

DECISION OF 320th MEETING OF SRC-NCTE

Consideration of Revised order reply: (Volume- 2)

1.	APS07022	B.Ed	V.R college of Education, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh	AP	1. This is an RPRO case pf one unit of B.Ed.No inspection is, therefore, necessary to verify built up area 2. Faculty list is given. It is not approved . It is also nt as per Regulations 3.Issue SCN accordingly
2.	APs00327	B.Ed	St. Joseph college of Education, Anantpur, Andhra Pradesh	AP	1. This is an RPRO case of 2 units of B.Ed 2. Land document is in order. 3. LUC is given. 4. EC is only for 4 out of 27.81 acres 5.BP and BCC are in order .Built up area is adequate. 6. FDRs for Rs. 4 lakhs more ;and, all FDRs in original and in Joint account are required . 7. Faculty list is in order 8. Fee not paid 9.Collect fee and cause inspection 10. Ask VT to collect all relevant records ; and, check in particular these deficiencies, especially EC.
3.	APS07485	B.Ed	Malayalaswamy college of Education, Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh	AP	1. This is a RPRO case of B.Ed (1 unit) 2. There is, therefore, no need to inspect. 3. Land document not given. 4. BP not approved. 5. BCC is not in the format. 6. EC not given 7.LUC is not duly approved 8.FDRs given 9.Society Registration not given. 10.Faculty list is defective - Principal has no Ph.D -Asst. Prof (Arts) and Asst.Prof (F.A) not there. 11. Issue SCN accordingly.
4.	APS00026	B.Ed	St. Mary college of Education, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh	AP	1. This is a RPRO case of B.Ed (2 units) 2. They have reduced it to 1 unit ; and, surrendered the 2 nd unit.Withdraw recognition for the 2 nd unit w.e.f 2015-16 3. For B.Ed (1 unit) there is no need of VT to check adequacy of built-up area 4. Land document is in order.Sy.No. 18/1- B 5. LUC and EC are in order 6.BP is in order 7.BCC is not approved by competent authority 8.Original FDRs not given 9.Faculty list not given 10.Issue SCN accordingly 11. Collect full fee and cause composite inspection

5.	SRCAPP2177	M.Ed 1 unit	Government college of Education, Pudukkottai, Tamilnadu	TN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The request for change of name is accepted 2. Issue a corrected FR accordingly for B.Ed/M.Ed 3. Inform the affiliating body
6.	Aps04840	B.Ed	S.B.G Sanskrit mission B.Ed college, Trichy, Tamilnadu	TN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This is a RPRO case of B.Ed (2 units) 2. Inspection will be required to check adequacy of built up area 3. Both the land documents are in the individual's name 4.LUC is in order 5. EC is in order 6. BP not approved 7. BCC not in format ; not approved 8. FDRs not given 9.Affidavit not given 10. Fee not paid 11. Faculty list is not approved 12.Issue SCN accordingly
7.	APs04503	B.Ed	Prof. S.A college of Education, Chennai, Tamilnadu	TN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. RPRO case of B.Ed (2 units) 2. VT inspection required to verify adequacy of built-up area 3.Land document is in order. 4.LUC not given. 5. BP is in order. BCC not given. 6. Original FDRs not given. 7. Fee not paid 8.Faculty list nor given. 9. Collect full fee and cause inspection. 10. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents and check on all deficiencies 11. Ask VT to look into the issues relating to the Section 17 inspection that is pending.
8.	APS04561	B.Ed	A.G. college of Education, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu	TN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.RPRO case of B.Ed (2 units) 2. Inspection required to verify adequacy of built up area 3, Land document is in order 4. LUC and EC are in order 5. BP approval is not legible 6. BCC is in order 7. Original FDRs not given 8. Fee not given 9.Faculty list – not in format ; no photographs ; every page not signed by the Registrar 10. Collect fee and cause inspection 11.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents and check on the deficiencies

9.	APS06150	D.Ed-AI	Bangalore City College of Diploma in Education, Bangalore, Karnataka	KA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In this case,NCTE(HQ) had initiated action U/s 13 and asked us to proceed U/s 17 2. SRC took action and withdrew recognition 3. Appellate authority quashed the SRC order and ordered composite inspection 4. Meanwhile, the Court also passed some order. Find out its status from the Lawyer 5. Land document is in order 6. LUC/EC are given 7. BP is not approved 8. BCC is not approved by competent authority. Built up area shown is adequate 9. FDRs are not given 10. Faculty list is not given 11. Collect fee and cause inspection. Inform NCTE (HQ) of the action taken.
10	APS07096	M.Ed 1 unit	Labour India college of Teacher education, Kottayam, Kerala	KL	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. RPRO case of M.Ed (1 unit) 2. Land documents is in order 3. LUC is not given 4. EC is in order 5. BP is not approved 6. BCC is not given 7. FDRs not given 8. Fee not paid 9. Faculty list not given 10. Affidavit not given 11. collect full fee and cause composite inspection after linking the B.Ed case 12. The B.Ed Case should be put up in the next meeting.
11	APS03234	B.Ed 1 unit	Mahatma Gandhi University College of Teacher Education, Ernakulam, Kerala	KL	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. RPRO case of B.Ed (1 unit) 2. No inspection is required for verification of built up area 3. Land document is in Govt. allotment order 4. EC- not given 5. LUC is in order 6. BP not approved 7. BCC not given 8. Faculty list is there 9. Cause inspection 10. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents and check on the deficiencies listed .
12	APS00793	B.Ed 2 Units	Salafiya Training college, Palakkad, Kerala	KL	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. RPRO case of B.Ed (1 unit) 2. No inspection is required for verification of built up area 3. Clear title to land is not established. 4. LUC is there. EC is there. 5. BP is given, not duly approved , no details given. 6. BCC is in order. Built up area shown is inadequate. 7. FDRs not given. 8. Faculty list not in format. Not approved.

					9.Issue SCN accordingly.
13	APS00439	B.Ed	Sree narayana Guru kripa Trust B.Ed college, Thiruvanantha puram, Kerala	KL	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. RPRO case of B.Ed (1 unit) 2.No inspection is required for verification of built up area 3. Section -17 proceedings were pending. Report current status. 4. Land document is in order. 5.LUC is not given 6. EC is in order 7. BP-Photocopy given, not approved, not legible. 8. BCC not given 9. FDRs not given 10.Faculty list – only photocopy- not approved 11. Issue SCN accordingly.
14	APs02933	B.Ed	Kannur university teacher education, Wayanad, Kerala	KL	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.RPRO case of B.Ed (2 units) 2. Inspection will be required for verification of built up area 3. Land documents not necessary – govt. land 4. LUC is there 5.EC is not necessary. 6. BP is there. 7. BCC is there. 8. Faculty list not given. 9. Cause inspection. 10. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents and. Check on the listed deficiencies. VT should check in particular the built up area which is seen to be inadequate.
15	APs02523	B.Ed	Kannur university teacher education, Kannur, Kerala	KL	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. RPRO case of B.Ed (2 units). 2. Inspection will be necessary for verification of built-up-area 3. Land document not necessary – Govt. allotted land 4. LUC/EC not necessary. 5. BP not approved. 6. BCC is in order 7. Faculty list not given. 8. Cause inspection 9. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents and,check on the deficiencies listed.
16	APS02520	B.Ed	Kannur University teacher education, Kasaragod, Kerala	KL	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. RPRO case of B.Ed (2 units). 2. Inspection will be necessary for verification of built-up-area 3. Land document not necessary – Govt. allotted land 4. LUC/EC not necessary. 5. BP is given. Not approved by competent authority. 6. BCC is in order. 7. Faculty list is not given 8. Cause inspection 9. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents and,check on the deficiencies listed.

17	AOS00378	B.Ed	N.S.S Training college, Palakkad, Kerala	KL	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. RPRO case of B.Ed (6 units) 2. Link M.Ed case also. 3. Inspection will be necessary for verification of built up area. 4.No documents given. 5.Land documents, LUC, EC, BP, BCC, FDRs ,Faculty List Fee etc. have all to be submitted 6. Issue SCN accordingly
18	APS02255	B.Ed 2 Units	A.E & C.S Ramapriya college of Education, Kolar, Karnataka	KA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. RPRO case of B.Ed (2 units) 2. Fresh inspection is necessary for verification of built up area. 3. Land documents is in order. 4. LUC is not approved by competent Revenue Officer 5. EC is given 6. BP is given 7.BCC is not approved by competent authority ; and not in format 8.FDRs not given 9.Faculty list given – not in format, also not updated 10. Fee not paid 11. Collect fee and cause inspection 12. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.

Consideration of Non Submission of LOI reply & SCN reply: (Volume-3)

19	SRCAPP14215	B.A. B.Ed 2 Units	Jenney's college of Education, Tiruchirapalli, Tamilnadu	TN	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18.Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 05.04.2016
20	SRCAPP14214	M.Ed 1 Unit	Modern college of Education, Perambalur, Tamilnadu	TN	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18.Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 05.04.2016

21	SRCAPP14773	M.Ed 1 unit	St. Stephens college of Education for women, Madurai, Tamilnadu	TN	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 28.04.2016.
22	SRCAPP14216	B.Ed M.Ed 1 unit	Jenneys college eof Education, Tiruchirappalli , Tamilnadu	TN	1. NOC not given 2. Reply to SCN not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
23	SRCAPP14211	B.Ed 2 Units	P M college of Education, Perambalur, Tamilnadu	TN	1. NOC not given 2. Reply to SCN not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
24	SRCAPP2426	B.Ed-AI 1Unit	Shree Vidhyodhaya college of Education, Villupuram, Tamilnadu	TN	1. NOC not given 2. Reply to SCN not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
25	SRCAPP2767	B.Ed 2 units	RD college of Education, Erode, Tamilnadu	TN	1. NOC not given 2. Reply to SCN not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
26	SRCAPP14805	B.Sc B.Ed 2 Units	CKS college of Education, Vellore, Tamilnadu	TN	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 05.04.2016

27	SRCAPP15036	B.Sc B.Ed 2 Units	Gemgates college eof Education, Salem, Tamilnadu	TN	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 05.04.2016
28	SRCAPP15083	B.Ed 2 Units	GMRS B.Ed college, Rangareddy, Telangana	TS	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 24.02.2016
29	SRCAPP2375	B.Ed	Reshma College of Education, Hyderabad, Telangana	TS	1. Rely to SCN not given 2. The objection regarding 'stand alone' character remains 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
30	SRCAPP3277	B.A B.Ed B.Sc B.Ed 2Units	Madhira Brahma Reddy college eof Education, Nalgonda, Telangana	TS	1. NOC not given 2. Reply to SCN not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
31	SRCAPP3373	M.Ed 1 Unit	UK college eof Education, Rangareddy, Telangana	TS	1. Reply to SCN not given 2. Built – up – area is inadequate 3. BCC is not in format and not approved 4. Reject the application 5. Close the case
32	SRCAPP3511	B.Ed 2 units	Gopala Krishna college of Education, Nalgonda, Telangana	TS	1. This is a case of non-cooperation by Management with V.T 2. Replies to Questionnaire not given 3. Reply to SCN is also not given 4. Reject the application 5. Close the case.

33	SRCAPP14079	B.Ed 2 Units	Nalanda college eof Education, Mahbubnagar, Telangana	TS	1. Consequent upon rejection of the D.El.Ed application for non submission of NOC, this case is hit by the 'stand-alone' clause 2. Reply to SCN is not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
34	SRCAPP14127	B.Ed 1 Unit	Hasini college of Education, Mahbubnagar, Telangana	TS	1. Building is still under construction 2. Built-up-area is inadequate 3. Reply to SCN not given 4. Reject the application 5. Close the case
35	SRCAPP14164	B.Ed 2 Units	TSR college of Education, Nalgonda, Telangana	TS	1. Consequent upon rejection of the D.El.Ed this case is hit by the 'stand-alone' clause 2. Reply to SCN is not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
36	SRCAPP14178	B.Ed 2 Units	Iqra college eof Teacher Education, Medak, Telangana	TS	1. Consequent upon rejection of the D.El.Ed application for non submission of NOC, this case is hit by the 'stand-alone' clause 2. Reply to SCN is not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
37	SRCAPP14226	B.Ed 2 Units	Abdul Kalam college of Education, Nizamabad, Telangana	TS	1. Information about any other programmes run is not given .Consequently this case is hit by the 'stand alone ' clause. 2. Reply to SCN is not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
38	SRCAPP14466	B.Ed 2 Units	Yaseen college of Education, Nizamabad, Telangana	TS	1. Information about any other programmes run is not given .Consequently this case is hit by the 'stand alone ' clause. 2. Reply to SCN is not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
39	SRCAPP14480	B.P.Ed 1 Unit	Baba college of Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh	AP	1. Adequacy of land area available is not established 2. Reply to SCN not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
40	SRCAPP14555	B.Ed 2 Units	St.Marys college eof Education, Nalgonda, Telangana	TS	1. Information about any other programmes run is not given .Consequently this case is hit by the 'stand alone ' clause. 2. Reply to SCN is not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case

41	SRCAPP14630	B.Ed 2 Units	Shah college of Education, mahabubnagar , Telangana	TS	1. Information about any other programmes run is not given .Consequently this case is hit by the ‘stand alone ‘ clause. 2. Reply to SCN is not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
42	SRCAPP14651	B.ED 1 Unit	Rahmath college of Education, Mahabubnagar , Telangana	TS	1. Information about any other programmes run is not given .Consequently this case is hit by the ‘stand alone ‘ clause. 2. Reply to SCN is not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
43	SRCAPP14957	B.Ed 2 Units	NRS college of Education, Nalgonda, Telangana	TS	1. Information about any other programmes run is not given .Consequently this case is hit by the ‘stand alone ‘ clause. 2. Reply to SCN is not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
44	SRCAPP15032	B.P.Ed 1 Unit	Stanford college of Physical Education, Nalgonda, Telangana	TS	1. The management did not cooperate with the V.T for causing inspection 2. Reply to SCN is also not received 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
45	SRCAPP15007	B.Ed 2 Unit	Vijetha college eof Education, Medak, Telangana	TS	1. This case is hit by the ‘ stand alone ‘ clause. 2. Reply to SCN is also not received 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
46	SRCAPP3584	B.Ed 2 Units	Saba College of Education, Hyderabad, Telangana	TS	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18.Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 11.02.2016
47	SRCAPP14220	B.P.Ed 1 Unit	Vijaya Sarada College of Physical Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh	AP	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-

					limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on --- 05.04.2016
48	SRCAPP14642	D.El.Ed- AI 1 Unit	SKRBR D.El.Ed college, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh	AP	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on -02.02.2016
49	SRCAPP2958	B.Ed 1 Unit	St.Johns college of Education, Visakhapatna m, Andhra Pradesh	AP	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 16.02.2016
50	SRCAPP14754	B.P.Ed 1 Unit	S.S and N college of Physical Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh	AP	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 17.02.2016

51	SRCAPP2954	D.El.Ed 2 Units	St.Johns college of Education, Visakhapatna m, Andhra Pradesh	AP	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18.Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 16.02.2016
52	SRCAPP14224	B.Ed 2 Units	Vijaya Sarada college of Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh	AP	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18.Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 05.04.2016
53	SRCAPP2906	B.P.Ed 1 Unit	Baba college of Physical Education, Vishakhapatna m, Andhra Pradesh	AP	1. This case is hit by the ‘ stand alone ‘ clause. 2. Reply to SCN is also not received 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
54	SRCAPP14906	D.El.Ed 1 Unit	KNKRM, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh	AP	1. This case is hit by the ‘ stand alone ‘ clause. 2. Reply to SCN is also not received 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
55	SRCAPP3243	B.El.Ed 1 Unit	Narayana college of Teacher Education, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh	AP	1. Construction of building is not completed 2. Reply to SCN not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
56	SRCAPP14478	D.El.Ed 1 Unit	Satyam D.Ed college, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh	AP	1. This case is for a second basic unit of D.El.Ed which is not permissible 2. Reply to SCN not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case

57	SRCAPP2252	D.El.Ed 2 Units	Vardhineedi Satyanarayana college of Education, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In this case, the institution does not have clear title to the land. 2. Reply to SCN is not given 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case
58	SRCAPP3294	D.El.Ed-Ai 1 Unit	Narayana D.Ed college, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. BP and BCC are not duly approved. 2. Reply to SCN is also not given 3. Reject the application. 4. Close the case
59	SRCAPP3577	B.P.Ed 1 Unit	Gokul college of Education, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. BP and BCC are not approved 2. This case is hit by 'stand alone' clause 3. Reply to SCN is not given 4. Reject the application 5. Close the case
60	SRCAPP3599	D.El.Ed 2 unit	Sri Satya Sai college of Elementary Teacher Education, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. They have asbestos roofing in the building 2. Reply to SCN – not given. 3. Reject the application. 4. Close the case
61	SRCAPP2943	D.El.Ed 2 Units	Pinakana Arudamma D.Ed college, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This case is hit by the 'stand alone' clause. 2. SCN issued has twice been returned undelivered to the given address 3. Reject the application. 4. Close the case
62	SRCAPP15009	D.El.Ed 2 Units	Nalanda college of Elementary Education, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<p>The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words, they have not suffered any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 14.04.2016</p>

63	SRCAPP3504	D.El.Ed 1 Unit	Grapes college of Teacher Education, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh	AP	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 13.04.2016
64	SRCAPP14063	B.Ed 2 Unit	Jeremiah college of Education, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh	AP	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on --- 25.04.2016
65	SRCAPP3613	D.El.Ed- AI	Jeremiah college of Education, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh	AP	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 28.04.2016
66	SRCAPP2499	M.Ed	Vasavi College of Education, Pondicherry	PU	1. NOC not submitted. 2. Reply to the SCN not given. 3. Reject the application 4. Close the case

67	SRCAPP3291	D.El.Ed-AI	Montrose Teacher Training Institute, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh	AP	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 25.04.2016
68	SRCAPP3292	D.El.Ed-AI	Smt BSR College of Elementary Education, East Godavari	AP	The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 25.04.2016

Consideration of VT reports: (Volume-4)

69	APS07418	B.Ed	Kavitha Memorial College of Education, Nalgonda, Telangana	TS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Change of Management and shifting case B.Ed (1 unit) 2. Land document is in order 3. EC is in order 4. LUC is in order 5. BP is in order 6. BCC is in order. Built up area ia adequate for one unit. 7. FDRs date expired and in the name of Kavitha Memorial College of Education, 8. Faculty list not given 9. Issue SCN for latest faculty list. 10. Regarding change in management they should give required documents 11. The institution has requested for only one unit of B.Ed .Withdraw recognition for the 2nd unit w.e.f 2016-17.
----	----------	------	------------------------------------------------------------	----	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------