

DECISION OF 316th MEETING OF SRC-NCTE

1.	APs06 223	B.Ed 2 units	Ruby college of Preceptors, Madurai, Tamilnadu	TN	1.Title deed is given. Title is clear. 2.EC is clear. 3.LUC is incomplete. Obtain complete LUC covering all Kh.nos. 4.BP is clear. Built-up area is adequate for =B.Ed (2 units). 5.BCC is not approved by competent authority. 6.Original FDRs not given. 7.Faculty list is approved; but, al positions are not covered. 9.Cause Inspection for shifting of B.Ed (2 units). 10.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.
2.	APSO 4405	B.Ed 2 units	Sekthi institute of Teacher education & research, Dindigul, Tamilnadu	TN	1.Title deed is given. Title is clear. 2.EC is clear. 3.LUC is given. But, area details are discrepant. 4.BP is not approved by competent authority. 5.BCC is not approved by competent authority. 6.Original FDRs are not given. 7.Faculty list is given. 2 positions are vacant. 8.Processing Fee not paid. 9.Collect full fee and cause inspection for shifting B.Ed (2 units). 10.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.
3.	AOS0 0166	B.Ed 2 units	Dr. Sarvapalli Radhakrishnan B.Ed college, mahaboobnagar, Telangana	TS	1.Title deed is given. Title is clear. 2.EC and LUC are clear. 3.BP is not approved by competent authority. 4.BCC is approved, built up area is adequate only for one unit. 5.Original FDRs not given. 6.Faculty list-not given. 7.Processing fee-paid in full. 8.Cause inspection for shifting B.Ed (2 units). 9.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents and check especially built-up area.
4.	APs07 366	B.Ed 2 units	Best college of education, Nagapattinam, Tamilnadu	TN	1.Original title deed not given. According to English copy title is clear. 2.Latest EC is required. 3.LUC is clear. 4.BP does not show land area. 5.BCC does not show sy.nos; according to BCC built-up area is adequate only for one unit. 6.Original FDRs not given. 7.Faculty list is not in format. The faculty is adequate only for one unit. 8.Processing Fee-shortfall of ₹40,000/-

					9. Collect the balance fee and cause inspection for shifting of B.Ed (2 units), 10. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents and check esp. built-up area.
5.	APSO 4358	B.Ed 2 units	Sri Venkateswara college of Education, nalgonda, Telangana	TS	1. Title deed is given. Title is clear. 2. EC is clear. 3. LUC is clear. Irrelevant LUC is ignored. 4. BP is approved. Built up area is shown to be adequate only for one unit. 6. Original FDRs not given. 7. Faculty list –not given. 8. Processing Fee – Paid in full. 9. Cause Inspection for Shifting of B.Ed (2 units). 10. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents and check esp. adequacy of built-up area.
6.	APSO 6142	B.Ed 2 Units	Bethesda college of Education, Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu	TN	1. There are two lease deeds each citing different sy.nos. There are also discrepancies between the lease deed entries and entries in BP and BCC. 2. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.
7.	APSO 5270	B.Ed 2 units	Vidhya Vikas plus the institute of Education, Perambalur, Tamilnadu	TN	1. Title deed is given. Title is clear. 2. EC and LUC are clear. 3. Since the College, which earlier had only leasehold right, now has free-hold title to the land given to it by the Society, there is no need to retain the shifting condition. Remove it. 4. BP and BCC are clear. The built-up area is shown to be adequate for B.Ed (2 units) and D.El.Ed (1 unit). 5. Original FDRs are not given. 6. Faculty list not given. 7. Processing fee not paid. 8. Collect full fee and Cause Inspection for additional 2nd unit of B.Ed. 9. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.
8.	APSO 0647 AOSO 0168	B.Ed-AI B.Ed 2 units	Dakshin Bharath Hindi Prachar Sabha B.Ed College, Kerala	KL	1. There are 2 cases. The Sabha has clarified that only APS00647 is to be pursued. So, close the case (no. APS0-0168). Withdraw the recognition for it w.e.f. 2015-16. 2. The need for inspection will, however, still be there particularly to check the adequacy of built-up area. 3. Title deed is given. Title is clear. 4. EC-obtain English version. 5. LUC is not given. 6. BP is not approved by competent authority. 7. BCC is approved. According to it, built-up area is inadequate even for one unit. 8. Original FDRs are not given. 9. Faculty list is given. There is a shortfall of two.

					<p>Examine the eligibility of the 14. 10.Processing Fee-not paid, 11.Col;lect fee and Cause Inspection for B.Ed (2 units). 12.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

9.	SRCA PP232 2	B.Sc.B. Ed (1 unit)	Central University of Tamilnadu, Thiruvarur, Tamilnadu	TN	<p>1.Faculty list is in order. 2.Issue Formal Recognition for B.Sc.B.Ed (1 unit) w.e.f. 2017-18.</p>
10.	APSO 8997	M.Ed (1 Unit)	Rani Channamma University. Belagavi, Karnataka	KA	<p>Rejection order has issued. The case has been formally closed. We have no power to reopen Closed cases. Advise them accordingly. If necessary, they can take recourse to the appeal process.</p>

Volume-01

Consideration of Submission of Affidavit: (Volume- 1)

11.	APSO 0236	B.Ed	Madeena B.Ed college, Khammam, Telangana	TS	<p>1.There is a lost of confusion about dispatch/receipt of affidavit. Our papers are not fully in order to pin the blame on them. They have at least a Postal receipt for their dispatch. We had also entered into continuing correspondence with them in Nov.2015 for renewal of FDRs. Even then the issue about (alleged) non-issue of RPRO was not pointed out. 2.They have now produced another affidavit for our record. Accept it and take it on record.</p> <p>3.They had gone ahead with admissions in 2015-16. The affiliating University had allowed that. We should not jeopardize the careers of students so admitted. 4.Issue RPRO; and, prepare for inspection 'after' collecting the fee.</p>
-----	--------------	------	---------------------------------------------------	----	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Consideration of Court case: (Volume- 1)

12.	APSO 3809	B.Ed	P.D. Rajan college of Education, Dharmapuri, Tamilnadu	TN	<p>1.The court has dismissed the WP. The College has Suo Motu withdrawn the writ appeal they had filed. 2.This is an existing institution. They were required to file an affidavit about adhering to the 2014 Regulations so that a R.P.r.O could be issued. They have not replied to the Show Cause Notice issued</p>
-----	--------------	------	-----------------------------------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

					thereafter by us. 3.Withdraw recognition for B.Ed (2 units) w.e.f. 2016-17 4.Inform the Affiliating University. Ask them not to allow admissions in 2016-17. 5.Students admitted in 2015-16 will be allowed to complete their course in 2016-17.
13.	APSO 1426	B.Ed	Andavar Teacher Trainin Institute, Nagapattinam, Tamilnadu	TN	1.The Court has dismissed the petition and closed the case. 2.The Court – Order is noted. 3.The facts of this case are, however, not clear. The objection raised was stayed by an interim injunction. What happened to the injunction. If it is deemed to have abated, what happens to our objection? 4.Let us undertake a fresh review of this case.
14.	APSO 0936 APs03 278	B.Ed B.Ed	Ponnaiah Ramajayam college of Education, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu	TN	Withdrawn from agenda as already decided in the last meeting.

15.	APSO 2506	B.Ed 2 unit	K.L.E Society's College of Education, Belgaum, Karnataka	KA	1.EC is in order. 2.LUC is not given. 3.BP is not approved by competent authority. Built up area shown is adequate for 2 units. 4.BCC is in order. Built-up area shown is inadequate even for 1 unit. 5.Original FDRs not given. 6.Faculty list is approved; but, it is not in format. 7.Processing Fee-not paid. 8.Collect full fee and cause inspection for sifting of B.Ed (2 units). 9.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; and, check especially adequacy of built – up area for B.Ed (2 units).
16.	APSO 3044	B.Ed 2 units	G.P. Secondary Teacher Education training college, Vellore, Tamilnadu	TN	1.This is an existing institution. A R.P.R.O. was issued in this case because of an interim order of a court of Law. 2.Title deed is given. Title is clear. 3.EC & LUC are clear. 4.BP is given. But details are not legible. 5.BCC is clear. Built-up area is adequate for 2 units. 6.Original FDRs not given. 7.Faculty list-not approved. 8.Processsing fee not paid.

					<p>9. Collect full fee and cause inspection for shifting of B.Ed (2 units).</p> <p>10. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; and, check esp. Adequacy of built-up area for B.Ed (2 units).</p>
17.	APSO 0258	B.Ed 2 units	Aradhan college of Education, Ranga reddy, Telangana	TS	<p>1. Title deed is given. Title is clear.</p> <p>2. EC and LUC are clear.</p> <p>3. BP-not approved. Built-up area shown is adequate for 2 units.</p> <p>4. BCC-not approved by competent authority. Built-up area shown is adequate for B.Ed (2 units).</p> <p>5. Original FDRs not given.</p> <p>6. Faculty list approved; in format given.</p> <p>7. Processing Fee paid in full.</p> <p>8. Cause inspection for shifting of B.Ed (2 units).</p> <p>9. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; and, check esp. adequacy of built up area for B.Ed (2units).</p>
18.	APSO 3281	B.Ed 2 units	Indra Ganesan college of Education, Trichy, Tamilnadu	TN	<p>1. Title deed is given. Title is clear.</p> <p>2. EC is clear.</p> <p>3. LUC is not approved by competent authority.</p> <p>4. BP is clear. Built-up area shown is adequate for 2 units.</p> <p>5. BCC-not given.</p> <p>6. Original FDRs-not given.</p> <p>7. Faculty list-given; approved</p> <p>8. Processing Fee – not paid.</p> <p>9. Collect full fee and cause inspection for shifting of B.Ed (2 units).</p> <p>10. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; and, check especially adequacy of built-up area for B.Ed (2units).</p>
19.	APSO 3462	B.Ed 2 units	Senthil college of Education, Cuddalore, Tamilnadu	TN	<p>1. Title deed is given. Title is clear.</p> <p>2. EC is clear.</p> <p>3. LUC is not approved by competent authority.</p> <p>4. BP is incomplete and, not approved, also.</p> <p>5. BCC- not in format., not approved.</p> <p>6. Original FDRs not given.</p> <p>7. Faculty list-not given.</p> <p>8. Processing fee not paid.</p> <p>9. Collect full fee and cause inspection for shifting of B.Ed (2 units).</p> <p>10. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; and, check especially adequacy of built-up area for shifting of M.Ed (1 unit), B.Ed (2 units) and, D.El.Ed (1 unit)..</p>

20.	APSO 8737	B.Ed 2 units	Royal college of Education, Madurai, Tamilnadu	TN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.They have shifted without NCTE approval. 2.Title deed is given. Title is clear. 3.EC and LUC are clear. 4.BP and BCC are clear. Built-up area shown is adequate for B.Ed (2 units). 5. Original FDRs not given. 6. .Faculty list –not approved. 7. .Processing fee not paid. 8.Collect full fee and cause inspection for shifting of B.Ed (2 units). 9.Ask VT to collect all relevant document; and, check esp. adequacy of built up area for B.Ed (2 units).
21.	APSO 4489	B.Ed 2 units M.Ed (1 unit)	Holy Trinity college of Education; Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu	TN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.They have shifted without NCTE approval. 2.Title deed is given. Title is clear. 3.EC is clear. 4.LUC-not approved by competent authority. 5.BP is given. Contents are clear. 6.BCC is not approved. 7.Original FDRs – not given. 8.Faculty list-not in format. 9.Processing Fee – not paid. 10.Collect full fee and cause Inspection for shifting of B.ED (2 units) and M.Ed (1 unit). 11.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents, and, examine esp-adequacy of built-up area for shifting B.Ed(2 units) and M.Ed (1 unit).
22.	APSO 8748	B.Ed 2 units	J.A.S college of Education, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu	TN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Title deed not given. 2.Processing Fee-not given 3.BP-not approved. 4.Faculty list-not approved. 5.No other documents have been given. 6.Issue Show Cause Notice for rejection accordingly.
23.	APSO 3571	B.Ed 2 units	J.J. College of Education, Pudukottai, Tamilnadu	TN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Title deeds are given. They cover small, small areas. 2.From the BP and BCC it is not very clear, which sy.nos are relevant for the B.Ed course-building and appurtenant land-area. 3.Much of the area shown (eg.other than sy.nos. 1013.2/1012.3/1013.4A/1013.4B/1013.9A/1013.9B) are irrelevant. 4.Ask the college to show specifically which is were and which is required. 5.Resubmit after the clarification is received.

24.	APSO 7897	B.Ed 2 units	Sri annamalaiyar college of Education, Tiruvannamalai, Tamilnadu	TN	<p>1.They were given recognition in 2008 over land leased for 50 years. There was no shifting condition. It was wrongly introduced in the RPRO. <u>Remove it.</u> They can stay on the leased land till 2056.</p> <p>2.BP and BCC are clear. Built up area shown is adequate for B.Ed (2 units).</p> <p>3.Original FDRs-not given.</p> <p>4.Faculty list-not approved.</p> <p>5.Processing Fee-not paid.</p> <p>6.Collect full fee and cause inspection for shifting B.Ed (2 units).</p> <p>7.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; and, check esp-adequacy of built-up area for B.Ed (2 units).</p>
25.	APSO 6015	B.Ed 2 units	AGRA college of Education, Nagapattinam, Tamilnadu	TN	<p>1.Their course was given recognition w.r.t one portion of 'own land' and another contiguous portion of land held on 31 year lease. They can function from this aea till 2036. That being so, <u>remove the</u> 'shifting condition'</p> <p>2.BP is not approved, BCC-not given. According to BP-built up area is not adequate. BCC – not there.</p> <p>3.According to BP, Asbestos sheets have been used in the 2nd floor of the building.</p> <p>4.Original FDRs-not given.</p> <p>5.Faculty list-not in format; not approved.</p> <p>6.Processing fee not paid,</p> <p>7.Collect full fee and cause inspection for shifting of B.Ec (2 units)</p> <p>8.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; check particularly adequacy of built up area for B.Ed (2 units); and, check on asbestos roofing.</p>
26.	APSO 6269	B.Ed 2 units	Naik college of Education, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<p>1.They have contested he 'shifting condition'. But, that can not be accepted. The land document produced now is different from what was given originally. If at all, they can be said to have 'shifted without permission'. We will therefore, conduct shifting inspection.</p> <p>2.Title deed now given is clear.</p> <p>3.EC is old. Latest EC is required.</p> <p>4.LUC is not approved by competent authority.</p> <p>5.BP and BCC are clear. Built-up area shown is adequate for both B.Ed (2 units) & D.El.Ed (1 unit).</p> <p>6.Original FDRs not given. 7.Faculty list not approved.</p> <p>8.Processing Fee not given.</p> <p>9.Collect full fee and cause inspection.</p> <p>10.Ask VT to collect all relevant document; and, check esp. adequacy of built-up area for B.Ed (2 units) & D.El.Ed (1 unit).</p>

27.	AOS0 0141	B.Ed 1 unit	Dr. Palpu memorial S.N.D.P yogam college of Education, Thrissur, Kerala	KL	1.Title deed is given. Title is clear. 2.EC is in Regional Language. Obtain English copy. 3.LUC is clear. 4.BP-not approved by competent authority. 5.BCC-not given. 6.Original FDRs-not given. 7.Faculty list not approved by competent authority. 8.Processing Fee –not paid. 9.Collect full fee and cause inspection. 10.Ask VT to Collect all relevant documents; and, check esp adequacy of built-up area for B.Ed (1 unit) & M.Ed (1unit).
28.	Aps03 708	B.Ed 2 units	Sri Jayajothi colleg eof Education, Salem, Tamilnadu	TN	1.Title deed is given. Title is in favour of an individual. 2.EC is clear. 3.LUC-not given. 4.BP-not approved by competent authority. 5.BCC-not approved by competent authority. 6.Built-up area shown is adequate only for B.Ed (2 units). 7.Original FDRs-not given. 8.Faculty list-not in format. 9.Processing fee-not given. 10.Issue SCN accordingly including APS04423 and APS08808 for which also they had given the same title deeds.
29.	Aps01 349	B.Ed 2 units	Christ college of Education for women, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu	TN	1.Title deed is given. Long-term leased hold title is clear. 2.EC is clear 3.LUC is not approved by competent authority. 4.BP, BCC both are not legible and, therefore, not clear. BCC is not in format. 5.Original FDRs-not given. 6.Faculty list-not given. 7.Processing Fee-not paid. 8.Collect Fee for the 2 nd unit of B.Ed and Cause inspection for shifting of B.Ed (2 units). 9.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; and, check on adequacy of built-up area.
30.	Aps03 019	B.Ed 2 units	Chezhian college of Education, Tiruvannamalai, Tamilnadu	TN	1.Title deed is given. Title is clear. 2.Ec is clear 3.LUC- is clear } But, sy.nos. are different from those in BCC. 4.BP- is clear. But, sy.nos are different from those in BCC. 5.BCC- is clear. Bit. Sy.nos. are different from those in EC, LUC & Land Deed. 6.Built up area shown in BP/BCC is inadequate for B.Ed (4 units) and M.Ed (unit)

					<p>7.Original FDRs not given 8.Facuty list given; and, approved. 9.Processisng Fee not paid. 10.Collect full fee and cause inspection 11.Ask VT to collect relevant documents; check on sy.nos and, check on adequacy of built-up area for B.Ed (2 units), B,Ed-Ai (2 units) & M.Ed (1 unit).</p>
31.	APSO 0202	B.Ed 2 units	Ashoka college of Education, Vizianagaram, Andhra pradesh	Ap	<p>1.Title deed is given. Title is clear. 2.EC & LUC are clear. 3.BP & BCC-are clear. Built up area shown is adequate for B.Ed (2 units) and D.El.Ed (1 unit) and D.El.Ed-AI (1 unit). 4.Original FDRs-not given. 5.Faculty list –not given. 6.Processing Fee-not paid. 7.Collect full fee and cause inspection . 8.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; and, check on adequacy of built up area for B.Ed (2 units), D.El.Ed (1 unit) and D.El.Ed-AI (1 unit)</p>
32.	Aps03 651	B.Ed 2 units	The Kavery college of Education, Salem, Tamilnadu	TN	<p>1.They have not given title deed again now, The original title deed shows title is clear. Therefore, remove the 'shifting condition'. 2.EC-not given. 3.LUCis clear. 4.BP is there. Contents are not clear. Built-up area shown of 2937 sq,mtrs is quite inadequate for B.Ed (2 courses), D.El.Ed (2 courses), D.El.Ed-AI (2 courses) & M.Ed (1 course) 5.BCC – not approved by competent authority. Sy.nos. are different from those in the B.P. 6.Original FDRs-not given. 7.Faculty list-not approved. 8.Processing Fee-not paid. 9.Collect full fee and cause composite inspection of B.Ed (2), D.El.Ed(2) and M.Ed (1) 10.Ask Vt to collect all relevant documents; and, check adequacy of built-up area for all 5 courses.</p>
33.	APSO 1568	B.Ed 2 units	Vellalar college of Education, Erode, Tamilnadu	TN	<p>1.Title deed is given. Title is clear. 2.EC & LUC-are clear. 3.BP – not at all legible. 4.BCC-is clear. Built-up area shown is adequate for B.Ed (2 units), M.Ed (1 unit) and D.El.Ed (1 unit). 5.Original FDRs-given. 6.Faculty list-not on format. 7.Processing Fee-not paid. 8.Collect fee for B.Ed (2 units) and cause inspection. 9.Ask VT collect all relevant documents, and, check esp-adequacy of built-up area for B.Ed (2 units), M.Ed (1 unit) and D.El.Ed (1 unit).</p>