size of the multipurpose hall is not given.
5. The details of all class rooms size, labs & other rooms is to be submitted.
6. As seen from VCD, Toilet Block is covered with Asbestos roof, which is not permissible as per NCTE norms.

Accordingly, Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 06.06.2012. The institution submitted its reply on 15.06.2012.

The reply of the institution to the show cause notice was duly considered and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:

- Original building completion certificate from competent Govt. engineer is not submitted. The BCC submitted is from chartered engineer & registered valour, which is not permissible as per NCTE norms.
- As per Non-encumbrance certificate submitted, the land is in favour of joint owners. Notarised English version of the land documents submitted with the on-line application also reflects the same.

The Southern Regional Committee is not empowered to relax this conditions laid down in regulations as per:

8 (7) (i) No institution shall be granted recognition under these Regulations unless the institution or society sponsoring the institution is in possession of required land on the date of application. The land free from all encumbrances could be either on ownership basis or on lease from Government or Government institutions for a period of not less than 30 years. In cases where under relevant State or Union Territory laws the maximum permissible lease period is less than 30 years, the State Government or Union Territory Administration law shall prevail. However, no building shall be taken on lease for running any teacher training course.

- In the Encumbrance certificate submitted, the extent of land area is not given/mentioned.
- The institution has not submitted valid minority certificate issued by the competent authority from the State Govt. as B.Ed is banned in the State of Tamilnadu.
- The multipurpose hall size is less than NCTE Regulations.

The reply to the show cause notice is not satisfactory and unconvincing.

Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the SRC in its 225th meeting held on 26th-27th June, 2012 and decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to refuse and reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course.

Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 23.07.2012.

The institution preferred appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-456/2012 Appeal/9th Meeting-2012 dtd. 10.10.2012 has stated as follows:-

“ after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that there was adequate justification to remand the case to the SRC for further processing as per the NCTE Regulations.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of National College of Education, Salem, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.

The SRC in its 235th meeting held on 21st -22nd November, 2012 noted the matter with regard to confirmation of SRCs order by Appellate authority order dt. 10.10.2012 and decided to process further as per Appellate authority order dt. 10.10.2012.

The matter was placed before SRC in its 239th meeting held on 26th-27th February, 2013 and decided to Process and put up in the 240th meeting schedule on 09th-11th March, 2013.

The Committee, as per the Appellate Authority order dt. 10.10.2012 considered the matter pertaining to National College of Education, Salem District, Tamilnadu and reply of the institution to the show cause notice is processed for clearance of the file along with the connected material, relevant documentary evidences. It is noticed that the following deficiencies still remain:

- As per Non-encumbrance certificate submitted, the land is in favour of joint owners. Notarised English version of the land documents submitted with the on-line application also reflects the same. Original certified copy of the land documents from Govt. authority in favour of the Society/institution is not submitted.
- The institution has not submitted valid minority certificate issued by the competent authority from the State Govt. as B.Ed is banned in the State of Tamilnadu at the time of application.

Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the SRC in its 241st meeting held on 29th & 31st March 2013 & 01st April 2013 decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course. The rejection order is not yet issued to the institution.

In the meantime, the institution has moved to Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No. 12023 of 2013 & M.P.No. 2 of 2013 dtd. 25.04.2013 which reads as under:-

“ This petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S.B.RABU MANOHAR, Advocate for the petitioner, the court made the following order:-

Post on 11.06.2013.

There shall be an order of interim injunction as prayed for till then. Notice”.

The matter placed before 243rd SRC meeting held on 29th-30th April, 2013 and decided to following reasons.

1. Oppose the petition.
2. Cite the Supreme Court orders, the strict enforcement of norms and standards.
3. Move for vacation of stay and dismissal of petition.

Accordingly, a brief of the case sent to the Shri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate on 21.05.2013.

SRC NCTE is in receipt of letter dt. 03.06.2013 alongwith Interim order dt. 28.05.2013 in the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No. 12023 of 2013 and M.P No. 2 of 2013 which reads as follows:-

“..... the petition will come up for hearing on 18.06.2013, you are hereby directed to appear, in person or by advocate, to show cause why the petitioner's prayer should not be granted, in default of which, the matter will be heard and decided in your absence.

Notice and interim injunction have been ordered by Court on 25.04.2013”.

A letter sent to the advocate on 11.06.2013 to defend the case and to vacate the stay on behalf of the NCTE.

SRC NCTE is in receipt of NCTE Hqrs letter dtd. 17.06.2013 alongwith with interim order dt. 25.04.2013 in the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No. 12023 of 2013 which reads as follows:-

“..... That you, the respondent (s) 1 and 2 (The Member Secretary, New Delhi and The Regional Director, NCTE, Bangalore) mentioned, do send for our use in the High Court of Judicature at Madras, all and singular the said records and orders with all things touching the same as fully and perfectly as they have been made by you, and now remain in your custody or power together with this our Writ before 20.06.2013.

That you, the respondent (s) 1 and 2 (The Member Secretary, New Delhi and The Regional Director, NCTE, Bangalore) herein, do appear before us personally or by advocate on 20.06.2013 at 10.30 am and show cause why this petition should not be complied with, and that we may cause further to be done thereon what of right and according to law we shall see fit to be done”.

Accordingly, a letter was sent to the advocate on 20.06.2013 to defend the case and to vacate the stay on behalf of the NCTE.

Further, the Advocate had sought for the counter affidavit to be signed. Accordingly, counter affidavit was signed and sent to the advocate on 08.07.2013.

		<p>Now. An order dtd. 05.09.2013 has been received on 20.09.2013 from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras and reads as under:-</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>“.... This petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof the petitioner and respondents not appearing either in person or by an Advocate the court made the following order:</p> <p>Post on 03.10.2013. Interim order to continue”.</p> </div> <p>Accordingly, a letter was sent to the Advocate on 24.09.2013 and has requested to appear before the Hon'ble High Court in person on 03.10.2013 and vacate the stay.</p> <p>SRC NCTE is in receipt of letter dt. 20.01.2014 alongwith Interim order dt. 08.10.2013 in the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No. 12023 of 2013 and M.P No. 2 of 2013 which reads as follows:-</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>“.... There is not dispute that NCTE is yet to pass an order on the basis of the decision taken by the Southern Regional Committee at its 241st meeting held on 29 and 31st March and 1 April, 2013. The very clearly admitted that NCTE is yet to pass final orders. There is no question of exercising a judicial review in respect of the minutes of the meeting. There should be an order before this court to test its legality and correctness. No such order is produced before this Court. In fact, NCTE has specifically contended that the Council is in the process of passing orders and it would be communicated to the petitioner. Thereafter only, the order could be challenged before this Court. Since NCTE passed a resolution only and the same is not converted into a decision as such, the writ petition is to premature.</p> <p>In the upshot, I dismiss the writ petition. However, dismissal of this writ petition would not Stand in the way of the petitioner to challenge the impugned order after its communication by NCTE. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs”.</p> </div> <p>Till date rejection order is not yet issued to the institution.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Hon'ble High Court, Madras, interim order dated 08/10/2013, decided and advised SRO to issue the rejection order in pursuance of the decision taken by SRC in its 241st Meeting held on 29th – 30th March and 1st April 2013.</p>
11.	<p>APS02773 D.Ed Little Flower Elementary Teacher Education Institution for Girls, Ananthpur District AP</p>	<p>Little Flower Elementary Teacher Education Institution for Girls, Ananthpur Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>An application was submitted by Little Flower Elementary Teacher Education for Girls, Ananthpur Andhra Pradesh for grant of recognition to D.Ed course in the office of SRC-NCTE, Bangalore on 03.02.2004</p> <p>The application was processed as per the provisions contained in Rules and Regulation, Norms and Standards vogue at that point of time and the recognition was granted to the institution vide order dt 26.08.2005 with stipulated conditions.</p> <p>Shifting proposal was submitted by the institution on 17.07.2009. Shifting inspection was conducted on 17.09.2009.</p> <p>The SRC in its 197th meeting held on 13th and 14th October, 2010, and the committee considered the VT Report and all the relevant documentary</p>

	<p>evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice Under Section 17 of NCTE Act.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, notice was issued on 03.12.2010. The institution has not submitted its written representation/documents.</p> <p>The SRC in its 201st meeting held on 22nd-23rd February 2011 and considered the non-response of the institution to the Show cause notice dated 03.12.2010 and it has decided to withdraw recognition for D.Ed course (APS02773) with effect from 2012-13, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in D.Ed course, if any, to complete their course.</p> <p>But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.</p> <p>The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly.</p> <p>Accordingly, Withdrawal of recognition order was issued to the institution on 18.03.2011.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the withdrawal of recognition order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs and the appellate authority in its order No. F.No. 89-144/2011Appeal/4th meeting-2011 dated 06.07.2011 stated that <i>"...after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 18.03.2011 was confirmed. The council hereby confirms the order appealed against"</i>.</p> <p>A letter has been received from Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, School Education (PE) Department vide letter G.O. Rt. NO. 521 dated 01.07.2011. As per perusal of the above letter Private D.Ed College of Andhra Pradesh was submitted representation to the Hon'ble Minister stating that they have admitted extra students in D.Ed 1st year after completion of 3rd phase DIETCET-2010 counselling during the academic year 2010-2011. But the 4th phase counselling, the convener DIETCET-2010 have allotted students to the vacant seats of their college under category-A seats and requested to the Govt to accord permission for the extra seats for the year 2010-2011 as a special case.</p> <p>After examination of the matter by the State Govt in view the interest of the students who were admitted in excess by the institutions and are at no fault of them, hence the Govt ratified the action of the Managements of (28) Private D.Ed colleges in filling of excess seats filled by them for the academic year 2010-2011 as a special case with a strict warning to the managements of such institution not to repeat such unauthorized /irregular admission.</p> <p>The State Govt requested to take necessary action against the Management as per the rules in force. The present institution has got admission for 15 extra seats as per the above letters of the State Government.</p> <p>The SRC in its 209th meeting held on 31st July 2011 has decided to issue show cause notice to the above twenty nine institutions with regard to their admitting more than the permitted intake in their D.Ed course, which is against the NCTE norms. And also a letter be addressed to Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, as the affiliating body that in latter should not have permitted/condoned the</p>
--	--

unauthorized/irregular excess admissions. The NCTE is not in a position to approve of such unauthorized/irregular excess admissions. The institutions are liable to be derecognized for such serious commissions/omissions.

No action has to be taken for the above said State Govt. letter, the SRC in its 201st meeting taken decision i.e. Withdrawal of recognition. Accordingly, a withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 18.03.2011.

The institution filed a court case in the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh, W. P. No. 21938 of 2011 W.P.M.P. No.26719 of 2011 and the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in its order has stated as follows:-

"...The attention of this Court is drawn to the proceedings of the District Educational Officer, Ananthapur in Rc.No.5804/A4/2011 dated 20.07.2011, whereby the 1st petitioner has been permitted to shift the schools from the existing premises to another building bearing Door No. 10-214. A perusal of the Gift Settlement Deed dated 20.12.2006 shows that the subject property has been gifted in the name of the institution i.e. Little Flower Montessori Primary and Secondary School, Adimurthy Nagar, Ananthapur by one M/s Suragowni Anjineyulu and Sri Suragowni Ravindra Gowd. Therefore, the principal objections relating to the petitioner running the schools in the same premises, the right over the total extent of the land primea facie appears to have been complied with. It is true that the petitioner shifted the schools under the orders dated 20.07.2011, which is subsequent to the impugned orders dated 06.07.2011. In my view, since the proceedings dated 20.07.2011 of the District Educational Officer, Ananthapur were passed under the A.P. Education Act, which is statutory in nature, I am of the view that the same may be taken as relevant fact. Further, the petitioner – institution is a running institution and if it is not permitted to continue, the students will suffer.

In the circumstances, there shall be an interim suspension."

The SRC in its 211th meeting held on 21st-23rd September 2011 and the committee considered the Court order and all other relevant documents and has decided to cause re-inspection under Section 17 of NCTE Act at the premises to verify the shifting of the School and adequacy of the infrastructure and instructional facilities. The institution is directed to submit inspection fee of Rs. 40,000/- for each course.

Accordingly, re-inspection of the institution letter was sent on 14.11.2011 and a letter for submission of inspection fee of Rs.40,000/- was sent to institution on 15.11.2011

In the meanwhile inspection of the institution was conducted on 20.11.2011. The VT report received was placed before SRC in its 214th meeting held on 28th November 2011 and the committee considered the matter and has decided to get the Interim Suspension order of the High Court vacated through our Advocate at Hyderabad.

Accordingly, a letter was sent to an Advocate on 14.12.2011.

In the meantime, the institution has submitted its written representation on 13.12.2011 along with D.D. of Rs.40000/- and submitted some documents. The SRC in its 218th meeting held on 28th February 2012 consider the matter and decided that in view of condonation ordered by the State Government. SRC

	<p>may warn the erring institution and require them to give an undertaking that there will be no recurrence of such a lapse.</p> <p>Note: One strongly order passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in SLP NO. 14020/2009 filed by Adarsh Shiksha Mahavidalaya & others Vs Subhash Rahangdale & other. In the said judgment dt. 06.01.2012 Hon'ble Supreme Court has issued various directions for NCTE/Affiliating body/Institutions and to the state Govt. The operative part of the judgment as under:</p> <p><i>"If any institution admits any student in violation of the Norms and Standards laid by the NCTE, then the Regional Committee shall initiate action for withdrawal of the recognition of such institution and pass appropriate order after complying with the rules of natural justice"</i></p> <p>As per direction of SRC, a letter was issued to the concerned institution on 21.08.2012. it is observed from the file, the institution has not submitted reply so far</p> <p>A letter has been received from Director, SCERT, Hyderabad on 17.10.2013 requesting <i>".....to inform the latest stage of the court case and confirm about the status position of recognition in respect of Little Flower College of Education for Women, Adhimurthy Nagar, Ananthapur District immediately, so as to enable this office to submit a report to the Government of Andhra Pradesh"</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 254th meeting held on 25th-27th October 2013, considered the matter, decided and advised SRO to examine the case thoroughly and to put up.</p> <p>Also, the Southern Regional Office to ascertain quickly from the Lawyer regarding the latest position of the case and to be put up in the 255th SRC meeting.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter was sent to Shri.K.Ramakanth Reddy, Advocate on 29.10.2013. Again, a reminder letter to Advocate was sent on 19.12.2013</p> <p>The Hon'ble High court of Andhra Pradesh has submitted a court order received by SRC on 22.01.2014 of W.P.No.790 of 2014 dated 10.01.2014. Court order as follows:-</p> <p><i>"it is submitted that the Commissioner & Director for School Education, A.P., Hyderabad, has issued a letter dated 07.09.2013 in Rc.No.100/B1/TE/SCERT/2013-1 pointing out certain discrepancies to be rectified by the petitioners-College.</i></p> <p>Earlier, petitioners filed WP.No.21938 of 2011 and obtained stay orders in W.P.M.P. No.26719 of 2011 and obtained renewal of recognition for the year 2013-2014.</p> <p>Now, alleging that the college is not placed in the web counseling of DIET CET 2013-14, this writ petition has been filed.</p> <p>With reference to specific discrepancies pointed out by the Commissioner and Director for School Education, A.P., Hyderabad, in the above referred proceedings dated 07.09.2013, there is nothing on record to show that the petitioners-College has complied with the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, subject to compliance of the discrepancies find out by the Commissioner & Director for School Education, A.P., Hyderabad, in proceedings dated 07.09.2013, within a period of one week from today, the</p>
--	--

		<p>college may be placed in the web counseling of DIET CET 2013-14 and the College may be permitted to make spot admissions. However, it is made clear that this shall be done only after clearance from the Commissioner & Director for School Education, A.P., Hyderabad.</p> <p>Post on 07.02.2014.”</p> <p>The Hon'ble High court of Andhra Pradesh has submitted a show cause notice received by SRC on 23.01.2014 in W.P.No.790 of 2014 dated 10.01.2014. The Hon'ble High court was ordered as follows:- “.....Notice before admission. Post on 07.02.2014.”</p> <p>As per direction of competent authority, a letter was sent by email as well as post to Shri K.Ramakanth Reddy, Advocate and Shri.G.Gopal Reddy, Director, SCERT, AP on 24.01.2014</p> <p>A letter has been received from Shri Ramakanth Reddy, Advocate on 30.01.2014 clarified that;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The interim orders of the Hon'ble Court passed in WPMP.No.26719 of 2011 in W.P.21938 of 2011 dated 16.08.2011 should be taken as relevant for B.Ed., course only, and 2. The latest factual position is that the writ petition is pending and the interim orders of the Hon'ble Court passed in W.P.M.P No.26719 of 2011 in W.P.21938 of 2011 dated 16.08.2011 pertains to B.Ed., course only. <p>(Note: W.P.No.790 of 2014, it is related to DIET, CET)</p> <p>The Committee considered the Hon'ble High Court, Hyderabad order dated 10/01/2014 and also letter dated 26/01/2014 from our Advocate, and decided that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Court order is only about the B.Ed course. 2. Recognition for D.Ed was already withdrawn; only, it was not communicated to SCERT, Hyderabad. SRO to communicate the same to SCERT, Hyderabad, now. 3. Return the FDRS relating to D.Ed course.
12.	<p>SRCAPP2132 B.P.Ed Sri Krishnaveni College of Physical Education, Krishna District AP</p>	<p>Sri Krishnaveni College of Physical Education, Plot/Khasra No. 222/1,224/3,Tatineni Nagar,1st Street, Gollanapalli Village and Post Office, Gannavaram Taluk, Vijayawada Town, Krishna District – 521101,Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Tatineni Gopaiah Memorial Educational Academy, Plot No. 1-2, Tatineni Nagar, Poranki Village and Post Office, Penamaluru Taluk, Vijayawada Town, Krishna District - 521137, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Krishnaveni College of Physical Education, Plot/Khasra No. 222/1,224/3,Tatineni Nagar,1st Street, Gollanapalli Village and Post Office, Gannavaram Taluk, Vijayawada Town, Krishna District – 521101,Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 07.01.2013.</p>

The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 23.05.2013.

The SRC in its 247th meeting held on 20th-22nd June 2013, considered the reply of the institution to the Deficiency letter vide letter dt. 23-05-2013, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.P.Ed-SRCAPP2132 course.

Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 31.7.2013.

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution filed a court case in the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in W.P. No. 22725 of 2013.

Shri. Ramakanth Reddy, Advocate in its e-mail letter dated 1st August, 2013 stating that ".....that a deficiency letter was issued by the NCTE and the institution replied stating that the deficiencies pointed out by NCTE were complied. On 19th June, 2013, SRC had rejected the case of the institution on the ground that the reply given by the institution was not satisfactory. On 13th March, 2013, State Government addressed a letter to the NCTE stating that deficiencies pointed by NCTE were complied with by the college. NOW THE COURT WANTS TO KNOW BY TOMORROW 2:15 PM AS TO WHETHER THE NCTE CONSIDERED THE STATE GOVERNMENT RECOMMENDATION WHICH STATED THAT DEFICIENCIES WERE COMPLIED BY THE COLLEGE".

Accordingly, a letter was sent to the advocate on 05.08.2013.

Meantime, a letter dated 5th August, 2013 has been received from the advocate, Shri. K. Ramakanth Reddy stating as follows; ".....writ petition in W.P. No. 22725 of 2013, filed by Krishnaveni College of Physical Education, was heard by the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh at 10:30 a.m. on 5.8.2013. The Hon'ble High Court after hearing the matter directed the NCTE to reconsider the case of the petitioner after considering the recommendations of the State Government within four weeks for the academic year 2013-2014, while granting time to NCTE to file counter affidavit.

I am of the considered opinion that though the recommendations of the State Government were considered earlier, the SRC of NCTE can again reconsider the case of the petitioner in the light of the recommendations of the State Government already considered and pass appropriate orders. If the SRC of NCTE feels that the recognition cannot be given, the SRC of NCTE can reject the same again. It can also be stated in the rejection order about the cut-off date fixed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, if the cut-off date is applicable in this case also. If the SRC again resolves to reject, it should be stated in the rejection order that the State Government recommendations have been considered. Thus all aspects can be covered again while reconsidering the case instead of filing vacate stay petition/appeal in the matter.

The matter may be placed in the immediate next meeting of the SRC-NCTE to avoid contempt of court and legal complications.

The SRC in its 250th meeting held on 11th-13th August 2013, considered the matter and decided to review the earlier decision and considered the State Government letter and the enclosed report. Further decided that since the

	<p>Building Plan is not approved by the competent authority the case is rejected. The court order (interim order) received from the High Court of Andhra Pradesh in WPMP.No.27859 of 2013 in WP.No.22725 of 2013 dated 05.08.2013 received by SRC on 19.08.2013 stated as follows:-</p> <p><i>“the State Government has made its recommendations vouching for the infrastructural facilities put in by the petitioners. Therefore, it is only appropriate that Respondents 1 and 2 shall reconsider the case of the petitioners afresh in the light of the report submitted by the State Government and take an appropriate decision for grant of recognition to the institution established by the 1st petitioner herein for commencing the Bachelor of Physical Education Course for the academic year 2013-14 at the earliest, at any rate, within a fortnight’s time from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and communicate its decision to the petitioners.</i></p> <p><i>Sri.K.Ramakanth Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for Respondents 1 and 2 seeks two weeks’ time for securing instructions in the matter.</i></p> <p><i>Post after two weeks.”</i></p> <p>The NCTE H-qrs in its letter dated 12.09.2013 received by SRC on 09.10.2013 stated as follows:-</p> <p>“....after perusal of the Hon’ble High Court order dated 05.08.2013 it is seen that the Hon’ble High Court directed to reconsider the case of the petitioners afresh in the light of the report submitted by the State Govt. and take an appropriate decision for grant of recognition to the institution established by the 1st petitioner herein for commencing the Bachelor of Physical Education course for the academic year 2013-14 at the earliest from the date of receipt of copy of this order.</p> <p>The following has been observed in the matter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. No show cause notice has been given on the final deficiencies.2. Proposal was initially refused without receipt/consideration of mandatory recommendations from the State Govt.3. In case, the recommendations dated 06.04.2013 were considered by the SRC, then the court was not briefed about the same thereby issued orders for its consideration.4. When statutory remedies are available under section 18, no orders are likely to pass by the Hon’ble High Court and as such the case was not properly defended. <p>Since, the SRC, NCTE has already implemented the interim orders dated 05.08.2013, we have no other option but to file a detail affidavit in the matter for disposal of the case in favour of NCTE.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter to Shri.K.Ramakanth Reddy, Advocate sent on 13.11.2013 along with brief of the case with request to file a detailed counter affidavit.. The institution has submitted its written representations series on 12.08.2013, 13.08.2013, 26.09.2013, 05.11.2013, 22.01.2014.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 24.01.2014 stating as follows:-</p> <p><i>“.....requesting to kindly verify your records and reconsider the case as the two objections stated by the SRC are complied and take an appropriate decision at</i></p>
--	--

		<p><i>the earliest, that we have already lost an academic year and incurring huge expenditure for the upkeep of the building and maintenance of the staff hired by us in anticipation of the recognition. I once again request you to place the matter before the SRC at the earliest as the approved building plan issued by the competent authority is already submitted and the original FDRs submitted by us are still with you at the earliest”.</i></p> <p>A letter sent to the Advocate through e-mail dated 05.02.2014 requesting for latest status of the above said case. In reply the advocate has stating as follows:- “please go through the file and please let me know whether any thing is left in the case. In my opinion there is nothing left.”</p> <p>The Committee considered the Hon’ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh order dated 5/08/2013 and decided to process the case as directed by the Court, and to be put up in the meeting.</p>
--	--	---

Inspection u/s-14/Shifting Inspection Report

13.	<p>APS03334 D.Ed Sri Jagadguru Fakira Channaveeresh war Teacher Training Institute, Gadag District KA</p>	<p>W.P.No.75146/2013(EDN-REG-P) filed by Sri Jagadguru Fakira Channaveereshwar Teacher Training Institute, Shirahatti-582120, Gadag Dt., Karnataka Vs. SRC, NCTE and NCTE, New Delhi before the Hon’ble High Court of Karnataka Circuit Bench at Dharwad.</p> <p>Sri. Jagadguru Fakeer Channaveereshwar Teacher Training Institute, Shirahatti-582120, Gadag Dt., Karnataka was granted recognition vide Order No.F.KR /ELE/78 /SRO/ NCTE/ 2000 -2001 /2143 dated 09.10.2000 for Elementary course of two years duration with an annual intake of 30 from the academic session 2000-2001 with a condition to shift to its own premises (in case the institution is started in rented premises)</p> <p>The Chairman of the institution vide letter dated 2.3.2012, recd. by this office on 6.3.2012 has requested for shifting of the building. He has stated that</p> <p><i>“the D.Ed. College building is in dilapidated condition and cracks have developed on the walls. Due to heavy winds, the tiles of the roofs are weak and can devastate at any time. Conducting of classes in the present building has become very difficult for both the staff and the students. Hence , a building has been taken on rent to shift the building to the rented premises. A representation has already been addressed to DIET, Gadag on 19.01.2012 for shifting of the premises. For the above said reasons, it is requested to grant permission to shift the premises temporarily to a new building since the devastation can happen at any moment. If any untoward incident happens, then the management /institution/staff of the Teacher Training Institute are not responsible for the loss occurred. Hence, temporary permission is requested from your office.”</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 221st meeting held on 19th – 20th April, 2012 considered the complaint letter from DSERT Bangalore and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, Notice was issued to the institution on 21.05.2012. The President, S.J.F. Teacher Training Institute has submitted written representation on 8.6.2012 and 11.6.2012</p> <p>The SRC in its 224th meeting held during 14th to 17th June, 2012 considered the</p>
-----	---	---

written reply of the institution on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-

The building has asbestos sheeted roof in the first floor and toilets , this is against the NCTE norms.

- The Institution was granted recognition in temporary premises to run B.Ed course on 09.10.2000 with a condition that it should shift to its own permanent premises in 3 years. i.e., on or before 09.10.2003. The Institution is still running in temporary premises and has not shifted to new premises even after a lapse of more than 11 years and 08 months. As per NCTE norms and standards and as per regulations, 2009, the institution should have own land and building.
- As admitted by the institution/management, the institution is running in a dilapidated building, which has developed cracks, roofed tiles are weak and building may collapse at any time. Conducting classes in such a building is unsafe and dangerous to the staff & students. The institution in their reply to the show cause notice, sought permission to shift to another temporary building for a stop-gap arrangement for a period of 2-3 years and requested SRC to conduct inspection. There is no provision for stop-gap arrangement for a period of 2-3 years as per regulations, 2009.
- The chairman of the institution vide letter dt. 2.3.2012 has requested this office to give permission to shift to the rented new building, by giving various reasons which are not acceptable, as already more than 10 year and 5 months elapsed since giving recognition. Construction of the new building is not at all started till date.
- The management has violated all the norms and tried to suppress and mislead NCTE.
- The management has admitted in its reply that building is not ready and want time to construct new building, which is not permissible as per NCTE norms.

Reply to the show cause notice is not convincing and is unsatisfactory.

The reply submitted by the institution on 11.06.2012 for the above queries, has not given any proper and genuine reasons.

Based on the above points the committee decided to withdraw the recognition of the D.Ed course run by the Sri. Jagadguru Fakeer Channaveereshwar Teacher Training Institute, Shirhatti-582120, Gadag District, Karnataka, from the academic year 2012.-13 in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in D.Ed, course, if any, to complete their course.

It was made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order. The Affiliating body / Examining board / body were informed accordingly. Further, it was decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore.

As per the decision of SRC, a withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 9.7.2012.

Aggrieved by the withdrawal order, the institution had preferred an appeal before the NCTE, HQ against the SRCs order of withdrawal of recognition and

the appellate authority at NCTE, HQ vide Order No.F.No.89-416/2012 Appeal/9th Meeting-2012 dated 10.10.2012 has concluded that there was no ground to accept the appeal and accordingly, **the appeal was rejected and SRC's order of withdrawal dated 9.7.2012 was confirmed.** The appellate authority order was placed before 235th meeting of SRC held on 21-22 November, 2012 and the Committee has noted the matter of confirmation of SRC's order.

Aggrieved by the order of SRC and appellate authority order, the institution had filed a writ petition No.75146/2013 in the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka at Dharwad.

On 4.11.2013, a Court order dated 27.9.2013 filed by the institution in W.P.No.75146/2013 is received by this office from the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Dharwad bench wherein SRC,NCTE is the 1st respondent , NCTE, New Delhi is the 2nd respondent.

The order of Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Dharwad dated 27.9.2013 is as under:-

"3. It is not in dispute that the respondents granted recognition to the petitioner institution as per the order dated 9.10.2000 subject to certain conditions. According to the petitioner, they have complied the conditions specified in the order of recognition. On the other hand, the respondents contend that petitioner have not complied the conditions specified in the order of recognition dated 9.10.2000. In such a situation, it is obligatory on the part of the respondents to conduct inspection under Section 13, of the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993(for short 'the NCTE Act'). Admittedly, in the instant case, the respondents have not conduct any such inspection. The Supreme Court in NCTE and another Vs.Vaishnavi Institute of Technology and Management reported in (2012) 5 SCC 139 held that it is obligatory on the part of the respondents to conduct inspection under Section 13 and notify the institute to take follow-up action pursuant thereto. In the instant case, no such exercise is undertaken by the respondents. Therefore, the impugned order of withdrawal dated 9.7.2012 and the consequent order of the Appellate authority dated 10.10.2012 are liable to be quashed. However, liberty is reserved to the respondents to conduct inspection as per Section 13 of the NCTE Act and to take appropriate action, in accordance with law against the petitioner. The petitioner to pay the necessary fee for inspection under section 13 of the NCTE Act.

For the reasons stated above, the following:-

ORDER

- (i) Writ petition is hereby allowed.*
- (ii) The impugned order at Annexure-G dated 9.7.2012 passed by the 1st respondent and the impugned order at Annexure – M dated 10.10.2012 passed by the 2nd respondent-Appellate authority are hereby quashed.*
- (iii) Within four weeks from today, the petitioner to deposit the necessary fee for inspection and on payment of such fee, the respondents to inspect the petitioner institute under Section 13 of the NCTE Act and to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law."*

The SRC in its 255th meeting held during 13-15 November, 2013 considered the Court order dated 27.9.2013 in W.P.No.75146/2013 and decided that

“inspection fee not paid. V.T. inspection cannot be organized. The application for revival of recognition is rejected. Case is closed.”

In the meanwhile, a copy of the letter dated 22.10.2013 addressed to the Member Secretary is received by this office on 14.11.2013 along with a fee of Rs.50,000/- submitted to NCTE, New Delhi vide Demand Draft dated 22.10.2013.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 256th Meeting held on 4th – 5th December 2013 considered the Hon’ble court orders and all the relevant documents by the institution and decided to cause inspection at the premises Under Section 13 of NCTE Act. The institution is directed to submit Demand Draft of Rs.50, 000/- inspection fee towards the D.Ed course in favour of “The Member Secretary, NCTE” payable at Bangalore.

In view of the fact that the conduct of inspection of the institution to be referred to the NCTE Hqrs as it is a case of Section-13, the above matter is placed before SRC in its 259th Meeting held on 17th – 19th January, 2014 for directions. The SRC decided to cause inspection and advised SRO to put up the matter in the 261st Meeting.

Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was scheduled between 1st and 5th February, 2014 and the same was intimated to the institution vide this office letter F.No.APS03334/D.Ed/KA/2014-15/56278 dated 20.01.2014.

On 27.01.2014, the correspondent, S.J.F.C. Teacher Training Institute, (D.Ed), Shirahatti has submitted a written representation requesting for cancellation of inspection as the institution has already been inspected by the NCTE Hqrs 3rd December 2013.

The institution has stated as under ;

“ It is really surprising to see the inspection letter from your office that, we have submitted an application as per the direction of the High Court Order under Section 13 of NCTE Act, along with Rs.50,000/- fees, through demand draft to your office on 21.10.2013. But your office has instructed us to submit the same application to NCTE-New Delhi, Since it is to be inspected under Section 13 of the NCTE Act. As per your office guidance only we have submitted our application to NCTE, New Delhi.

After receiving our application the NCTE New Delhi has sent a team of inspection committee as per its letter No.(copy enclosed)

The inspection of our D.Ed course has been done on 3rd December 2013. The detail information through digitalized format for appraisal of 2013-14, with all the necessary documents.

The inspection was done as per your norms through vide-graph and photos.

We are pleased to inform you that, we have complied all the requirements of the NCTE for shifting of premises to new building. The college is now being owned and running with new premises.

The inspection team has already submitted their report to NCTE-New Delhi, for renewal of recognition of the college.

		<p><i>Since, the inspection has been done for the college for renewal of recognition, the same is pending before the NCTE-New Delhi. We are awaiting for the order shortly.</i></p> <p>PRAYER;</p> <p><i>This college recognition has been withdrawn , as per NCTE, .SRC, Bangalore in its order No.F.No.APS03334/D.Ed/KA/2012-13/43630 dated 09.07.2012</i></p> <p><i>The inspection under Section-13 of NCTE Act was done 3rd Dec, 2013, and the same is pending at NCTE, New Delhi for grant of recognition. Since the college is to be recognized legally and the inspection is being already done for shifting of premises to new building, under this circumstance, it is legally feasible to conduct the same inspection from 1st Feb to 5th Feb 2014.</i></p> <p><i>Hence, I request you to kindly <u>cancel the inspection</u> to our D.Ed institution, till we received the renewal grant of recognition from NCTE-New Delhi “.</i></p> <p>Note: This Office has not received any information from the NCTE ,Hqrs regarding inspection so far.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, decided that, since the case is pertaining to Section 13, advised SRO to obtain the status report from the NCTE (H.Q) and to be reported in the 264th meeting.</p>
14.	<p>APS08923 B.Ed Jayam College of Education, Thiruvannamala i District TN</p>	<p>Jayam College of Education, No. 29, VPS Mahal, Kilpennathur, Thiruvannamalai District, Pin – 604601, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Jayam College of Education, No. 29, VPS Mahal, Kilpennathur, Thiruvannamalai District, Pin – 604601, Tamilnadu had submitted an application for B.Ed course on 02.08.2007. The institution was granted recognition on 26.11.2008 with an annual intake of 100 students, with the condition to shift to own premises within 3 years.</p> <p>The institution vide its letter dtd. 04.12.2012 along with DD bearing no. 571790 and 571791 dtd. 29.10.2012 of Rs. 1,000/- and Rs. 50,000/- for shifting fee along with documents on 26.11.2012 submitted.</p> <p>The SRC in its 237th meeting held on 05th–06th January 2013 considered the matter, reply of the institution vide letter dt.26.11.2012 along with the documents submitted for inspection and decided to process the documents for causing inspection. Accordingly, a letter was sent to the institution on 14.05.2013. The institution has submitted the documents on 11.06.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 251st Meeting held on 25th -27th August, 2013 considered the reply of the institution dt. 11.06.2013 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE Act. Accordingly, Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 09.10.2013. The institution has submitted written representation on 31.10.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 256th meeting held on 04th –06th December, 2013 has considered the matter, written reply of the institution vide letter dated 31/10/2013 and all relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under NCTE Act to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the existing programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p>

		<p>Note: VT to be asked to check on earmarking of adequate space for B.Ed & D.T.Ed courses run by the institution and also to secure approved staff list for D.T.Ed course.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was fixed between 16.01.2014 to 22.01.2014. The same was intimated to the institution on 06.01.2014. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 18.01.2014.</p> <p>The Committee considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documents pertaining to the institution and decided to permit shifting of B.Ed course of the institution to new premises.</p>
15.	<p>SRCAPP1893 B.Ed Central University of Tamilnadu, Thiruvarur District TN</p>	<p>Central University of Tamilnadu, Thiruvarur P.O. Taluka & District, Pin - 610004, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Central University of Tamilnadu, Thiruvarur P.O. Taluka & District, Pin - 610004, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to B.Ed Course for one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 26.12.2012. The University has submitted hard copy of the application on 31.12.2012 along with processing fees vide Demand Draft bearing No. 582362 dt.24.12.2012 for Rs.40000/- drawn on Indian Overseas Bank.</p> <p>Para 7[1-A(i)] and 7[1-A(ii)] of extant NCTE Regulations published in the Gazettee of India on 31st August 2009, as amended from time to time, the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances: Para 7[1-A(i)]</p> <p><i>(a) The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application;</i> <i>(b) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application;</i> <i>(c) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/University applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.</i></p> <p>Para 7[1-A(ii)]</p> <p><i>The Regional Committee shall, after recording reasons for rejection under the circumstances referred to in clause (i) above, return the application to the applicant and refund the processing fees within 30 days of the online submission of the application.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 238th meeting held on 05th-06th February 2013 and reviewed the duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffers from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per Regulations 7 (1-A (i)).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The University has submitted DD of Rs.40,000/- only as processing fee instead of Rs.50,000/-, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997. Hence, the same is not in conformity of sub-regulation 7(1-A)(i)(a) of NCTE Regulations 2009. 2. The University has submitted only one set of on-line application, which is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application,

		<p>as required under sub-regulation 7(1-A)(i)(b) of NCTE regulation.</p> <p>3. The University has also not dispatched copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the University applying for the course possessed land on the date of application within 7 days of the submission of the on-line application, as required under sub-regulation 7(1-A)(i)(c) of NCTE Regulations 2009.</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection letter was issued to the University on 26.03.2013.</p> <p>Further, the University in its covering letter (dtd. 27.05.2013) has stated that the online application submitted by the University is for starting the Integrated programme in B.Sc.Ed/B.A.Ed on 26.12.2012. Whereas, it has been observed from the file that the University has submitted the on-line application for starting <u>B.Ed face to face programme only</u> and hence the application has been processed for <u>B.Ed course only</u> and <u>not for Integrated programme</u>.</p> <p>A brief case was send to NCTE, Hqrs. on 31.05.2013.</p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 248th Meeting held on 13th-15th July 2013 and decided to write to MHRD for relaxation. As per the oral instructions of Regional Committee, the matter is re-submitted for consideration and decision.</p> <p>The SRC in its 250th Meeting held on 11th-13th August, 2013 has considered the matter and decided that University be advised to apply to NCTE as per procedure meant for innovative teacher education programmes. Accordingly, a letter sent to the University on 09.10.2013.</p> <p>The University letter dtd. 21.10.2013 has stated that</p> <p>“We have already submitted our application for Innovative four year integrated BA/B.Sc.-Ed programme to SRC vide our letters of even no, dated 31.08.2013 and 6.09.2013 along with Demand Draft for Rs. 50000/- towards processing fee.</p> <p>It has now been understood from your letter cited that the application for Innovative Programme need to be sent to NCTE Headquarters. If so, our application mentioned above for Innovative programme dated 31.08.2013 mentioned above may kindly be forwarded to NCTE Headquarters under intimation to us. Copy of our application dated 31.08.2013 and letter dated 06.09.2013 are enclosed for ready reference”.</p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 254th Meeting held on 25th-27th October 2013 and decided to Send the Guidelines to the University and advise them of the procedure to be followed in cases of innovative courses.</p> <p>The SRC in its 254th Meeting held on 25th-27th October 2013 considered the matter and decided and advised Southern Regional Office to send the Guidelines to the University and advise them of the procedure to be followed in cases of innovative courses.</p> <p>The SRC in its 255th Meeting held on 13th-15th November, 2013 considered the matter, University letter dated 21.10.2013 and other relevant documents of the University, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to write to the University and ask them to clarify whether they want this course (the university has applied online application is for starting B.Ed - SRCAPP1893 face to face programme only) to be pursued for a 4-year integrated course or whether they are withdrawing the application.</p>
--	--	--

		<p>This office is yet to be receive the guidelines.</p> <p>The SRC in its 257th meeting held on 20th -22nd December, 2013 and decided to he University has separately re-submitted the case for integrated course. This application is for conventional B.Ed course. Process accordingly and put up in the next meeting.</p> <p>The SRC in its 258th meeting held on 03rd-05th January 2013 considered the matter, reply from the University and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the University fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p> <p>Further, the Committee advised SRO to ask VT members to obtain documents relating to allotment of land and building by the University to the Department of Education, as also Building plan and Building Completion Certificate.</p> <p>The inspection of the University was fixed between 27.01.2014 to 30.01.2014. The same was intimated to the University on 20.01.2014. The inspection of the University was conducted on 28.01.2014.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the University, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(one hundred only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Further, the Committee advised SRO to write to the University about the deficiencies, they should remove within the period available before 3rd March or else, they should produce Central/State Govt. undertaking for getting relaxation of time-limits as stipulated in NCTE communication. (As per NCTE (H.Q) letter, No. F.49-15/2013/NCTE/N&S/A73915, dated 12th September, 2012-Grant of relaxation to Central Universities under clause 12 of extent NCTE Recognition norms & Procedure).</p>
16.	<p>SRCAPP190 B.Ed Sathyabana College of Education, Nagapattinam District TN</p>	<p>Sathyabama College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 162/5, Street No. 54, Panangudi Village, Panangudi Post Office, Nagapattinam Taluk, Nagapattinam District, Pin-611002, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Ramachandranar Educational Trust, Plot No.162/5, Panangudi Road, 54 Panangudi Village, Panangudi Post Office, Nagapattinam Taluk, agapattinam District, Pin-611002, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Sathyabama College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 162/5, Street No. 54, Panangudi Village, Panangudi Post Office, Nagapattinam Taluk, Nagapattinam District, Pin-611002, Tamilnadu for B.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 07.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 05.02.2013 followed by reminder on</p>

		<p>24.04.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 24.04.2013. The reply of the institution has been received on the 61st day from the date of issue of deficiency letter. Hence, the institution has submitted the reply after the stipulated period of 60 days.</p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 248th Meeting held on 13th - 15th July, 2013 and decided to process.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Deficiency letter has been processed.</p> <p>The SRC in its 251st meeting held on 25-27th August, 2013 considered the matter, reply of the institution to the deficiency letter and all the relevant documents and decided to cause composite inspection for proposed B.Ed (SRCAPP190) course & existing D.T.Ed (APS05996) course, under NCTE Act, and to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>The Inspection of the institution was fixed between 17.10.2013 to 23.10.2013, 02.12.2013 to 07.12.2013, 02.12.2013 to 06.12.2013, 20.01.2014 to 24.01.2014 and 16.01.2014 to 22.01.2014. VT was cancelled, re-scheduled on 25.01.2014 to 30.01.2014. The same was intimated to the institution on 20.01.2014. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 27.01.2014.</p> <p>The Committee duly considered VT report, VCD of the institution and all the relevant documents of the institution and noted that:</p> <p>The Committee in its 251st meeting held on 25-27th August, 2013 decided for composite inspection of the institution for existing D.T.Ed course (APS05996) and proposed B.Ed course (SRCAPP190). Accordingly, inspection of the institution was conducted on 27/01/2014. The management has suppressed the fact of having D.T.Ed course. Since the recognition of D.T.Ed course is not withdrawn till date and management has also not requested for withdrawal of the D.T.Ed course, the total built up are for both D.T.Ed and B.Ed course is inadequate.</p> <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course.</p>
17.	<p>SRCAPP668 D.El.Ed Sri Balaji College of Elementary Education, Srikakulam District AP</p>	<p>Sri Balaji College of Elementary Education, Satya Sai Nagar, Siddipeta Road, Balaga(Rural), Chapuram Panchyati,Srikakulam District-532001, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Surapu Annamma Educational Society, Seddipeta Road, Chapurum Village, Srikakulam Post and District-532001, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Sai Balaji College of Elementary Education, Satyasai Nagar, Siddipeta Street, Balaga (Rural), Chapuram Village, Srikakulam Post and Taluk, Srikakulam City and District-532001, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed course of two years duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 24.09.2011 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 26.09.2011</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State</p>

	<p>Government for recommendation on 29.09.2011. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 23.12.2011. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 30.01.2012. Recommendation from State Government is not received.</p> <p>The SRC in its 217th meeting of SRC held on 08th -09th February, 2012 considered the reply for deficiency letter and other relevant documents submitted by the institution and decided to cause inspection at the premises to examine whether the institution fulfills all the requirements as per the norms and to ascertain infrastructural and instructional facilities available at the premises for the proposed course.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was fixed between 1st to 4th March 2012 and the same was intimated to the institution on 21.02.2012. Accordingly inspection of the institution was conducted on 03.03.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 221st meeting held on 19th-20th April 2012, considered the VT Report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under section 14(1) of NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 16.05.2012. The institution has submitted its written representation on 04/06/2012.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the show cause notice was duly considered by SRC in its 224th meeting held on 26th to 28th September 2012, and it has decided to reject the application of the institution.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, rejection order was issued to the institution on 09.07.2012.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-410/2012Appeal/8th meeting -2012 has stated that “...<i>the council concluded that there was no ground to accept the appeal. Accordingly, the appeal is rejected and SRC order dated 09.07.2012 is confirmed</i>”.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 18.10.2012, along with judgment copy of High Court of Andhra Pradesh in Writ Petition No.31513 of 2012 dated 09.10.2012. The judgment order stated as follows:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“The impugned orders of the respondents are set aside. Respondent No.2 is directed to reconsider the petitioners application and grant recognition to them for commencement of the D.El.Ed course from the academic year 2012-13 subject to their fulfillment of all other requirements as per the Regulations”.</i></p> <p>The written representation along with court order was placed before SRC in its 235th meeting held on 20th-21st November 2012, and it has decided to process the application.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, the application was processed and placed before SRC in its 236th Meeting held on 16th-17th December, 2012 and the committee considered the Hon'ble High Court order dt. 09-10-2012, reply of the institution vide letter dt. 15.11.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, a Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 22/01/2013.</p>
--	---

As per the website information the institution has submitted written representation on 22/01/2013.

The reply of the institution to the show cause notice was duly considered by SRC in its 241st Meeting held on 29th-31st March & 01st April, 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:

1. Total earmarked area for the proposed course is not given in the building plan and total site area is mentioned in the building plan as 910.87 sq.mtrs. which is less than the requirement of 2500 sq.mtrs as per NCTE 2009 norms. Total built up area of 1506.48 sq.mtrs is also not adequate as per NCTE 2009 regulations. There is a discrepancy inland area.
2. Approved blue print of the building plan issued by competent civil authority is not submitted. Building plan approved by Sarpanch of the village, which's not permissible.

Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee has decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.Ed course.

Accordingly, a rejection order was issued to the institution vide no. F.No.SRCAPP668/D.Ed/AP/2013-14/51790 dated 18.05.2013.

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide order no. F.No.89-378/2013-Appeal/14th Meeting-2013 dated 30.10.2013 stating that "... the council concluded that the appeal deserved to be remanded to SRC, with a direction to ask the appellant institution to deposit the inspection fee within 15 days and after receipt of the same the SRC should conduct inspection within 45 days and take further action as per the Regulation. During the proposed inspection the Visiting team should obtain authentic and relevant documents.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sri Sai Balaji College of Elementary Education, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The SRC in its 255th meeting held on 13th-15th November, 2013 considered the appellate authority order dated 30.10.2013 and decided to process the application.

As per the decision of SRC, the application was processed and placed before SRC in its 256th meeting held on 4th to 6th December, 2013 and the Committee considered the matter, reviewed and found no valid building plan claimed as in the appeal with the appellate authority to have been already submitted. Therefore the application is rejected.

Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 24.12.2013.

Meantime, a court order has been received from the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in W.P. No. 38960 dated 2013. The Hon'ble Court has stating that ".....it is the case of the petitioners that contrary to the order of the appellate authority dated 20.10.2013, the second respondent has passed the impugned order dated 24.12.2013 without calling upon the petitioners to deposit

the inspection fee, and without conducting any inspection whatsoever. Sri. K. Ramakanth Reddy, Learned Standing Counsel for NCTE, would fairly state that the order of the second respondent falls foul of the order passed by the first respondent dated 30.10.2013.

In view of the submissions made by the Learned Standing Counsel, I consider it appropriate to set aside the impugned order; permit the petitioners to deposit the inspection fee within one week from today; direct the second respondent to have an inspection caused within three weeks from the date on which the petitioners deposit the inspection fee; and pass an order thereafter at the earliest, in any event not later than one month from the date on which the petitioners deposit the inspection fee.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly. The miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall also stand disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.”

The institution in its letter dated 7.1.2014 has submitted D.D.of Rs. 50,000/- as an inspection fee.

The SRC in its 259th meeting held on 17th-19th January 2014, considered the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh order and all the relevant documents by the institution and decided to **cause inspection** at the premises Under Section 14 of NCTE Act to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms. Further, SRC decided to issue notice to appear before SRC in its 260th meeting.

As per decision of SRC, inspection of the institution was fixed on 1st -5th February 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 13.11.2013. As per direction of SRC, personal hearing notice was sent to the institution on 23.01.2014.

The institution has submitted its written representation on 30.01.2014 along with submissions of relevant documents and stating as follows:-

“in pursuance of the notice of Regional Director, NCTE, SRC Bangalore dated 23.01.2014, we D.S.Ramakrishna, Secretary & Correspondent, S.Gurayya, Treasurer attended the oral hearing at the NCTE SRC office at 10.30 A.M. in 30th January 2014. SRC heard us 12.30 AM and we submitted all the points we wanted to make. Now we are giving our submission in writing with necessary documents. We consider relevant representing our interest.”

The inspection of the institution was conducted on 04.02.2014 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 06.02.2014

On careful perusal of the original file of the Institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to D.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50(fifty only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.

Further, it is decided to get original FDRs in joint account from the Institution.

18.	<p>SRCAPP1805 D.Ed.AI SR D.Ed College, Guntur District AP</p>	<p>SR D.Ed College, Plot/Khasara No. 222/A1, 222/A2, 222/B, 224 and 225, NRT Road, Brahmanapalli Village, Vinukonda Post, Taluk & City, Guntur District - 522 647, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>The Vivekananda Vidya Samstha Society, Plot No. 222/A1, 222/A2, 222/B, NRT Road, Brahmanapalli Village, Vinukonda Post, Taluk & City, Guntur District - 522 647, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to SR D.Ed College, Plot/Khasara No. 222/A1, 222/A2, 222/B, 224 and 225, NRT Road, Brahmanapalli Village, Vinukonda Post, Taluk & City, Guntur District - 522 647, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed-A.I Course for two years duration under Section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 12.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 17.12.2012.</p> <p>NCTE-Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, it amendment Notified on 26th November, 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2 (B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1 (1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application. b) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application. c) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application. <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 238th Meeting held on 05th - 06th February, 2013 duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per Regulations 2009 [7 1–A (i)].</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application - as per the Regulations 2009 Para 7[1-A(i)]a). <p>Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 06.03.2013.</p> <p>The institution has filed Court case in the Hon'ble High Court of Hyderabad in W.P.No.6118 of 2013. As per the Court notice SRC-NCTE to appeal before the Court on 29th April, 2013.</p> <p>Accordingly a letter to the advocate sent on 23.04.2013.</p> <p><i>As per the Public Notice dated 5th September, 2013 NCTE Head Quarters has stating that the important Policy decision taken by the National Council for Teacher Education in its 31st Meeting held on 29th July, 2013 that the applications of the institutions, who could not make payment of processing fee</i></p>
-----	---	--

		<p><i>on or before submission of Online Applications resulting in summary rejection by the concerned Regional Committees of NCTE, may be considered if payment has been made on the next banking / working day following the date of submission of the application, since the software does not allow for payment of the processing fees either on or before the date of submission of applications.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 255th Meeting held on 13th - 15th November 2013, considered the matter and decided process the application.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 31.12.2012. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 06.12.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 259th meeting held on 17th-19th January 2014, considered the reply to the deficiency letter from the Institution vide letter dated 18-12-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the Institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the Institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 01st – 5th January 2014. The inspection intimation was sent to institution on 20.01.2014.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was conducted on 03.02.2014 and the VT report received in the office of SRC on 06.02.2014</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the Institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to D.Ed-AI course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50(fifty only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p>
19.	<p>SRCAPP168 D.Ed Janj DIET College D.Ed, Guntur District AP</p>	<p>Jani DIET College D.Ed, Khasara / Plot No. 636/C, Issapalem Village, Narasaraopet Post, Taluka & City, Guntur District - 522601, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Yaseen Educational Society, Plot No. 13/2A/19, Islampet Road, Narasaraopet Village & Post Office, Narasaraopet Taluk & City, Guntur District - 522601, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Jani DIET College D.Ed, Khasara / Plot No. 636/C, Issapalem Village, Narasaraopet Post, Taluka & City, Guntur District - 522601, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed course on 31.12.2012 for two years duration under section 14(1) of the NCTE Act. 1993 and received in the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>NCTE-Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, its amendment Notified on 26th November 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2 (B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1 (1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p>

- a) The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application.
- b) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.
- c) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 238th Meeting held on 05th-06th February, 2013 duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per Regulations 2009 [7 1–A (i)].

- The Processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application – as per the Regulations 2009 Para 7[1-A(i)]a).

Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 06.03.2013.

“As per the Public Notice dated 5th September, 2013 NCTE Head Quarters has stating that the important Policy Decision taken by the National Council for Teacher Education in its 31st Meeting held on 29th July, 2013 that the applications of the institutions, who could not make payment of processing fee on or before submission of Online Applications resulting in summary rejection by the concerned Regional Committees of NCTE, may be considered if payment has been made on the next banking / working day following the date of submission of the application, since the software does not allow for payment of the processing fees either on or before the date of submission of applications”.

The SRC in its 255th meeting held on 13th-15th November, 2013 decided to process the application.

The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 03.12.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 03.12.2013.

The institution had replied to the deficiency letter on 13.12.2013 & 20.12.2013.

The deficiency letter reply was placed before SRC in its 258th Meeting held on 03rd - 05th January, 2014 and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for D.Ed. (SRCAPP168) course under section 14 of NCTE Act, for the following:
1) Land document is only a photocopy, obtain 'original' certified copy.
2) Obtain original FDRs.

Before issuance of Show Cause Notice, the institution has submitted its written representation on 10.01.2014 (with reference to SRC-NCTE Website Decision of 258th Meeting).

The SRC in its 259th Meeting held on 17th – 19th January, 2014 considered the

		<p>reply to the show cause notice from the Institution vide letter dated 10/01/2014 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the Institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the university was fixed for D.Ed course between 01st – 05th February, 2014. The inspection intimation was sent to university on 20.01.2014.</p> <p>The inspection of the university was conducted on 03.02.2014 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 06.02.2014</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the Institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to D.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50(fifty only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Further, the Committee advised SRO to write to the Institution to drop the word 'DIET' from its name.</p>
--	--	--

Consideration of Agenda Items- Volume-03
New Application - 2013-2014

20.	<p>SRCAPP2058 D.P.Ed Mokshiktha College of Physical Education, Prakasam District AP</p>	<p>Mokshitha College of Physical Education, Kasara No. 492/1, 493, Plot No. 1-247A, Somarajupali Village, Singarayakonda Post Office, Kondepi Taluka, Singarayakonda City, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Sri Sai Educational Society, Enimerla Road, Pamur Village, Enimerla Post Office, Kanigiri Taluka, Pamur City, Prakasam District – 523 108, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Mokshitha College of Physical Education, Kasara No. 492/1, 493, Plot No. 1-247A, Somarajupali Village, Singarayakonda Post Office, Kondepi Taluka, Singarayakonda City, Andhra Pradesh for D.P.Ed Course for one year duration under Section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 29.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 31.12.2012.</p> <p>NCTE-Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, its amendment Notified on 26th November 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">2 (B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1 (1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">a) The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">b) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched</p>
-----	---	---

		<p>within 7 days of the submission of the online application.</p> <p>c) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application.</p> <p>The SRC in its 238th Meeting held on 05th-06th February, 2013 and on careful perusal of the original of the institution and other related documents, NCTE Act, 1993, Regulations and guidelines from time to time laid on the table the Regional Committee decided to reject the application on the following grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application. <p>Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution vide no. F.No.SRCAPP2058 (2013-14)/D.P.Ed/AP/2012-13/49314 dated 06/03/2013.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide no. F.No.89-193/2013 Appeal/10th Meeting-2013 dated 25/09/2013 stating that “...the council concluded that the appeal deserved to be accepted and the matter remanded to the SRC with a direction to process the application as per Regulations.</p> <p><i>NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby remands back the case of Mokshitha College of Physical Education, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.”</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 254th meeting held on 25th-27th October 2013, considered the Appellate Authority order dated 25/09/2013 and decided to process the application of the said institution as per Regulations.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 29.11.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 29.11.2013.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the deficiency letter was duly considered and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Sai Educational Society is running already B.P.Ed course (SRCAPP332) and recognition of the same was granted on 10/09/2013. This information has been suppressed by the management while replying to the deficiency letter. • 3 year gap between B.P.Ed and D.P.Ed is not there as per NCTE regulations 2009. • Application for D.P.Ed is rejected.
21.	<p>SRCAPP172 B.Ed Roshan B.Ed College, Guntur District AP</p>	<p>Roshan B.Ed College, Plot No.306/B, Vissapalem Street & Village, Narasaraopet Post, Taluk & City, Guntur District - 522601, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Tez Muslim Minority Educational Society, Plot No.13-2A-135/2, Ramthulanagar Village, Narasaraopet Post, Taluk & City, Guntur District - 522601, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Roshan B.Ed</p>

College, Plot No.306/B, Vissapalem Street & Village, Narasaraopet Post, Taluk & City, Guntur District - 522601, Andhra Pradesh for **B.Ed** course on **31.12.2012** for one year duration under section 14(1) of the NCTE Act. 1993 and received in the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE on **04.01.2013**.

NCTE-Hqrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009, its amendment Notified on 26th November 2010 which reads as follows:-

2 (B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1 (1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:

- a) The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application.
- b) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.
- c) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 238th Meeting held on 05th - 06th February, 2013 duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per Regulations 2009 [7 1-A (i)].

- The Processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application – as per the Regulations 2009 Para 7[1-A(i)]a).

Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 06.03.2013.

As per the Public Notice dated 5th September, 2013 NCTE Head Quarters has stating that the important Policy Decision taken by the National Council for Teacher Education in its 31st Meeting held on 29th July, 2013 that the applications of the institutions, who could not make payment of processing fee on or before submission of Online Applications resulting in summary rejection by the concerned Regional Committees of NCTE, may be considered if payment has been made on the next banking / working day following the date of submission of the application, since the software does not allow for payment of the processing fees either on or before the date of submission of applications.

The SRC in its 255th meeting held on 13th - 15th November 2013, considered the matter and decided to process the application of the above said case.

The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 03.12.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 03.12.2013.

The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 13.12.2013 & 20.12.2013.

The deficiency letter reply was placed before SRC in its 258th Meeting held on

		<p>03rd - 05th January, 2014 and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for B.Ed. (SRCAPP172) course under section 14 of NCTE Act, for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The Registration is of Muslim Minority Educational Society. Registration of Tez Muslim Minority Educational Society should be produced. Uncertified photocopies can not be relied upon. <p>Before issuance of Show Cause Notice, the institution has submitted its written representation on 09.01.2014 (with reference to SRC-NCTE Website Decision of 258th Meeting).</p> <p>The Committee in its 259th Meeting held on 17th – 19th January, 2014 considered the matter, written reply of the institution vide letter dated 09/01/2014 to the show cause notice issued and decided that, the institution to be asked to submit a minority certificate without over-writings. After receipt of the same, the case to be put up in the 260th meeting.</p> <p>Before issue of letter to the institution referring to the decision of SRC available in NCTE website submitted reply on 28.01.2014</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply to the notice letter from the Institution vide letter dated 28/01/2014 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the Institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the Institution, as per the norms.</p>
22.	<p>SRCAPP197 B.P.Ed University College of Physical Education, Khammam District AP</p>	<p>University College of Physical Education, Yellandu Cross Road, Khammam Village and Post Office, Khammam Taluk and Town, Khammam District – 507002, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Kakatiya University, Yelladu Cross Road, Khamman Village and Post Office, Khammam Town and Taluk, Khammam District – 507002, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to University College of Physical Education, Yellandu Cross Road, Khammam Village and Post Office, Khammam Taluk and Town, Khammam District – 507002, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 03.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 08.03.2013/26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the university on 10.04.2013. The university has replied to the deficiency letter on 07.06.2013.</p> <p>A comparative statement of all courses i.e (SRCAPP 152-B.P.Ed, SRCAPP 167-B.P.Ed, SRCAPP197-B.P.Ed, SRCAPP183-M.Ed and SRCAPP2020-M.P.Ed) was processed and placed before SRC in its 250th meeting held on 11th-13th August 2013, considered the above matter and decided to cause inspection for B.P.Ed course under section 15 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the university was fixed between 15th, 17th, 18th & 19th October 2013, the same was intimated to the university on 27.09.2013.</p>

	<p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the university was conducted on 17.10.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 22.10.2013 The SRC in its 255th Meeting held on 13th-15th November, 2013 considered the matter, VT Report, VCD of the institution and all other relevant documents of the institution, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to write to the University to remove the following deficiencies as per the VT report submitted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As per the VT report, total land area earmarked by the Registrar is a building and 6.0 Acres for the proposed course B.P.Ed, which is inadequate and requirement of 8.0 Acres of land area as per NCTE norms 2009. • As per building plan and building completion certificate, total built up area earmarked for the proposed course is 826 sq.mtrs and 8892 sq.ft. Respectively, which is inadequate and requirement of 1500 sq.mtrs as per NCTE norms of 2009. • Total built up area given in building plan and building completion certificate is not tallying with affidavit submitted, wherein the total Built up area for the proposed course is shown as 1686.94 sq. mts. • The institution is not a stand alone institution for proposed B.P.Ed course; facilities are shared with other PG courses run by the institution, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations 2009. • As per VT report, the seating capacity in the library is only 50, which is inadequate and requirement as per NCTE norms 2009 for the proposed course and the institution is sharing the library with courses being run. • The VT members have not filled up the total built up area for the proposed course B.P.Ed in the essential data sheet. <p>Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 19.12.2013. The university has submitted its written representation on 21.12.2013 along with a certificate dated 01.02.2013. The university in its reply dated 21.12.2013 stating as follows:-</p> <p>“... with reference taken at the 255th Meeting of SRC NCTE, where it has been shown in the column “Remarks of SRC” pertaining to land and built-up area is insufficient.</p> <p>In this context, kakatiya University, Warangal here by submitting the certificate of allotment of 8.00 acres of land to the college of Physical Education, Kakatiya University, Khammam to start B.P.Ed course. However, a copy of letter 3rd reference cited of your office relaxation of 3 years has been given for the Universities for the development of infrastructure, required construction of building will be undertaken within the above period. Therefore, keeping in view of the above information / documents submitted by the University pertaining to the deficiencies, I request you kindly to consider the aforesaid information complete in all respects to you. Kindly grant recognition to start B.P.Ed course from the academic year 2013-2014”.</p> <p>The SRC in its 259th meeting held on 17th-19th January 2014, it has considered the matter, letter from the University college dated 21/12/2013 and decided that, there is no undertaking from the State Govt. to support the request by this State University to relax the norms. (As per this office letter no. SRC/NCTE/RD/2013/54599, dated 29/10/2013-Grant of relaxation to Govt.</p>
--	--

		<p>Universities under State Govts/U.Ts of Southern Regional based on the reference cited in the said letter). The University has, therefore, to adhere to the norms.</p> <p>The university has submitted its written representation on 29.01.2014 along with undertaking submitted by the Registrar, Kakatiya University to the Regional Director, NCTE, SRC, Bangalore for providing sufficient and required infrastructural facilities for the B.P.Ed programme offered by the University College of Physical Education (Kakatiya University) at Khammam, Andhra Pradesh. The university in its letter dated 29.01.2014 stated as follows:-</p> <p><i>“submit that the State Universities are given relaxation upto three (3) years for providing infrastructural requirements vide your letter 1st cited (copy enclosed). Further, I am to inform you that the Standing Committee of the Academic Senate of this University has also approved the proposal at its meeting held on 3rd January 2014.</i></p> <p>It is also brought to your notice that an undertaking by the undersigned (the competent authority) to the effect to fulfill the said deficiencies and to provide sufficient infrastructural facilities for the programme is enclosed herewith.</p> <p>As such, I request you to grant recognition for starting the B.P.Ed programme at the University College of Physical Education, Kakatiya university at Khammam with effect from 2013-14.”</p> <p>(Note:Copy of undertaking for providing sufficient and required infrastructural facilities enclosed).</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the University College, letter from the Registrar (Kakatiya University) dated 21/01/2014, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.P.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(one hundred only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Further, the Committee advised SRO to ask the University to submit State Govt. undertaking for relaxation of norms along with their LOI reply.</p>
23.	<p>SRCAPP2078 M.Ed Sri Sundareswari College of Education, Virudhunagar District TN</p>	<p>Sri Sundareswari College of Education,Plot/Khasara No. 29/1, 29/2, 29/5, 26/7B, Malli Village & Post, Srivilliputtur Taluk & City, Virudhunagar District, Pin-626141, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Sri Sundareswari Medical Educational Research and Social Welfare Charitable Trust, Plot No.11, Sivakasi Road, Malli Village & Post, Srivilliputtur Taluk & City, Virudhunagar District, Pin-626 141, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Sundareswari College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 29/1, 29/2, 29/5, 26/7B, Malli Village & Post, Srivilliputtur Taluk & City, Virudhunagar District, Pin-626 141, Tamilnadu for M.Ed Course under Section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 30.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard</p>

copy of the application on **03.01.2013**.

Para 7[1-A(i)] and 7[1-A(ii)] of extant NCTE Regulations published in the Gazette of India on 31st August 2009, as amended from time to time, the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:

Para 7[1-A(i)]

- a) *The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application;*
- b) *Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application;*
- c) *Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.*

Para 7[1-A(ii)]

The Regional Committee shall, after recording reasons for rejection under the circumstances referred to in clause (i) above, return the application to the applicant and refund the processing fees within 30 days of the online submission of the application.

Further, 8(7)(i) of NCTE Regulations reads as under:

No institution shall be granted recognition under these Regulations unless the institution or society sponsoring the institution is in possession of required land on the date of application. The land free from all encumbrances could be either on ownership basis or on lease from Government or Government institutions for a period of not less than 30 years. In cases where under relevant State or Union Territory laws the maximum permissible lease period is less than 30 years, the State Government or Union Territory Administration law shall prevail. However, no building shall be taken on lease for running any teacher training course.

In this application the following has been observed:

On-line application was submitted on 30.12.2012 and the Processing fee Challan dated 31.12.2012. Hence, the society has not remitted the processing fee as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application, as required under sub-regulation 7(1-A)(i)(a) of NCTE Regulations 2009.

The SRC in its 240th meeting held on 09th-11th March,2013 reviewed the duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per [7 1-A (i)] of NCTE Regulations 2009.

- On-line application was submitted on 30.12.2012 and the Processing fee Challan dated 31.12.2012. Hence, the society has not remitted the processing fee as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application, as required under sub-regulation 7(1-A)(i)(a) of NCTE Regulations 2009.

		<p>Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 27.04.2013.</p> <p>The institution moved to the Hon'ble High Court of Madurai Bench of Madras in WP(MD) No. 7964 of 2013 and MP (MD) Nos. 1 to 3 of 2013 dtd. 03.05.2013 reads as under:-</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>“..... The petitioner is directed to file an appeal before the National Council for Teacher Education under Section 18 of the National Council for Teacher Education Act, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of such appeal petition, the Council/Appellate Authority shall take note of the observations made by this Court in this order and examine the case of the petitioner in a pragmatic manner as to fulfilling the conditions contained in the Regulations and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of three weeks thereafter.</p> <p>The writ petition is disposed of accordingly, Consequently, M.P.(MD) Nos.1 to 3 of 2013 are closed. No costs”.</p> </div> <p>The institution vide its letter dtd. 27.01.2014 and has stated that</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>“as per your Public Notice, our institution is also one of the institutions which paid the processing fee one day after the submission of application, we submitted application on 30.12.2012 which is Sunday, so we remitted the fee of the next day 31.12.12. Our application is also rejected for the same reason and we received the rejection order on 30.04.2013. After the public notice, still now, we have not received any correspondence on this regard. So, hereby, I request you to consider our M.Ed., application and process the same accordingly. I am enclosing the Public notice copy, Rejection order copy, challan copy & Application copy for your kind perusal. I request your goodself to consider our application for further process”.</p> </div> <p>The Committee considered the institution request letter dated 27/01/2014 (as per NCTE public notice, late submission of fee by one day) for consideration and to process, decided to process the said application.</p>
--	--	--

As per Decision of the SRC 252nd Meeting decision of the following files are put up for consideration

24.	SRCAPP1962 APS03524 APS09102 B.Ed-AI B.Ed M.Ed National College of Education, Cuddalore District TN	<p>National College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. RS 451/2B, Street No. Main Road, Keelakollai Village, Marungur Post Office, Panruti Taluk, Cuddalore District, Pin-607103, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Neyveli Educational Trust, Plot No. RS. 451/2B, Street. No. Kumbakonam Main Road, Keelakollai Village, Marungur Post Office, Panruti Taluk, Cuddalore District, Pin-607103, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to National College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. RS 451/2B, Street No. Main Road, Keelakollai Village, Marungur Post Office, Panruti Taluk, Cuddalore District, Pin-607103, Tamilnadu for <u>B.Ed-AI</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>28.12.2012</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>04.01.2013</u>.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on <u>05.02.2013</u> followed by reminder on</p>
-----	--	--

		<p><u>22.04.2013.</u> A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on <u>22.04.2013.</u></p> <p>Now, the institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 21.06.2013.</p> <p>The Committee in its 252nd meeting held on 13-14th Sept, 2013 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dated 21.06.2013 to the deficiency letter, decided, and advised Southern Regional Office to prepare a comparative statement for all the 3 courses, i.e., proposed B.Ed-AI (SRCAPP1962) and existing courses B.Ed (APS03624) and M.Ed (APS09102) and put up.</p> <p>In the meantime, for B.Ed-AI (SRCAPP19620) the institution vide its letter dated 20.09.2013 has stated that:</p> <p>“the Judgment of Hon’ble Supreme Court of India, we become ineligible in getting recognition for the academic year 2013-14 for B.Ed additional intake in our college. Further, our trust has resolved to withdraw the application for B.Ed additional intake and get the refund of the Endowment and corpus fund deposited.</p> <p>So, I request your good self kindly not to process our application for B.Ed additional intake. We request you to refund the Deposits as early as possible with cancellation of joint account”.</p> <p>The Committee considered the request of the institution vide their letter dated 20/09/2013 for withdrawal of their application for B.Ed-AI course (SRCAPP1962) applied on-line dated 28/12/2012, and decided to permit withdrawal of their application for B.Ed-AI course.</p> <p>Further, it is decided to return the FDRs</p>
--	--	--

Appeal Cases

25.	<p>APS00532 B.Ed St. Joseph Training College, Thrissur, Kerala</p>	<p>St. Joseph Training College, Pavaratty, Thrissur-680507, Kerala.</p> <p>St. Joseph Training College, Pavaratty, Thrissur-680507, Kerala was granted recognition on 23.2.2005 for Secondary course with an annual intake of 100 students from the academic session 2004-05 with a condition to shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition(in case the course is started in temporary premises).</p> <p>The institution was issued Show Cause Notice on 23.2.2009 as decided by the Southern Regional Committee in its 169th meeting of SRC held on 12th January, 2009. The institution submitted its reply to the Notice on 30.04.2009 and the matter was placed before 176th meeting of SRC held on 27-28 May, 2009. The SRC decided to cause inspection of the institution under Section 17 of NCTE Act for shifting of premises after the payment of Rs.40,000/- fees. Accordingly, a letter was addressed to the institution on 26.6.2009 requesting fees for inspection along with the questionnaire duly filled in. The institution submitted Questionnaire along with Rs.40,000/- fees for inspection.</p> <p>The matter was not placed before the Committee and inspection of the institution was not conducted. In the meanwhile, a complaint was received on 8.8.2011 from the students of Natural science, Malayalam and Mathematics, St. Joseph Training College, Pavaratty, Kerala regarding the absence of teachers. Since there was no address of students, a letter was addressed to the Principal, St. Joseph Training College regarding the veracity of the complaint dt. 8.8.2011.</p>
-----	--	--

		<p>The Principal of the institution submitted an affidavit on 10.10.2011 stating that he had not sent any complaint and had requested for a copy of the said complaint. He has also sent a reminder letter on 16.11.2011.</p> <p>This office received a letter from the Principal of the College for sending original FDR's return from NCTE for renewal which were due on 15.4.2010 and 29.3.2011.</p> <p>The SRC its 217th meeting held on 08th –9th February 2012 considered the complaint letter dt. 8.8.2011 from the students of the above college and also reply of the Principal of the same college vide letter dt. 10.10.2011 and decided to cause inspection was conducted to the institution on 07.03.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 223rd meeting held on 29th – 31st May 2012 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show Cause Notice under NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 25.06.2012 for the following deficiencies:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Original certified copy of the land documents from Sub-Registrar is not submitted.2. Approved blue print of the building plan issued by competent civil authority is not submitted. Total earmarked built up area for both D.Ed and B.Ed course is not given.3. Latest original building completion certificate from competent Govt. engineer is not submitted.4. Notarised land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the purpose of <u>educational institution only</u> is not submitted. Proceedings of Revenue Divisional Officer not submitted for conversion of land from agricultural to educational purposes. LUC submitted is not approved by the competent authority.5. Original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund from a Nationalised Bank in joint account in favour of Society/college/institution name if not given.6. Bye-law of the Society (Certificate of Registration of Society) is not submitted.7. Approved staff list from affiliating body is not given.8. Scale of pay offered to staff is not as per Central/Stage Govt./UGC guidelines. Consolidated salary is being paid to be staff. <p>The institution has submitted two written representations on 13.07.2012 and 02.08.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 237th meeting held on 05th – 06th January,2013 considered the written representation from the institution vide letter dt. 13.07.2012 and 02.08.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Final Show cause Notice under NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, a final show cause notice was issued on 06.03.2013. The institution submitted written representation on 08.04.2013.</p>
--	--	--

The SRC in its 244th meeting held on 9th – 11th May 2013, considered the written reply of the institution on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-

- The Original copy of the building plan approved by the Government Engineer is not submitted.
- Latest staff list approved by the University is not submitted.
- Duplicate FDR's submitted are not in joint account.

As per Supreme Court direction norms/standards should be strictly applied. The Hon'ble Supreme Court order states:

Supreme Court vide their order in Civil Appeal NO. 1125-1128/2011 in SLP No. 17165-68/2009 filed by NCTE Vs ors, which reads as under:

“An institution is not entitled to recognition unless it fulfils the conditions specified in various clauses of the Regulations. The Council is directed to ensure that in future no institution is granted recognition unless it fulfils the conditions laid down in the Act and the Regulations and the time schedule fixed for processing the application by the Regional Committee and communication of the decision on the issue of recognition it strictly adhered to”.

Based on the above points the committee decided to **withdraw the recognition** of the B.Ed (APS00532) course run by the St. Joseph Training College, Pavaratty, Thrissur-680 507, Kerala from the academic year 2013-14, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed course, if any, to complete their course.

But it was made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order. The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly. Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any.

As per the decision of SRC, a withdrawal order was issued to the institution vide order no. F.No. /APS00532(B.Ed/KL/2013-14/52078 dated 03.06.2013.

The Manager, St. Josephs Training College submitted a written representation along with copies of documents on 15.07.2013 with a request for restoration of recognition.

A letter from the Deputy Registrar, University of Calicut requesting for verification of qualification of newly appointed teachers in St. Josephs Training College, Pavarotti was received by this office on 17.07.2013.

In the mean while, the institution had approached the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala at Ernakulum in W.P.(C).No. 17971 of 2013 seeking (1) stay of the withdrawal order of SRC,NCTE dated 03.06.2013 (2) direction to the respondents (SRC,NCTE and NCTE Hqrs) to permit the petitioner institution to admit the students to the B.Ed course provisionally for the academic year 2013-14 with an intake of 100 students pending final disposal of the writ petition.

The Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 18.07.2013 in W.P.(C)No. 17971 OF 2013 has dismissed the petition as under;

	<p>'If the petitioner is aggrieved of the Ext.P9(withdrawal order of SRC,NCTE) in any manner, the statute itself takes care of the situation, by providing a remedy by way of appeal under section 18 of the NCTE Act,1993.</p> <p>In the circumstance, the petitioner is relegated to avail the statutory remedy. Without prejudice to the rights and liberties of the petitioner, as above, interference is declined and the writ petition is dismissed.'</p> <p>The institution filed an appeal under Section 18 of NCTE Act, before the Appellate Authority, NCTE, New Delhi against the withdrawal order of SRC .(Appeal dated 24.07.2013)</p> <p>The institution filed another Writ Petition in Hon'ble High Court of Kerala at Ernakulum in W.P.No 19183/2013 praying to direct the 1st respondent(NCTE, New Delhi) to consider the appeal filed by the petitioner and to direct the respondents (NCTE, New Delhi ,Member Secretary, NCTE, New Delhi),Regional Director ,SRC, New Delhi) to permit the petitioner institution to admit students to B.Ed course provisionally for the academic year 2013-14 pending disposal of Appeal No. 1435/2013 and to direct the first respondent (NCTE, New Delhi) to permit the petitioner institution to file an interim application for stay of withdrawal order of SRC.</p> <p>The Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 1st August 2013 in W.P.No.19183 has disposed the petition with the following direction :-</p> <p>“ The 1st respondent (NCTE Hqrs represented by Member Secretary) is directed to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P13 appeal in accordance with law, at the earliest, at any rate, within 'one month' from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment. Writ petition is disposed of. The petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the respondents for further steps.”</p> <p>The appellate authority vide order F.No.89-486/2013 Appeal/14th Meeting-2013 dated 30.10.2013 has made the following observations :-</p> <p>“ The council noted that in the file of the SRC there is a building plan which bears the original signatures of the Engineer and Secretary, Special Grade, Pavaratty Gram Panchayath and the appellant with his letter dated 13.07.2012 also sent another copy of the plan, the Council also noted that the Calicut University wrote to the SRC on 08.07.2013 verifying the staff profile of the appellant institution and the appellant has satisfied about the FDRs being in the Joint names with the Regional Director, NCTE, Bangalore.</p> <p>In view of the position stated above the Council concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with the direction to examine the submissions made by the appellant and take a fresh decision. In the meanwhile the order of withdrawal should be kept in abeyance.</p> <p>After perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserved to be remanded to SRC with the direction to examine the submissions made by the appellant and take a fresh decision. In the meanwhile the order of withdrawal should be kept in abeyance.</p> <p>The Council hereby <u>remands back the case</u> of St.Jospehs Training College,Thrissur, Kerala to SRC,NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.”</p>
--	---

		<p>The SRC in its 255th meeting held on 13th – 15th November 2013 considered the Appellate authority order dated 30/10/2013, and it has decided to process the case of the said institution by examining the submissions made by the appellant and to take a fresh decision and necessary action.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Appellate authority order dated 30/10/2013 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE Act for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the photo copy of the building plan submitted, Survey Numbers and extent of land area are not mentioned. • Duly approved staff list from the University is not submitted, in the staff list submitted, Principal is not mentioned. • FDRs for Rs.5 lacs expired on 15/04/2010, the institution has not submitted for re-validation. <p>In view of the above, the Committee decided to issue a Show cause Notice to the institution as to why the recognition be not withdrawn and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a <u>written representation</u> within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the withdrawal, based on the records available with no further notice.</p>
26.	<p>APS09811 M.Ed Vijaya Teachers College, Bangalore KA</p>	<p>Vijaya Teachers College, 35/1, 30th Cross, 11th Main, 4th Block, Jayanagar, Bangalore-560011, Karnataka.</p> <p>Vijaya Teachers College, 35/1, 30th Cross, 11th Main, 4th Block, Jayanagar, Bangalore was granted recognition for M.Ed course with an intake of 25 students vide order F.SRC/NCTE/2009/M.Ed/Ro/5278 dt. 25.08.2009. Enhancement of intake of 10 seats from existing 25 to 35 has been permitted vide order F.No.F.SRO/NCTE/2010-2011/20542 DT 20.08.2010.</p> <p>A report was received on 14.09.2012 from the Chairman & on behalf of Members of Bangalore University Task force on M.Ed Colleges affiliated to Bangalore University.</p> <p>The reports states that “ <i>the Task force visited 7 M.Ed Colleges affiliated to Bangalore University & submitted its report to the Hon’ble Vice Chancellor on 22nd August, 2012 & further a special meeting of Academic Council of Bangalore University was convened to discuss & approve the report of the Task force.</i></p> <p><i>In the light of the above, the members of Task force thought of appraising SRC on its findings for kind notice & necessary action”.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 233rd Meeting held on 26th – 28th September 2012 considered the report of Bangalore University, Task force of the 7 M.Ed colleges affiliated to Bangalore University vide letter dt. 14/09/2012 and it was decided to serve Show cause notice under NCTE act.</p> <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued on 19.11.2012. The institution has submitted written representations on 6.12.2012, 12.12.2012 & 17.12.2012.</p>

The SRC in its 241st meeting held during 30th , 31st of March and 1st April,2013 considered the written reply of the institution on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-

- As per the approved staff list in-charge HOD is available. Permanent HOD is not available as per NCTE norms.
- No documentary proof is submitted for rectifying the deficiencies pertaining to purchase of equipment, library books, maintaining of registers and creating facilities for the students.
- it is stated that NAAC team visited the institution on 5th and 6th October, 2012. But, the Certificate of accreditation by NAAC for the year 2012-13 is not submitted to this office.
- Since the institution is offering D.Ed.(APS03120), B.Ed.(APS03418) and M.Ed. courses(APS09811), the facilities created as per NCTE regulations, 2009 exclusively for the different courses is yet to be ascertained.

Based on the above points the committee decided to withdraw the recognition of the M.Ed course run by the Vijaya Teachers College, 35/1, 30th cross, 11th Main, 4th Block, Jayanagar, Bangalore – 560 011, Karnataka from the academic year 2013-14, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in M.Ed course, if any, to complete their course.

It was made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order. The Affiliating body / Examining board / body was informed accordingly. Further, it was decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any.

As per the decision of SRC, withdrawal order was issued to the institution vide Order No.F.SRO/APS09811/M.Ed./KA/2013-14/51622 dated 14.5.2013.

Aggrieved by the withdrawal order, the institution preferred an appeal on 28.6.2013.

The Appellate authority order dated 15.10.2013 is received by this office on 21.10.13 and original file is received by this office on 25.10.2013 .

“The Appellate authority has noted that “with reference to the grounds of withdrawal (i) the appellant has appointed a HOD duly approved by Bangalore University; (ii) the appellant has enclosed copies of numerous bills and vouchers of the purchases made; and (iii) NAAC has issued the appellant institution a Grade ‘B’ Certificate on 5.1.2013 valid for 5 years, the council noted that the ground relating to creation of facilities for D.Ed., B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses from its very wording cannot be constructed as a valid and substantive ground for withdrawal. It is only an expression of intent. The council further took note of the favourable opinions expressed by the Bangalore University’s Task Force in their Final Report in respect of the appellant institution as well as the decision of Bangalore University to renew affiliation to the college.

The Council taking into all aspects of the matter concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to examine the submission of documents produced by the appellant and take a fresh decision. In the meanwhile withdrawal may be kept in abeyance. “

The Appellate authority after perusal of the documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserved to be remanded to SRC vide order

		<p><i>F.No.89-454/2013 Appeal/13th Meeting-2013 A75857 dated 15.10.2013 with a direction to examine the submission and documents produced by the appellant and take a fresh decision. IN the meanwhile, withdrawal may be kept in abeyance.”</i></p> <p>Note : The institution has submitted written representations on 22.10.2010 03.4.2013 08.4.2013 and 11.7.2013 regarding</p> <p>(1) Appointment of Dr. Tara Sabapathy, Associate Professor(Retd.) as H.O.D., Department of PG Studies in Education of Vijaya Teachers College and</p> <p>(2) NAAC Certificate.</p> <p>However, as per NCTE norms and standards, Dr. Tara Sabapathy could be appointed as Reader/ Associate Professor on contract basis for a period of not exceeding one year at a time till such time the candidate completes seventy years of age. As such, even with her appointment, the post of Professor in Education still remains vacant.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 255th Meeting held on 13th – 15th November 2013 considered the Appellate authority order dated 15/10/213, decided to process the case by examining the submission and documents produced by the appellant and to take a fresh decision in the matter by keeping the withdrawal in abeyance.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the application is processed</p> <p>The Committee considered the Appellate authority order dated 15/10/2013, decided and advised SRO to write to the institution to submit the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To submit duly approved staff list from the affiliating body/University for all the three courses namely; D.Ed (APS03120), B.Ed (APS03418) & M.Ed (APS09811). 2. Valid proof of rectification of all deficiencies.
27.	<p>APS00227 B.Ed Little Rose College of Education, East Godavari District AP</p>	<p>Little Rose college of Education, Rajamundry Revenue division, Draksharama, East Godavari dist- 533 262, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Elite Educational Society, Draksharama East Godavari District- 533 262, Andhra Pradesh submitted an application for grant of recognition to Little Rose college of Education, Rajamundry Revenue division, Draksharama East Godavari dist- 533 262, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed course of one year duration for the academic year 2002-03 and recognition was granted to the institution vide SRC order dated 10.02.2005 (as per recognition order) with a condition to shift the institution to permanent premises.</p> <p>Shifting of premises as per Regulation 2002 to permanent structure within three years from the date of issue of recognition in Andhra Pradesh. The matter was placed in 178th Meeting of SRC held on 13th-14th July, 2010 wherein the committee observed from records of the institution that the institutions were accorded recognition in the rented premises and they had yet shifted to the permanent premises/building. In view of non-shifting of colleges to permanent</p>

	<p>NCTE Act and obtain shifting fees and other documents for causing inspection for shifting.</p> <p>As per decision of SRC, show cause notice was issued to the institution to submit essential documents on 07.10.2009</p> <p>The institution has not responded to the Show Cause Notice and not submitted fee for Shifting.</p> <p>The SRC in its 201st meeting held on 22nd-23rd February, 2011 considered the matter and decided to withdraw recognition of Little Rose College of Education Rajamundry Revenue Division, Draksharama, East Godavari Dist-533262, Andhra Pradesh which failed to shift to permanent premises within the prescribed time limit of three years as per the norms, and also they had failed to respond to Show Cause Notice issued by SRC, NCTE long back on 07.10.2009.</p> <p>Accordingly, the recognition accorded to Little Rose College of Education, Rajamundry Revenue Division, Draksharama, East Godavari District-533 262, Andhra Pradesh to B.Ed course was withdrawn vide order dated 07.04.2011.</p> <p>A court order has been received from Hon'ble High Court of A.P. in W.P.No.10685 of 2011 dated 19.04.2011. The court order stating that ".....this writ petition is disposed of in the same terms as in the order passed in W.P.No.22090 of 2008 and batch of writ petitions dated 11.08.2009. petitioners are granted four weeks' time to file statutory appeal under section 18 of the NCTE Act and the appellate authority shall receive the appeal by treating the same as an appeal filed within the limitation and dispose of the same after hearing both the parties in accordance with law. Till such time, the impugned orders dated 07.04.2011 shall remain suspended. No order as to costs."</p> <p>Aggrieved by the withdrawal order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs and the appellate authority in its order No. F.No. 89-227/2011Appeal/5th meeting -2011 dated 03/08/2011 stated as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">"After perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal , VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing , the council reached the conclusion that there was adequate grounds to accept the appeal and reverse the SRC's order dt. 07.04.2011 with direction to SRC to conduct an inspection of the Institution and take necessary action thereafter. Accordingly, the appeal is accepted and the order of the SRC dt. 07.04.2011 is reversed.</p> <p>The SRC in its 211th meeting held on 21st -23rd September 2011, considered the Appellate/Council order dt. 03.08.2011 and decided to cause shifting inspection at the premises Under Section 17 of NCTE Act. The institution is directed to submit shifting inspection fee of Rs. 40,000/-.</p> <p>As per direction of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution on 09.11.2011 regarding submission of inspection fee.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 25.11.2011 enclosed Demand Draft of Rs.40,000/- bearing No.656883 vide dated 18.11.2011.</p> <p>Note: it is observed from the file that after receipt of the written representation along with DD of Rs.40,000/- submitted by institution and</p>
--	---

not taken into account and not placed before SRC for further taking necessary action so far.

The institution has submitted its written representation on 14.10.2013 stated as follows:-

“..... The SRC, Bangalore has withdrawn the recognized of Little Rose College of Education vide reference 1 cited above. The management of this institution was challenged the order given by SRC, Bangalore. The High Court of Andhra Pradesh has suspended the order issued the SRC, Bangalore to maintain status quo vide reference 2 cited above. Later we appealed the NCTE, Delhi, in vide reference 3 cited above.

The NCTE, New Delhi has instructed the SRC, Bangalore to reversed the withdrawal order and maintain the status quo in vide reference 4 cited above.

SRC, Bangalore has instructed the Management of Little Rose College of Education to paid Rs.40,000/- (forty thousand rupees only) towards inspection fee.

As per the instructions issued by SRC, Bangalore vide reference 5th cited, we have paid Rs.40,000/- towards the inspection fee the DD.No.656883 dated 18.11.2011 in SBI, Draksharama Branch, Andhra Pradesh.

Hence in view of the above facts, I request you withdrawn the name of our college i.e. S.No.1194 from the list of recognition withdrawn college for your website related to Andhra Pradesh.”

(As per MIS data, it shows the recognition status is Withdrawn)

The SRC in its 254th meeting held on 25th-27th October 2013, considered the Hon'ble High Court Order dated 19.04.2011, wherein the Hon'ble High Court suspended the withdrawal of recognition order vide letter dated 07.04.2011, advised SRO to remove the entry from the website. Further, it is observed that the institution has paid only Rs.40,000/- towards inspection fee, the balance of Rs.10,000/- is to be collected from the institution towards inspection. Southern Regional Office to examine all the relevant documents of the institution.

Sl.N o.	Appellate authority order dated 11.08.2011	Remarks
	<p>“...the Council however noted that a visiting team that inspected the institution on 12-08-2003 recorded that <u>the college was situated in its own building with sufficient class rooms etc.</u> The appellant is also claiming that after the grant of recognition the first batch commenced in their own building. The order granting recognition did not specifically mention that college was functioning in rented premises being necessitating shifting to their own</p>	<p>The institution has submitted Rs.50,000/- towards inspection fee on 08.01.2014 (DD.No.950750, 950752 dated 30.12.2013)</p>

		<p>building. The Council also noted that the SRC issued the show cause notice on 07-10-2009 and on grounds of non-receipt of a reply withdrew recognition only on 07-04-2011 i.e. after a lapse of one and half years. The Council came to the conclusion that in the interest of justice the claim of the institution deserves to be verified by getting an inspection conducted to find out whether they are functioning in their own premises from the date of recognition till now for which the institution should be asked to pay the fee of Rs.40,000/- and furnish all documents for conducting the inspection. The Council is therefore of the opinion that the appeal deserved to be accepted and the order of SRC reversed with direction to conduct an inspection of the institution and take necessary action thereafter.</p> <p>After perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and reverse the SRC's order dated 07-04-2011 with direction to the SRC to conduct an inspection of the institution and take necessary action thereafter. Accordingly, the appeal is accepted and the order of the SRC dated 07-04-2011 is reversed.</p> <p>The Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against"</p>	
		<p>The Committee considered the Appellate authority order dated 17/08/2011, decided to <u>cause inspection of the institution, after getting the Duly filled in Questionnaire along with all the necessary documents for land, building approval and completion certificate from a competent Govt. Engineer and other relevant documents</u></p>	

LOI Cases

28.	<p>SRCAPP2142 B.P.Ed Lakshmi B.P.Ed College, Chittoor District AP</p>	<p>Lakshmi B.P.Ed College, Khasara No.62, Plot No.728/6, 728/7, 728/8, Patnam Village & Post Office, Thavanampalle Mandal, Chittoor City & District-517131, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Society of Poor People for Agriculture Development and Education, Plot No.42/52-2, Bose Nagar Street, Rayachoty Village & Post Office, Rayachoty</p>
-----	---	---

		<p>Taluk, Kadapa District-516269, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Lakshmi B P Ed College, Khasara No.62, Plot No.728/6, 728/7, 728/8, Patnam Village & Post Office, Thavanampalle Mandal, Chittoor City & District-517131, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of One year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.01.2013 .</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 07.05.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 245th meeting held on 19th-21st May 2013, considered the reply of the institution to the deficiency letter dt.07-05-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was fixed on 25th-30th November 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 13.11.2013</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 26.11.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 02.12.2013</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee in its 256th meeting held on 4-5th December, 2013 decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to B.P.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(one hundred only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 19/12/2013.</p> <p>The institution has replied to LOI on 27/01/2014.</p> <p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to B.P.Ed, course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100(one hundred only) students with effect from 2014-15.</p>
29.	<p>SRCAPP183 M.Ed-DE School of Distance Learning and Continuing Education SDLCE, Warangal District</p>	<p>School of Distance Learning and Continuing Education SDLCE, Vidyananyapuri Village, Hanamkonda Post, Taluk & City, Warangal District - 506009, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Kakatiya University, Vidyananyapuri Road, Hanamkonda Village, Post, Taluk & City, Warangal District - 506009, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to School of Distance Learning and Continuing Education SDLCE, Vidyananyapuri Village, Hanamkonda Post, Taluk & City, Warangal District - 506009, Andhra Pradesh for M.Ed-D.E Course of two years duration under</p>

AP	<p>Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 03.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 08.03.2013/10.04.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the University on 10.04.2013. The University has replied to the deficiency letter on 10.06.2013.</p> <p>The reply of the University has been received on the 61st day from the date of issue of deficiency letter. Hence the institution has submitted the reply after the stipulated period of 60 days.</p> <p>The SRC in its 248th Meeting held on 13th - 15th July, 2013 considered the matter and decided and advised Southern Regional Office to put up the case again in the 249th meeting.</p> <p>A comparative statement of all courses (SRCAPP152-B.P.Ed, SRCAPP167-B.P.Ed, SRCAPP197-B.P.Ed, SRCAPP183-M.Ed and SRCAPP2020-M.P.Ed) was processed.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 250th Meeting held on 11th - 13th August, 2013 considered the above matter and decided to cause inspection for M.Ed-ODL course under section 15(1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the University was fixed on 07th - 12th October, 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 27.09.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the University was conducted on 07.10.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 11.10.2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the University, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to M.Ed-D.E course of one year duration (two academic sessions / year) with an annual intake of 250(two hundred and fifty only subject to the condition that a Study Centre shall not enroll more than twenty five students in a given year), and subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, LOI was issued to the university on 03.12.2013. The university has submitted its written representation on 29.01.2014</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply of the institution to LOI vide letter dated 29/01/2014 and it was decided to serve Notice under Section 14 of NCTE Act for the following deficiencies:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The institution has not appointed one Assistant Professor in Education as per NCTE norms. <p>In view of the above, the Committee decided to issue Notice to the institution and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a <u>written representation</u> within 15days from the date of issue of the Notice</p>
----	--

	<p>along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter, failing which action will be taken including the withdrawal, based on the records available, with no further notice.</p> <p>Further, the institution to submit NAAC certificate and UGC clearance for self learning materials.</p>
--	--

Other Cases

30.	<p>SRCAPP193 D.P.Ed Pragathi College of Physical Education, Vizianagaram District AP</p>	<p>Pragathi College of Physical Education, Plot/Khasara No.173, Plot No.34, 35, 42, VZM Road, Ardannapalam Revinew Village, Kothavalasa Post & Tauk, Vizianagaram City & District - 535183, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Pedro Educational Welfare Society, Plot No. F.No.302, No.10-51-14, Sai Bhagavan Sravanthi Towers Road, FAcours Lay Out Village, Waltair Up Lands Post, Visakhapatnam Taluk & City, Vishakhapatnam District - 530003, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Pragathi College of Physical Education, Plot/Khasara No.173, Plot No.34, 35, 42, VZM Road, Ardannapalam Revinew Village, Kothavalasa Post & Tauk, Vizianagaram City & District - 535183, Andhra Pradesh for D.P.Ed course of two years duration under Section 14 (1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 07.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/12.04.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 12.04.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 04.06.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 249th Meeting held on 24th - 26th July, 2013 has considered the matter, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to Re-submit with a comparative statement for B.P.Ed, B.Ed, D.Ed and proposed course D.P.Ed in the 250th meeting.</p> <p>The SRC in its 254th Meeting held on 25th - 27th October, 2013 has considered the reply of the institution dated 04.06.2013 to the deficiency letter and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed on 23rd - 24th December, 2013 & 26th - 28th December, 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 17.12.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 23.12.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 31.12.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 259th meeting held on 17th-19th January 2014 and the committee has considered the matter and decided as follows:-</p> <p>1. “ V.T. did not have as a Member a Physical Education Instructor.</p>
-----	--	---

		<p>2. <i>The VT was expected to look at 3 points in particular, inter alia, other deficiencies.</i></p> <p>3. <i>Cause Inspection with proper composition of the V.T. Give them clear advice about the deficiencies to be verified and documents to be collected."</i></p> <p>In the meantime, the institution has submitted a written representation on 21.01.2014.</p> <p>The SRC in its 260th meeting held on 29th-31st January 2014, considered the matter and decided that an extent of land in possession is 7.36 acres as against required extent of 8 acres. Produce proof for ownership of the balance of extent of land.</p> <p>As per regulations of 2009 Appendix – 6 of D.P.Ed- Sl.No.5(1)(a) <i>To provide these facilities, the Management/Institution shall at the time of making application, have in its possession a minimum of <u>five acre</u> of exclusive well demarcated land either on ownership basis or on lease from Govt. and building constructed thereupon.</i></p> <p>It is verified that the institution has presence of 5 acres of land for D.P.Ed course as per NCTE regulations.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to D.P.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50(fifty only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p>
31.	<p>----</p> <p>----</p> <p>Application Submitted by Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Vatakara and Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Aranattukara, Thrissur for Grant of Recognition to M.Ed Course KL</p>	<p>APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY CALICUT UNIVERSITY TEACHER EDUCATION CENTRE, VATAKARA AND CALICUT UNIVERSITY TEACHER EDUCATION CENTRE, ARANATTUKARA, THRISSUR FOR GRANT OF RECOGNITION TO M.ED. COURSE</p> <p>An application vide letter No.RS/PA 42/2014 dated 21.01.2014 is received by this office on 27.1.2014 from the Registrar, University of Calicut for grant of recognition of M.Ed. course proposed at Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Vatakara and Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Aranattukara, Thrissur.</p> <p>As per the Public Notice dated 1.7.2013, the applications were called in the prescribed form submitted through ONLINE to the concerned Regional Committee along with fee and essential documents as prescribed in Regulations, 2009 during the period from 1.7.2013 to 31.7.2013 for the academic session 2014-15 as a temporary measure in view of the recommendations of Justice Verma Commission that the Government should increase its investment in establishing TEIs and increase the institutional capacity of Teacher preparation especially in the deficient States. A copy of the Public Notice is enclosed for reference.</p> <p>Since the applications were called during the period 1.7.2013 to 31.7.2013, the request of the University in establishing M.Ed. course is placed</p>

	<p>The Committee considered the matter, letter from the Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Vatakara and Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Aranattukara, Thrissur for Grant of Recognition to M.Ed course; vide their letter dated 21/01/2014. Since there is no public notice for inviting application for the session 2015-16 from NCTE (H'qrs), New Delhi, the University to be informed to apply when NCTE invites applications again. The Committee decided that this application of the said university can not be accepted.</p>
--	--

As per Decision of the SRC 260th Meeting decision of the following files are put up for consideration

32.	<p>SRCAPP229 SRCAPP2185 M.Ed/ M.Ed-AI Andhra University, Visakhapatnam District AP</p>	<p>Andhra University Post, Vishakhapatnam City_& District-530003, Andhra Pradesh</p>	
		<p>SRCAPP229/M.Ed (2011-12)</p> <p>Andhra University, Visakhapatnam District, Andhra Pradesh has made an application for M.Ed course for the session 2011-2012 to Southern Regional Committee, NCTE, Bangalore on 01.10.2010. The application was processed and deficiency letter was issued to the University on 11.01.2011. The university has submitted its reply on 25.01.2011.</p> <p><i>The SRC in its 201st meeting held on 22nd-23rd February 2011, considered the matter and decided to Cause inspection. The inspection of the institution was carried out on 28.03.2011 under section 15.</i></p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT Reports and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee in its 204th meeting held on 27th and 28th April 2011, decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to M.Ed course of One year duration with an annual intake of 35(Thirty Five only)(one unit) from the academic year 2011-12 subject to the appointment of qualified</p>	<p>SRCAPP2185/M.Ed-AI (2014-15)</p> <p>Andhra University, Visakhapatnam Village, Andhra University Post, Vishakhapatnam City & District-530003, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to IASE, Andhra University, Andhra University, Andhra University Post, Vishakhapatnam Taluk & City, Vishakhapatnam District – 530003, Andhra Pradesh for M.Ed-AI Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.07.2013 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 07.08.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 26.10.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the university on 29.10.2013. The university has submitted its written representation on 30.12.2013.</p> <p>As per the oral direction of the SRC, a list of applications status report 2014-15 (pertaining to Govt. Institution / Universities) was placed before SRC in its 256th Meeting held on 04th-06th December, 2013 considered the matter and all relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 to examine whether the Central Universities & State University (Govt. only) applied for the session 2014-15, fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified</p>

		<p>staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, letter of intent was issued to the university on 06.05.2011.</p> <p>The university has not submitted LOI reply even after stipulated period of 60 days.</p> <p>The SRC in its 209th meeting held on 31st July 2011, the committee has decided to withdraw the LOI issued to the above two institutions namely 1) S.R. College of Education, Habsipur Road, Dubbak, Medak, Andhra Pradesh, Course D.El.Ed (SRCAPP255) & Dept of Education Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, Course M.Ed (SRCAPP229) as these institutions have not replied to LOI even after expiry of 60 days as per New Regulation, 2009.</p> <p>Accordingly, Withdrawn LOI Order was issued to the university on 31.10.2011.</p> <p>Further, the university has submitted its written representation on 13.12.2011 stated as follows:-</p> <p><i>“....the inspection was completed by the visiting team constituted by the NCTE, SRC, Bangalore on 28.03.2011 for verification of infrastructure and other facilities for the M.Ed course offered by the Department of Education, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam. Subsequently the</i></p>	<p>by the Universities/inspection, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the university was fixed on 06th-10th January, 2014 the same was intimated to the university on 24.12.2013.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the university was conducted on 09.01.2014 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 15.01.2014.</p> <p>The SRC in its 260th meeting held on 29th-31st January 2014, considered the VT report and decided to issue LOI.</p> <p>As per direction of SRC, Letter of intent is being sent.</p>
--	--	--	--

		<p><i>University Administration has been awaiting further intimation from NCTE, regarding necessary permission of the said course. It may bring to your kind attention that in the website of NCTE, it has been mentioned that Letter of Intent has been stated against the Department of Education, Andhra University. However your letter dated 31.10.2011 stating that the Letter of Intent has been withdrawn has just been received, for the reason that the University has not responded in time. Moreover, the letter from NCTE, SRC, Bangalore office has not reached the administration till recently, since it was not addressed to the Registrar of Andhra University.</i></p> <p><i>The Earlier letter which was sent by your office regarding Letter of Intent not received by Andhra University till date, so that the University could not respond.</i></p> <p><i>With regard to faculty requirement please note that adequate regular permanent faculty members are already available in the Department. List of faculty members already submitted at the time of committee visit to the Department of Education, Andhra University. The above information will be furnished to you after hearing from you duly signed by the Registrar, Andhra University.</i></p> <p><i>Who humbly request you</i></p>	
--	--	--	--

		<p><i>to consider the issue of Letter of Intent to Andhra University.”</i></p> <p><u>Note: University letter received by SRC, NCTE on 13.12.2011 was not placed before SRC</u></p>	
		<p>On careful perusal of the original file of the University, letter from the University dated 13/12/2011, all relevant documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Letter of Intent</u> for grant of recognition to M.Ed course (SRCAPP229) of one year duration with an annual intake of 35(thirty five only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Further,</p> <p>The Committee decided to reject the application for M.Ed-AI (SRCAPP2185) applied for the session 2014-15, can not be considered for want of 3 year gap as per NCTE Regulations/norms 2009.</p>	

LOI Cases

33.	<p>SRCAPP231 M.Ed Andhra University, Vizianagaram District AP</p>	<p>Andhra University, No.4059, Kondakarakam, Vizianagaram - 535003, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Andhra University, No.4059, Kondakarakam, Vizianagaram-535003, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Andhra University, No.4059, Kondakarakam, Vizianagaram - 535003, Andhra Pradesh for M.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 15(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE on 01.10.2010.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 07.11.2010/02.02.2011. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 11.01.2011. The institution has submitted reply vide letter dated 25.01.2011. The matter was placed before SRC in 201st meeting of SRC held on 22nd-23rd February 2011, wherein the SRC considered the matter and decided to cause inspection. Accordingly inspection was conducted on 30.03.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 204th meeting held on 27th-28th April 2011, considered the VT Report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve the Show cause Notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) As per VCD observation the building is still under construction and incomplete. 2) Games & Sports Facilities are not well organized. 3) Books available in the library are inadequate, which needs to be augmented/strengthened. <p>As per direction of SRC, SCN was issued on 26.05.2010. In response to this office letter dated 26.05.2010 the institution has not submitted reply till date.</p>
-----	---	---

The SRC in its 208th meeting held on 13th-14th July 2011, considered the university has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice issued on 26.05.2010. The committee decided to refuse the recognition sought by the institution for M.Ed course (SRCAPP231).

Accordingly refusal order was issued on 29.08.2011.

The institution approached to appeal in NCTE HQ, wherein the Appellate authority has passed an order dated 04.01.2012 as under:-

“After perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and with direction to the SRC for considering reply of the institution towards show cause notice and for taking further decision thereafter institution is advised to forward a reply to the show cause notice of SRC for consideration. The council hereby remands back the case of Andhra University, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”

The SRC in its 217th meeting held on 08th – 09th February, 2012 considered the Appeal order dt. 04.01.2012 and decided to await for the reply from the institution till 45 days and then to put up in the next meeting thereafter or when the reply is received whichever is earlier.

Whereas the institution has not submitted its written representation so far.

The SRC in its 233rd Meeting held on 26th – 28th September 2012, considered the matter and non-reply of the institution and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, for the following:

- The institution has not submitted any written reply to the show cause notice issued and also no reply as per the advice of the Appeal Committee to forward a reply to the show cause notice vide its order dt. 04.01.2012.

Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 30.10.2012 and the institution has submitted its reply vide its letter dt. 03.12.2012 and 17.12.2012 received in the office of SRC on 03.12.2012 and 17.12.2012 respectively.

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, reply of the institution, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the SRC in its 239th meeting held on 26th-27th February 2013 decided to issue **Letter of Intent** for grant of recognition to M.Ed course of One year duration with an annual intake of 35(Thirty five only)(one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.

Accordingly, letter of intent was issued to the university on 13.03.2013.

NOTE: THE UNIVERSITY HAS NOT SUBMITTED LOI REPLY SO FAR.

		<p>The University has not submitted reply for LOI issued even after the stipulated time from the date of issue of the LOI.</p> <p>The committee considered the matter, as the University has not submitted reply for LOI letter even after stipulated period from the date of issue of LOI letter and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of M.Ed course.</p> <p>Time Schedule – Supreme Court Order</p> <p>Supreme Court vide their order in Civil Appeal NO. 1125-1128/2011 in SLP No. 17165-68/2009 filed by NCTE Vs ors, which reads as under:</p> <p><u>“An institution is not entitled to recognition unless it fulfils the conditions specified in various clauses of the Regulations. The Council is directed to ensure that in future no institution is granted recognition unless it fulfils the conditions laid down in the Act and the Regulations and <u>the time schedule fixed for processing the application by the Regional Committee and communication of the decision on the issue of recognition it strictly adhered to”.</u></u></p>
--	--	---

Show Cause Notice Reply

34.	<p>SRCAPP2020 M.P.Ed Kakatiya University, Warangal District AP</p>	<p>Kakatiya University, Vidyaranyapuri Road, KU Campus, Vidyaranyapuri Street, Hanamkonda Village, Post & Taluk, Warangal District - 506009, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to University College of Physical Education, Kakatiya University, KU Campus, Vidyaranyapuri Village, Hanamkonda Post & Taluk, Warangal District-506009, Andhra Pradesh for M.P.Ed Course of two years/4 semesters duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 29.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 03.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 08.03.2013/19.04.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the university on 19.04.2013. The university has replied to the deficiency letter on 10.06.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 251st meeting held on 11th-13th August, 2013, considered the matter and decided to clarify whether B.P.Ed is being offered by the University.</p> <p>The university has submitted its written representation on 21.08.2013 with reference to the website information and stating as follows;</p> <p>“university has already submitted the list of provisionally allotted candidates of B.P.Ed course for two academic years, i.e. 2010-11 and 2011-12 to the University College of Physical Education, Kakatiya University, Warangal as a proof of evidence, i.e. the UCOPE, KU is offering B.P.Ed course recognized by NCTE from the academic year 2006-07 onwards.</p> <p>Now, please find enclosed again a copy of the “list of provisionally</p>
-----	--	--

allotted candidates of B.P.Ed course for two academic years, i.e. 2010-11 and 2011-2012 to the University College of Physical Education, Kakatiya University, Warangal” along with a copy of the “Certificate” issued by Dean, College Development Council, Kakatiya University, Warangal stating that UCOPE, Kakatiya **University, Warangal, is** offering the B.P.Ed course. Besides, a copy of the decisions of Academic Senate 23rd meeting is also enclosed, wherein a decision has been taken to introduce the B.P.Ed course from the Academic year 2006-07 at KU campus.

Therefore, it is requested kindly to consider the aforesaid documents and further necessary action may please be initiated to recognize the M.P.Ed course at University College of Physical Education, Kakatiya University, Warangal, so as to enable the college to introduce the course from the academic year 2013-14 onwards.”

The SRC in its 252nd Meeting held on 13th-14th September, 2013 reply of the institution vide letter dated 10-06-2013 to the deficiency letter, and also clarification letter dated 21-08-2013, all other relevant documents and decided to cause Composite inspection for M.P.Ed (SRCAPP2020) course under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, & for B.P.Ed (APS07810) course under NCTE Act to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for both proposed programme & existing course, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms. Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 18th-20th November, 2013 the same was intimated to the institution on 04.11.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 14.11.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 20.11.2013

The SRC in its 256th meeting held on 4th-6th December 2013 considered the VT report, VCD and all relevant documents of the institution, and it was decided to serve Notice Under Section 14 of NCTE Act for the following deficiencies:-

1. As per Building plan submitted, the building is covered with partly sheet roofing, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations/Norms of 2009.
2. The University College has not submitted Building plan, wherein total earmarked built up area is not shown separately for both B.P.Ed and M.P.Ed.

Accordingly, notice was issued to the university on 02.01.2014.

The university has submitted its written representation on 29.01.2014 along with undertaking submitted by the Registrar, Kakatiya University to the Regional Director, NCTE, SRC, Bangalore for providing sufficient and required infrastructural facilities for the M.P.Ed programme offered by the University College of Physical Education (Kakatiya University) at Khammam, Andhra Pradesh. The university in its letter dated 29.01.2014 stated as follows:-

“submit that the State Universities are given relaxation upto three (3) years for providing infrastructural requirements vide your letter 1st cited (copy enclosed). Further, I am to inform you that the Standing Committee of the Academic Senate of this University has also approved the proposal at its meeting held on 3rd January 2014.

It is also brought to your notice that an undertaking by the undersigned (the competent authority) to the effect to fulfill the said deficiencies and

		<p><i>to provide sufficient infrastructural facilities for the programme is enclosed herewith.</i></p> <p><i>As such, I request you to grant recognition for starting the M.P.Ed programme at the University College of Physical Education, Kakatiya university at Khammam with effect from 2013-14.”</i></p> <p><i>(Note:Copy of undertaking for providing sufficient and required infrastructural facilities enclosed. Undertaking is not on stamp paper)</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, letter from the University dated 29/01/2014, and noted that the University has applied for M.P.Ed course online on 29-12-2012 for the session 2013-14. Also, it is noticed that the University is not having Basic B.P.Ed course initially before applying for M.P.Ed course.</p> <p>On observation the committee decided that Regulations of 2009 (Appendix – 8, Preamble 1. (2) which reads “only University departments or institutions offering B.P.Ed, Programme are eligible to offer this course), require a running B.P.Ed for Universities to be eligible to apply for M.P.Ed.</p> <p>In view of the above, the application for M.P.Ed course of Kakatiya University (Khammam campus) applied on-line dt. 29/12/2012 is rejected.</p>
--	--	---

New Application - 2013-2014

35.	<p>SRCAPP234 B.Ed-AI Tamil University, Thajavur District TN</p>	<p>Tamil University, Plot No. 1, Trichy Road, Thanjavur Village, Tamil University Post, Thanjavur Taluka, Thanjavur District, Pin-613010, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Tamil University, Khasara No. 1, Plot No. 25, Street No. 1, Pillayarpatti Village, Tamil University Post, Thanjavur Taluka, Thanjavur District, Pin-613010, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Tamil University, Plot No. 1, Trichy Road, Thanjavur Village, Tamil University Post, Thanjavur Taluka, Thanjavur District, Pin-613010, Tamilnadu for <u>B.Ed-AI</u> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on <u>31.12.2012</u> and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on <u>18.01.2013</u> along with processing along with processing fees of Rs.50050/- vide Demand Draft bearing No.708879 dt.21.12.2012 drawn on Bank of India.</p> <p>Para 7[1-A(i)] and 7[1-A(ii)] of extant NCTE Regulations published in the Gazette of India on 31st August 2009, as amended from time to time, the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <p>Para 7[1-A(i)]</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="470 1780 1444 2042"> <tr> <td> <p>(a) <i>The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application;</i></p> <p>(b) <i>Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application;</i></p> <p>(c) <i>Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched</i></p> </td> </tr> </table>	<p>(a) <i>The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application;</i></p> <p>(b) <i>Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application;</i></p> <p>(c) <i>Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched</i></p>
<p>(a) <i>The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application;</i></p> <p>(b) <i>Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application;</i></p> <p>(c) <i>Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched</i></p>			

within 7 days of the submission of the online application.

Para 7[1-A(ii)]

The Regional Committee shall, after recording reasons for rejection under the circumstances referred to in clause (i) above, return the application to the applicant and refund the processing fees within 30 days of the online submission of the application.

Time limit granted by NCTE (HQ).

Due to technical reasons in online application portal of the NCTE website on 30th and 31st December 2012, some applicant institutions faced difficulties in the submission of hardcopies of the online application for the academic session 2013-14 to the concerned Regional Committee in time. Those institutions are allowed to submit hard copies in triplicate to the concerned Regional Committee up to 21st January 2013.

The SRC in its 239th meeting 26th -27th February 2013 reviewed the duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is **summarily rejected** as per 7 [1-A (i)] of NCTE Regulations 2009.

1. On-line application was submitted on 31.12.2012, whereas the hard copy of the same is submitted on 18.01.2013, as such, the Society has not dispatched the Hard copy of the application within 7 days of the submission of the on-line application.
2. The institution failed to submit valid printout of on-line application on or before 21st Jan 2013 as per NCTE (HQ) direction.

Accordingly, rejection letter was issued to the institution on 10.04.2013.

The institution, preferred an appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-293/2013 Appeal/13th meeting-2013/ dated 15.10.2013 has stated as follows:-

“after perusal of the documents, Memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserved to be remanded to SRC with a direction to process the application further as per the Regulations.

NOWHEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Tamil University, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.”

The SRC in its 255th meeting held on 13th-15th November 2013 considered the Appellate authority order dated 15/10/2013, decided to process the application further as per the Regulations.

The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State

		<p>Government for recommendation on 22.01.2014. A deficiency letter was issued to the University on 23.01.2014.</p> <p>Now, the University has replied to the deficiency letter on 04.02.2014.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply of the University to the deficiency letter, decided and advised SRO to put up with details about the basic unit and the other courses being run by the University.</p>
36.	<p>SRCAPP1915 M.Ed Thanthai Hans Roever College of Education, Perambalur District TN</p>	<p>Thanthai Hans Roever College of Education, Plot/Khasara no. 75/1B, Street no. 2/1166, Elambalur Village and Post, Perambalur Taluka, Perambalur District, Pin-621212, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>St.John Sangam Trust, THRC Campus, Chennai High Way Road, Elambalur Village and Post, Perambalur Taluka, Perambalur District, Pin-621212, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Thanthai Hans Roever College of Education, Plot/Khasara no. 75/1B, Street no. 2/1166, Elambalur Village and Post, Perambalur Taluka, Perambalur District, Pin-621212, Tamilnadu for M.Ed Course for one year duration under Section 15(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 27.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 03.01.2013 along with processing along with processing fees vide challan no. m376436 dtd. 28.12.2012 for Rs. 50,100/-.</p> <p>Para 7[1-A(i)] and 7[1-A(ii)] of extant NCTE Regulations published in the Gazette of India on 31st August 2009, as amended from time to time, the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <p>Para 7[1-A (i)]</p> <div data-bbox="469 1171 1469 1541" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>a) <i>The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application;</i></p> <p>b) <i>Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application;</i></p> <p>c) <i>Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.</i></p> </div> <p>Para 7[1-A (ii)]</p> <div data-bbox="469 1615 1469 1783" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><i>The Regional Committee shall, after recording reasons for rejection under the circumstances referred to in clause (i) above, return the application to the applicant and refund the processing fees within 30 days of the online submission of the application.</i></p> </div> <p>In this application the following has been observed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On-line application was submitted on 27.12.2012 and challan was remitted on 28.12.2012. Hence, the society has not remitted the processing fee as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application, as required under sub-regulation 7(1-

A)(i)(a) of NCTE Regulations 2009.

The SRC in its 242nd Meeting held on 14th -16th April, 2013 reviewed the duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffers from basic infirmities, is summarily rejected as per Regulation 7 [1-A (i)].

- On-line application was submitted on 27.12.2012 and Challan was remitted on 28.12.2012. Hence, the society has not remitted the processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application, as required under sub-regulation 7 (1-A)(i) (a) of NCTE Regulations 2009.

Accordingly, a rejection letter was issued to the institution on 15.05.2013.

SRC, NCTE is in receipt of letter dt.06.05.2013 alongwith court notice dt.03.06.2013 in the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. No. 12671 of 2013 and has stated that

“take notice that the WP.No. 12671 of 2013 is set down for hearing on 03.06.2013 for orders as to admission.
Notice has been ordered on 29.04.2013”.

A brief of the case was sent to the advocate on 17.05.2013.

The Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. no. 12671 of 2013 and M.P.Nos 1, 2 and 4 of 2013 dtd.20.08.2013 reads as under:-

“..... the impugned order is quashed. The matter is remitted to NCTE for fresh consideration. The NCTE is directed to consider the application submitted by the petitioner afresh on merits and in accordance with NCTE Regulations, taking into account the communication sent to the State Government to offer its views by accepting the application. Such exercise shall be completed within a accepting the application. Such exercise shall be completed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is allowed to the extent indicated above. Consequently, the connecting Mps are closed. No costs”.

The SRC in its 252nd meeting held on 13th – 14th September 2013 considered the Hon'ble High Court directions vide order dated 20-08-2013 to consider the application submitted afresh on merits and in accordance with NCTE Regulations. Also, NCTE (HQ) has also clarified that payments can be accepted even one day after the on-line application vide its letter dated 30th Aug, 2013. Based on the above, the Committee decided and advised Southern Regional Office to Process the application of the said institution further. Accordingly, the application is processed.

The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 05.02.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 03.12.2013.

The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 31.01.2014.

The Committee considered the reply to the deficiency letter from the Institution vide letter dated 31-05-2014 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause composite inspection for both M.Ed and B.Ed under section 15 of NCTE Act, to examine whether the Institution

		<p>fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p>
37.	<p>SRCAPP1806 B.Ed Brilliant College of Education, Thiruvarur District TN</p>	<p>Brilliant College of Education, Khasara No.153/4,5,7,8, Plot No.3/87-A, Thiruvarur Main Road, Paruthicheri Village, Velur P.O.m Thiruthuraipoondi Taluka, Thiruvarur District, Pin - 614715, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Brilliant Educational Trust, Plot No.113, First Main Road, Balamurugan Gardens, Thuraipakkam Post, Tambaram Taluka, Chennai District, Pin-600 097, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Brilliant College of Education, Khasara No.153/4,5,7,8, Plot No.3/87-A, Thiruvarur Main Road, Paruthicheri Village, Velur P.O.m Thiruthuraipoondi Taluka, Thiruvarur District, Pin - 614715, Tamilnadu for B.Ed Course for one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 12.12.2012. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 12.12.2012 along with processing along with processing fees paid through ICICI Transaction ID: 1355329150706.</p> <p>Para 7[1-A(i)] and 7[1-A(ii)] of extant NCTE Regulations published in the Gazettee of India on 31st August 2009, as amended from time to time, the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <p>Para 7[1-A(i)]</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>(a) <i>The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application;</i></p> <p>(b) <i>Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application;</i></p> <p>(c) <i>Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.</i></p> </div> <p>Para 7[1-A(ii)]</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><i>The Regional Committee shall, after recording reasons for rejection under the circumstances referred to in clause (i) above, return the application to the applicant and refund the processing fees within 30 days of the online submission of the application.</i></p> </div> <p>In this application the following has been observed:</p> <p>1. Payment made through credit card transaction no.1355329150706 mentioned on the application. Last year similar case was processed. The SRC in its 240th meeting held on 09th –11th March, 2013 reviewed the duly scrutinized above new application received by Southern Regional Office, NCTE for the Session 2013-14. Accordingly, the above application, which suffer from basic infirmities, is <u>summarily rejected</u> as per [7 1–A (i)] of NCTE Regulations 2009.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DD or Challan to support payment of processing fee is not available. • The processing fee, as provided under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application - (as per Regulations 2009 Para 7[1-A(i)]a). <p>Accordingly, rejection letter was issued to the institution on 22.04.2013.</p>

		<p>The institution preferred appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No. 89-320/2013 Appeal/13th Meeting- 2013-A75773 dated 15.10.2013 has stated as follows:</p> <p><i>“after perusal of the documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserved to be remanded to SRC with a direction to process the application further as per the Rules.</i></p> <p><i>NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case for necessary action as indicated above”.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 255th Meeting held on 13th-15th November, 2013 considered the Appellate authority order dated 15/10/2013, decided to process the application further as per the Rules. Accordingly, application is being processed.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation <u>on 22.01.2014.</u> A deficiency letter was issued to the institution <u>on 23.01.2014.</u></p> <p>Now The institution has replied to the deficiency letter <u>on 03.02.2014.</u></p> <p>The Committee considered the reply to the deficiency letter from the Institution vide letter dated 03-02-2014 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 of NCTE Act, to examine whether the Institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p>
38.	<p>SRCAPP1879 B.Ed SSVPT B.Ed College, Bijapur District KA</p>	<p>SSVPT B.Ed College, PlotNo.700/2,700/4,Ingaleshwara Village,City & Post,Basavana Bagewadi Taluk,Bijapur District-586203,Karnataka.</p> <p>Shri Siddalingeshwara Vidyapeetha Trust, Plot.No.700/2/4, Virakthamath Street,Ingaleshwara Village & Post,Basavana Bagewadi Taluk,Bijapur District-586203,Karnataka had applied for grant of recognition to SSVPT B.Ed College,Plot No.700/2,700/4,Ingaleshwara Village,City & Post,Basavana Bagewadi Taluk,Bijapur District-586203,Karnataka for B.Ed course of one year duration under section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 25/12/2012. The institution had submitted hard copy of the application on 27/12/2012.</p> <p>NCTE-H'qrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009 and amendment Notified on 26th November, 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2(B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1(1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <p><i>The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application.</i></p> <p><i>Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.</i></p> <p><i>Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the Course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application.</i></p> <p>The SRC considered the matter in its 240th Meeting held on 09th – 11th March, 2013 and on careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other related</p>

documents. NCTE Act 1993 Regulations and guidelines from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to reject the application on the following grounds:-

Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not submitted. The land is not registered in the name of the society or the institution.

The application of SSVPT B.Ed College, Plot No.700/2,700/4, Ingaleshwara Village, City & Post, Basavana Bagewadi Taluk, Bijapur District-586203, Karnataka (SRCAPP1879) for permission to B.Ed course was rejected.

As per the decision of SRC, a rejection letter was issued to the institution vide order no. F.No. /SRCAPP 1879(2013-14)/B.Ed/KA/2013-14/51832 dated 23.05.2013.

The institution had filed an appeal under Section 18 of NCTE Act, before the Appellate Authority, NCTE, New Delhi against rejection letter of SRC.

The appellate authority vide Order F.No. 89-40/2013/Appeal/14th Meeting 2013 dated 30.10.2013 noted that the appellant with the appeal has submitted a photo-copy of the sale deed. He has not submitted a certified copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority as required under the NCTE Regulations, 2009. In the circumstances, the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC confirmed.

After perusal of the documents, Memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on record and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the

SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed. The Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

The above decision of the appellate authority was placed before SRC in its 255th Meeting held on 13th – 15th November 2013 and the Committee noted the matter.

Now, the institution has filed a writ petition W.P. No. 103724-103725 of 2013 (EDN-REG) in the High Court of Karnataka, Gulbarga Bench against the rejection letter No.SRCAPP1879 /2013-14 /B.Ed/KA/2013-14/51832 dated 23.05.2013.

On, 02.12.2013, the institution has submitted a court order from the High Court of Karnataka, Gulbarga Bench in W.P. No. 103724-103725 of 2013 (EDN-REG). The Hon'ble Court has disposed of the writ petition with a direction, which is as under;

“ The petitioner being aggrieved by the order of rejection of the application filed seeking for recognition of B.Ed course on the ground that the petitioner has no title deed with him in respect of the property in question is before this Court.

2. Heard the learned Counsel for respective parties.

3. According to the Counsel for the petitioner the title deed is standing in his name in

respect of the property, however the same has not been considered.

4. It appears the petitioner has furnished the documents in respect of land in question and the same has not been properly considered by the competent authority. It is for the respondent authority to consider the documents sought to be produced by the petitioner in respect of title and to do the needful in accordance with law at the earliest.

Petition is disposed of.”

		<p>The institution has enclosed certified copy of the registered land document and English version of the same along with the court order.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 257th Meeting held on 20th – 22nd December, 2013 considered the Hon'ble High Court Gulbarga, Karnataka order dated 26/11/2013, noted that the title to land was transferred to the Trust 2 years before date of application. The Committee decided and advised Southern Regional Office to reopen the case and process urgently.</p> <p>Deficiency reply received to this office on 05.02.2014. Accordingly, the application is processed</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply to the deficiency letter from the Institution vide letter dated 05-02-2014 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 of NCTE Act, to examine whether the Institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms</p>
39.	<p>SRCAPP1874 B.Ed SJSBVT B.Ed College, Bidar District KA</p>	<p>SJSBVT B.Ed College, Plot No.42/A, Kallur Road, Humnabad Village, Taluk & City, Kallur Post, Bidar District – 585330, Karnataka.</p> <p>Shri Jagadguru Siddabasaveshwara Vidyapeetha Trust, Plot No.42/A, Basavareth Math, Kallur Road, Humnabad Village & City, Kallur Post, Bidar District – 585330, Karnataka had applied for grant of recognition to SJSBVT B.Ed College, Plot No.42/A, Kallur Road, Humnabad Village, Taluk & City, Kallur Post, Bidar District – 585330, Karnataka for B.Ed course of one year duration under section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 25/12/2012. The institution had submitted hard copy of the application on 27/12/2012.</p> <p>NCTE-H'qrs had directed to process the applications in accordance with NCTE Regulations 2009 and amendment Notified on 26th November, 2010 which reads as follows:-</p> <p>2(B) for Sub Regulation (1-A) the following shall be submitted namely. 1(1-A) (I) the application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstances:</p> <p>(a) The processing fee, as provided under Rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 is not furnished on or before the date of submission of online application.</p> <p>(b) Hard copy in triplicate of the online application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of the online application.</p> <p>(c) Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society. Institution applying for the Course possessed land on the date of application is not dispatched within 7 days of the submission of online application.</p> <p>The SRC considered the matter in its 240th Meeting held on 09th – 11th March, 2013 and on careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other related documents. NCTE Act 1993 Regulations and guidelines from time to time laid on the table, the Regional Committee decided to <u>reject the application</u> on the following grounds:-</p> <p>➤ Copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority indicating that the society/institution applying for the course possessed land on the date of application is not submitted. The land is not registered in the name of</p>

	<p>the society or the institution.</p> <p>The application of SJSBVT B.Ed College, Plot No. 42/A, Kallur Road, Humnabad Village, Taluk & City, Kallur Post, Bidar District – 585330, Karnataka (SRCAPP1874) for permission to B.Ed course was rejected.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a rejection letter was issued to the institution vide order no. F.No. /SRCAPP 1874(2013-14)/B.Ed/KA/2013-14/51831 dated 23.05.2013.</p> <p>The institution had filed an appeal under Section 18 of NCTE Act, before the Appellate Authority, NCTE, New Delhi against rejection letter of SRC.</p> <p>The appellate authority vide order F.No.89-40 /2013/Appeal/14th Meeting-2013 dated 30.10.2013 has made the following observations :-</p> <p>‘The Council noted that the appellant with the appeal has submitted a photocopy of a sale deed. He has not submitted a certified copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority as required under NCTE regulations, 2009. In the circumstances, the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.</p> <p>After perusal of the documents, Memorandum of Appeal, affidavit, the documents available on record and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.</p> <p><u>The Council hereby confirms the order appealed against.’</u></p> <p>The above decision of the appellate authority was placed before SRC in its 255th Meeting held on 13th – 15th November 2013 and the Committee noted the matter .</p> <p>Now, the institution has filed a writ petition W.P.No. 103724-103725 of 2013 (EDN-REG) in the High Court of Karnataka, Gulbarga Bench against the rejection letter No.SRCAPP1874 /2013-14 /B.Ed/KA/2013-14/51831 dated 23.05.2013</p> <p>On, 02.12.2013, a court order from the High Court of Karnataka, Gulbarga Bench in W.P.No. . 103724-103725 of 2013 (EDN-REG) was received by this office from the Institution along with the certified copy of the sale deed. The Hon’ble Court has disposed of the writ petition with a direction, which is as under :-</p> <p>“ The petitioner being aggrieved by the order of rejection of the application filed seeking for recognition of B.Ed course on the ground that the petitioner has no title deed with him in respect of the property in question is before this Court.</p> <p>2. Heard the learned Counsel for respective parties.</p> <p>3. According to the Counsel for the petitioner the title deed is standing in his name in respect of the property, however the same has not been considered.</p> <p>4. It appears the petitioner has furnished the documents in respect of land in</p>
--	--

		<p>question and the same has not been properly considered by the competent authority. It is for the respondent authority to consider the documents sought to be produced by the petitioner in respect of title and to do the needful in accordance with law at the earliest.</p> <p>Petition is disposed of.”</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 257th Meeting held on 20th – 22nd Decemb 2013 considered the Hon’ble High Court Gulbarga, Karnataka order da 26/11/2013, noted that the title to land was transferred to the Mutt 7 years before d of application. The Committee decided and advised Southern Regional Office reopen the case and process urgently.</p> <p>Deficiency reply received to this office on 05.02.2014. Accordingly, the application is processed</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply to the deficiency letter from the Institution vide letter dated 05-02-2014 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 of NCTE Act, to examine whether the Institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p>
--	--	--

LOI Cases

40.	<p>SRCAPP200 D.P.Ed Gopalan Krishna College of Physical Education, Nalgonda District AP</p>	<p>Gopala Krishna College of Physical Education, Sy.No.93, 111, 112, Plot No.0-2, Sagar Road, Shrinadapuram R/O Ambatipally Village, Ramadguu Post Office, Annumula Taluk, Haliya City, Nalgonda District - 508278, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Gopala Krishna Educational Society, Plot No. 2-98/1, Neelaiguda Village, Anjanpally Post Office, Thripuraram Taluk, Miryalaguda City, Nalgonda District - 208207, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Gopala Krishna College of Physical Education, Sy.No. 93, 111, 112, Plot No. 0-2, Sagar Road, Shrinadapuram R/O Ambatipally Village, Ramadguu Post Office, Annumula Taluk, Haliya City, Nalgonda District - 508278, Andhra Pradesh for D.P.Ed Course of two years duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 03.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 31.01.2013/19.04,2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 19.04.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 29.05.2013.</p> <p>The SRC in its 247th Meeting held on 20th - 22nd June, 2013 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dated 29.05.2013 to the deficiency letter all other relevant documents and decided to Cause Inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 26th - 31st August, 2013. The same was intimated to the institution on 22.08.2013. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 29.08.2013 and the VT Report received in</p>
-----	---	--

		<p>the office of SRC on 06.09.2013.</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution, VT report, VCD, all relevant documents and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines of NCTE published from time to time laid on the table, the Southern Regional Committee in its 254th Meeting held on 25th - 27th October, 2013 has decided to issue Letter of Intent for grant of recognition to D.P.Ed course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 (fifty only) (one unit), subject to the appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the Norms of NCTE/State Government/Affiliating University and be given effect before the commencement of the academic session.</p> <p>Accordingly, a Letter of Intent was issued to the institution on 04.11.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 02.01.2014.</p> <p>The SRC in its 259th meeting held on 17th-19th January 2014 considered the Letter of Intent Reply received by SRC on 02.01.2014 and decided to issue Notice for appointment of 4 sports trainers.</p> <p>Before issuance of notice, the institution has submitted its written representation on 22.01.2014.</p> <p>The SRC in its 260th meeting held on 29th-31st January 2014, considered the notice reply dated 22.01.2014 and decided to issue notice for staff list (sports trainer) approved by the Registrar.</p> <p>Before issuance of notice, the institution has submitted its written representation on 05.02.2014.</p> <p>On Careful perusal of the original file of the institution and staff profiles submitted by the institution in response to the letter of Intent, the Regional Committee decided that Formal Recognition be granted to D.P.Ed, course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50(fifty only) students with effect from 2014-15.</p>
--	--	---

Other Cases

41.	APS01639 D.Ed Sathyadri Institute of Teacher Education, Dakshina Kannada District KA	<p>Sahyadri Institute of Teacher Education, Bhagavathi Complex, Capitanio, Kankanady Post, Mangalore – 575002, Dakshina Kannada District, Karnataka.</p> <p>Bhandari Foundation, Mangalore, Karnataka had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee NCTE for grant of recognition to Sahyadri Institute of Teacher Education, Bhagavathi Complex, Capitanio, Kankanady Post, Mangalore – 575002, Dakshina Kannada District, Karnataka, for Elementary (D.Ed) Course of two and half years duration from the academic session 2004-2005 with an annual intake of 50 students, and recognition was granted to the institution on 25/11/2007 with a condition to shift to its own premises within 3 years.</p> <p>A letter dt. 01.07.2013 and 19.12.2013 is received by this office from the Institution requesting to withdraw recognition of the D.Ed Course and return the FDR's receipts. He has stated that" this is to inform you that due to non available of students for the D.Ed course, the Trustees of Bhandary Foundation have resolved to close the D.Ed college from the Academic year 2012-2013 Accordingly the D.Ed College run by our Trust is closed on 10.10.2011 on completion of the course for the academic year. In this regard we hereby</p>
-----	--	--

		<p>confirm that we have completed all the required formalities.</p> <p>Kindly arrange to release the Security Deposit held in the joint names on the institution and yourselves.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Institutions request for closure of D.Ed course and decided to permit the closure by withdrawing the recognition for (APS01639) D.Ed course with effect from 2013-2014, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in D.Ed, course, if any, to complete their final year course.</p> <p>But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.</p> <p>The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly.</p> <p>Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any, and to return FDRs after ensuring settlement of all staff claims.</p>
42.	<p>APS07154 D.Ed Vidyananda Gurukula Education Trust, Koppal District KA</p>	<p>Vidyananda Gurukula Education Trust, Vidyanagar, Kuknoor, Koppal District – 583232, Karnataka.</p> <p>Vidyananda Gurugula EducationTrust, Koppal District, Karnataka had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee NCTE for grant of recognition to Vidyananda Gurukula Education Trust, Vidyanagar, Kuknoor, Koppal District – 583232, Karnataka for Elementary (D.Ed) Course of two years duration from the academic session 2006-2007 with an annual intake of 50 students, and recognition was granted to the institution on 30/08/2007 with a condition to shift to its own premises within 3 years.</p> <p>A letter dt. 23.12.2013 is received by this office from the Institution requesting to withdraw recognition of the D.Ed Course and return the FDR's receipts. He has stated that " The D.Ed College run by our Trust was closed during 2010-2011 since no candidates were admitted, witch was communicated to the Deputy Director, DIET, Koppal and also DSERT Bangalore.</p> <p>We have submitted following FDR Receipts towards Endowment fund and Reserve fund through our office letter dated 13.03.2011 cited .</p> <p>FDR No. 0630829 for Rs: 5.00 Lakhs towards Endowment fund FDR No: 939113 for Rs: 3.00 Lakhs towards Reserve fund.</p> <p>We request you kindly return the above FDR receipts. I hereby authorize Sri G. V. Jahagirdar, Joint Secretary of our Trust to receive the FDR receipts whose signature is attested below by me.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Institutions request for closure of D.Ed course and decided to permit the closure by withdrawing the recognition for (APS07154) D.Ed course with effect from 2013-2014, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in D.Ed, course, if any, to complete their final year course.</p> <p>But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.</p>

	<p>The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly.</p> <p>Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any, and to return FDRs after ensuring settlement of all staff claims.</p>
--	---

Appeal Cases

43.	<p>SRCAPP40 B.P.Ed Sai Deepthi College of Education, Guntur District AP</p>	<p>Sai Deepthi College of Physical Education, Khasara/Plot no. 23, Edulapalem Village and Post Office, Parthipadu Taluk, Edulapalem City, Guntur District-522019, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Faith Educational Society, Plot no.8-154, R.K.Street, Chilakaluripet Village and Post office, Chilakaluripet Taluk and City, Guntur District-522616, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sai Deepthi College of Physical Education, Khasara/Plot no. 23, Edulapalem Village and Post Office, Parthipadu Taluk, Edulapalem City, Guntur District-522019, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of One year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 07.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/28.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 05.04.2013 and reposted on 26.04.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 27.05.2013.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the deficiency letter was duly considered by SRC in its 246th Meeting held on 02nd-04th June, 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Society was registered on 31.12.2012, the land was registered on 28.12.2012 i.e, when the society was not in existence, so it is not a valid title deed. 2. Original building completion certificate from competent Govt. authorized engineer is not submitted. In the BCC submitted, In the building completion certificate submitted, society name is mentioned not institution name. <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.P.Ed course.</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 04.07.2013.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs the appellate authority order vide no. F.No. 89-580/2013 Appeal/17th Meeting 2013 A80764 dated 17.01.2014 stating that <i>"...the council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to further process the application as per regulations.</i></p> <p><i>NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby remands back the case of Sai Deepthi College of Physical Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.</i></p>
-----	---	--

		<p>The SRC in its 260th meeting held on 29th – 31st January 2014, the Committee considered the appellate authority order dated 17.01.2014 and decided to process the application.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Appellate authority order dated 17/01/2014 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 of NCTE Act, to examine whether the Institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p>
44.	<p>SRCAPP2084 B.P.Ed SRVBSJBMR College of Physical Education, East Godavari District AP</p>	<p>SRVBSJBMR College of Physical Education, Plot No.337P, 338P, Peddapuram Village & Post, Peddapuram City & Taluk, East Godavari District-533437, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>SRVBSJBMR College Association, Plot No.12-7-16, Cinema Street, Peddapuram Village & Post, Peddapuram Taluk & City, East Godavari District-533437, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to SRVBSJBMR College of Physical Education, Plot No.337P, 338P, Peddapuram Village & Post, Peddapuram City & Taluk, East Godavari District-533437, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 30.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013 /26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 20.05.2013.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the deficiency letter was duly considered by SRC in its 246th Meeting held on 2nd-4th June, 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <p>The institution has submitted land documents in regional language Telugu, which is not certified by the sub-registrar, only attested by the notary. Which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations 2009.</p> <p>Notarized land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the <u>educational purpose</u> is not submitted. Proceedings of Revenue Divisional Officer not submitted for conversion of land from agricultural to educational purposes. Land usage certificate submitted by the institution does not specifically state that the usage for educational purpose is permitted.</p> <p>Original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund from a Nationalized Bank in favour of Society/college/institution name is not submitted. FDRs submitted by the institution is not in the name of the applicant college of Physical Education.</p> <p>Accordingly, a rejection order was issued to the institution vide No. F.No. SRCAPP2084/ (2013-14)/B.P.Ed/AP/2012-13/52574 dated 03.07.2013. Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs the appellate authority vide no. F.No.89-588/2013 Appeal/17th Meeting-2013 dated 10.01.2014 stating that “... <i>the council concluded that the</i></p>

		<p><i>appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to further process the application as per regulations.</i></p> <p><i>NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby remands back the case of SRVBSJBMR College of Physical Education, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE< for necessary action as indicated above”.</i></p> <p>The SRC, in its 260th Meeting held on 29th – 31st January. 2014 considered the Appellate authority order dated 10.01.2014 and decided to process.</p> <p>Accordingly, the application was processed</p> <p>The Committee considered the Appellate authority order dated 10/01/2014 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 of NCTE Act, to examine whether the Institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p>
45.	<p>SRCAPP1892 B.Ed Adiparasakthi College of Education, Dharmapuri District TN</p>	<p>Adiparasakthi College of Education, Khasra No:- 60/1B2, 60/7A1, 60/2B1, 60/7B1, Erumiyampatti Village, Kokkarapatti Post, Pappireddipatti Taluk, Dharmapuri District, Pin- 636905, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Adi Parasakti Educational Trust, Erumiyampatti Village, Kokkarapatti Post, Pappireddipatti Taluk, Dharmapuri District, Pin-636905, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Adiparasakthi College of Education, Khasra No:- 60/1B2, 60/7A1, 60/2B1, 60/7B1, Erumiyampatti Village, Kokkarapatti Post, Pappireddipatti Taluk, Dharmapuri District, Pin- 636905, Tamilnadu for B.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 26.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 31.12.2012.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 22.01.2013 followed by reminder on 22.04.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 22.04.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 29.05.2013.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the deficiency letter was duly considered by SRC in its 246th meeting held on 02nd –04th June, 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On scrutiny of the land documents, its observed that, the sponsoring Trust has sold the same land to two of its institutions (i.e., 1) Adiparasakthi College of Education and 2) Arts & Science College). That being so, the applicant institution can not be said to have clear title to the land. • As per Land Use Certificate Proceedings from the Revenue Department for Converting the Land from agriculture to Non-agricultural purpose has not submitted. <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee has decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course.</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 22.07.2013.</p>

		<p>The institution preferred an appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-589/2013 Appeal/17th Meeting- 2013 dated 10.01.2014 received in the office of SRC on 27.01.2014 has stated as follows:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 10px 0;"> <p><i>“after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to further process the application as per regulations.</i></p> <p><i>NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Adiparasakthi College of Education, Dharmapuri, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.</i></p> </div> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 260th meeting held on 29th – 31st January, 2014 and the committee decided to process the application. Accordingly, the application is processed</p> <p>The Committee considered the Appellate authority order dated 17/01/2014 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause inspection</u> under section 14 of NCTE Act, to examine whether the Institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.</p>
46.	<p>SRCAPP1995 B.Ed Muthayammal College of Education, Namakkal District TN</p>	<p>Muthayammal College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 137/2A, 137/2B, Street. No. SH 79, Kakkaveri Village & Post Office, Rasipuram Taluk, Namakkal District, Pin-637408, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Muthayammal Educational & Charitable Trust, Plot No. 137/2A, 137/2B, Street/Road. Attur Main Road, Kakkaveri Village & Post Office, Rasipuram Taluk, Namakkal District, Pin-637408, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Muthayammal College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 137/2A, 137/2B, Street. No. SH 79, Kakkaveri Village & Post Office, Rasipuram Taluk, Namakkal District, Pin-637408, Tamilnadu for B.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 29.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 03.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 05.02.2013 followed by reminder on 22.04.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 22.04.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 18.06.2013.</p> <p>The reply of the institution vide letter dated 18-06-2013 to the deficiency letter was duly considered and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As per Non-encumbrance certificate, land is on mortgaged with UCO Bank, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations 2009. <p>The reply to the show cause notice is not satisfactory and unconvincing.</p> <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the SRC in its 249th</p>

		<p>meeting held on 24th -26th July, 2013 has decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 05.09.2013.</p> <p>The institution preferred an appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-615/2013 Appeal/18th Meeting- 2013 dated 13.01.2014 received in the office of SRC on 28.01.2014 has stated as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to further process the application as per regulations.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Muthayammal College of Education, Dharmapuri, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.</i></p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 260th meeting held on 29th – 31st January 2014 and the committee decided to process the application. Accordingly, the application is being processed.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply of the Appellate authority order dated 13/01/2014 and it was decided to serve Notice Under Section 14 of NCTE Act for the following deficiencies:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The institution has not submitted latest up-to-date non-encumbrance certificate. <p>In view of the above, the Committee decided to issue Notice to the institution and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a <u>written representation</u> within 15days from the date of issue of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter, failing which action will be taken including the rejection, based on the records available, with no further notice.</p>
47.	<p>SRCAPP1807 B.Ed Mother Gnanamma Catholic College of Education, Kanyakumari. TN</p>	<p>Mother Gnanamma Catholic College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 55/1, 42-3A & 42-3B, Madathattuvilai Main Road, Ward.1, Villukuri Village and Post Office, Villukuri City, Kalkulam Taluk, Kanyakumari District – 629180, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>St. Sebastian Educational and Development Trust, Plot No. 1-125, Madathattuvilai Street, Villukuri Village and Post Office, Kalkulam Taluk, Villukuri City, Kanyakumari District – 629180, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Mother Gnanamma Catholic College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 55/1, 42-3A & 42-3B, Madathattuvilai Main Road, Ward.1, Villukuri Village and Post Office, Villukuri City, Kalkulam Taluk, Kanyakumari District – 629180, Tamilnadu for B.Ed Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 12.12.2012 and physical copy on 20.12.2012.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 02.01.2013 followed by reminder on 26.03.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 22.04.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 16.05.2013.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the deficiency letter was duly considered by SRC</p>

in its 246th meeting held on 02nd-04th June, 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:

- Building plan is approved in regional language.
- Notarized land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the educational purpose is not submitted. The certificate issued by the Revenue Divisional office is not really a land usage certificate at all.

Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the SRC in its 246th meeting held on 02nd-04th June, 2013 has decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course.

Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 18.07.2013.

The institution preferred an appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No.89-598/2013 Appeal/17th Meeting- 2013 dated 10.01.2014 received in the office of SRC on 27.01.2014 has stated as follows:

“after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to further process the application as per regulations.

Now therefore, the Council hereby remands back the case of Mother Gnanamma Catholic College of Education, Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.

The above matter was placed before SRC in its 260th Meeting held on 29th -31st January, 2014 and decide to Process.

Accordingly, the application is processed

The Committee considered the Appellate authority order dated 10/01/2014 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under section 14 of NCTE Act, to examine whether the Institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the University, as per the norms.