

SOUTHERN REGIONAL COMMITTEE
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
BANGALORE

Minutes of the 307th Meeting of SRC held at the Conference Hall
of NCTE, Bangalore on 09 March, 2016

The following Persons attended the Meeting:-

- | | | | |
|----|-------------------------|------------|----------|
| 1. | Sri. S.Sathyam | - | Chairman |
| 2. | Prof. Sandeep Ponnala , | - | Member |
| 3. | Dr. M.P. Vijayakumar | - | Member |
| 4. | Dr. P. Revathi Reddy. | Non-Member | |
| | Regional Director | - | Convenor |

The following Members did not attend the Meeting.

Prof. K. Dorasami, Prof. M.S. Lalithamma, Smt Padma Sarangapani, and the Representatives of the Govts. Of Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Kerala, Tamilnadu, Telangana, Lakshadweep, Pondicherry & Andaman & Nicobar Islands.

Minutes OF 307th EMERGENT MEETING OF SRC-NCTE

Sl. No.	Brief Description	Remarks of SRC
1.	Confirmation of Minutes of 306 th Meeting of SRC 01 st to 04 th March 2016	-----
2.	Action Taken Report (ATR) on of 305 th Meeting of SRC 25 th to 27 th February 2016	-----

Volume-01

Consideration of Court Order, SCN reply, Request for closure: (Volume-1).

1.	APS02187 B.Ed Sree Krishna college of Education, Tumkur, Karnataka KA	<p>Sree Krishna College of Education, Mahalakshminagar, Batawadi, Tumkur District, - 572101, Karnataka</p> <p>Sree Krishna Education Society, Tumkur, Karnataka had submitted an application for grant of recognition to Sree Krishna College of Education, Mahalakshminagar, Batawadi, Tumkur District, -572101, Karnataka for B.Ed course on 20.07.2004. The institution was granted recognition on 09.12.2004 with an intake of 100 students.</p> <p>This office was in receipt of letter No.TY: VCPS: 2011-12:98 dated 21.05.2011 from the Vice-Chancellor, Tumkur University, Tumkur by enclosing a list of 19 institutions with the committee observations by conducting inspections.</p> <p>The SRC in its 206th meeting held on 9-10th June, 2011 noted the inspection report of the Vice-Chancellor, Tumkur University, dt. 21.05.2011 and the deficiencies in the 19 institutions in Tumkur District and decided to issue show cause notice under section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 11.07.2011. The institution submitted its reply vide letter dated 22.08.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 211th meeting held on 21-23 September, 2011 considered the letter dt.21.05.2011 received from Vice-Chancellor, Tumkur University pointing out deficiencies in the 19 Tumkur University colleges and also reply of the above institution dt. 22.08.2011 and other documents submitted by the institution and decided to cause composite inspection for all the courses at premises under Section 17 of NCTE Act, to verify whether the institution is maintaining instructional and infrastructural facilities as per NCTE norms. The institution was intimated for the same vide letter dated 04.10.2011/18.10.2011.</p> <p>Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was carried out on <u>29/10/2011</u>. In the meanwhile, Tumkur University vide their letter received on 17.10.2011 had conducted re-visits to the institution during 15-19 and 27th of July, 2011. The management is also running D.Ed course in the same building.</p> <p>The SRC in its 215th meeting held on 12th and 13th December, 2011 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under section 17 of NCTE Act, for the following:-</p>
----	---	--

- The management has not submitted Re-inspection fee of Rs. 40,000/-
- As per VT report, total built up area available for B.Ed course & D.Ed course together is 2300 sq.mrs which is grossly inadequate for both the courses. As per NCTE norms 3000 sq.mrs Approved building plan by the competent civil authority is not submitted. The institution is required to submit fresh blue print and it should be clearly mentioning i.e. Khasara no, plot no, proposed course, total area, built up area duly approved by competent authority with resolution in all respect. If the institution is running other teacher education courses/ other technical courses in the same premises, the institution is required to mention earmarked area for proposed course with total area and built up area.
- Building completion certificate from competent Govt. authorized engineer is not submitted.
- Original Land usage certificate from competent Govt. authority is to be submitted.
- Non-encumbrance certificate from a competent Govt. authority is not submitted.
- Byelaws of the Society are not submitted by the management.
- The Principal is not qualified as per NCTE norms. Experience certificate and percentage of marks are not mentioned.
- The post of lecturer in foundation courses (one post) is vacant, not filled up.
- One post of Methodology courses (Six posts) is vacant, not filled up.
- Part time post of Lecturer in Art Education (one post) and Director of Physical Education (one post) is not filled up.
- The staff list is not approved by the affiliating body.
- The management is paying salary to the staff is by cash not through Bank Cheque.

Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice is issued to the institution on 13.02.2012.

The management has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice issued on 13.02.2012 & has not made any request for late submission. The institution has remained silent to the Show Cause Notice and still deficiencies exists.

The SRC in its 224th meeting held on 14-17 June, 2012 considered the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-

- The management has not submitted Re-inspection fee of Rs. 40,000/-
- As per VT report, total built up area available for B.Ed course & D.Ed course together is 2300 sq.mrs which is grossly inadequate for both the courses. As per NCTE norms 3000 sq.mrs Approved building plan by the competent civil authority is not submitted. The institution is required to submit fresh blue print and it should be clearly mentioning i.e. Khasara no, plot no, proposed course, total area, built up area duly approved by competent authority with resolution in all respect. If the institution is running other teacher education courses/ other technical courses in the same premises, the institution is required to mention earmarked area for proposed course with total area and built up area.
- Building completion certificate from competent Govt. authorized engineer is not submitted.
- Original Land usage certificate from competent Govt. authority is to be submitted.
- Non-encumbrance certificate from a competent Govt. authority is not submitted.
- Byelaws of the Society are not submitted by the management.

- The Principal is not qualified as per NCTE norms. Experience certificate and percentage of marks are not mentioned.
- The post of lecturer in foundation courses (one post) is vacant, not filled up.
- One post of Methodology courses (Six posts) is vacant, not filled up.
- Part time post of Lecturer in Art Education (one post) and Director of Physical Education (one post) is not filled up.
- The staff list is not approved by the affiliating body.
- The management is paying salary to the staff is by cash not through Bank Cheque.

Accordingly, withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 09.07.2012 vide order no. APSO2187 /B.Ed /KA /2012-13/43645.

Aggrieved by the withdrawal order of SRC, NCTE, the institution filed an appeal before the appellate authority and the appellate authority vide order No.F.No.89-559/2012 Appeal/11th Meeting-2012/A61700 dated 03/01/2013 stating that the council hereby confirms the order appealed against.

The institution has submitted a written representation on 06/03/2013 requesting for review of recognition withdrawal order as under;

1. As you are aware the recognition of our college was withdrawn by your esteemed Council owing to some deficiencies in the college on 09.07.2012, and the college was given time to appeal with National Council, New Delhi within 60 days from the date of the order passed.
2. Since we were given 60 days time for appeal we submitted the relevant documents as we had already complied with all the deficiencies listed by the SRC Inspection Committee and waited for further orders from the National Council. Since we had complied all the deficiencies, in anticipation of reconsideration of the withdrawal order from the NCTE, New Delhi we made the admissions for the academic year 2012 - 2013. As the time taken by NCTE, New Delhi to complete the procedure of disposing the appeal application took time till January 9th, 2013
3. It was inevitable for us to complete the admission procedure within time as per the calendar of events of Tumkur University as we had already made admissions before SRC- NCTE passed the withdrawal order of recognition.
4. As the National Council upheld the orders of the NCTE on 30.01.2013, we had to approach the honorable High Court to direct the Council for reconsideration of withdrawal order the only reason behind approaching the Hon'ble High Court being the future of the students admitted to the academic session 2012-2013 in W.P.No. 3012/2013 dated 08.02.2013.
5. The honorable High Court of Karnataka has ordered on 08.02.2013 to approach your honorable Council for review of the withdrawal order and regularization of the admission made during the academic year 2012 -13. Hence we request your honorable authority to review the withdrawal order as we have already complied with all the deficiencies and also keeping in view of the future of the students

admitted to the college. We assure you to abide any conditions laid by the Council in this regard.

6. Hence, we request your good self to review the order of de-recognition passed by you on 09.07.2012 and regularize the admission of students made during the academic year 2012-13 as directed by the honorable High Court in W.P.No. 3012/2013 dated 08.02.2013 and implement the orders of the honorable High Court in the interest of students admitted and also the institution as we have spent a lot of money for establishment and smooth running of the institution by appointing qualified staff and providing requisite infrastructure to run the B.Ed course.
7. Copy of the order made in W.P.No. 3012/2013 dated 08.02.2013 is herewith enclosed for your kind perusal and request you to kindly review the order as per the directions of the High Court in the interest of justice at the earliest.

A copy of Court order enclosed by the institution in W.P.No. 3012/2013 dated 08/02/2013 filed in the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka and the court order stating as follows:

"It is seen that the Petitioner-institution is established since 2004-2005 and was running in a very disciplined manner by admitting candidates for all the academic years till now. The third respondent-NCTE having observed certain deficiencies, issued a Show Cause Notice on 11.07.2011 under section 17 of the NCTE Act, asking to reply within 30 days. However on 13.04.2012 itself reply is said to have been submitted by the Petitioner-institution. Ultimately, a final order has been passed by the third and the fifth respondents, in the middle of the year, to stop admissions for the academic year 2011-12..But what is to be noticed is, when an authority has raised certain queries or pointed out some irregularities or any other deficiency and asks the management to stop admissions for the academic year, it was for the institution to approach the authority well before starting admissions for the academic year the petitioner institution has also not approached this court seeking for any similar directions to consider its case. The petitioner could have questioned the same before commencement of admission or it could have sought for an interim order/interim direction seeking permission to admit students. However, it is for the petitioner-institution to approach the respondent NCTE.

Since the petitioner has approached belatedly regarding its grievance against the NCTE and also when the authorities have specifically directed the petitioner institution not to admit the students for the academic year 2011-12, the petitioner-institution can approach once again to take review of order passed by respondent-NCTE and seek for regularizing the admissions made without the permission of the Government or the University.

With the above observation, this petition stands disposed of. "

Note:

1. *It is observed from the court order dated 08/02/2013 that the institution has admitted students for the year academic session 2011-12. But the request of the institution is to regularize the admissions made for the year 2012-2013 that is after the issue of withdrawal order dated 09.07.2012*

2. As seen from the court order, the institution has stated that it has submitted representation on 13.04.2012, but no representation is submitted to this office by the institution as per office records.

The above matter was considered by SRC in its 241st meeting of SRC held on 29th March to 1st April 2013 and the Committee decided as under:-

“To regularize 2011-2012 because of Court Order, Reject the request for 2012-2013, Reiterate our withdrawal order. Quote the court order about not showing any sympathy for admissions made in anticipation of Formal Recognition.”

On 03/04/2013, Secretary, Sree Krishna College of Education has submitted a written representation with a request for review of the recognition withdrawal order for the academic year 2012-2013 which is as under:

1. As you are aware the recognition of our college was withdrawn from your esteemed council owing to some deficiencies in the college on 09/07/2012 and the college was given time to appeal to the National Council, New Delhi within 60 days from the date of order passed.
2. Since we were given 60 days time for appeal we submitted the relevant documents as we had already complied with all the deficiencies listed by the SRC Inspection Committee and waited for further orders from the National Council. Since we had complied all the deficiencies, in anticipation of reconsideration of the withdrawal order from the NCTE, New Delhi we made the admissions for the academic year 2012 - 2013. As the time taken by NCTE, New Delhi to complete the procedure of disposing the appeal application took time till January 9th, 2013. It was inevitable for us to complete the admission procedure within time as per the calendar of events of Tumkur University as we had already made admissions before SRC, NCTE passed the withdrawal order of recognition.
3. Keeping in view of the future of students admitted for the academic session 2012-13 the withdrawal order was challenged in W.P.No. 3012/2013. The Hon'ble High Court order dated 08.02.2013 passed an order directing to file a review petition for regularization of admission made for the year 2012-13 and also for grant of recognition.
4. We submit that we have submitted the review petition on 05/03/2013 to review the order of withdrawal of recognition and also for regularization of admission made for B.Ed course for 2012-2013.
5. Since the same was not considered the review petition submitted in terms of the directions of the Hon'ble High Court in W. P. No. 3012/13 dated 08/02/2013 we have filed a writ petition No.12764/2013. The Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 18/03/2013 directed you to pass orders on review petition dated 05/03/2013 within three weeks. The copy of the order dated 18/03/2013 is enclosed herewith for your ready reference and to pass appropriate orders.

The Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P.No. 12764 dated 18/03/2013 has disposed the petition as under:-

“ After the disposal of the writ petition, petitioner-institution has made a representation dated 05.03.2013 addressed to the Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, National Council for Teacher Education, Bangalore making a request for review of the order withdrawing the recognition granted to the petitioner-institution and regularize the admissions already made. The present writ petition is filed making a grievance that this representation is not considered and therefore, a direction be issued to respondent No.1.I find that the representation is made very recently on 05.03.2013.In the light of the observations already made by this court, I am sure the 1st respondent will consider the same in accordance with the law. Petitioner-institution is not justified in rushing to this Court within two weeks from the date of making such a representation even accepting the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that it will affect the interest of the petitioner-institution. The fact remains that some reasonable time has to be granted to respondent No.1 to take a decision based on the observations made by this court. If the first respondent does not take any decision within a matter of three weeks from today, the petitioner can approach this court seeking a direction as sought in this writ petition. At this stage, I do not find any need to interfere in the matter. Hence the writ petition is disposed of with the above observations.”

The SRC in its 242nd meeting held on 14-16 April, 2013 considered the matter and decided that,

1. *The admission of students by the institution for the sessions when the proceedings were in progress for removal of deficiencies was not correct and not in line with Hon’ble Supreme Court order, which reads as under:*

Judgment passed by Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in SLP © No. 14020/2009 filed by Adarsh Shiksha Mahavidalaya & others Vs Subhash Rahaangdale & others is

“In future, the High Courts shall not entertain prayer for interim relief by unrecognized institutions and the institutions which have not been granted affiliation by the examination body and or the students admitted by such institutions for permission to appear in the examination or for declaration of the result of examination. This would also apply to the recognized institutions if they admit students otherwise than in accordance with the procedure contained in Appendix-1 of the Regulations”.

Further, the Committee decided that

2. *Original order for withdrawal of recognition was correct because many deficiencies were there. It is reiterated that Southern Regional Committee has no power to ‘review’ the institutions request for ‘review’ can not, therefore, be considered.*

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the institution on 16.5.2013 along with a copy marked to the Advocate.

In the meanwhile, on 22.5.2013, a copy of the Court Notice dated 14th May, 2013 in W.A. No. 1852 of 2013(EDN-REG-P) filed by Sree Krishna College of Education, Tumkur.

An interim order in W.A.1852 of 2013 dated 28.10.2013 stating as follows:-

“...Respondents 2 and 3 are directed to permit the petitioner to pay the examination fees in respect of 65 students who are take up the examination for B.Ed course for the academic year 2012-13 and which examinations scheduled to be held on 15.5.2013 and subject to the result of this writ appeal.”

Further, a letter was addressed to the advocate for vacation of stay granted to the institution and to file a detailed counter affidavit for defending the matter on behalf of NCTE on 01.06.2013.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 246th Meeting held on 2nd – 4th June, 2013, considered the direction (dt. 14.05.2013) of the Hon'ble High Court to permit the petitioner to pay the examination fees in respect of 5 students who are taking up the examinations scheduled to be held on 15.05.2013 and subject to the result of this writ appeal. Since the date of examination is over (i.e., 15.05.2013), it is decided by the committee to await the final orders of the Hon'ble High Court.

On 3rd June 2013, a letter from the advocate is received by this office stating that the

“W.A.No. 1852/2013 is filed questioning the order dated passed by learned single judge passed in W.P.No.3012/2013 dismissing the writ petition filed by the appellant institution. In the letter referred (letter dated 01.06.2013), you have requested us to get the interim order vacated. The interim order dated 14.05.2013 is not a stay order and the same is permitting the students to appear for examinations scheduled to be held on 15.05.2013 which is made subject to the result of the writ appeal and therefore filing an application for vacating interim order will not serve any purpose.”

A letter dated 04.06.2013 from Dr.Rakesh Tomar, Research Officer was received by this office on 06.06.2013 authorizing the advocate Mr.Ashok Haranahalli to defend the case on behalf of NCTE (HQ)

Following this, a letter was addressed to the Mr.Ashok Haranahalli, advocate on 14.06.2013 enclosing the authorization letter received from the Hqrs and the vakalatnama for doing the needful in the matter.

On 20.09.2013, a court order of the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka at Bangalore dated 07.05.2013 in W.P.No. 17990/2013 and W.P.No. 18529-593/2013(EDN-REG-P) filed by the institution was received by this office where in the Hon'ble High Court has stated as under;

“In the light of the Minutes of the 242nd Meeting, National Council for Teacher Education, a copy of the which is made available to the Court, rejecting the review petition filed by the petitioner, reserving liberty to the petitioner to question the said order in a legal proceedings, nothing further survives for consideration in these petitions and are accordingly, rejected.”

On 01.01.2014, a court notice has been received by this office in Writ Petition No. 51197/2013 and Writ Petition Nos. 54654-54717/2013 (EDN-REG-P] filed by Sree Krishna College of Education ,Batwadi, Tumkur, where in NCTE Hqrs represented by Member Secretary is the first respondent and SRC ,NCTE represented by the Regional Director is the second respondent, Tumkur University represented by the Registrar is the third respondent and State of Karnataka , represented by its Secretary, Education Department is the fourth respondent.

A letter from NCTE-Hqrs received on 13.01.2014 authorised SRC-NCTE to defend the above said in W.P.No.54654-54717 of 2013.

The Hon'ble High court has issued the following order in the above writ petition No. 51197/2013 (EDN-REG-P) & 54654-54717/2013 dated 19.12.2013.

"Await service of notice on respondents.

The respondent to announce the results of I semester B.Ed examination subject to the result of the writ petitions."

Accordingly, a letter dated 31.01.2014 was issued to Mr.P.S.Dinesh Kumar along with Court Notice in W.P.No.51197/2013 (EDN-REG-P) and 54654-54717/2013 requesting to appear before the court and defend the case.

No further reply has been received from the advocate in this case.

The institution has submitted its written representation on 16.10.2014 along with photocopies of Court order in W.P.No.51197 of 2013 (EDN-REG/P). In its reply stating as follows:-

"...1. As you are aware the Recognition of our college was withdrawn from your esteemed council owing to some deficiencies in the college on 09.07.2012, and the college was given time to appeal with National Council, New Delhi within 60 days from the date of order passed.

2. Since we were given 60 days time for appeal we submitted the relevant documents as we had already complied with all the deficiencies listed by the SRC inspection committee and waited for further orders from the national council. We had submitted the review petition on 05.03.2013 to review the order of withdrawal.

3. Since we did not get any relief from NCTE we approached the Hon'ble High Court for relief. The Hon'ble High court on 26.03.2014 ordered that the respondents shall take inspection of the petitioner's premises and pass appropriate orders in due course.

Therefore, we humbly submit to you that our college may kindly be inspected and accord affiliation to run B.Ed course in our college. Copy of the high court order is enclosed herewith for your kind perusal and needful action."

The Court order W.P.No.51197 of 2013 (EDN-REG/P) dated 26.03.2014 stating as follows:-

“The petitioner’s affiliation having been withdrawn and an appeal having been preferred against the same and the appeal also having been dismissed, in the appeal it is claimed by the petitioner that there has been compliance with all the deficiencies that are pointed out earlier and has therefore filed I.A.2/14 seeking to produce additional documents along with an additional affidavit of the competent representative of the petitioner-institution. The petitioner also files a tabular statement of deficiencies that were pointed out and the deficiencies that are now rectified. The learned counsel Sri.P.S.Dinesh Kumar appearing for respondents 1 and 2 would however submit that he is not in a position to ascertain whether the deficiencies are indeed rectified on the basis of a statement now made in the additional documents. However, he would submit that if indeed the petitioner has complied with all the deficiencies that were pointed out, it is open for the petitioner to pay the requisite inspection fee and seek inspection of the institution in order to verify whether the infrastructural facilities are in accordance with the norms of the NCTE and appropriate orders would be passed in due course. On that note, the learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petition may be disposed of with an appropriate direction to the respondents to undertake an inspection on payment of inspection fee which, according to the learned counsel, is already been paid in the year 2012 itself.

2. Therefore the present petition is disposed of without any observation as to whether there has been compliance or not by the petitioner insofar as the deficiencies that are in question and on the petitioner paying the inspection fee, if not already paid, the respondents shall take inspection of the premises of the petitioner and pass appropriate orders in due course

3. In view of the above, it is made clear that notwithstanding the impugned orders, the students who had incidentally undertaken the examination and the results also having been declared, shall stand unaffected for the academic year 2012-13. Any further admissions and any further examinations of students shall be subject to further orders by the respondents.”

Note: the original certified court order is not received by SRC-NCTE.

The SRC in its 274th meeting held on 30th-31st October, 2014 considered the matter and took note of the Hon’ble High Court of Karnataka order dated 26.03.2014. Committee also advised Southern Regional Office to:

- Ask the Institution to pay the inspection fee within a fortnight.
- The Lawyer’s submissions were unauthorized and unwarranted. SRO should caution him to be more careful in future.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter to the institution was sent on 19.11.2014.

In response to this office letter, the institution has submitted DD of Rs.50.000/- as per inspection fee bearing no 862794 dated 01.12.2014 drawn in Karnataka Bank Limited.

The SRC in its 276th meeting held on 07th-09th January, 2015 considered the letter from the institution vide dated 01.12.2014, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to:

1. Cause Inspection.
2. Ask V.T. to check in particular the documents and collect copies.
3. SRO has not yet issued the letter to the Lawyer. Issue without further delay.

Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 01st to 05th February 2015, the same was intimated to the institution on 28.01.2015.

As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 04.02.2015 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 05.02.2015.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 280th meeting held during 12th to 14th February, 2015 considered the matter, VT report of the institution dated 04.02.2015, VCD, and all other relevant documents pertaining to the institution, decided as under:-

- “1. ***This case is considered not as an application for stand-alone institution, but as a case of existing institution in accordance with the instructions issued by the NCTE (H'qrs).***
2. ***Restore Recognition***
3. ***Issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition for D.Ed.***
4. ***Ask them to conform to norms and standards of 2014 before 31.10.2015.***
5. ***CD does not open. Obtain fresh CD”***

As per the decision of SRC , recognition of the institution was restored vide this office order dated 25.02.2015.

The institution submitted an affidavit affirming adherence to Regulations , 2014 and a revised order for offering B.ED course of two years duration was issued to the institution 31.10.2015.

On 10.11.2015, a letter dated 10.04.2015 was received by this office from the advocate Shri. Pramod N Kathavi regarding W.P.No. 18114-18164 of 2015 filed by Sree Krishna College of Education and had sought instructions for filing statement of objections .

A letter was addressed to the advocate Shri.Pramod Kathavi on 04.12.2015 along with the brief of the case.

On 23.02.2016, a letter dated 18.02.2016 is received by the advocate ,Shri.Pramod Kathavi

enclosing the certified copy of the Court order in W.P.No. 18114 – 18164 of 2015 .

The writ petition is filed by Sree Krishna College of Education and others praying to direct respondent No. 2 to approve the admission of petitioners 2 to 51 to B.Ed course in first petitioner College for the academic year 2014-15 and permit petitioners 2 to 51 to appear for the examination and to declare that the action of respondent No.3 university in continuing the notification at annexure C dated 20.07.2012 keeping in abeyance the notification a Annexure –C dated 11.07.2012 even after recognition was restored by NCTE to first petitioner College is arbitrary and to direct respondent No.3 to withdraw the notification at Annexure-E dated 20.07.2012 and to declare that in view of continuation of affiliation of first petitioner college for the academic year 2015-16 , the affiliation is deemed to have been continued even for the academic tear 2014-15

The Hon'ble Court made the following order on 03.02.2016 in W.P.No. 18114-18164 of

		<p>2015 which is as under :-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">“ Learned Counsel for the petitioners has filed a Memo dated 29.01.2016 .In terms of the Memo, the petitions are disposed of as withdrawn.”</p> <p>The committee considered the matter, Court order dated 03.02.2016 and decided as under:</p> <p>The Court order is noted. Process for inspection, along with other RPRO cases.</p>
2.	APS07324 B.Ed Nayala College of Education, Tumkur, Karnataka KA	<p>Nayala College of Education, Kalidasa Nagar, Sira Gate, Tumkur-572101, Karnataka</p> <p>Nayala College of Education, Kalidasa Nagar, Sira Gate, Tumkur-572101, Karnataka was granted recognition on 10.1.2008 with an annual intake of 100 students. This office is in receipt of letter No.TY: VCPS: 2011-12:98 dated 21.05.2011 from the Vice-Chancellor, Tumkur University, Tumkur by enclosing a list of 19 institutions with the committee observations by conducting inspections. The SRC in its 206th meeting held on 9th-10th June, 2011 noted the inspection report of the Vice – Chancellor, Tumkur University, dated 21.05.2011 and the deficiencies in the 19 institutions in Tumkur District and decided to issue show cause notice under section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 08.07.2011. The institution submitted its reply in Kannada on 29.08.2011. A letter was addressed to the institution to submit reply in English on 26.9.2011. Pending submission of the reply by the institution, an inspection to the institution was proposed between 28th October – 2nd November 2011. A letter was addressed to the institution on 19.10.2011. In the meantime, the institution submitted its written reply to the Show Cause Notice dated 4.10.2011 which was received by this office on 19.10.2011. Inspection was conducted to the institution on 28.10.2011. In the meantime, Tumkur University vide their letter received on 17.10.2011 conducted re-visit to the institution during 15-19 and 27th of July, 2011 and made certain observations. The SRC in its 216th meeting of SRC held on 11-12 January, 2012 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act for the following:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The institution has not submitted Inspection fee of Rs. 40000/-.2. Original certified land documents issued by competent civil authority is not submitted.3. Approved building plan of the College from competent Govt. authority is not submitted.4. The institution has submitted copy of Building plan of H.M.S. English High School. Which is against the Regulations of NCTE.5. Building completion certificate from competent Govt. authorized engineer is not submitted.6. Land usage certificate from the concerned Government authorities stating that the land is exclusively permitted/sanctioned for educational purpose only is not submitted.7. Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the Sub-Registrar is not submitted by the institution.8. Original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund respectively from Nationalized Bank in joint account is not submitted.9. Original Affidavit in the prescribed form on Rs. 100/- stamp paper duly attested by

- oath commissioner or Notary public is not submitted.
10. As per VT report, the land is on lease for 30 years w.e.f 10.07.2006. The building and land are not in the same place. This is a gross violation of NCTE norms.
 11. Science lab, Psychology lab, ET lab & other lab/physical Education are to be strengthened.
 12. Furniture provided in the Class rooms, Halls & Library are too old and are in damaged conditions. Needs to be strengthened and replaced.
 13. Principal is not qualified as per NCTE norms.
 14. The institution has not submitted the approved staff list from University with their experience certificate.
 15. Lecturer in Art Education (part time, Fine arts/performing art) and Director of Physical Education is not available.
 16. Consolidated salary is paid in cash to staff members, not through Bank Cheque.
 17. The scale of pay offered to the staff is not as per Central/State Govt/UGC guidelines.
 18. As per VT report, regarding the human resources, the college has only 1+5 teaching faculty, 2 technical and 3 non-teaching staff. All the teaching faculty except one have qualifications as per NCTE norms. Out of 6 staff members, only 2 had high school level teaching experience and one has to complete his PG degree. On interaction with the staff and on VT observation, it is felt that some 2 to 3 teaching and non-teaching staff has been called for the day of inspection. As per the office records, there are 32 students. Among them, it is observed some (nearly 30-50%) are not regular to the College.

A Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 6.3.2012. The institution had not replied to the show cause notice issued on 06-03-2012, for the deficiencies pointed in the notice.

Hence, the SRC in its 226th meeting held on 9th – 10th July 2012 decided to withdraw the recognition of the B.Ed course run by the Nayala College of Education, Kalidasa Nagar, Sira Gate, Tumkur District-572101, Karnataka, from the academic year 2012-13, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed, course, if any, to complete their course. Accordingly, a withdrawal order was issued to the institution vide order APSO 7324/B.Ed/KA/2012-13/44336 dated 26/07/2012.

Aggrieved by the withdrawal order of SRC, the institution filed a writ petition No.41662/2012 in the High Court of Karnataka and the Hon'ble Court passed an interim order dated 11/10/2012, staying the operation of the order No. F.No.APSO 7324/B.Ed/KA/2012-13/44336 dated 26/07/2012 and not to give effect to the same and permit to admit the students for B.Ed,course for the year 2012-13 during the pendency of the writ petition.

Further, a letter from the advocate Mr.AshokHaranaHalli dated 09/11/2012 received by this office on 27/11/2012 with the contents of the court order dated 05/11/2012 states as under;

“The Hon'ble Court relying on the order dated 19/10/2012 passed in writ petition No.41463/2012(Bharathi College of Teacher Training Education) allowed the matter with a direction to Southern Regional Committee to inspect the petitioner-college within 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of the order and if the petitioner complies with the norms and standards prescribed by NCTE and to pass orders after inspecting the petitioner-institution. With the above direction, the writ petition was disposed off”

A letter from Nayala College of Education Institution enclosing a copy of the court order is

received by this office on 03/12/2012 requesting the implementation of the court order. The SRC in its 237th meeting held on 05th – 06th January, 2013 considered the matter and decided to await court order, on receipt of the same and after collecting Rs. 50000/- towards inspection fee and to cause inspection under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the institution on 14.3.2013 to submit payment of RS.50,000/- towards causing of inspection and also to submit essential documents along with the questionnaire.

A visit was scheduled to the institution during 6th May to 10th May, 2013 and a letter was addressed to the institution on 3.5.2013. The letter is returned back on 7.5.2013 which was again resent and received back on 10.5.2013 with a remark that “addressee left & returned to sender”.

Due to unavailability of one of the VT member, the visit was again rescheduled during 20th May to 24th May, 2013. Again, a letter was addressed to the institution regarding the visit proposed during 20th May to 24th May, 2013 on 14.05.2013. The letter is again returned back and received by this office on 20.5.2013 stating that “addressee left and returned to sender”.

An e-mail is received from one of the VT Member, Mr. SubbarayanPeri on 18.6.2013 stating that “When I contacted the management they replied that they want to postpone the inspection in view of non-completion of the building and that they had already informed the SRO. On my request they sent a copy of the letter addressed to the Regional Director to my e-mail on 21st May, 2013. We dropped our inspection. Now, through my colleague, I came to know that they are complaining on the non-inspection by the visiting team. A copy of the letter addressed to the Regional Director by the Management is attached.”

Remarks of SRO:

From the Diary report, it is observed that the letter e-mailed to the Visiting team member dated 20.5.2013 requesting for postponement of inspection is not received by this office so far.

The SRC in its 248th meeting held on 13th – 15th July, 2013 considered the matter and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection under NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms, the inspection of the institution should be carried out with another VT members.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the institution on 22.08.2013 to submit payment of RS.50,000/- towards causing of inspection and also to submit essential documents along with the questionnaire.

A visit was scheduled to the institution during 2nd September, 2013 and a letter was addressed to the institution on 22.08.2013. The letter is returned back on 28.08.2013 with a remark that “addressee left & returned to sender”.

A VT report is received by this office on 02/09/2013, the VT members has remarked that the college remained closed and refused the inspection.

As per the Hon’ble High Court Order dated 19/10/2012, the inspection of the institution was arranged and scheduled:

A VT Inspection schedule to the institution was fixed between 6th May to 10th May, 2013 and a letter was sent to the institution on 3.5.2013. The letter was returned back on 7.5.2013, which was again reposted and received back on 10.5.2013 with a remark that “addressee left & returned to sender”.

Again VT inspection of the institution was scheduled during 20th may to 24th May 2013 and a letter was sent to the institution on 14.05.2013. The letter posted to the institution has returned back to this office received on 20.05.2013 stating that “addressee left & returned to sender”.

Once again a letter was sent to the institution on 22.08.2013 to be ready for inspection. The letter was returned back on 28.08.2013 with a remark that “addressee left & returned to the sender

As per the VT report received by this office on 02/09/2013, VT remarked in their letter that “the college remained closed and refused the inspection”.

When the VT members visited the institution as per schedule for inspection, the management people refused the inspection and the college was remained closed. This was a deliberate attempt on the part of the management not to allow the visiting team members for inspecting the institution and thereby preventing VT from discharging their official duty. The NCTE has followed the Court direction to inspect the institution and NCTE is well within the powers to inspect any institution to ensure maintenance of standards and quality.

Based on the above, it is clear that the management is not willing to get the institution inspected as per the Court order and also not cooperating with VT members for inspection.

Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the SRC in its 253rd meeting held on 30th September & 1st October, 2013 consider the matter and decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, the court asked us to inspect the institution. But they have not been allowing us to do that, so far to reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course.

Accordingly, as directed a rejection order was issued to the institution on 25.11.2013.

A letter from the Institution enclosing a copy of the court order in W.P. No. 41662/2012 dated 05.11.2012 received by this office on 21.10.2014 with regard to continuation of recognition of B.Ed course.

The court order stated as follows:-

“ORDER: Petitioner has sought for quashing annexure D dated 26.07.2012 and to direct the respondent to permit it to admit students for B.Ed course for the academic year 2012-13.

Heard the counsel representing the parties.

In a similar situation, in WP 41463/2012 decided on 19.10.2012 this court having directed the respondent authorities to consider the application of the petitioner for recognition for the academic year 2012-13, has also directed the petitioner to rectify the deficiencies pointed out.

In the case on hand, according to the petitioner, they have complied with the

requirements. However, it is for the petitioner to meet out the deficiencies, if any pointed out by the respondent authority within a fortnight. The respondent authority is directed to once again visit the petitioner institution thereafter and pass appropriate orders considering the fact that the institution is running since 2007-08.

Petition is allowed. Order at annexure D be reviewed immediately after conducting as indicated above. In the event there is delay caused for want of inspection being conducted and other decisions to be taken, the delay be condoned by extending some more time for admitting the students.

The SRC in its 274th meeting held on 30-31 October 2014 considered the institution letter 21.10.2014 along with court order in W.P.No.41662 of 2012 dated 05.11.2012 and the committee has decided as follows:-

“This is a case of total recalcitrance by the institution. We should appeal against the court’s order.”

Accordingly, a letter was sent to Advocate on 19.11.2014. As requested by Advocate, original file was sent to Advocate on 17.12.2014.

In the meantime, the institution has submitted its written representation on 24.01.2015 along with photocopy of Court order in W.P.No.56229 OF 2014 (EDN-REG-P) dated 19.12.2014. The Court order stating as follows:-

" ORDER:

The petitioner is before this Court assailing the order dated 25.11.2013 whereby the application of the petitioner seeking recognition of their institution has been rejected.

2. The facts pleaded need not be adverted to much in detail since the petitioner was before this Court at an earlier instance in W.P.No.41662/2012 disposed of on 05.11.2012. This Court while disposing of the said petition had directed the respondent therein to inspect the premises belonging to the petitioner and thereafter to pass fresh orders since in the meanwhile if there were any deficiencies, the petitioner was required to rectify the same. The grievance of the petitioner is that no such inspection has been conducted and the order impugned has been passed unilaterally by rejecting the application of the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 would contend that the procedure as contemplated was followed and notice of inspection was issued to the petitioner-Institution. However, since the Secretary was not available and the premises had been locked, notice had been returned back and therefore, respondent No.2 had no other option, but to proceed to pass orders on the application without inspection.

4. If the nature of the earlier proceedings and the submission as put forth by learned counsel for respondent No.2 is kept in view, there is no much dispute with regard to the fact that the inspection has not been conducted. The only grievance that is being put forth by the rival parties is that the inspection has not been conducted as ordered earlier. On this aspect, this Court need not dilate much. In ordering to hold a fresh inspection and pass fresh orders it will meet the ends of justice.

5. To enable the same, the petitioner shall now file fresh e-application with necessary fee and immediately on such application being filed by the petitioner, the second respondent shall hold inspection on intimating the petitioner which shall be done in an expeditious manner so as to enable the petitioner to take benefit of the order for the ensuing academic session 2014-15.

With the said observation, petition stands disposed of.

The SRC in its 279th meeting held on 1 February 2015, considered the matter and decided that pursue the earlier decision for filing an appeal.

In the meantime, a letter received from Sri.Pramod N Kathavi, Advocate on 31.01.2015 regarding W.P.No.3519/2015 filed by Nayala College of Education Vs State &Ors.

An e-mail has been received from the advocate Sri.Pramod N Kathavi dated 31.01.2015 stating that "...W.P. which was filed by Nayala College of Education institution came to be disposed off by an order dated 31.01.2015 in the said order the Hon'ble High Court Judge was pleased to dismiss the Writ Petition by directing the petitioner to submit a fresh e-application along with necessary fee for the purpose of inspection to be conducted by NCTE, as per the order of Hon'ble High Court in W.P.No.56229/2014."

Shri.Pramod N Kathavi, advocate of Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka forwarded a court order in W.P.No.3519/2015 (EDN-REG-P) dated 31.01.2015 through an e-mail which was received by this office on 11.02.2015. The court order stated as follows:-

"...Petitioner has sought a direction to respondent no.2 to grant affiliation to the petitioner-institution for the academic year 2014-15 for B.Ed course and to conduct the course with all consequential benefits in terms of the order passed in W.P.No.56229/2014, which has been disposed on 19.12.2014.

2. I have heard learned counsel for petitioner, learned Additional Government Advocate for respondent no.1(State Govt) and learned counsel for respondents 2 (Tumkur University) and 3(SRC-NCTE) and perused the material on record.

3. By order dated 19.12.2014 passed in W.P.No.56229/2014, this court at paragraph-5 observed as under:-

"To enable the same, the petitioner shall now file fresh e-application with necessary fee and immediately on such application being filed by the petitioner, the second respondent shall hold inspection on intimating the petitioner which shall be done in an expeditious manner so as to enable the petitioner to take benefit of the order for the ensuing academic session 2014-15

With the said observation, petition stands disposed of."

Admittedly, petitioner has not filed e-application to the third respondent-National Council for Teacher Education so as to seek recognition from that authority.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.2- University states that so long as the recognition has not been granted by third respondent, university cannot grant affiliation to the petitioner-institution.

5. In that view of the matter, petitioner is at liberty to make an e-application with necessary fee to the third respondent. The third respondent-National Teacher Council on receipt of the said application shall take steps expeditiously on the same, in accordance with law, for the purpose of granting recognition or otherwise. Based on the decision of the third respondent, the second respondent-University shall take steps accordingly.

6. with the aforesaid observations and directions, writ petition stands disposed.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 280th Meeting held during 12th to 14th February, 2015 considered the matter, Hon'ble High Court order, and other relevant documents of the institution, and decided as under:

"1.In compliance of the Court Order, restore recognition.

2.Ask them to conform to new norms & standards before 31.10.2015."

As per the decision of SRC, recognition of the institution was restored vide this

office order dated 25.02.2015.

The institution and the students of the institution filed a W.P.No. 18063- 18113 of 2015 in the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka with a prayer for acceptance of examination fee of the 1st year B. Ed students .SRC,NCTE is the second respondent in the writ petition filed. The Hon'ble Court vide order dated 27th April, 2015 made an order as under :-

“ Learned AGA ia directed to take notice for R1.

Issue Notice to other respondents .

The third respondent is directed to accept the examination fee from the petitioner No.s 2and 51 so as to enable them to appear for the ensuing examination of I Year B.Ed course and allow them to appear for the said examination subject to the result of the writ petitions. The third respondent is directed not to declare the result of the said examination without the leave of the Court.”

Post the cases again on 09.06.2015.”

A letter was addressed to the advocate ,Shri. Pramod Kathavi on 15.05.2015 along with the brief of the case to defend the case on behalf of NCTE.

On 10.06.2015 , an e-mail was received from the advocate requesting for duly signed Vakalatnama and details regarding the writ petitions .A letter was addressed to the advocate on 16.06.2015.

A letter was also addressed to the Principal , Nayala College of Education for submission of willingness affidavit on 11.08.2015.

On 10.11.2015, a letter dated 10.04.2015 was received from the advocate Shri.Pramod Kathavi regarding W.P.No. 18063-18113 of 2015 filed by Nayala College of Education and sought relevant information for filing statement of objections .

A letter was addressed to the advocate ,Shri.Pramod Kathavi on 04.12.2015.

On 31.12.2015 , the institution submitted a willingness affidavit affirming adherence to Regulations 2014 .A revised order was issued to the institution for offering B.Ed course of two years duration from the academic session 2015-16 on 21.01.2016.

On 23.02.2016, a letter dated 18.02.2016 is received by the advocate ,Shri.Pramod Kathavi enclosing the certified copy of the Court order in W.P.No. 18063 – 18113 of 2015 .

The writ petition is filed praying to quash the endorsement dated 26.02.2015 vide annexure –M as violative of article 14 of the constitution of India and to direct the respondents (1. The State of Karnataka 2) SRC,NCTE 3) Tumkur University) to approve the admissions of the petitioners Nos.2 to 51 for B.Ed course for the year 2014-15 as the admissions were well within the time i.e 20.02.2015

The Hon'ble Court made the following order on 03.02.2016 in W.P.No. 18114-18164 of 2015 which is as under :-

“ Learned Counsel for the petitioners has filed a Memo dated 29.01.2016 .In terms of the Memo, the petitions are disposed of as withdrawn.”

The committee considered the matter, Court order dated 03.02.2016 and decided as under:

The Court order is noted. Process for inspection, along with other RPRO cases.

3.	APS07018 B.Ed S.V.M College of Education, Mahabubna gar, Telangana TS	<p>S.V.M.College of Education of Education, Bheem Nagar, Gadwal, Mahaboobnagar, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Sree Vivekananda Educational Society, Mahabubnagar, Andhra Pradesh had submitted an application to SRC, NCTE for grant of recognition to S.V.M College of Education of Education, Bheem Nagar, Gadwal, Mahaboobnagar, Andhra Pradesh. The recognition was granted on 14.04.2007 with an annual intake of 100 students.</p> <p>Registrar, Osmania University has furnished information pertaining to the affiliated Teacher Education Center institutions offering the course in own/permanent/temporary leased building vide letter dt. 4th May, 2009 received by SRC on 13.05.2009.</p> <p>The SRC in its 176th meeting held on 27th -28th May, 2009 considered the matter and it has decided to issue show cause notice under section 17 of NCTE Act to all institutions which have been functioning against Regulations of NCTE, 2007. Further, the committee decided to send an appreciation letter to the Registrar, Osmania University for giving information about the functioning of the Teacher Education institutions.</p> <p>As per the decision of the SRC show cause Notice under Section 17 was issued on 30.06.2009 regarding Non-Maintenance of Norms and Standards by the teacher education institutions recognized by SRC.</p> <p>Meanwhile a report of the fact-finding committee on complaints against the college has been received from Andhra Pradesh State Council of Higher Education on 17.09.2009.</p> <p><u>The considered the Fact-finding committee report received from APSCHE and decided to issue Notice calling for explanation on the following observations of the Fact Finding Committee, APSCHE:-</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• It is a fact that the Management is running a Degree College, Junior College, B.Ed. College and PG courses in the same building.• Originally, the Management was running SVM Junior College at Lingam Bagh, Gadwal and later shifted the College to the present building at Bheemnagar, Gadwal with the permission of the Bord of Intermediate Education(BIE).• The Management is also running a B.Ed. College in the same campus. The NCTE, Bangalore identified this and issued a Memo to the Management for explanation. As per the NCTE rules, a B.Ed. College shall not be located in the same building where other institutions are situated.• The Management is already running 3 P.G. courses i.e., 1)M.Sc., Chemistry, 2) M.Sc., Mathematics and 3) M.Sc., Zoology and applied for two more courses during the year 2009-10 and got permission from the APSCHE, i.e., M.Sc., Physics, M.Sc., Botony. There is enough accommodation to run all the 5 P.G. courses. All the staff appointed is approved by the University since they have the required qualifications prescribed by the University. There is shortage of staff in one or two departments and the Committee has advised the Management to recruit the required staff. The Management has agreed to do so immediately.• The Committee has examined the entire physical accommodation available. The building where in the above said institutions are located consists of 5 floors including
----	---	---

Ground Floor.

- The total accommodation available is 44,050 sq.ft. which is sufficient to run the degree, PG and B.Ed. College's in plot No's 89,90,97 and 98 located at Bheemanagar, Gadwal(copy enclosed). The 4th floor of the building which was allocated for the newly sanctioned M.Sc.(Physics) and M.Sc.(Botony) are roofed with tiles.
- It is not a fact that the Management is collecting extra fees in different forms. This is confirmed after verifying the records of the College and thorough interaction with the students.
- Though there is sufficient accommodation, it is not properly utilized cleanliness is not maintained in the classrooms and are not well furnished.
- There is no waiting room for Girls with toilet facilities.
- Labs. are not properly equipped and are poorly maintained.
- Safety measures are not taken up by the Management. Grills are to be installed in every floor so as to prevent the students from slipping down by mistake.

Accordingly, Notice was issued to the institution on 10.2.2010. The institution submitted its reply on 22.3.2010 along with relevant documents.

The SRC in its 190th meeting held on 29th-30th March 2010 considered the reply of the institution and it has decided to withdraw recognition of the B.Ed course on the following grounds:-

- The institution has not submitted earmarked area for the multiple programmes being offered in the same complex/ building i.e., a Degree College, a Junior College, a B.Ed. College and P.G. courses like M.Sc.,(Chemistry, Mathematics, Physics, Botany and Zoology) .
- There is no exclusive campus with separate land and building for the B.Ed. programme.

Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw recognition accorded to the institution for the B.Ed. course due to non-fulfilment of the norms / regulations of NCTE.

The withdrawal takes effect from the end of the academic year 2009-10 and the institution is directed not to make any fresh admissions subsequent to the withdrawal Order.

As per the decision of SRC, withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 04.05.2010.

Aggrieved by the withdrawal order of SRC, the institution has preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs and the appellate authority order dated 09.08.2010 remand back the case to SRC for causing inspection of the institution for ascertaining the availability of adequate land and built-up area including other facilities for conducting B.Ed programme as per norms after obtaining a payment of Rs.40.000/- and SRC may take further decision thereafter.

The SRC in its 195th meeting held on 17th -18th August, 2010 considered the appellate authority order dated 09.08.2010 and it has decided to cause inspection.

In the meantime, a court order in WP.No.25400 of 2010 in WP.No.20046 of 2010 received from Honb'le High court of Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad on 07.09.2010.

As per the direction of SRC, inspection of the institution was carried out on 19.09.2010 and VT report was received by this office on 23.09.2010.

The SRC in its 197th meeting held on 13th -14th October, 2010 considered the VT report, VCD and all other documentary evidences and it has decided to issue show cause notice. Accordingly, Notice was issued to the institution on 03.12.2010. The institution has submitted its reply along with relevant documents on 28.12.2010.

The SRC in its 200th meeting held on 20th -21st January, 2011 considered the VT report and all other relevant documents of the institution and it has decided to withdraw recognition.

As per the decision of SRC, withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 08.03.2011.

A court order in WP.No.5709 of 2011 in WP.No.4617 of 2011 has been received from Honb'le High court of Andhra Pradesh on 14.03.2011. Accordingly, a letter along with brief of the case was sent to Advocate Mr.P. Vinayaka Swamy on 17.03.2011 with requesting to get the stay vacated.

On 25.04.2011 a notice was received from the Hon'ble High Court in WP.No.4617 of 2011 to appear before the Court on 13.04.2011.

The institution has submitted its written representation on 26.05.2011 with requesting to continuation of recognition based on the interim suspension granted by the Honb'le court. A letter received from NCTE-Hqrs is received by this office on 12.05.2011 with a request to defend the cause including on behalf of NCTE-Hqrs.

Further there is no action taken in this file.

The institution has submitted its willingness affidavit on 02.02.2015 as per regulations 2014. Accordingly, as directed Revised recognition order was issue to the institution on 29.05.2015 with a subject to the result of the writ petition, WP.No.4617 of 2011, pending before the Honb'le High court of Judicature at Hyderabad.

As per website of Honb'le High court of Judicature at Hyderabad for the State of Telangana and the State of Andhra Pradesh, it is observed that the WP No.4617 of 2011 was disposed on 27.11.2015 dismissed as infructuous and the order as under:

“learned council for the petitioners submits that cause does not survive.
Writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.
Miscellaneous petitions, if any, also stand disposed of. No.order as to costs”.

Note: The institution has applied for B.El.Ed (SRCAPP3463) and BA.B.EdB.ScB.Ed(SRCAPP2568) for the academic year 2016-17.

		<p>The Committee considered the matter, Court directions in the case and decided under:</p> <p>Recognition was withdrawn by us. It was restored, on an interim basis, by a stay order. We issued an RPRO subject to outcome in the Court case. They have withdrawn the petition. The stay is automatically removed. In other words, our withdrawal of recognition order becomes operative again. This case was decided in the 304th meeting (19-20 Feb, 2016)</p>
4.	<p>APS07156 B.Ed Bharathi college Teacher Training Education, Tumkur, Karnataka KA</p>	<p>Bharathi College Teacher Training Education, 4th cross, K.R. Extension, Tumkur District-572102, Karnataka was granted recognition on 01.01.2008 with an intake of 100 students.</p> <p>This office is in receipt of a letter NO. TY: VCPS: 2011-12.98 dt. 21.05.2011 from the Vice-Chancellor of Tumkur University, Tumkur by enclosing a list of 19 institutions with the committee observations by conducting inspections.</p> <p>The SRC in its 206th meeting held on 9-10th June 2011, noted the inspection report of the Vice-Chancellor, Tumkur University, dt. 21.05.2011 and the deficiencies in the 19 institutions in Tumkur District and decided to issue show cause notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 06.07.2011. The institution submitted its written representation on 04.08.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 211th meeting held on 21-23rd September 2011, considered the written reply and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection at the premises Under Section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was carried out on 28.10.2011.</p> <p>In the meanwhile, Tumkur University vide their letter received on 17.10.2011 has conducted re-visits to the institution on 18.8.2011 and made certain observations.</p> <p>The SRC in its 216th meeting of SRC held on 11th and 12th January, 2012 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 05.03.2012 for the following deficiencies:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The institution has not submitted Inspection fee of Rs. 40000/-. • As per essential data, total built up area is only 13,016 sq.ft. which is grossly inadequate as per NCTE norms for B.Ed course run by the institution. • In the building plan submitted, the data are not clear and not readable. • Original certified land documents issued by competent civil authority is not submitted. • The area of class rooms, hall, Library and labs mentioned in the questionnaire and in the VT report are not at all tallying. • Original Affidavit in the prescribed form on Rs. 100/- stamp paper duly attested by oath commissioner or Notary public is not submitted. • Building completion certificate from competent Govt. authorized engineer is not submitted. • Land usage certificate from the concerned Government authorities stating that the land is exclusively permitted/sanctioned for educational purpose only is not submitted. • Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the Sub-Registrar is not submitted by the institution.

- Original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund respectively from Nationalised Bank in joint account is not submitted.
- Science lab, Psychology lab and ET lab needs to be strengthened.

- Principal is not qualified as per NCTE norms.
- The institution has not submitted the approved staff list from University with their experience certificate.
- Lecturer in Art Education (part time, Fine arts/performing art) and Director of Physical Education is not available.
- Scale of pay offered to the staff is not as per Central/State Govt/UGC guidelines.
- As per VT report, some of the appointments recently made, i.e, in the month of October and November 2011, it appears that appoints are made only for the visit purposes.
- As per the Photo provided by the institution along with the questionnaire, it looks like a residential building; there is no name board of the institution on the building. The name of the house is visible.
- In the document provided by the institution, the name of the authorized person is not written; all the signatures are in the Trust name with the signature of the Managing Trustee.
- Sports facility to be enhanced.

On 26.03.2012, the Principal of the institution requested to extend the last date to submit the necessary documents. But, so far, the institution has not submitted reply to the Show Cause Notice.

The institution has not replied to the show cause notice issued on 05-03-2012 till date, for the deficiencies pointed in the notice:-

- The institution has not submitted Inspection fee of Rs. 40000/-.
- As per essential data, total built up area is only 13,016 sq.ft. Which is grossly inadequate as per NCTE norms for B.Ed course run by the institution.
- In the building plan submitted, the data are not clear and not readable.
- Original certified land documents issued by competent civil authority is not submitted.
- The area of class rooms, hall, Library and labs mentioned in the questionnaire and in the VT report are not at all tallying.
- Original Affidavit in the prescribed form on Rs. 100/- stamp paper duly attested by oath commissioner or Notary public is not submitted.
- Building completion certificate from competent Govt. authorized engineer is not submitted.
- Land usage certificate from the concerned Government authorities stating that the land is exclusively permitted/sanctioned for educational purpose only is not submitted.
- Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the Sub-Registrar is not submitted by the institution.
- Original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 Lakhs towards endowment and reserve fund respectively from Nationalized Bank in joint account is not submitted.
- Science lab, Psychology lab and ET lab needs to be strengthened.
- Principal is not qualified as per NCTE norms.

- The institution has not submitted the approved staff list from University with their experience certificate.
- Lecturer in Art Education (part time, Fine arts/performing art) and Director of Physical Education is not available.
- Scale of pay offered to the staff is not as per Central/State Govt/UGC guidelines.
- As per VT report, some of the appointments recently made, i.e, in the month of October and November 2011, it appears that appoints are made only for the visit purposes.
- As per the Photo provided by the institution along with the questionnaire, it looks like a residential building; there is no name board of the institution on the building. The name of the house is visible.
- In the document provided by the institution, the name of the authorized person is not written; all the signatures are in the Trust name with the signature of the Managing Trustee.
- Sports facility provided is not satisfactory.

Based on the above points the committee decided to withdraw the recognition of the B.Ed course run by the Bharathi College Teacher Training Education, Tumkur District-572102, Karnataka from the academic year 2012-13, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed. course, if any, to complete their course.

Accordingly, withdrawal order was issued to the institution vide No. APS07156-B.Ed./KA/ 2012-2013/44357 dated 26.07.2012.

Aggrieved by the withdrawal order, the institution appealed to NCTE, HQ. On 13.9.2012, a letter is received from HQ Letter vide No.89-517/2012 Appeal A57233 dated 04.09.2012 regarding the appeal filed by Bharathi College of Teacher Education, Tumkur, Karnataka(APS07156-B.Ed.).

The original records pertaining to Bharathi College of Teacher Training Education, Tumkur, Karnataka (APS07156-B.Ed.) along with comments of this office for necessary action(APS07156 - B.Ed. course contains 9 pages of noting and 460 pages of correspondence) was sent to NCTE, HQ on 18.09.2012.

In the meanwhile, it is observed that the institution has approached Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka at Bangalore vide W.P.No.41463/2012 against SRC, NCTE against the withdrawal order issued to the institution without exhausting the channel of appeal.

On 1.11.2012, a letter dated 19.10.2012 is received from the Advocate stating that *"the above petition came up before the Court today for hearing. The matter was heard at length. During the course of the arguments, it was pointed out on behalf of the NCTE that, the writ petition itself is not maintainable as much the petitioner has got alternate remedy of filing an appeal before the appellate authority under Section 18 of the NCTE Act. It was further pointed out that in terms of the aforementioned provision, the petitioner institution has already preferred an appeal and the same is pending consideration. It was also brought to the notice of the court that the petitioner has not replied to show cause Notice dated 5.3.2012 wherein several deficiencies were pointed out more particularly relating to the land usage certificate from the concerned Govt. authorities permitting the use of the land for educational purpose only. Notwithstanding the arguments advanced, the court directed the respondent-NCTE to hold inspection in respect of the institution within a period of 15 days, and further directed to consider the report or recommendation of the inspection team immediately on the next meeting of*

SRC, NCTE favourably. Accordingly, the writ petition was disposed of. In the present case, the learned single judge, ought not to have entertained writ petition in view of the pendency of appeal before the appellate authority. Even otherwise, the conducting of inspection and considering the case of the petitioner favorably ought not to have been ordered. I am therefore of the view that, it is a fit case for filing appeal challenging the order passed in the writ petition.

I have applied for certified copy of the final order, and the same will be sent to you on its receipt."

In the meanwhile, on 7.11.2012, a letter is received from the Managing Trustee of Bharathi Education Trust, Tumkur as per the High Court order dated 2.11.2012 instructing SRC, Bangalore and Tumkur University to permit the college for admission of students to this academic year 2012-13 and perusal of the matter. He has enclosed a copy of the Court judgment dated 19th October, 2012.

As per the Copy of the court order dated 19.10.2012, the Hon'ble Court has stated as under:-

"3. according to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the institution is being run since 2007-2008 and also the University of Tumkur has conveyed its approval and also Government has approved admission of 25 candidates to this institution. In the meanwhile, on technical reason, the 1st respondent-NCTE has communicated the impugned order at Annexure-L, which is illegal and bad in law.

4. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondent submitted that due to various deficiencies being pointed out, & despite opportunity being given, no steps have been taken. As such the 1st respondent is of the categorical opinion, not to extend the grant for the academic year 2012-2013. Accordingly, he has sought for dismissal of the writ petition.

5. It appears as per the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the building is made available by the President of the very college and that is on lease basis. The petitioner to take initiative, being free grant institution, as such shall come forward with some permanent arrangement for providing the basic infrastructure that is required, insofar as providing the staff to the institution like Principal and several other basic deficiencies being pointed out, be made good by the management within a fortnight. However, it is hereby directed that the respondent authority shall once again visit the institution and do inspection, if the same is complied with, within 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and verify and pass appropriate orders and consider the case of the petitioner favourably as the college has commenced way back in the year 2007-2008 and after lapse of 5 years, de-recognition of the college may cause prejudice to the management which has invested substantial amount.

In this view of the matter, the respondent to consider the application of the petitioner at the earliest regarding recognition for the academic year 2012-2013.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to amend the cause title by impleading the Tumkur University as 2nd respondent. However, in the event there is a delay caused for want of inspection and further decision to be taken, the delay is condoned by extending some more time for admitting the students."

The Court order along with the request of the institution regarding admission to the academic year 2012-13 was placed before 235th meeting of SRC held on 21st and 22nd November, 2012 wherein the Committee has decided to apply for stay and file an appeal.

As per the decision of SRC, a letters were addressed to the Advocate on 29.11.2012 & a reminder on 21.12.2012 with a request to obtain stay for the Court order and to file an

appeal before the Hon'ble Court against the order and also to defend the Court matter on behalf of NCTE and intimate further developments to this office at the earliest. This office has received a copy of the interim order dated 11.1.2013 of Hon'ble HC of Karnataka on 21.1.2013 filed by Bharathi College Teacher Training Education, 4th cross, K.R. Extension, Tumkur District-572102, Karnataka against SRC, NCTE, NCTE, New Delhi and Tumkur University where in it is stated that "the petitioner to complete the admission for B.Ed. course for the current academic year, pending disposal of the writ petition. However, the respondent-NCTE to hold investigation and to report to this Court within 15 days."

The institution has submitted a Demand Draft of Rs.40,000/- towards inspection fee. Letters have been addressed to the advocate for vacating the stay granted to the institution.

Note:

- The institution had earlier a Writ petition No.41463/2012 against NCTE, Bangalore and Tumkur University and the advocate is requested to obtain stay to the order dated 30.10.2012 and also to file an appeal before the Hon'ble Court in the above matter as per the decision of 235th meeting of SRC held on 21st and 22nd November, 2012.
- The appellate authority vide order dated 11.12.2012 has confirmed the orders of SRC dated 26.7.2012 withdrawing the recognition of the institution. The order of appellate authority is already placed in 237th meeting of SRC held on 5-6 January, 2013, which is deferred to 238th meeting of SRC scheduled on 5th – 6th February, 2013.

The 240th meeting held on 09th – 11th March 2013 considered the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka order dt. 11.01.2013 and decided to cause inspection of the institution to determine continuance of recognition.

The inspection of the institution was scheduled for 20th – 24th May 2013 and the same was intimated to the institution vide this office letter F.No.APSO 7156/B.Ed/KA/2013-14/51619 dated 14/05/2013.

Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was carried out on 22.05.2013

The SRC in its 247th meeting held on 20-22 June 2013 considered the direction of the Hon'ble High Court for inspection of the institution accordingly inspection of the institution was carried out on 22.05.2013. Also considered shifting inspection of VT report, VCD and all the relevant documents of the institution and decided not to permit Bharathi College of Teacher Training Education, Tumkur, Karnataka.

Further,

The Committee on the basis of VT report, VCD of the institution on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition APS07156-B.Ed course for the following reasons:-

1. After sale of the land to Bank of Baroda on 02.07.2009, the institution has no land (Sy.No. 26/2).
2. The Land is registered in the individual name Dr. P. N. Shanthakumar and not in the name of institution/Society as per NCTE Regulations 2009.
3. As per original encumbrance certificate submitted, the land was given to Bharathi Education trust in favour of the President on lease basis on

25/08/2008. Further on 02/07/2009, the land is mortgaged to Bank of Baroda, BH Road, Tumkur.

As per Regulations 8 (7) (i)

“No institution shall be granted recognition under these Regulations unless the institution or society sponsoring the institution is in possession of required land on the date of application. The land free from all encumbrances could be either on ownership basis or on lease from Government or Government institutions for a period of not less than 30 years. In cases where under relevant State or Union Territory laws the maximum permissible lease period is less than 30 years, the State Government or Union Territory Administration law shall prevail. However, no building shall be taken on lease for running any teacher training course”.

4. Building plan submitted is not bearing the name of the Institution nowhere. Name of the proposed course is also not mentioned.
5. Building completion certificate submitted is in the name of individual by name Dr. P.N. Shanthakumar, president, Bharathi Education Trust. Name of the course is also not mentioned in the BCC dt. 04/01/2013.
6. As per VT, duly approved staff list from the affiliating body/university is not submitted.

Based on the above points the committee decides to withdraw the recognition of the B.Ed course run by the Bharathi College of Teacher training Education, Tumkur District, Karnatak, from the academic year 2013-14, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed, course, if any, to complete their course.

But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.

The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly.

Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any.

In the meantime, the institution has submitted its written representation on 14.08.2013 regarding announcement of results.

As per decision of SRC, withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 26.08.2013.

An e-mail has been received from Advocate dated 11th September 2013. A letter along with brief of the case was sent to Advocate on 19.09.2013.

An interim order was received from the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P.No.21571 of 2014 dated 15.05.2014 on 20.05.2014.

A letter was sent to Shri.P.S.Dinesh, Advocate on 27.05.2014 along with brief of the case and interim order in W.P.No.21571 of 2014.

An e-mail has been received from Advocate 30.07.2014.

A letter was sent to Shri.P.S.Dinesh, Advocate on 30.07.2014 along with brief of the case and interim order in W.P.No.21571 of 2014.

A letter from Shri.P.S.Dinesh, Advocate has received by SRC on 26.08.2014 along with court order in W.P.No.21571 of 2014 dated 2nd August 2014. The court order stating as follows:-

ORDER

The petitioner is before this Court seeking for issue of mandamus to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for reconsidering the withdrawal of recognition and renewal of the affiliation of the petitioner institution for the academic year 2013-14 for the B.Ed. course after considering the representation submitted by the

petitioner dated 07.05.2014.

2. The petitioner claims to be running a Teacher Training Institute and is imparting B.Ed. course. The recognition of the petitioner which had been granted earlier has been withdrawn by the order dated 26.08.2013. The petitioner was before this Court in W.P. No. 46151/2013 by assailing the said order. This Court by its order dated 07.03.2014 refrained to interfere with the same and as such withdrawal of the recognition for the year 2013-14 has attained finality. The petitioner however contends, that subsequent thereto, the petitioner has secured a gift deed dated 05.05.2014 in their favour and as such the property in question presently stands in the name of the petitioner institution. It is in that view the petitioner has stated that they have made the representation dated 07.05.2014 seeking restoration/reconsideration of the recognition of B.Ed. course. The petitioner institution in that direction is also seeking reconsideration of the said representation by respondent No.2.

1. At the outset, having noticed that the withdrawal of the recognition for the academic year 2013-14 has attained finality in view of the earlier order dated 07.03.2014 passed by this Court in W.P. No. 46151/2013, the consideration of the representation for restoring the recognition for the year 2013-14 would not arise at this juncture. All that is necessary to be considered by respondent No. 2 is as to whether the petitioner institution satisfies the requirement as contemplated under the Regulations and if such compliance is made, the recognition is to be considered for the ensuing academic year, namely, 2014-15.

2. To enable such consideration, respondent No. 2 is directed to look into the representation dated 07.05.2014. Needless to mention that if the petitioner is required to submit the application and documents in a particular format or as required under the Regulations, the petitioner institution shall be intimated to do so and on complying with the requirement, respondent No. 2 shall consider the same and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. Keeping in view that the admissions are to take place for the ensuing academic year if the petitioner satisfies the requirement, such consideration shall be made prior to the commencement of the admission process for the academic year 2014-15 and the result be intimated to the petitioner institution.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

The SRC in its 272nd meeting held on 1-2 September 2014 considered the court order in W.P.No.21571 of 2014 dated 2nd August 2014 received by SRC on 26.08.2014 and the committee considered the court order and decided that *"the court order is for considering the case for 2014-15. That is not possible because of the Supreme Court order freezing processing of all cases until notification of new Regulations. Ask our Lawyer to file an affidavit explaining this hurdle."*

Accordingly, a letter was issued to Shri.P.S.Dinesh Kumar, Advocate on 08.09.2014 regarding to file an affidavit.

In response to this office letter dated 08.09.2014, an e-mail dated 11.09.2014 has been received from Shri.P.S.Dinesh Kumar, Advocate stating as follows:-

"The subject WP is disposed off by the order of Learned Single Judge vide order dated 02.08.2014. Under the Rules of Practice, no affidavit can be filed in a disposed off matter. However, if aggrieved by the order of Ld. Single Judge, the same has to be challenged in Writ Appeal within 30 days."

However, the issue with regard to consideration of applications currently came up for consideration of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. 38510/2014, a copy which attached for your kind reference.

Note: Advocate has sent an e-mail along with Court order in W.P.No.38510/2014 in respect of Aristotle Teachers Training Institute, Kolar District for reference to this matter.

In the meantime, the institution has submitted its written representation on 13.10.2014 stating as follows:-

"...Hon'ble Court order dated 02.08.2014 and other relevant documents.

The NCTE SRC committee in its 272nd meeting on 1st & 2nd Sept2014 says it cannot be considered because of Supreme Court order.

I submit that since ours is an existing college Supreme Court ruling will not apply to our institute.

Hence, I am submitting all the land and building documents along with court order and request you to consider and reinstate recognition to our institute from 2014-15.

The institution in its letter along with submission of following documents:-

- ❖ Photocopy of Court order in W.P.No.21571/2014 (EDN-REG-P) dated 2nd August 2014.
- ❖ Photocopy of Building Completion Certificate dated 26.05.2014 approved by Asst. Executive Engineer.
- ❖ Photocopy of Gift Deed (Land documents) dated 05.05.2014

The SRC in its 274th meeting held on 30-31 October 2014 considered the matter and decided to process the documents and put up.

Accordingly, as per direction of SRC the documents are processed

The SRC in its 275th meeting held on 01st 02nd December 2014 considered e-mail letter dated 11.09.2014 from the advocate and letter from the institution dated 13.10.2014 advised Southern Regional Office to put up the case in the next meeting when the new Regulations have been notified.

An email dated 18.12.2014 received from NCTE Hqrs Regarding guidance for processing of pending applications.

Accordingly a willingness letter was issued to the institution on 19.12.2014. The institution has submitted its reply along with relevant documents on 13.01.2015.

As per NCTE New regulation the application was processed and placed before the Southern Regional Committee.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 278th Meeting held on 15th January, 2015 considered the matter, reply of the institution through affidavit and relevant document dated 13.01.2015, decided to Restore Recognition of the said institution.

As per the decision of SRC , recognition of the institution was restored vide this office order dated 29.01.2015

A revised order was issued to the institution for offering B.Ed course of two years duration from the academic session 2015-16 on 16.05.2015

On 23.02.2016, a letter dated 18.02.2015 is received by the advocate Shri.Pramod Kathavi regarding the disposal of W.P.No. 20565 – 20602 of 2015 , W.P.No. 22024-22037/2015 and W.P.No. 396 of 2015 by the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka .

Certified copies of the Court orders dated 03.02.2016 in W.P.No. 20565 – 20602 of 2015 , W.P.No. 22024-22037/ 2015 and W.P.No. 396 of 2015 are enclosed .

W.P.No. 20565 – 20602 of 2015

The writ petition was filed by Bharathi College of Education (B.Ed) and others praying for a direction to respondent No. 2 (SRC,NCTE) to approve the admission of petitioners 3 to 38 to B.Ed course in first petitioner college for the academic year 2014-15 and permit petitioners 2 to 38 to appear for the examination scheduled on 25.05.2015 and grant consequential reliefs , including declaration of results .

The Hon'ble Court made the following order on 03.02.2016 in W.P.No. 20565-20602 of 2015 :-

“ Learned Counsel for the petitioners has filed a Memo dated 29.01.2016 .In terms of the Memo, the petitions are disposed of as withdrawn.”

W.P.No. 22024-22037/ 2015

The writ petition was filed by Bharathi College of Education (B.Ed) and others praying for a direction to respondent No. 2 (SRC,NCTE) to approve the admission of petitioners 2 to 14 to B.Ed course in first petitioner college for the academic year 2014-15 and permit petitioners 2 to 14 to appear for the examination scheduled on 25.05.2015 and grant consequential reliefs , including declaration of results .

The Hon'ble Court made the following order on 03.02.2016 in W.P.No. 22024-22037 of 2015 :-

“ Learned Counsel for the petitioners has filed a Memo dated 29.01.2016 .In terms of the Memo, the petitions are disposed of as withdrawn.”

W.P.No. 396 / 2015

The writ petition was filed by Bharathi College of Education (B.Ed) praying for a direction to respondents to consider the case of the petitioners for grant and restoration of recognition granted earlier and renewal of affiliation of the petitioner institution for the academic year 2014-15 to the B.Ed course and grant all the consequential benefits .

The Hon'ble Court made the following order on 03.02.2016 in W.P.No.396 of 2015 :-

“ Learned Counsel for the petitioners has filed a Memo dated 29.01.2016 .In terms of the Memo, the petitions are disposed of as withdrawn.”

The Committee took note of the court order.

5.	----- ----- W.P. No. 999 of 2015 and WP No.1000 of 2015 TN	<p>A letter dated 20.01.2015 received from Sri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate on 22.01.2015 regarding W.P.No.999 of 2015 and W.P.No.1000 of 2015 filed by Tamilnadu Self Financing College of Education Management Association and National College of Education against NCTE and Tamilnadu Teacher Education University.</p> <p>An e-mail has been received from Sri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy on 23.01.2015 (hard copy received on 24.01.2015). In e-mail advocate asking some details from NCTE H-qrs as follows:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a) Whether any writ petition is filed regarding the subject matter either in the Supreme Court or in any other High Court?b) Whether the Regulations are placed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court?c) Since there is no specific Regulation to declare that for non compliance of the submission of affidavit accepting the new Regulations, the existing recognition shall be withdrawn or the recognition becomes invalid, the procedure contemplated under Sec. 17(A) has to be followed for such action. The said details are not mentioned in the covering letter sent along with the format of affidavit etc., and as such this issue has to be attended. <p>In e-mail advocate asking some details from SRC-NCTE as follows:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a) How many institutions, the format of affidavit has been sent along with the Annexures, asking their consent for the new Regulations to be adopted so as to get entitled to run the institution after completion of the ongoing session?b) How many institutions gave consent affidavits out of the total institutions for which such letters and formats of affidavit has been sent?c) Is any other writ petition filed in any of the four states of the SRC? <p>An e-mail has been sent to Member Secretary, NCTE-Hqrs on 27.01.2015 enclosing the advocate's e-mail. A letter was sent to Sri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy, advocate through an e-mail on 27.01.2015.</p> <p>An e-mail has been received from Sri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy on 29.01.2015, 30.01.2015 and also addressed to Member Secretary, NCTE-Hqrs by an advocate.</p> <p>A letter dated 30.01.2015 received from Sri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate on 31.01.2015 enclosing a list of members of the petitioner's association in W.P.No.999 of 2015.</p> <p>An advocate Sri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy has sent two letters dated 29.01.2015 and 30.01.2015 received by SRC on 02.02.2015</p> <p>A letter dated 02.02.2015 received from NCTE-Hqrs on 03.02.2015 through fax (hard copy received 10.02.2015). An e-mail has sent to NCTE-Hqrs on 03.02.2015.</p> <p>A letter was sent to Member Secretary on 03.02.2015 regarding NCTE new Regulations-practical difficulties in implementation and also sent a letter to Sri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate on 03.02.2015.</p> <p>An e-mail has received from Sri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate on 04.02.2015 enclosing draft counter. The same was forwarded to Member Secretary, NCTE-Hqrs on</p>
----	---	--

04.02.2015, which was sent again by an e-mail dated 09.02.2015.
A letter received from Sri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate on 09.02.2015 received by SRC on 11.02.2015.
In the meantime, a court order in W.P.No.999, 1000, 1970 & 1971 of 2015 and MP Nos.1 & 2 of 2015 (in all WPs) dated 29.01.2015 received by SRC on 12.02.2015 (additional copy received on 18.02.2015).
“order: These petitions coming on for orders upon perusing the petitions and the respective affidavits filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Mr.R.Muthukumarasamy Senior Counsel, for M/s.R.Suresh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner in WP.999 & 1000/2015 and of M/s.G.V.Seetha Lakshmi for Mr.E.Vijay Anand, Advocate for the Standing Counsel for the 1 & 2 respondents and of Mr.U.Venkatesan, Standing Counsel and Mr.G.Anantharaman, Standing Counsel for the 3rd respondent in all the petitions, the court made the following order:-
Heard Mr.R.Muthukumaraswamy, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr.R.Sureshkumar, appearing for the petitioners, Mr.K.Ramakrishna Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents 1 and 2/NCTE ; Mr.G.Anantharaman, learned counsel appearing for the 3rd respondent and Mr.U.Venkatesan, learned counsel appearing for the 4th respondent.
2. Learned Senior counsel appearing for petitioners submitted that the impugned Regulation is sought to be given effect to and the recommendations granted to the institution/association is liable to be cancelled if the petitioners (Institution/Association) do not file the required affidavit within a period of 21 days.
3. the learned Standing Counsel appearing for NCTE on institutions, submitted that the impugned Regulation is pursuant to a direction issued by the Hon’ble Apex Court and the NCTE will file a detailed counter affidavit and demonstrate before this court that the Regulation is valid. He would also submit that the writ petitions filed by the petitioners (institution/association) is not maintainable.
4. So far as the protection sought for by the petitioners (institution/association), it is submitted by the learned Standing counsel appearing for NCTE that during the pendency of the writ petitions, the 21day time limit stipulated in the Notification will not be given effect to.
5. Recording such submission made by the learned Standing Counsel for NCTE, the matter is adjourned to 16.03.2015 for filing counter.”
An e-mail has received from Sri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate on 13.02.2015 and 16.02.2015 and also addressed to Member Secretary NCTE-Hqrs.
NCTE-Hqrs has forwarded a duly signed Counter affidavit on 16.02.2015 and also a copy addressed to Mr.K.Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate.
An e-mail has received from Sri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate on 20.02.2015 and also addressed to Member Secretary NCTE-Hqrs. In response to email dated 20.02.2015, an e-mail was sent to Sri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy on 20.02.2015.
The Tamilnadu Self Financing College of Education Management association has submitted its written representation on 24.02.2015 along with court order dated 29.01.2015.
An e-mail has received from Sri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate on 25.02.2015, 26.02.2015 and 25.04.2015. An e-mail was sent to Sri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy on 20.02.2015.
An e-mail has received from Sri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate on 10.08.2015 (hard copy received on 12.08.2015). Accordingly, a letter was sent to advocate on 11.08.2015.
A court order received from Hon’ble High Court of Madras in W.P.No.999 of 2015 dated

28.07.2015 received on 14.08.2015. The court order stated as follows:-
“...Mr.D.Krishnakumar, learned Special Government Pleader, who accepts notice for the impleaded fourth respondent, to verify whether any request has been made to NCTE for extension of time for implementing the regulations in respect of Government run teacher institutions and an affidavit be placed on record within a week.
2. All the connected matters, which will be furnished by the learned counsel for the petitioner, be also listed for directions on the next date.
3. we are informed that the third respondent has still not cared to file counter-affidavit. The counter affidavit be filed within a week in all the matters, whereafter it will be taken on record subject to deposit of Rs.10,000/- pet writ petition, with the Tamil Nadu Mediation and Conciliation centre.
4. List on 11.08.2015.

Forwarding photocopy of Court order dated 28.07.2015 to Shri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate on 18.08.2015.

Now, court order in MP.No.1 to 1 and 2 to 2 of 2015 in W.P.Nos.999,1000, 1970,1971 & 5768 of 2015 MP No.1 of 2015 in W.P.No.22782 of 2015 dated 11.08.2015 received by SRC on 07.09.2015. The court order stated as follows:-
“... The petitioners are stated to have submitted their applications without prejudice, albeit beyond 21 days time limit stipulated in the Notification, for which, liberty was granted in terms of paragraph 4 of the order passed on 29.01.2015 in W.P.Nos.999,1000,1970 & 1971 of 2015 and MP Nos.1 & 2 of 2015.
2. The miscellaneous petitions, thus, stand closed in terms aforesaid.

The matter is placed in 292nd meeting held on 29-30th Sept, 2015 and the committee considered the matter and decided as under :-
“Do not insist on the 21 day time limit. As and when affidavits are received, process and put up cases”

A, court order received from Hon'ble High Court of Madras in W.P.No.999, 1000,1971 & 5768, 22782 & 34131 of 2015 dated 02.11.2015 received on 23.11.2015. SRC in its 295th meeting held on 28th-30th November and 1st December, 2015 and considered the court order and noted the matter.

Now, an e-mail received from the advocate Shri K Ramakrishna reddy on 23.02.2016 and stated as follows:
As you know very well, the above mentioned batch of writ petitions are filed in the High court of Madras against some provisions of the National Council for Teacher Education (Recognition Norms and Procedure), 2014 which came into effect from 28.11.2014.
The above mentioned batch is taken up for final hearing by the Hon'ble First Bench of the Madras High Court. The petitioner's counsels started their submissions yesterday i.e. on 22.02.2016 and completed today.
The Hon'ble First Bench expressed their view that, since the Regulations are policy decisions, they will not interfere with the Regulations.
Hence, the petitioner's counsel submitted that, committee consisting of members from HRD Ministry and others visited Chennai to find out the implementation of the new Regulations. It seems some of the institutions management appeared before the said committee and submitted their grievances regarding implementation of some of the clauses and also submitted that the said representation is under consideration by the Ministry. The Hon'ble Division Bench asked me about approximate time to complete the said exercise. I informed that, yesterday I.e. on 22.02.2016, I contacted the NCTE Head quarters, and enquired about the clauses of 8 (1), 8 (11) etc., for which there is no

		<p><i>specific time schedule and fix the time limit, I was informed that, it will take some time to complete the exercise, since counsel has to meet and decision has to be approved by the concerned ministry. I informed the Hon'ble Division Bench that, as soon as the process is completed the reasonable time schedule will be fixed to implement clause 8(1), 8 (11) and sub-clauses 5 and 6 of Appendix-4 of the 2014 year Regulations, no coercive steps shall be taken to forcibly implement the said provisions without fixing reasonable time limit.</i></p> <p><i>Regulation 8 (1) says that, gradually the institutions have to move towards becoming composite institutions. The petitioners expressed their difficulty in starting Arts and Science colleges for which necessary approvals, recognitions, infrastructural and instructional facilities have to be made which will consume considerable time. Similarly as per Regulation 8(11) the requirements are sought to be completed immediately. Though by public notice 29.01.2016, time has been extended till 30.05.2016, the learned judges wants to look into extension of time in this aspect also. Similarly for implementation of sub-clause 5 of Appendix-4 dealing with faculty and 6 dealing with infrastructure which are consequential to the said Regulation 8 (1) and 8 (11), till the specific time is fixed not to take any coercive steps to forcibly implement the same.</i></p> <p><i>As soon as the order is ready, I will send the same to you. This is for your kind information.</i></p> <p>The committee considered the matter, letter dated 20.01.2016 from Sri. K.Ramakrishna Reddy Advocate and advised Southern Regional Office as under:</p> <p>Obtain a certified copy of the decision. The petitioners have misled the court by linking the Review Committee's visit to this mater. The said Review Committee has nothing to do with amendment of Regulations. Ask our Lawyer to clarify the facts to the court for corrective action by recognizing our order to enforce the Regulations as they stand.</p>
6.	<p>APS09704 D.El.Ed Al-madina Elementary Teacher Education, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh AP</p>	<p>Al- Madina Elementary Teacher Education, Plot No-109/B3, 024 Near Zamia Masjid, Mungalapadu Village and Post, Kurnool District, Pin-518004, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Al-Ameen Educational Society, H-No. 50-349-L-21-A, Arora nagar, B.camp, Kurnool District-518002, Andhra Pradesh had submitted an application on 31.10.2008 for grant of recognition for D.El.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 students at Al-Madina Elementary Teacher Education, Plot No-109/B3, 024 Near Zamia Masjid, Mungalapadu Village and post, Kurnool District, Pin-518004, Andhra Pradesh and was granted recognition on 06-08-2012 for the academic session 2009-2010 for offering D.Ed course with an annual intake of 50 students. A Complaint has been received from Rama Shankar Naik, I.A.S, Commissioner & Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad received on 28/11/2013 stating that.</p> <p><i>"... I wish to inform that the student federation of India (SFI), A.P. vide letter dated 24.09.2013 has submitted a written complaint to the District Collector, Kurnool, that the Colleges running D.Ed Courses in the district are deficient on the following points;</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>Infrastructure availability as against the requirement</i> 2. <i>Staff position</i> 3. <i>Toilets and Drinking water facility.</i> 4. <i>Appointment of faculty as per Government Norms</i> 5. <i>Payment of salaries to the faculty</i> 6. <i>Location of building with reference to the address at which recognition is granted by NCTE</i> <p><i>Based on the above complaint the District Collector vide Procgs. Rc.No.330/2013, dated</i></p>

15.10.2013 has appointed an enquiry team to enquire into the allegations made against D.Ed Colleges in the district and submit a comprehensive report within a week time. of Challenging

The action of the District Collector, the Rayalaseema University Private Colleges of Education Management Welfare Association 41-499, Kothapet, Kurnool has filed W.P.No.30289/2013 in the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh, with a prayer that the District Collector lacks jurisdiction, both under the National Council Teachers Education Act and the Andhra Pradesh Education Act, 1982 and therefore the proceedings must be quashed.

On hearing both sides the Hon'ble High Court has observed that inspections proposed to be caused, include an inspection of various facilities such as provision of toilet and drinking water facilities etc., and it will be in larger public interest to ensure that educational institutions provide these facilities. The Hon'ble High Court has by exercising its discretionary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, has Suomotto impleaded the Commissioner & Director of School Education in the Writ Petition and issued orders to cause an enquiry on all the points referred to in the proceedings of the District Collector dated 15.10.2013 and submit a compliance report within two weeks.

In response to the directions of the Hon'ble High Court, the Commissioner and Director of School Education has requested the Hon'ble Court to grant 6 weeks time to submit the report. On hearing the same the Hon'ble Court has granted one week time to inspect the institutions located in Kurnool town itself in the first instance.

Accordingly, two teams have been constituted by the Commissioner and Director of School Education for enquiring into the allegations made against D.Ed Colleges in the district on the following facilities.

Infrastructure availability against the requirement.

1. Staff pattern and vacancy position.
2. Toilets and Drinking water facility.
3. Appointment of faculty as per Government Norms.
4. Payment of salaries to the faculty.
5. Location of building with reference to the proposal sent by the management for permission to the government.

In view of the above orders the Enquiry team has visited the D.El.Ed and B.Ed Colleges located in Kurnool town and submitted the report to the commissioner and director of school education. The enquiry reports reveal that 7 out of the 25 colleges located in Kurnool town as Shown below are truly found to be deficient on some of the 6 parameters on which inspection is caused.

	<i>Iqbal D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village & Post, Kurnool Taluk & City, Kurnool District-518001, Andhra Pradesh.</i>
	<i>Sadia D.Ed College, Kh.No.34, Peddapadu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City and District-518001, Andhra Pradesh</i>
	<i>Abu Sawood D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City & District-518001, Andhra Pradesh</i>
	<i>Mohd. Pasha D.Ed College, Parthasaradhi Nagar, B-Camp Post, Kalluru Taluk Kurnool City & District-518002, Andhra Pradesh.</i>
	<i>Al-Madina Elementary Teacher Education, Plot No.109/B3, 024, Near Zamia Majid, Mungalapadu Village & Post, Kurnool District-518004, Andhra Pradesh</i>

	National D.Ed College, Plot No.00Peddatekuru Village, Ullindakonda Post, Kalluru Taluk KurnoolDistrict-518002 Andhra Pradesh.
--	---

	Osmania College of Education, 40/23, Park Road, Kurnool-518001, Andhra Pradesh
--	--

As per the observation of the Commissioner:

<p><i>Building is located in the same place as submitted in the proposal by the management to the NCTE for permission.</i></p> <p><i>The College has not fulfilled the norm for - 1. Built up area, It is found that built up area is 937.52 sq. mtrs against to the norms of NCTE i.e., 1500 sq. mtrs the same may be reported to SRC, NCTE Bangalore for further necessary action. Further, action may be initiated against the officers who have earlier submitted inspection reports for grant of affiliation.</i></p>
--

The Southern Regional Committee in its 268th meeting held on 4th – 5th June 2014 considered the matter, complaint letter from Shri. Rama Shankar Naik, I.A.S. Commissioner & Director of School Education, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, received on 28/11/2014 against the said college and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show Cause Notice under NCTE Act for the following :-

- *The college has not fulfilled the NCTE norms for*
- *Built up area is indicated as per NCTE norms. Built up area is only 937.52 sq.mtrs.as against 1500 sq, mtrs.*

As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause notice was issued to the institution on 19-08-2014. The institution has submitted a written representation on 08-09-2014. The Southern Regional Committee in its 273rd meeting held during 30th September, 2014 and 1st October, 2015 considered the matter, written representation from the institution vide their letter dated 08.09.2014, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to cause inspection to verify, the facts and the 'areas' available. This can be done at our expense since it is verification launched at our initiative.

As per the decision of SRC, the inspection of the institution was scheduled during 20th March to 20th April, 2015 and the same was intimated to the institution on 10.03.2015. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 14.04.2015 and the VT Report was received by this office on 22.04.2015.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 286th meeting held on 30th April 2015 considered the matter, VT report dated 14.04.2015 and all the other relevant documents of the institution, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to Process VT report along with CBI and /or Departments inquiry report.

The Committee considered the matter, VT report, and other relevant documents of the institution, and decided as under:

The controversy is about contradiction between the VT inspection Report and the complaint received from the commissioner and Director of School Education., A.P. The BP & BCC confirm adequacy of built-up area. Anyway, let us order a fresh VT inspection to settle the correct position about built-up area.

7.	AOS00383 B.Ed Osmania College of Education, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh AP	<p>Osmania College of Education, 40/23, Park Street Kurnool-518001, Andhra Pradesh Osmania College of Education, 40/23, Park Street Kurnool-518001, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed course of one year from the academic session 2000-2001 with an annual intake of 112 students was granted recognition on 19.7.2000.</p> <p>A complaint has been received from Rama Shankar Naik, I.A.S, Commissioner & Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad received on 28/11/2013 stating that "... I wish to inform that the student federation of India (SFI), A.P. vide letter dated 24.09.2013 has submitted a written complaint to the District Collector, Kurnool, that the Colleges running D.Ed Courses in the district are deficient on the following points;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Infrastructure availability as against the requirement2. Staff position3. Toilets and Drinking water facility.4. Appointment of faculty as per Government Norms5. Payment of salaries to the faculty6. Location of building with reference to the address at which recognition is granted by NCTE. <p>Based on the above complaint the District Collector vide Procs. Rc.No.330/2013, dated 15.10.2013 has appointed an enquiry team to enquire into the allegations made against D.Ed Colleges in the district and submit a comprehensive report within a week time. of Challenging the action of the District Collector, the Rayalaseema University Private Colleges of Education Management Welfare Association 41-499, Kothapet, Kurnool has filed W.P.No.30289/2013 in the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh, with a prayer that the District Collector lacks jurisdiction, both under the National Council Teachers Education Act and the Andhra Pradesh Education Act, 1982 and therefore the proceedings must be quashed.</p> <p>On hearing both sides the Hon'ble High Court has observed that inspections proposed to be caused, include an inspection of various facilities such as provision of toilet and drinking water facilities etc., and it will be in larger public interest to ensure that educational institutions provide these facilities. The Hon'ble High Court has by exercising its discretionary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, has Suomotto impleaded the Commissioner & Director of School Education in the Writ Petition and issued orders to cause an enquiry on all the points referred to in the proceedings of the District Collector dated 15.10.2013 and submit a compliance report within two weeks.</p> <p>In response to the directions of the Hon'ble High Court, the Commissioner and Director of School Education has requested the Hon'ble Court to grant 6 weeks time to submit the report. On hearing the same the Hon'ble court has granted one week time to inspect the institutions located in Kurnool town itself in the first instance.</p> <p>Accordingly, two teams have been constituted by the Commissioner and Director of School Education for enquiring into the allegations made against D.Ed Colleges in the district on the following facilities.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Infrastructure availability against the requirement.2. Staff pattern and vacancy position.3. Toilets and Drinking water facility.4. Appointment of faculty as per Government Norms.5. Payment of salaries to the faculty.6. Location of building with reference to the proposal sent by the management for permission to the government. <p>In view of the above orders the Enquiry team has visited the D.El.Ed and B.Ed Colleges located in Kurnool town and submitted the report to the commissioner and director of school education. The</p>
----	--	---

enquiry reports reveal that 7 out of the 25 colleges located in Kurnool town as shown below are truly found to be deficient on some of the 6 parameters on which inspection is caused.

The above matter was placed before SRC in its 256th meeting held during 4-6 December, 2013 and the committee considered the matter and decided to process and put up in the next meeting.

1	Iqbal D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village & Post, Kurnool Taluk & City, Kurnool District-518001, Andhra Pradesh.
2	Sadia D.Ed College, Kh.No.34, Peddapadu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City and District-518001, Andhra Pradesh
3	Abu Sawood D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City & District-518001, Andhra Pradesh
4	Mohd. Pasha D.Ed College, Parthasaradhi Nagar,B-Camp Post, Kalluru Taluk Kurnool City & District-518002, Andhra Pradesh.
5	Al-Madina Elementary Teacher Education, Plot No.109/B3, 024, Near Zamia Majid, Mungalapadu Village & Post, Kurnool District-518004, Andhra Pradesh
6	National D.Ed College, Plot No.00Peddatekuru Village, Ullindakonda Post, Kalluru Taluk Kurnool District-518002 Andhra
7	Osmania College of Education, 40/23, Park Road, Kurnool-518001, Andhra Pradesh

As per the observation of the Commissioner:

Building is located in the same place as submitted in the proposal by the management to the NCTE for permission.

The College has not fulfilled the norm for - 1. Built up area, It is found that built up area is 964.2 sq.mtrs against to the norms of NCTE i.e., 1500 sq.mtrs the same may be reported to SRC, NCTE Bangalore for further necessary action. Further, action may be initiated against the officers who have earlier submitted inspection reports for grant of affiliation.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 268th meeting held on 4th 5th June, 2014 considered the matter complaint letter from Shri. Rama Shankar Naik, I.A.S. Commissioner & Director of School Education, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, received on 28/11/2014 against the said college and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show Cause Notice the following reasons:

As per the report submitted by Commissioner & DSE, Andhra Pradesh

- The college has not fulfilled the NCTE norms for
 - i) Built up area is inadequate as per NCTE norms. Built up area is 1349 sq.mtrs as against required 1500 sq.mtrs.

As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 19.08.2014. The institution has submitted a written representation on 08.09.2014 as under:-"I humbly submit the following lines for your favorable consideration and approval.

Osmania college of Education is a Minority Institution granted under Article 30(1) of Constitution of India as per GO Ms No. 214 dated 17.09.1993.

The college is governed under the aegis of Dr. Abdul Huq Educational Academy Kurnool under which education imparted to Muslim Minority students.

Osmania college of Education was established in the year 1993 and the institution was functioning at 40/23, Park Road, Kurnool from 1993 to 2000. the Management has shifted to 11/9 Peta, Osmania College Road, Kurnool and proceedings of the Commissioner and Director of school

Education AP. Hyderabad dated 25.08.2000 copy of shifting the college premises is enclosed herewith. The same copy was also sent to NCTE, Bangalore in the appraisal report. The following are the particulars of Osmania college of Education, Kurnool built up area

Ground Floor

Room No. 63 & 64 –Class room for EM/TM Students
65 Seminar Room (EM/TM)
66 Social Science Laboratory
67 Health and Physical Education Resource Centre
68 Arts and Craft Resource Centre
69 Staff Room.

First Floor:-

Room No. 70 & 71: Class rooms for Urdu Medium Students.
72 Physical Sciences Laboratory
73 Biological Laboratory
74 Library- Cum-Reading Room.
75 Computer Laboratory
76 Office & Record Room
77 Principal's Office & Visitor's Room

Second Floor.

Room No. 78 Seminar Room- (Urdu Medium)
79 Psychology Lab & Class room.
80 Audio – Visual & Educational Technology Room.
81 Multi Purpose Hall With seating Capacity.

Third Floor: Under Construction.

The total built up area of the college is 2098.75 sq mts. The plan of the building is herewith enclosed for your kind consideration and perusal. The present construction area will be 721.92 sqm.

The area of the old college premises was 1349 sq mts and the same has been misinterpreted by the inspection tea. The new building of the college is on par with the NCTE norms and the built up area is 2098.75sq mts and an additional of 721.92 can be added after construction on third floor.

Hence there is no deviation of norms in the built up area. A video and photographs of the building are herewith enclosed in the DVD Osmania College of Education, Kurnool is one of the reputed institution with well established infrastructure with teaching and non- teaching staff as per the requirement, and norms Toilets for staff and students i.e. separated for men and women are provided. Drinking water facilities i.e. tap and bore well facility is available. Appointment and payment of salaries of staff is as per the Government norms.

The allegation made by Student's Federation of India (SFI) AP was related to D.Ed., College, Osmania College of Education, Kurnool has no D.Ed., College. However detail regarding the B.Ed College was submitted to the inspection team since 1993 onwards.

With the above explanation, my I request you sir to kindly examine the facts and grant us necessary relief from the allegation.”

The Documents submitted by the institution are as under:-

1. A photo copy of the Building plan which is not approved by the Competent authority.
2. A copy of the letter Proceedings of the Commissioner and Director of School Education Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad dated 25.08.2000 Permitting the management of the Osmania College of Education, Kurnool to shift the B.Ed college from the present building to at door No.11/9 another building within the same locality.

		<p>3. A copy the Minorities Welfare Department Minority status certificate dated 23.07.1999</p> <p>4. A copy of the letter Government of Andhra Pradesh. ABSTRACT dated 17.09.1993 addressed to J. Sreedhara Sarm, Secretary to Government. The Government after careful consideration hereby accord permission on to set up a B.Ed college at Kurnool by the institution with an intake capacity of 160 students with 50 seats being reserved for the students of Urdu Medium. Subject to the fulfillment of the certain conditions</p> <p>5. A copy of the certificate of Registration of Societies</p> <p>6. A copy of the letter from the registrar Sri Krishnadevaraya University Anantapur regarding approved list of teaching staff from the academic year 2007-08.</p> <p>7. A Copy of the affidavit 03.04.2007</p> <p>In the meantime a letter received from the Super intendent of Police Central Bureau of Investigation, Anti- Corruption Branch III Floor, Kendriya Sadan, Sultan Bazar, Koti, Hyderabad-500 095 dated 22.09.2014 No.C5/CE-512/2014/M. Compls/CBI/H/3463 which is as under:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“ Forwarding of Complaint, complaint received from Sri Karimullah Khan, Principal, Osmania College of Education, Kurnool regarding explanation for Show Cause Notice is forwarded herewith for your further necessary action. CBI, Hyderabad branch has not conducted any enquiry into the matter.”</i></p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 273rd meeting held during 30th September, & 1st October, 2014 considered the matter, written representation from the institution vide their letter dated 08.09.2014, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to cause inspection to verify, the facts and the 'areas' available. This can be done at our expense since it is verification launched at our initiative.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was conducted on 17.04.2015 and the VT Report was received by this office on 22.04.2015.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 286th meeting held on 30th April 2015 considered the matter, VT report dated 14.04.2015 and all the other relevant documents of the institution, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to Process VT report along with CBI and /or Departments inquiry report.</p> <p>In the mean time on 11.02.2015, the institution submitted an affidavit affirming adherence to Regulations 2014 and a revised order was issued to the institution for offering B.Ed course of two years duration with an intake of 150 students (3 units of B.Ed)</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, VT report, and other relevant documents of the institution, and decided as under:</p> <p>The controversy is about contradiction between the VT inspection Report and the complaint received from the commissioner and Director of School Education., A.P. The BP & BCC confirm adequacy of built-up area. Anyway, let us order a fresh VT inspection to settle the correct position about built-up area.</p>
8.	<p>SRCAPP10 45 D.El.Ed National D.Ed college, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>National D,Ed College, Pedda Tekur Village, Ullindakonda Post, Kallur Taluk, Kurnool District-518002, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Mubarak Education Soceity, Plot No.44, Doctor's Colony, Kurnool village, B.camp post, kallur Taluk, Kurnool city & District,pin-518002, Andhra Pradesh had submitted online application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE on 24.09.2011 and physical application on 29.09.2011 for grant of recognition for D.El.Ed course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students at National D,Ed College, Pedda Tekur Village, Ullindakonda Post, Kallur Taluk, Kurnool District-518002, Andhra Pradesh,and was granted recognition on 04.09.2012 for the academic session 2012-2013.for offering D.El.Ed course with an annual intake of 50 students.</p>

AP

A complaint has been received from Rama Shankar Naik, I.A.S, Commissioner & Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad received on 28/11/2013 Stating that.

"... I wish to inform that the student federation of India (SFI), A.P. vide letter dated 24.09.2013 has submitted a written complaint to the District Collector, Kurnool, that the Colleges running D.Ed Courses in the district are deficient on the following points;

1. *Infrastructure availability as against the requirement*
2. *Staff position.*
3. *Toilets and Drinking water facility.*
4. *Appointment of faculty as per Government Norms*
5. *Payment of salaries to the faculty*
6. *Location of building with reference to the address at which recognition is granted by NCTE.*

Based on the above complaint the District Collector vide Procs. Rc.No.330/2013, dated 15.10.2013 has appointed an enquiry team to enquire into the allegations made against D.Ed Colleges in the district and submit a comprehensive report within a week time. of Challenging the action of the District Collector, the Rayalaseema University Private Colleges of Education Management Welfare Association 41-499, Kothapet, Kurnool has filed W.P.No.30289/2013 in the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh, with a prayer that the District Collector lacks jurisdiction, both under the National Council Teachers Education Act and the Andhra Pradesh Education Act, 1982 and therefore the proceedings must be quashed.

On hearing both sides the Hon'ble High Court has observed that inspections proposed to be caused, include an inspection of various facilities such as provision of toilet and drinking water facilities etc., and it will be in larger public interest to ensure that educational institutions provide these facilities. The Hon'ble High Court hs by exercising its discretionary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, has Suomotto impleaded the Commissioner & Director of School Education in the Writ Petition and issued orders to cause an enquiry on all the points referred to in the proceedings of the District Collector dated 15.10.2013 and submit a compliance report within two weeks.

In response to the directions of the Hon'ble High Court, the Commissioner and Director of School Education has requested the Hon'ble Court to grant 6 weeks time to submit the report. On hearing the same the Hon'ble court has granted one week time to inspect the institutions located in Kurnool town itself in the first instance.

Accordingly, two teams have been constituted by the Commissioner and Director of School Education for enquiring into the allegations made against D.Ed Colleges in the district on the following facilities.

- 1) *Infrastructure availability against the requirement.*
- 2) *Staff pattern and vacancy position.*
- 3) *Toilets and Drinking water facility.*
- 4) *Appointment of faculty as per Government Norms.*
- 5) *Payment of salaries to the faculty.*
- 6) *Location of building with reference to the proposal sent by the management for permission to the government.*

In view of the above orders the Enquiry team has visited the D.El.Ed and B.Ed Colleges

located in Kurnool town and submitted the report to the commissioner and director of school education. the enquiry reports reveal that 7 out of the 25 colleges located in Kurnool town as shown below are truly found to be deficient on some of the 6 parameters on which inspection is caused.

1	Iqbal D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village & Post, Kurnool Taluk & City, Kurnool District-518001, Andhra Pradesh.
2	Sadia D.Ed College, Kh.No.34, Peddapadu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City and District-518001, Andhra Pradesh
3	Abu Sawood D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City & District-518001, Andhra Pradesh
4	Mohd. Pasha D.Ed College, Parthasaradhi Nagar,B-Camp Post, Kalluru Taluk Kurnool City & District-518002, Andhra Pradesh.
5	Al-Madina Elementary Teacher Education, Plot No.109/B3, 024, Near Zamia Majid, Mungalapadu Village & Post, Kurnool District-518004, Andhra Pradesh
6	National D.Ed College, Plot No.00Peddatekuru Village, Ullindakonda Post, Kalluru Taluk Kurnool District-518002 Andhra Pradesh.
7	Osmania College of Education, 40/23, Park Road, Kurnool-518001, Andhra Pradesh

The recommendation of C&DSE for withdrawal of recognition to the seven college

The SRC in its 256th meeting held on 4th-6th December 2013 and the committee considered the matter and decided to process and put up in the next meeting.

In the meantime ,this office received CBI report No DPHYD2014/1314/C2 /PEHY D 20 13A0007 dated 24.03.2014 from Supdt. of Police HOB,CBI,ACB Hyderabad on 28.03.2014 which is as under:-

"A preliminary enquiry vide PE 7 (A)/2013 was registered on 22.11.2013 by the CBI/ACB/Hyderabad against unknown officials of NCTE Bangalore, Managements of Nine (9) suspected D.Ed colleges.

1.	Iqbal D.Ed College, Nayakallu
2.	K. Iqbal D.Ed College, Rudravaram
3.	KMD Iqbal D.Ed College, Peddapadu
4.	Abu Sawood D.Ed College, Nayakallu
5.	Sadia D.Ed College, Peddapadu
6.	Khan TPT College, Rludravaram
7.	National D.Ed College, Pedda Tekur (V),

[In the said above seven institutions forwarded by Commissioner and Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh, four colleges (Iqbal D.Ed College, Sodia D.Ed College, Abu Sawood D.Ed College, National D.Ed College) are included among the list of seven colleges of CBI enquiry].

The allegations in brief are: the information is that the officials of NCTE Bangalore joined hands with the managements of the D.Ed Colleges viz: Vishwabharathi College of Elementary Education, Wanaparthy Road, Pebbair Village, Mahaboobnagar Dist & Other 8 colleges (KMD Iqbal D.Ed College, Peddapadu, Iqbal D.Ed college, Nayakallu etc, of Kurnool) and granted recognitions/permissions to the said college, during the year 2012-2013 based on the false inspections/visits, even though the buildings of said D.Ed, Colleges are still under construction, lack.

In view of the enquiry, the CBI has recommended to take action as deemed fit against the owners of the erroneous following D.Ed colleges.

1.	<i>Iqbal D.Ed Coillage</i>
2	<i>K. Iqbal D.Ed College</i>
3	<i>K.MD Iqbal, D.Ed college</i>
4	<i>Abu Sawood D.Ed College</i>
5	<i>Saida D.Ed College</i>
6	<i>Khan Telugu Pundit Training</i>
7	<i>National D.Ed College, Pedda Tekur (V), Ullindakonda (P), Kallur Taluk, Kurnool.</i>

The SRC in its 266th meeting held on 5th and 6th May, 2014 considered the inspection report of the Commissioner and Director of School Education in respect of the said colleges, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to examine the cases and put up each case separately w.r.t. the inspection report of the Commissioner / Director of School Education and also the CBI reports.

As per the direction of SRC, the inspection report of the Commission & Director of School Education is enclosed as per Annexure-I.further, the enquiry report of CBI with respect to National D.Ed College, Kurnool District, is as follows:

- 1 National D.Ed College, Pedda Tekur(V), Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh(SRCAPP1045) as per the observation of the Commissioner:*

•	<i>Building is located in the same place as submitted in the proposal by the management to the NCTE for permission</i> <i>The college has not fulfilled the norm for – 1. Built up area. It is found that built up area is 543.27 sq.mtr against to the norms of NCTE i.e., 1500 sq.mtr the same may be reported to SRC, NCTE, Bangalore for further necessary action. Further, action may be initiated against the officers who have earlier submitted inspection reports for grant of affiliation.</i>
---	---

As per the observation of CBI :

•	<i>During the Course enquiry the IO along with the officials of the DSE(Inspection Team) visited National D.Ed College.Enquiry further disclosed that the inspection team(Officials of DSE)(Y.Madhusudhan, Smt.N.Geetha, & Narashimha Reddy) have also conducted inspection of the said college during there visit and submitted report to the Commissioner & Director of</i>
---	---

DSE, Sri Rama Shankar Naik, IAS vide his Lt.dtd. 17.11.2013 and annexure – I ,has communicated to the Regional Director, NCTE, Bangalore, “ recommending for withdrawal of recognition to the colleges including National D.Ed College”.

The observation mentioned in the Annexure – I is that “ norm with respect to land is fulfilled (3000 sq.mtrs) but built up area is not fulfilled. The total built u p area is 543.27 sq.mtrs where as they required norms is 1500 sq.mtrs a shortage of 956.73 sq.mtrs”.

The Commissioner & Director, DSE, Hyderabad has recommended to the NCTE, for withdrawal of recognition due to shortage in the plinth area 956.73 sq.mtrs, that will meet the requirement.

The enquiry has revealed that on the basis of orders of the Hon'ble High Court of A.P., Sri Rama Shankar Naik. IAS, conducted inspection and sent a report to the NCTE recommending to withdraw recognition accorded. The inspection team noted the deficiencies promptly which they noticed during their inspection. The owners of the institutions used wrong documents and photos of building in the applications submitted for getting approval of the institutes.

A Chart containing photos with descriptions of the D.Ed Colleges of Kurnool etc.(taken during the CBI visit) is prepared which gives the chronological status of the said colleges and the same is enclosed herewith.

In view of the above, it is recommended to take action as deemed fit against the owners of erroneous following D.Ed Colleges.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 268th meeting held on 4th – 5th June 2014 considered the matter, complaint letter from Shri. Rama Shankar Naik, I.A.S. Commissioner & Director of School Education, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, received by this office on 28/11/2014 against the said college and all the relevant documentary evidences and the CBI report forwarded by the Supdt. of Police & HOB, CBI, ACB, Hyderabad, dated 24.03.2014, and the Committee decided to serve Show Cause Notice.

As per the decision of SRC, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 19.08.2014 The institution has submitted a written representation on 19.09.2014

The Southern Regional Committee in its 273rd meeting held during 30th September and 1st October, 2015 considered the matter, written representation from the institution vide their letter dated 19.09.2014, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to cause inspection to verify, the facts and the 'areas' available. This can be done at our expense since it is verification launched at our initiative.

As per the decision of SRC , the inspection of the institution was scheduled during 20th March to 30th April, 2015 and the same was intimated to the institution on 10.03.2015.

		<p>The inspection of the institution was conducted on 17-04-2015 and VT report was received by this office on 22.04.2015.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 286th meeting held on 30th April 2015 considered the matter, VT report dated 14.04.2015 and all the other relevant documents of the institution, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to Process VT report along with CBI and /or Departments inquiry report.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, VT report, and other relevant documents of the institution, and decided as under:</p> <p>The controversy is about contradiction between the VT inspection Report and the complaint received from the commissioner and Director of School Education., A.P. The BP & BCC confirm adequacy of built-up area. Anyway, let us order a fresh VT inspection to settle the correct position about built-up area.</p>
9.	SRCAPP91 3 D.El.Ed Mohammed Pasha D.Ed college, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh AP	<p>Mohammed pasha D.Ed college, Prarthasathi Nagar,B,Camp post, Kallur Taluk, Kurnool District –518002,Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Madina Education Society, plot No-50-348L21A,arora Nagar, Kurnool City, B, Camp Post, Kallur Taluk, Kurnool District –518002,Andhra Pradesh had submitted an online application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE on 28-09-2011 and physical application on 30-09-2011 for grant of recognition for D.El.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 students at Mohammed Pasha D.Ed college, Prarthasathi Nagar, B, Camp Post, Kallur Taluk, Kurnool District –518002, Andhra Pradesh and was granted recognition on 04-09-2012 for the academic session 2012-2013 for offering D.El.Ed Course with an annual intake of 50 students</p> <p>A complaint has been received from Rama Shankar Naik, I.A.S, Commissioner & Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad received on 28/11/2013 Stating that.</p> <p>“... I wish to inform that the student federation of India (SFI), A.P. vide letter dated 24.09.2013 has submitted a written complaint to the District Collector, Kurnool, that the Colleges running D.Ed Courses in the district are deficient on the following points;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Infrastructure availability as against the requirement2. Staff position3. Toilets and Drinking water facility.4. Appointment of faculty as per Government Norms5. Payment of salaries to the faculty6. Location of building with reference to the address at which recognition is grantedby NCTE <p>Based on the above complaint the District Collector vide Procgs. Rc.No.330/2013, dated 15.10.2013 has appointed an enquiry team to enquire into the allegations made against D.Ed Colleges in the district and submit a comprehensive report within a week time. of Challenging the action of the District Collector, the Rayalaseema University Private Colleges of Education Management Welfare Association 41-499, Kothapet, Kurnool has filed W.P.No.30289/2013 in the Hon’ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh, with a prayer that the District Collector lacks jurisdiction, both under the National Council Teachers Education Act and the Andhra Pradesh Education Act, and the Andhra Pradesh Education Act, 1982 and therefore the proceedings must be quashed.</p> <p>On hearing both sides the Hon’ble High Court has observed that inspections proposed to</p>

be caused, include an inspection of various facilities such as provision of toilet and drinking water facilities etc., and it will be in larger public interest to ensure that educational institutions provide these facilities. The Hon'ble High Court has by exercising its discretionary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, has Suomotto impleaded the Commissioner & Director of School Education in the Writ Petition and issued orders to cause an enquiry on all the points referred to in the proceedings of the District Collector dated 15.10.2013 and submit a compliance report within two weeks.

In response to the directions of the Hon'ble High Court, the Commissioner and Director of School Education has requested the Hon'ble Court to grant 6 weeks time to submit the report. On hearing the same the Hon'ble court has granted one week time to inspect the institutions located in Kurnool town itself in the first instance.

Accordingly, two teams have been constituted by the Commissioner and Director of School Education for enquiring into the allegations made against D.Ed Colleges in the district on the following facilities.

1. Infrastructure availability against the requirement.
2. Staff pattern and vacancy position.
3. Toilets and Drinking water facility.
4. Appointment of faculty as per Government Norms.
5. Payment of salaries to the faculty.
6. Location of building with reference to the proposal sent by the management for permission to the government

In view of the above orders the Enquiry team has visited the D.El.Ed and B.Ed Colleges located in Kurnool town and submitted the report to the commissioner and director of school education. the enquiry reports reveal that 7 out of the 25 colleges located in Kurnool town as shown below are truly found to be deficient on some of the 6 parameters on which inspection is caused.

1	Iqbal Diqbal D.Ed college, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village & Post, Kurnool Taluk & City, Kurnool District-518001, Andhra Pradesh.
2	Sadia D.Ed College, Kh.No.34, Peddapadu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City and District-518001, Andhra Pradesh
3	Abu Sawood D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City & District-518001, Andhra Pradesh
4	Mohd. Pasha D.Ed College, Parthasaradhi Nagar,B-Camp Post, Kalluru Taluk Kurnool City & District-518002, Andhra Pradesh.
5	Al-Madina Elementary Teacher Education, Plot No.109/B3, 024, Near Zamia Majid, Mungalapadu Village & Post, Kurnool District-518004, Andhra Pradesh
6	National D.Ed College, Plot No.00Peddatekuru Village, Ullindakonda Post, Kalluru Taluk KurnoolDistrict-518002 Andhra Pradesh.
7	Osmania College of Education, 40/23, Park Road, Kurnool-518001, Andhra Pradesh

The recommendation of C&DSE for withdrawal of recognition to the seven college

The SRC in its 256th meeting held on 4th-6th December 2013 and the committee considered the matter and decided to process and put up in the next meeting.

As per the observation of the Commissioner:

Building is located in the same place as submitted in the proposal by the management to the NCTE for permission.

The college has not fulfilled the norm for – 1. Built up area. It is found that built up area is 1349 sq.mtr against to the norms of NCTE i.e., 1500 sq.mtr the same may be reported to SRC, NCTE, Bangalore for further necessary action. Further, action may be initiated against the officers who have earlier submitted inspection reports for grant of affiliation”.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 268th meeting held on 4th 5th June, 2014 considered the matter, complaint letter from Shri. Rama Shankar Naik, I.A.S. Commissioner & Director of School Education, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, received on 28/11/2014 against the said college and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show Cause Notice for the following reasons:

As per the report submitted by Commissioner & DSE, Andhra Pradesh

- *The college has not fulfilled the NCTE norms for*

i). Built up area is inadequate as per NCTE norms. Built up area is only 964.27 sq.mts as against 1500 sq.mts.

As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 19.08.2014. The institution has submitted a written representation on 08.09.2014 as under:-

1) “Building is located in the same place as submitted in the proposal by the management to the NCTE for permission.

Reply: The Management reiterates that from day one the D.Ed., Course/ classes are being in the same location and it will continue to function from the same premises/location in future also.

2) The College has not fulfilled the norm for 1. Built up area. It is found that built up area is 1349 sq.mts. against to the norms of NCTE i.e. 1500 sq. mts . the same may be reported to SRC, NCTE Bangalore for further necessary action.

Reply: It is submitted that the constructed area of my college is about 1500 sq.mts hence request you to inspect my college at any time to clarify and confirm the total built up area 1500 sq mts..

The team might not have taken into account the built area covering

- 1. Porticos.*
- 2. Verandas.*
- 3. Stairs.*
- 4. Parking slots.*
- 5. Chajjas.*
- 6. Roof top stairs and head room.*

The team might have taken only interior size of the class rooms resulting in less built up area. The actual built up area is 1500 Sq.mts.

		<p><i>In support of this we are enclosing Building Completion Certificate approved /Sanctioned plan given by the Asst. Civil Engineer, Municipal Corporation Kurnool. for ready reference. In view of the above it is requested to not to initiate any further action."</i></p> <p>Note: <i>Photocopy of BCC approved by Municipality Corporation in the name of Madina Educational Society, Prarthasathi nagar, B, camp post, Kurnool dated 05.01.2012 is submitted.</i></p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 273rd meeting held during 30th September & 1st October 2014 considered the matter, written representation from the institution vide their letter dated 08.09.2014, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to cause inspection to verify, the facts and the 'areas' available. This can be done at our expense since it is verification launched at our initiative.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a Cause inspection letter issued to the institution on 10.03.2015.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was conducted on 13.04.2015 and the VT Report was received by this office on 22.04.2015.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 286th meeting held on 30th April 2015 considered the matter, VT report dated 14.04.2015 and all the other relevant documents of the institution, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to Process VT report along with CBI and /or Departments inquiry report</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, VT report, and other relevant documents of the institution, and decided as under:</p> <p>The controversy is about contradiction between the VT inspection Report and the complaint received from the commissioner and Director of School Education., A.P. The BP & BCC confirm adequacy of built-up area. Anyway, let us order a fresh VT inspection to settle the correct position about built-up area.</p>
10.	<p>SRCAPP12 66 D.El.Ed Abu Sawood D.Ed college, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh AP</p>	<p>Abu Sawood D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City & District-518001, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Abu Sawood Educational Society, Plot No. 87-520, Sri Nagar Colony, Kurnool Village, B Camp Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City, Kurnool District – 518001, Andhra Pradesh had submitted an on-line application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE on 29.09.2011 and physical application on 30.09.2011 for grant of recognition for D.EL.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 students at Abu Sawood D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City & District-518001, Andhra Pradesh. and was granted recognition on 10.09.2012 for the academic session 2012-2013 for offering D.EL.Ed course with an annual intake of 50 students.</p> <p>A complaint has been received from Rama Shankar Naik, I.A.S, Commissioner & Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad received on 28/11/2013 Stating that.</p> <p><i>"... I wish to inform that the student federation of India (SFI), A.P. vide letter dated 24.09.2013 has submitted a written complaint to the District Collector, Kurnool, that the Colleges running D.Ed Courses in the district are deficient on the following points;</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>Infrastructure availability as against the requirement</i> 2. <i>Staff position</i> 3. <i>Toilets and Drinking water facility.</i> 4. <i>Appointment of faculty as per Government Norms</i> 5. <i>Payment of salaries to the faculty</i> 6. <i>Location of building with reference to the address at which recognition is granted</i>

by NCTE.

Based on the above complaint the District Collector vide Procs. Rc.No.330/2013, dated 15.10.2013 has appointed an enquiry team to enquire into the allegations made against D.Ed Colleges in the district and submit a comprehensive report within a week time. of Challenging the action of the District Collector, the Rayalaseema University Private Colleges of Education Management Welfare Association 41-499, Kothapet, Kurnool has filed W.P.No.30289/2013 in the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh, with a prayer that the District Collector lacks jurisdiction, both under the National Council Teachers Education Act and the Andhra Pradesh Education Act, 1982 and therefore the proceedings must be quashed.

On hearing both sides the Hon'ble High Court has observed that inspections proposed to be casued, include an inspection of various facilities such as provision of toilet and drinking water facilities etc., and it will be in larger public interest to ensure that educational institutions provide these facilities. The Hon'ble High Court has by exercising its discretionary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, has Suomotto impleaded the Commissioner & Director of School Education in the Writ Petition and issued orders to cause an enquiry on all the points referred to in the proceedings of the District Collector dated 15.10.2013 and submit a compliance report within two weeks.

In response to the directions of the Hon'ble High Court, the Commissioner and Director of School Education has requested the Hon'ble Court to grant 6 weeks time to submit the report. On hearing the same the Hon'ble court has granted one week time to inspect the institutions located in Kurnool town itself in the first instance.

Accordingly, two teams have been constituted by the Commissioner and Director of School Education for enquiring into the allegations made against D.Ed Colleges in the district on the following facilities.

1. Infrastructure availability against the requirement.
2. Staff pattern and vacancy position.
3. Toilets and Drinking water facility.
4. Appointment of faculty as per Government Norms.
5. Payment of salaries to the faculty.
6. Location of building with reference to the proposal sent by the management for permission to the government.

In view of the above orders the Enquiry team has visited the D.El.Ed and B.Ed Colleges located in Kurnool town and submitted the report to the commissioner and director of school education. the enquiry reports reveal that 7 out of the 25 colleges located in Kurnool town as shown below are truly found to be deficient on some of the 6 parameters on which inspection is caused.

1	Iqbal D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village & Post, Kurnool Taluk & City, Kurnool District-518001, Andhra Pradesh.
2	Sadia D.Ed College, Kh.No.34, Peddapadu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City and District-518001, Andhra Pradesh
3	Abu Sawood D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City & District-518001, Andhra Pradesh
4	Mohd. Pasha D.Ed College, Parthasaradhi Nagar,B-Camp Post, Kalluru Taluk Kurnool City & District-518002, Andhra Pradesh.

5	Al-Madina Elementary Teacher Education, Plot No.109/B3, 024, Near Zamia Majid, Mungalapadu Village & Post, Kurnool District-518004, Andhra Pradesh
6	National D.Ed College, Plot No.00Peddatekuru Village, Ullindakonda Post, Kalluru Taluk KurnoolDistrict-518002 Andhra Pradesh.
7	Osmania College of Education, 40/23, Park Road, Kurnool-518001, Andhra Pradesh

The recommendation of C&DSE for withdrawal of recognition to the seven college The SRC in its 256th meeting held on 4th-6th December 2013 and the committee considered the matter and decided to process and put up in the next meeting.

In the meantime, this office received CBI report No DPHYD2014/1314/C2 /PEHY D 20 13A0007 dated 24.03.2014 from Supdt.of Police HOB,CBI,ACB Hyderabad on 28.03.2014 which is as under:-

“A preliminary enquiry vide PE 7 (A)/2013 was registered on 22.11.2013 by the CBI/ACB/Hyderabad against unknown officials of NCTE Bangalore, Managements of Nine (9) suspected D.Ed colleges.

1.	Iqbal D.Ed College, Nayakallu
2.	K. Iqbal D.Ed College, Rudravaram

3.	KMD Iqbal D.Ed College, Peddapadu
4.	Abu Sawood D.Ed College, Nayakallu
5.	Sadia D.Ed College, Peddapadu
6.	Khan TPT College, Rludravaram
7.	National D.Ed College, Pedda Tekur (V), Ullindakonda(P), Kallur Taluk,

In the said above seven institutions forwarded by Commissioner and Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh, four colleges (Iqbal D.Ed College, Sodida D.Ed College, Abu Sawood D.Ed College, National D.Ed College) are included among the list of seven colleges of CBI enquiry].

The allegations in brief are: the information is that the officials of NCTE Bangalore joined hands with the managements of the D.Ed Colleges viz: Vishwabharathi College of Elementary Education, Wanaparthy Road, Pebbair Village, Mahaboobnagar Dist & Other 8 colleges (KMD Iqbal D.Ed College, Peddapadu, Iqbal D.Ed college, Nayakallu etc, of Kurnool) and granted recognitions/permissions to the said college, during the year 2012-2013 based on the false inspections/visits, even though the buildings of said D.Ed, Colleges are still under construction, lack of basic infrastructure facilities, equipment, lab facilities library etc.,

In view of the enquiry, the CBI has recommended to take action as deemed fit against the owners of the erroneous following D.Ed colleges.

1.	Iqbal D.Ed Coilege
2	K. Iqbal D.Ed College
3	K.MD Iqbal, D.Ed college
4	Abu Sawood D.Ed College
5	Saida D.Ed College
6	Khan Telugu Pundit Training
7	National D.Ed College, Pedda Tekur (V), Ullindakonda (P), Kallur Taluk, Kurnool.

The SRC in its 266th meeting held on 5th and 6th May, 2014 considered the inspection report of the Commissioner and Director of School Education in respect of the said colleges, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to examine the cases and put up each case separately w.r.t. the inspection report of the Commissioner / Director of School Education and also the CBI reports.

As per the direction of SRC, the inspection report of the Commission & Director of School Education is enclosed as per Annexure-I.further, the enquiry report of CBI with respect to Abu Sawood D.Ed College Kurnool District, is as follows:

As per the observation of the Commissioner:

Building is located in the same place as submitted in the proposal by the management to the permission.

The college has not fulfilled the norm for – 1. Built up area. It is found that built up area is 932.52 sq.mtr against to the norms of NCTE i.e., 1500 sq.mtr the same may be reported to SRC, NCTE, Bangalore for further necessary action. Further, action may be initiated against the officers who have earlier submitted inspection reports for grant of affiliation.

A per the observation of the CBI:

Enquiry disclosed that Sri. P.A. Salam Khan resident of Kurnool is representing Abu Sawood D. Saida D.Ed College and Khan TPT College.

Enquiry disclosed that the Sri P.A.Salam Khan, Secretary to correspondent of Abu Sawood D. Society, Kurnool has submitted application and enclosures to the Regional Director Bangalore (received during Oct:2011) and requested for permission / recognition to Abu Sawood programme from 2012-13. He is one of the members of the said society. Enquiry disclosed that S. Salal Khan is one of the witness of the declaration available in the application. The purported school supposed to be in existence at the land (65 cents) in survey No. 31/9, Nayakallu, (Vill & panchayat (M), Kurnool District. The land was registered vide sale deed No. 6928 / 2011 dt. 02.09.2012 in the said society.

Inspection by the Visiting team members; Dr. A. Basappa & Dr. Smt N. Kalai Arasi visiting team members nominated by the NCTE have conducted inspection of the said Abu Sawood D.Ed college on 01.01.2014. Submitted visiting team report, CD and booklet containing details of infrastructure and instructions. The said booklet contained the photograph of the building.

The Southern Regional Committee has considered the documents and decided to issue letter of grant of recognition to the applicant institution for D.El.Ed (D.Ed) course of two years duration with intake of 50 students with effect from the academic session 2012-13. Sri Gangadharaiyah, Regional Director Bangalore has issued a letter of Intent and recognition to the said college vide letters dt. 28.07.2012 and 10.09.2012.

Inspection by State Government officials as per the orders of High Court of AP, Hyderabad: Enquiry disclosed that with reference to the writ petition No. 30289/2013, the High Court of AP, Hyderabad has directed the education department of the statement of conduct inspection at the D.Ed colleges of Kurnool to check infrastructure facilities etc. The department of school Education has formed two teams comprising Premanandam, Jt. Director, J. Narahari and Y. Amarendra and Smt. N. Geetha, Narasimha Reddy, Madhusudhan, for conducting inspections at the D.Ed colleges who have conducted the same from November, 2013 and submitted reports vide Lrs dtd. 15.11.2013 to the commissioner & Director Hyderabad. The Inspection team (Y. Madhusudhan Smt. N. Geetha, Narasimha Reddy, who has conducted inspection at the D.Ed colleges Kurnool including Abu Sawood D.Ed college found certain deficiencies in respect of some colleges including Abu Sawood D.Ed college is that it has not fulfilled as per norms of plinth area (932.52 sq.mts). On the basis of the inspection reports of the inspection teams, Shankar Naik, IAS, the then Director & commissioner, DSE, vide his Lr. Dt. 17.11.2013 has informed Regional Director, NCTE, Bangalore about the recommendation for withdrawal of recognition to the colleges including Abu Sawood D.Ed college Nayakallu, Kurnool. The observation mentioned in the annexure to the said letter is that "is that norm with respect to land is fulfilled. The total built up area is 932.52 sq.mtrs. Where as the required norm is 1500 sq.mtrs i.e shortage of 567.480 sq.mtrs".

During the course of enquiry, the IO along with the inspection officials team of State Government visited D.Ed colleges including Abu Sawood D.Ed college. The enquiry team has found that the management of the college i.e, Abu Sawood, represented by Sri. P.A Salam Khan (who was available on 31.01.2014) has shown the colleges to CBI Team) has submitted application with photograph of the college building, to the Regional Director, NCTE, Bangalore. When the teams of the DSE, visited (as per court orders), he has shown different building. Even the building was shown as Iqbal D.Ed college to Sri Premanandam.

The enquiry there by disclosed that the building meant for Abu Sawood D.Ed college is not the one shown in the photo available in the application and submitted to NCTE. Sri. P.A. Salam Khan has shown the photograph of non-existed building to the NCTE. He has shown different building to the visiting members of NCTE. He has shown different building to the DSE inspection team. Thus the location of the afore said D.Ed college are different. There by Sri. P.A.

Salam Khan of the afore said college, by using different documents misled the S & DSE.

		<p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 268th meeting held on 4th – 5th June 2014 considered the matter, complaint letter from Shri. Rama Shankar Naik, I.A.S. Commissioner & Director of School Education, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, received by this office on 28/11/2014 against the said college and all the relevant documentary evidences and the CBI report forwarded by the Supdt. of Police & HOB, CBI, ACB, Hyderabad, dated 24.03.2014, and the Committee decided to serve Show Cause Notice</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 19.08.2014 The institution has submitted a written representation on 15.09.2014</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 273rd meeting held during 30th September and 1st October , 2015 considered the matter, written representation from the institution vide their letter dated 08.09.2014, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to <u>cause inspection</u> to verify, the facts and the 'areas' available. This can be done at our expense since it is verification launched at our initiative.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC , the inspection of the institution was scheduled during 20th March to 20th April, 2015 and the same was intimated to the institution on 10.03.2015.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was conducted on 16-04-2015 and VT Report was received by this office on 22.04.2015.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 286th meeting held on 30th April 2015 considered the matter, VT report dated 14.04.2015 and all the other relevant documents of the institution, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to Process VT report along with CBI and /or Department inquiry report.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, VT report, and other relevant documents of the institution, and decided as under:</p> <p>The controversy is about contradiction between the VT inspection Report and the complaint received from the commissioner and Director of School Education., A.P. The BP & BCC confirm adequacy of built-up area. Anyway, let us order a fresh VT inspection to settle the correct position about built-up area.</p>
11.	<p>SRCAPP12 62 D.El.Ed Iqbal D.Ed college, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh AP</p>	<p>Iqbal D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village & Post, Kurnool Taluk & City, Kurnool District-518001, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Ya Loubali Educational Society, Plot No.40/307B, Bhagya Nagar street and Post, Kurnool, Kurnool District –518001, Andhra Pradesh had submitted an online application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE on 29.09.2011 and physical application on 30.09.2011 for grant recognition for D.El.Ed course of two year during with an annual intake of 50 students at Iqbal D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village & Post, Kurnool Taluk & City, Kurnool District-518001, Andhra Pradesh. and was granted recognition on 18.09.2012.</p> <p>A complaint has been received from Mr.Rama Shankar Naik, I.A.S, Commissioner & Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad received by this office on 28/11/2013 stated that. “... I wish to inform that the student federation of India (SFI), A.P. vide letter dated 24.09.2013 has submitted a written complaint to the District Collector, Kurnool, that the Colleges running D.Ed Courses in the district are deficient on the following points;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Infrastructure availability as against the requirement 2. Staff position 3. Toilets and Drinking water facility. 4. Appointment of faculty as per Government Norms 5. Payment of salaries to the faculty 6. Location of building with reference to the address at which recognition is granted by NCTE. <p>Based on the above complaint the District Collector vide Proccs. Rc.No.330/2013, dated</p>

15.10.2013 has appointed an enquiry team to enquire into the allegations made against D.Ed Colleges in the district and submit a comprehensive report within a week time. of Challenging the action of the District Collector, the Rayalaseema University Private Colleges of Education Management Welfare Association 41-499, Kothapet, Kurnool has filed W.P.No.30289/2013 in the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh, with a prayer that the District Collector lacks jurisdiction, both under the National Council Teachers Education Act and the Andhra Pradesh Education Act, 1982 and therefore the proceedings must be quashed.

On hearing both sides the Hon'ble High Court has observed that inspections proposed to be casued, include an inspection of various facilities such as provision of toilet and drinking water facilities etc., and it will be in larger public interest to ensure that educational institutions provide these facilities. The Hon'ble High Court hs by exercising its discretionary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, has Suomotto impleaded the Commissioner & Director of School Education in the Writ Petition and issued orders to cause an enquiry on all the points referred to in the proceedings of the District Collector dated 15.10.2013 and submit a compliance report within two weeks.

In response to the directions of the Hon'ble High Court, the Commissioner and Director of School Education has requested the Hon'ble Court to grant 6 weeks time to submit the report. On hearing the same the Hon'ble court has granted one week time to inspect the institutions located in Kurnool town itself in the first instance.

Accordingly, two teams have been constituted by the Commissioner and Director of School Education for enquiring into the allegations made against D.Ed Colleges in the district on the following facilities.

1. Infrastructure availability against the requirement.
2. Staff pattern and vacancy position.
3. Toilets and Drinking water facility.
4. Appointment of faculty as per Government Norms.
5. Payment of salaries to the faculty.
6. Location of building with reference to the proposal sent by the management for .permission to the government

In view of the above orders, the Enquiry team has visited the D.El.Ed and B.Ed Colleges located in Kurnool town and submitted the report to the commissioner and director of school education. The enquiry reports reveal that 7 out of the 25 colleges located in Kurnool town as shown below are truly found to be deficient on some of the 6 parameters on which inspection is caused.

1	Iqbal D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village & Post, Kurnool Taluk & City, Kurnool District-518001, Andhra Pradesh.
2	Sadia D.Ed College, Kh.No.34, Peddapadu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City and District-518001, Andhra Pradesh
3	Abu Sawood D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City & District-518001, Andhra Pradesh
4	Mohd. Pasha D.Ed College, Parthasaradhi Nagar,B-Camp Post, Kalluru Taluk Kurnool City & District-518002, Andhra Pradesh.
5	Al-Madina Elementary Teacher Education, Plot No.109/B3, 024, Near Zamia Majid, Mungalapadu Village & Post, Kurnool District-518004, Andhra Pradesh
6	National D.Ed College, Plot No.00Peddatekuru Village, Ullindakonda Post, Kalluru Taluk KurnoolDistrict-518002 Andhra Pradesh.
7	Osmania College of Education, 40/23, Park Road, Kurnool-518001, Andhra Pradesh

The SRC in its 256th meeting held on 4th-6th December 2013 and the committee considered the matter and decided to process and put up in the next meeting.

In the meantime, this office received CBI report No DPHYD2014/1314 /C2 /PEHY D 20 13A0007 dated 24.03.2014 from Supdt.of Police HOB,CBI,ACB Hyderabad on 28.03.2014 which is as under:-

“A preliminary enquiry vide PE 7 (A)/2013 was registered on 22.11.2013 by the CBI/ACB/Hyderabad against unknown officials of NCTE Bangalore, Managements of Nine (9) suspected D.Ed colleges.

1.	Iqbal D.Ed College, Nayakallu
2.	K. Iqbal D.Ed College, Rudravaram
3.	KMD Iqbal D.Ed College, Peddapadu
4.	Abu Sawood D.Ed College, Nayakallu
5.	Sadia D.Ed College, Peddapadu
6.	Khan TPT College, Rludravaram
7.	National D.Ed College, Pedda Tekur (V), Ullindakonda(P), Kallur Taluk,

[In the said above seven institutions forwarded by Commissioner and Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh, four colleges (Iqbal D.Ed College, Sodia D.Ed College, Abu Sawood D.Ed College, National D.Ed College) are included among the list of seven colleges of CBI enquiry].

The allegations in brief are: the information is that the officials of NCTE Bangalore joined hands with the managements of the D.Ed Colleges viz: Vishwabharathi College of Elementary Education, Wanaparthy Road, Pebbair Village, Mahaboobnagar Dist & Other 8 colleges (KMD Iqbal D.Ed College, Peddapadu, Iqbal D.Ed college, Nayakallu etc, of Kurnool) and granted recognitions/permissions to the said college, during the year 2012-2013 based on the false inspections/visits, even though the buildings of said D.Ed, Colleges are still under construction, lack of basic infrastructure facilities, equipment, lab facilities library etc.,

In view of the enquiry, the CBI has recommended to take action as deemed fit against the owners of the erroneous following D.Ed colleges:-

1.	Iqbal D.Ed Coilege
2	K. Iqbal D.Ed College

3	K.MD Iqbal, D.Ed college
4	Abu Sawood D.Ed College
5	Saida D.Ed College
6	Khan Telegu Pundit Training
7	National D.Ed College, Pedda Tekur (V), Ullindakonda (P), Kallur Taluk, Kurnool.

The SRC in its 266th meeting held on 5th and 6th May, 2014 considered the inspection report of the Commissioner and Director of School Education in respect of the said colleges, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to examine the cases and put up each case separately w.r.t. the inspection report of the Commissioner / Director of School Education and also the CBI reports. As per the direction of SRC, the inspection report of the Commission & Director of School Education is enclosed as per Annexure-I.

Further, the enquiry report of CBI with respect to Iqbal D.Ed College, Kurnool District, is as follows:

As per the observation of the Commissioner:

	<p>Building is being constructed is located in the same place as submitted in the proposal by the management to the NCTE for permission but the course is conducted at different location.</p> <p>The college has not fulfilled the norm for – 1. Built up area. 2. It is found that the course is not being conducted in the location shown and the same may be reported to SRC, NCTE, Bangalore for necessary action. Further, action may be initiated against the officers who have earlier submitted inspection reports for grant of affiliation.</p>
--	---

As per the observation of CBI :

	<p>Enquiry disclosed that Shri. P. Abdul Salam Khan is one of the witnesses of the declaration available in the application. The purported school building is supposed to be in existence at the land (65 cents) in survey no. 31/9, Nayakallu.(Vill & Panchayat), Kallur(M), Kurnool District.</p> <p>Inspection by the visiting team members: Dr.M.Sadanandan & Dr Prof.M.Praveen Taj Visiting Team Members after nominated by the NCTE have conducted inspection of the said Iqbal D.Ed College on 28.06.2012, and submitted visiting team report, CD and also booklet containing details of infrastructure and instructional facilities. The said booklet contained the photograph of the building.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee has considered the documents and decided to issue letter of intent for grant of recognition to the applicant institution for D.El.Ed(D.Ed) course of two years duration with annual intake of 50 students with effect from the academic session 2012-13. Sri Gangadharaiiah, Regional Director has issued a Letter of Intent and Recognition to the said college vide letters dated 2.8.2012 and 18.9.2012.</p> <p>Inspection by State Government Officials as per the orders of high Court of A.P. Hyderabad: Enquiry disclosed that with reference to the write petition no. 30289/2013, the High Court of A.P., Hyderabad has directed the education department of the statement of conduct inspection at the D.Ed colleges of Kurnool to find out the infrastructure facilities etc., The department of School Education has formed two teams comprising S.Sri. V. Premanandam, Jt. Director., J. Narahari and Y. Amarendra and Smt. N. Geetha, Narasimha Reddy & Y. Madhusudhan, for conducting inspections at the D.Ed Colleges who have conducted the same from 12th to 14th November, 2013 and submitted reports vide Lrs. Dtd. 15.11.2013 to the Commissioner and Director, DSE, Hyderabad.</p>
--	---

The inspection team (V. Premanandam etc.) who has conducted inspection at the D.Ed colleges Kurnool including Iqbal D.Ed college has found certain deficiencies in respect of some colleges including Iqbal. The observation of the inspection team in respect of Iqbal D.Ed college is that the building is under construction. College is not running in the building and they have not seen the faculty members. On the basis of the inspection reports of the inspection teams, Sri Rama Shankar Naik, IAS, the then Director & Commissioner, DSE vide his Ir. Dt. 17.11.2013 has informed to the Regional Director, NCTE, Bangalore about the recommendation of withdrawal of recognition to the colleges including Iqbal D.Ed college, Nayakallu, Kurnool. The observation mentioned in the annexure enclosed to the said letter is "building is being constructed is located in the same place as submitted in the proposal by the management to the NCTE for permission but the course is conducted at a different location".

During the course of enquiry, the IO along with the inspection officials team state government visited the D.Ed colleges including Iqbal D.Ed college. The enquiry team has found that the management of the college i.e. Iqbal, represented by Sri KMD Iqbal (who was available on 31.1.2014 and shown the college to CBI Team) has submitted application with photograph of the college building to the NCTE. When the teams of the DSE, visited (as per court orders), he has shown different building. He has shown even the same building (originally it is nursery school building) to two teams as KMD Iqbal and Saida at morning and evening when they visited for the said inspection purpose. Though Iqbal D.Ed college building is under construction, the name board is displayed as Iqbal D.Ed college at the said nursery school building.

The enquiry there by disclosed that the building meant for Iqbal D.Ed college is still under construction. Sr KMD Iqbal has submitted the photograph of non-existent building to the NCTE. He has shown a different building to the visiting team members of NCTE. He has shown different building to the DSE inspection team. Thus the locations of the aforesaid D.Ed college are different. Thereby by Shri KMD Iqbal of the afore said college, by using different documents misled the DSE. SRI KMD Iqbal even misled the CBI team by resorting to the said activity (displaying the name board of Iqbal D.Ed college at the nursery school building). Thereby Shry. KMD Iqbal and unknown members of the management and other committed the said misconduct.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 268th meeting held on 4th – 5th June 2014 considered the matter, complaint letter from Shri. Rama Shankar Naik, I.A.S. Commissioner & Director of School Education, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, received by this office on 28/11/2014 against the said college and all the relevant documentary evidences and the CBI report forwarded by the Supdt. of Police & HOB, CBI, ACB, Hyderabad, dated 24.03.2014, and the Committee decided to serve Show Cause Notice under NCTE Act for the following:-

- The college has not fulfilled the NCTE norms for
 - i) Built up area, Building is still under construction.
 - ii) The course is not being conducted in the location shown and it is functioning in a different location.
- As per the enquiry report submitted by CBI, the building meant for Iqbal D.Ed college is still under construction. Sr. KMD Iqbal has submitted the photograph of non-existent building to the NCTE, and has shown a different building to the visiting team members of NCTE. He had shown a different building to the DSE inspection team. The locations of the aforesaid D.Ed college are different. Mr. Shri. KMD Iqbal of the afore said college, by using different documents

mised the DSE. Sri KMD Iqbal even misled the CBI team by resorting to the said activity (displaying the name board of Iqbal D.Ed College at the nursery school building). Thereby Shri. KMD Iqbal and unknown members of the management and other committed the said misconduct.

As per the decision of SRC, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 19.08.2014. The institution has submitted a written representation on 15.09.2014 which is as follows:-

“Last part of page 3 says the building is located in the same place as submitted in the proposal to the NCTE for permission but the course is conducted at a different location”.

The fact is that on the day of visit of the team we were conducting a Seminar to the students of all our colleges at one location. To enhance quality education.

The Sadia D.Ed college and its management are not related to me in any manner. I have not encouraged the management of Sadia D.Ed College to such acts of doing unacceptable things.

The building is complete except laying of flooring in the 1st and 2nd floor. At the time of inspection of college by NCTE itself the building was ready. The building had a flooring of black stones. After the commencement of the college, we wanted to improve the quality of flooring by replacing the stone flooring with high quality tiles. As the flooring was removed, the Committees did not calculate the 1st and 2nd floors where the flooring was removed to take up the renovation activity.

The building shown for the NCTE team was the same. The front elevation of the building was being reconstructed as per vaasthu suggestions. There is no change and no deficiency in building.

We are honest and we try to uphold the values in school Education. No attempt was ever made to resort to such demeaning acts.

Hence, I humbly request your good selves to help us to run the college without imitating any severe action.”

The Southern Regional Committee in its 273rd meeting held during 30th September & 01st October, 2014 considered the matter, written representation from the institution vide their letter dated 15.09.2014, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to **cause inspection** to verify the facts and the ‘areas’ available. This can be done at our expense since it is verification launched at our initiative.

As per the decision of SRC, a cause inspection letter was issued to the institution on 10.03.2015.

The inspection of the institution was conducted on 15.04.2015 and the VT Report was received by this office on 22.04.2015.

On 22.04.2015 a letter from the Visiting Team member Kurnool District Andhra Pradesh received by this office which is as under:-

***“We would like bring your kind notice that the correspondent Iqbal D.Ed College, nayakallu (village and post) Kurnool (District) Andhra Pradesh was informed well in advance that the visiting team of NCTE will visit for inspection Iqbal D,Ed College on 15.04.2015 at 02.00 P.M. But the correspondent of the college give a representation on 15.04.2015 at 01.00 P.M. that he is unable to make arrangement for the inspection inspite of that we visited the Location of the institution on our own .It is found that no building of the institution is existed and no course is being conducted there the construction on of the building is in the preliminary stage , this is for your kind information & Needful the photographs of the building being constructed r is enclosed were with.*”**

A letter dated 15.04.2015 from The Principal P.Abdula Salam Khan, Sadiya D.Ed College Peddapadu Kurnool Andhra Pradesh received by this office which is as under:-

“I am informed regarding the inspection of iqbal D.Ed college SRCAPP1262 D.El.Ed to be conducted on 15.04.2015 well in advance today is 15.04.2015 the visiting team members informed me that they will visit the college for inspection at 2. P.M Unfortunately my wife is Hospitalized and I haverush to look after her urgently. Under these cercumstances I am unable to arrange for inspection. So I request you to postpone today’s inspection and conduct on some other day.”

The Southern Regional Committee in its 286th meeting held o 30th April,2015 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to server show cause notice under section 14 of NCTE act for the following:-

As per VT report dated 22.04.2015, the correspondent Iqbal D.Ed College, Nayakallu (Village and Post) Kurnool (District) Andhra Pradesh was informed well in advance that the visiting team of NCTE will visit for inspection Iqbal D.Ed college on 15.04.2015 at 01.00 P.M. that he is unable to make arrangements for the inspection. In spite of that, the VT visited the location of the institution on their own the following deficiencies are found:

- As per VT Report, it is found that no building of the institution is existed and no course is being conducted there the construction of the building is in the preliminary stage.

As per the decision of SRC, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 15.07.2015. the institution has reply to the show cause notice on 01.09.2015

Note:-

On 01.09.2015 a letter is received by this office from the Correspondent, Ya Loubali Educational society, Kurnool District-518 001, Andhra Pradesh as under:-

“ I here with submit that the Iqbal D.Ed College has been functioning in Naykallu, which is a small village located in a remote area it is becoming very difficult to retain qualified faculty as there is no proper road or transport to the village from any near by town. Even the students expect some sort of qualitative loving which is not at all available there.

To give all the facilities of modern life the management has decided to shift the college to a better village located just 2 kms from Kurnool City, Teachers and students are very happy to find a location which provides them all the amenities of good college life.

In View of the change of attitude of students and teachers, we have **made alternate arrangements at the new location to runt he college in a better manners**

Hence , I request of the IQBAL D.Ed college to Peddapadu (V), kallur (taluk), Kurnool District.”

- The institution has submitted demand draft of Rs.1,50,000/- in syndicate bank.
- The institution has submitted copy of the online application for shifting premises.

3. *Toilets and Drinking water facility.*
4. *Appointment of faculty as per Government Norms.*
5. *Payment of salaries to the faculty.*
6. *Location of building with reference to the proposal sent by the management for permission to the government.*

In view of the above orders the Enquiry team has visited the D.El.Ed and B.Ed Colleges located in Kurnool town and submitted the report to the commissioner and director of school education. the enquiry reports reveal that 7 out of the 25 colleges located in Kurnool town as shown below are truly found to be deficient on some of the 6 parameters on which inspection is caused.

1	Iqbal D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village & Post, Kurnool Taluk & City, Kurnool District-518001, Andhra Pradesh.
2	Sadia D.Ed College, Kh.No.34, Peddapadu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City and District-518001, Andhra Pradesh
3	Abu Sawood D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City & District-518001, Andhra Pradesh
4	Mohd. Pasha D.Ed College, Parthasaradhi Nagar,B-Camp Post, Kalluru Taluk Kurnool City & District-518002, Andhra Pradesh.
5	Al-Madina Elementary Teacher Education, Plot No.109/B3, 024, Near Zamia Majid, Mungalapadu Village & Post, Kurnool District-518004, Andhra Pradesh
6	National D.Ed College, Plot No.00Peddatekuru Village, Ullindakonda Post, Kalluru Taluk KurnoolDistrict-518002 Andhra Pradesh.
7	Osmania College of Education, 40/23, Park Road, Kurnool-518001, Andhra Pradesh

The SRC in its 256th meeting held on 4th-6th December 2013 considered the matter and decided to process and put up in the next meeting.

In the meantime, this office received CBI report No DPHYD2014/1314/C2 /PEHY D 20 13A0007 dated 24.03.2014 from Supdt.of Police HOB,CBI,ACB Hyderabad on 28.03.2014 which is as under:-

“A preliminary enquiry vide PE 7 (A)/2013 was registered on 22.11.2013 by the CBI/ACB/Hyderabad against unknown officials of NCTE Bangalore, Managements of Nine (9) suspected D.Ed colleges.

1.	Iqbal D.Ed College, Nayakallu
2.	K. Iqbal D.Ed College, Rudravaram

3.	KMD Iqbal D.Ed College, Peddapadu
4.	Abu Sawood D.Ed College, Nayakallu
5.	Sadia D.Ed College, Peddapadu
6.	Khan TPT College, Rludravaram
7.	National D.Ed College, Pedda Tekur (V),

[In the said above seven institutions forwarded by Commissioner and Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh, four colleges (Iqbal D.Ed College, Soddia D.Ed College, Abu Sawood D.Ed College, National D.Ed College) are included among the list of seven colleges of CBI enquiry].

The allegations in brief are: the information is that the officials of NCTE Bangalore joined hands with the managements of the D.Ed Colleges viz: Vishwabharathi College of Elementary Education, Wanaparthy Road, Pebbair Village, Mahaboobnagar Dist & Other 8 colleges (KMD Iqbal D.Ed College, Peddapadu, Iqbal D.Ed college, Nayakallu etc, of Kurnool) and granted recognitions/permissions to the said college, during the year 2012-2013 based on the false inspections/visits, even though the buildings of said D.Ed, Colleges are still under construction, lack of basic infrastructure facilities, equipment, lab facilities library etc.,
In view of the enquiry, the CBI has recommended to take action as deemed fit against the owners of the erroneous following D.Ed colleges.

1.	Iqbal D.Ed College
2	K. Iqbal D.Ed College
3	K.MD Iqbal, D.Ed college
4	Abu Sawood D.Ed College
5	Saida D.Ed College
6	Khan Telegu Pundit Training
7	National D.Ed College, Pedda Tekur (V), Ullindakonda (P), Kallur Taluk, Kurnool.

The SRC in its 266th meeting held on 5th and 6th May, 2014 considered the inspection report of the Commissioner and Director of School Education in respect of the said colleges, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to examine the cases and put up each case separately w.r.t. the inspection report of the Commissioner / Director of School Education and also the CBI reports.

As per the direction of SRC, the inspection report of the Commissioner & Director of School Education is enclosed as per Annexure-I. Further, the enquiry report of CBI with respect to Khan Telugu Pandit Training (TPT), is as follows:

As per the observation of CBI :

- Visiting Team Members of NCTE who Conducted Inspection of the Khan TPT College: Sri P.A. Salam Khan correspondent of the Khan TPT. He has submitted photo of the college building of Khan TPT College.
Dr. B.C. Anantha Ramu and Dr. Celine Pereira, have visited the institution on 23.05.2012 and submitted infrastructure and inspection reports. Sri Gangadharaiyah, Regional Director, NCTE, Bangalore vide Lrs, dtd: 20.06.2012 and 06.12.2013 has accorded letters of intent and recognition to the said Khan TPT College.
Enquiry also disclosed that Sri P.A. Salam Khan by joining hands with Sri.K.MD.Iqbal of Iqbal.K.Iqbal & K.MD.Iqbal Colleges has shown the college building of K.Iqbal D.Ed college as Khan TPT college when the inspection team of Education Department conducted inspection Sri P.A. Salam Khan has confirmed the said fact when the CBI team visited the said Khan TPT college and K.Iqbal D.Ed College.
Enquiry there by disclosed that Sri P.A. Salam Khan and his persons have committed the misconduct which is proved as above during the CBI visit.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 268th meeting held on 4th – 5th June 2014 considered the matter, CBI report forwarded by the Supdt. of Police & HOB, CBI, ACB, Hyderabad dated 24.03.2014 against the said college and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show Cause Notice Under NCTE Act for the following :

As per the enquiry report submitted by CBI revealed that:

Sri P.A. Salam Khan by joining hands with Sri K. MD. Iqbal of Iqbal.K.Iqbal & K.MD.Iqbal Colleges has shown the college building of K. Iqbal D.Ed college as Khan TPT college when the inspection team of Education Department conducted inspection Sri P.A. Salam Khan has confirmed the said fact when the CBI team visited the said Khan TPT college and K. Iqbal D.Ed College.

As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 19.08.2014.

		<p>The institution has not submitted any reply to Show Cause Notice , so far</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, non reply of the institution to the show cause notice issued and all other relevant documents of the institution and decided as under:</p> <p>Withdraw recognition given for D.El.Ed course w.e.f. 2016-17</p>
13.	<p>SRCAPP12 80 D.El.Ed KMD Iqbal D.Ed college, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh AP</p>	<p>KMD Iqbal D.Ed college ,Khasara No.34, peddapadu village and post, Kurnool Taluk and city, Kurnool District -518001,Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Al Ameen Education society, plot No.261, santosh Nagar,NH-7,Kurnool village and Taluk, SAP Camp post ,Kurnool city and District -518001,Andhra Pradesh had submitted an online application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE on 29.09.2011 and physical application on 30.09.2011 for grant of recognition for D.El.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 students at KMD Iqbal D.Ed college ,Khasara No.34, peddapadu village and post, Kurnool Taluk and city, Kurnool District -518001,Andhra Pradesh. and Conducting D.El.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 students from the academic session 2012-2013.</p> <p>complaint has been received from Rama Shankar Naik, I.A.S, Commissioner & Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad received on 28/11/2013 Stating that.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“... I wish to inform that the student federation of India (SFI), A.P. vide letter dated 24.09.2013 has submitted a written complaint to the District Collector, Kurnool, that the Colleges running D.Ed Courses in the district are deficient on the following points;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Infrastructure availability as against the requirement ➤ Staff position ➤ Toilets and Drinking water facility. ➤ Appointment of faculty as per Government Norms ➤ Payment of salaries to the faculty ➤ Location of building with reference to the address at which recognition is granted by NCTE. <p>On the above complaint the District Collector vide Procs. Rc.No.330/2013, dated 15.10.2013 appointed an enquiry team to enquire into the allegations made against D.Ed Colleges in the district to submit a comprehensive report within a week time. of Challenging the action of the District Collector, the Rayalaseema University Private Colleges of Education Management Welfare Association 199, Kothapet, Kurnool has filed W.P.No.30289/2013 in the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh, a prayer that the District Collector lacks jurisdiction, both under the National Council Teachers Education Act and the Andhra Pradesh Education Act, 1982 and therefore the proceedings must be quashed.</p> <p>On hearing both sides the Hon'ble High Court has observed that inspections proposed to be conducted include an inspection of various facilities such as provision of toilet and drinking water facilities etc., and it will be in larger public interest to ensure that educational institutions provide these facilities. The Hon'ble High Court has by exercising its discretionary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, has Suomotio impleaded the Commissioner & Director of School Education in the Writ Petition and issued orders to cause an enquiry on all the points referred to in the proceedings of the District Collector dated 15.10.2013 and submit a compliance report within two weeks.</p> <p>In response to the directions of the Hon'ble High Court, the Commissioner and Director of School Education has requested the Hon'ble Court to grant 6 weeks time to submit the report. On hearing the matter the Hon'ble court has granted one week time to inspect the institutions located in Kurnool town and its vicinity in the first instance.</p> <p>Accordingly, two teams have been constituted by the Commissioner and Director of School Education to enquire into the allegations made against D.Ed Colleges in the district on the following facilities.</p>

- Infrastructure availability against the requirement.
- Staff pattern and vacancy position.
- Toilets and Drinking water facility.
- Appointment of faculty as per Government Norms.
- Payment of salaries to the faculty.
- Location of building with reference to the proposal sent by the management for permission to the government.

In view of the above orders the Enquiry team has visited the D.El.Ed and B.Ed Colleges located in Kurnool town and submitted the report to the commissioner and director of school education. The enquiry reports reveal that 7 out of the 25 colleges located in Kurnool town as shown below are truly found to be deficient on some of the 6 parameters on which inspection is caused.

1. Iqbal D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village & Post, Kurnool Taluk & City, Kurnool District-518001, Andhra Pradesh.
2. Sadia D.Ed College, Kh.No.34, Peddapadu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City and District- 518001, Andhra Pradesh
3. Abu Sawood D.Ed College, Kh.No.31/9, Nayakallu Village and Post Office, Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool City & District-518001, Andhra Pradesh
4. Mohd. Pasha D.Ed College, Parthasaradhi Nagar, BCamp Post, Kalluru Taluk Kurnool City & District- 518002, Andhra Pradesh.
5. Al-Madina Elementary Teacher Education, Plot No.109/B3, 024, Near Zamia Majid, Mungalapadu Village & Post, Kurnool District-518004, Andhra Pradesh
6. National D.Ed College, Plot No.00Peddatekuru Village, Ullindakonda Post, Kalluru Taluk Kurnool District- 518002 Andhra Pradesh.
7. Osmania College of Education, 40/23, Park Road, Kurnool-518001, Andhra Pradesh.

In the recommendation of C&DSE for withdrawal of recognition to the seven college The SRC in its 256th meeting held on 4th-6th December 2013 and the committee considered the matter and decided to discuss and put up in the next meeting.

In the meantime, this office received CBI report No DPHYD2014/1314/C2 /PEHY D 20 13A0007 dated 03.2014 from Supdt. of Police HOB,CBI,ACB Hyderabad on 28.03.2014 which is as under:-

“A preliminary enquiry vide PE 7 (A)/2013 was registered on 22.11.2013 by the CBI/ACB/Hyderabad against unknown officials of NCTE Bangalore, Managements of Nine (9) suspected D.Ed colleges.

- Iqbal D.Ed College, Nayakallu
- K. Iqbal D.Ed College, Rudravaram
- KMD Iqbal D.Ed College, Peddapadu
- Abu Sawood D.Ed College, Nayakallu
- Sadia D.Ed College, Peddapadu
- Khan TPT College, Rudravaram
- National D.Ed College, Pedda Tekur (V), Ullindakonda(P), Kalluru Taluk, Kurnool

The said above seven institutions forwarded by Commissioner and Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh, four colleges (Iqbal D.Ed College, Sadia D.Ed College, Abu Sawood D.Ed College, National D.Ed College) are included among the list of seven colleges of CBI enquiry].

The allegations in brief are: the information is that the officials of NCTE Bangalore joined hands with the managements of the D.Ed Colleges viz: Vishwabharathi College of Elementary Education, Wanaparthy Road, Pebbair Village, Mahaboobnagar Dist & Other 8 colleges (KMD Iqbal D.Ed College, Peddapadu, Iqbal D.Ed college, Nayakallu etc, of Kurnool) and granted recognitions/permissions to the said college, during the year 2012-2013 based on the false inspections/visits, even though the buildings of said D.Ed colleges are still under construction, lack of basic infrastructure facilities, equipment, lab facilities library

In view of the enquiry, the CBI has recommended to take action as deemed fit against the owners of the following D.Ed colleges.

- Iqbal D.Ed College
- K. Iqbal D.Ed College
- K.MD Iqbal, D.Ed college
- Abu Sawood D.Ed College
- Saida D.Ed College
- Khan Telegu Pundit Training
- National D.Ed College, Pedda Tekur (V), Ullindakonda (P), Kallur Taluk, Kurnool.

SRC in its 266th meeting held on 5th and 6th May, 2014 considered the inspection report of the Commissioner and Director of School Education in respect of the said colleges, decided and advised the Southern Regional Office to examine the cases and put up each case separately w.r.t. the inspection report of the Commissioner / Director of School Education and also the CBI reports.

As per the direction of SRC, the inspection report of the Commissioner & Director of School Education is enclosed as per Annexure-I. further, the enquiry report of CBI with respect to National D.Ed College, Kurnool District, is as follows: As per the observation of CBI :

“Enquiry disclosed that Sri K.MD. Iqbal & his persons representing K.MD. Iqbal D.Ed college, have also resorted to the same modus operandi in getting recognition from the NCTE.

Inspection Team Member of NCTE who conducted inspection of the K.MD. Iqbal D.Ed College: Smt Ruquia Bee W/o Sri K.MD Iqbal correspondent of the K.MD. Iqbal D.Ed college affixed her signature on the application and on inspection report. Enquiry disclosed that Sri K.MD. Iqbal is the president of Ai Ameen Educational Society, Kurnool on behalf of which Smt. Ruquia Bee Bee submitted the said application. Enquiry further disclosed that Sri. K.MD. Iqbal is available in the photos with the inspection team members of the NCTE. Their by it is construed that Sri. K.MD. Iqbal is the person who has done all the various activities and played the lead role.

Enquiry disclosed that photo of the college building of K.MD Iqbal D.ed college was submitted to the NCTE. Dr.P.J. Poulouse & Lakshminarayana have visited the institution on 28.03.2012 and submitted the structure and inspection reports. Sri Gangadharaiyah, Regional Director, NCTE, Bangalore vide Lrs. 20.06.2012 and 09.2012 has accorded letters of intent and recognition to the said K.MD. Iqbal D.Ed college.

Enquiry also disclosed that Sri K.MD. Iqbal by joining hands with P.A. Salam Khan of Abu Sawood, Sadia and Khan TPT Colleges has allowed. Sri P.A. Salam Khan to show the said building for Sadia D.Ed college. Enquiry further disclosed that the construction of the said D.Ed college building is still at initial stages i.e digging of pits for foundation.

Enquiry also disclosed that Sri K.MD. Iqbal by joining hands with P.A. Salam Khan of Abu Sawood, Sadia and Khan TPT Colleges has allowed. Sri P.A. Salam Khan to show the said building for Sadia D.Ed college. Enquiry further disclosed that the construction of the said D.Ed college building is still at initial stages i.e digging of pits for foundation. Enquiry further disclosed that Sri.K.MD Iqbal has shown the nursery school building as college building of K.MD.Iqbal D.Ed college at morning time to one inspection team and P.A Salam Khan shown the same nursery school building of Shri Iqbal to another inspection team as Saida D.Ed college at evening time.

Enquiry disclosed that Sri K.MD Iqbal and his persons have done misconduct which is proved as above during the CBI visit.

Southern Regional Committee in its 268th meeting held on 4th – 5th June 2014 considered the report, CBI report forwarded by the Supdt. of Police & HOB, CBI, ACB, Hyderabad dated 24.03.2014 against the said college and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show Cause Notice for the following deficiencies:-

“As per the enquiry report submitted by CBI revealed that: Sri K.MD. Iqbal by joining hands with P.A. Salam Khan of Abu Sawood, Sadia and Khan TPT Colleges has allowed Sri P.A. Salam Khan to show the said building for Sadia D.Ed college. Sri.K.MD Iqbal has shown the nursery school building as college building of K.MD.Iqbal D.Ed college at morning time to one inspection team and P.A Salam Khan shown the same nursery school building of Shri Iqbal to another inspection team as Saida D.Ed college at evening time. As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 19.08.2014. The institution has submitted

a written representation on 15.09.2014 which is as follows:- "I herewith submit that almost all the allegations leveled against the college are baseless the same building was shown to the inspection committee of NCTE during inspection.

We have changed the front elevation of the building as per the advice of some vastu pandits in that process the total building has been repaired which gives a different look to the different committees of AP Govt. who visited the Building at different stages of renovation of the Front Elevation of the College building I humbly submit with my explanation to the points of the show Cause notice.

In view of the above I request you madam not to initiate any action against our colleges. Instead, I beg you madam to save our college and help us to serve the student community in a better manner by rectifying our defects and deficiencies in the light of some of the comments of various inspection teams including the CBI.

I once again request you to drop all penal actions against our college and allow us to run the college as per the expectations of all the officials, parents and students."

The Southern Regional Committee in its 273rd meeting held during 30th September & 1st October, 2014 considered the matter, written representation from the institution vide their letter dated 15.09.2014, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to cause inspection to verify, the facts and the 'areas' involved. This can be done at our expense since it is verification launched at our initiative.

- As per the decision of SRC, a Cause inspection letter issued to the institution on 10.03.2015.

The inspection of the institution was conducted on 15.04.2015 and the VT Report was received by this office on 22.04.2015.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 286th Meeting held on 30th April, 2015, considered the VT Report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause notice under Section 14 of NCTE Act for the following:

per VT Report:

- The building plan of the said institution is not available.
- The building is a temporary structure, as per NCTE Regulations 2014, no temporary structures are allowed.
- The furniture for class rooms, hall, labs and other rooms are not provided and is inadequate
- The Science lab is not available.
- The Psychology lab material is borrowed from other institution, the documents & purchased bills related to the material is not available and quite inadequate.
- ET lab, the computers are borrowed from other institution and purchase bills are not available. There is no electricity to the entire building.
- Language learning lab is not available.
- The equipments provided in other labs are also inadequate.
- No. of books and journals in the library: The books are borrowed from other college. No documents are available regarding the purchase of books. The Librarian is not available to give details. Purchase bills towards books in the library are not available.
- The institution has not submitted fixed deposit receipts towards 5 lacs and 3 lacs of endowment and reserve fund respectively.
- Overall assessment of the institution by the VT members are::

The building is a temporary structure. The building plan is not available. The total built up area of the institution is not clearly earmarked. There are not even minimum infrastructural and instructional facilities. The Principal and lecturer are not approved. The toilet provided is not sufficient and fit for proper use. No drinking water and electricity facilities, no documents are available to prove that the

		<p>ting temporary building belongs to the institution. The management did not submit the CD & stionnaire.</p> <p>correspondent did not sign the proforma. Member signed. In total, Human Resources, structural and Instructional facilities are not available as prescribed in the NCTE norms for the per conduct of D.El.Ed programme. The material (all) of the previous college of the management ch visited are shifted to this college.</p> <p>per the decision of SRC , a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 21.07.2015</p> <p>On 01.09.2015, the institution has submitted a reply to the Show Cause Notice</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution vide letter dated 01.09.2015 and all other relevant documents submitted by the institution and decided as under:</p> <p>The reply of the institution is seen. It is found to be satisfactory. There is no need of any action against them.. Inform the Commissioner and Director accordingly under intimation to the institution.</p>
14.	<p>APS00353 B.Ed V.C.R college of Education, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh AP</p>	<p>V.C.R College of Education, Chittoor Revenue Division, 19-278, Mittoor, Chittoor District-517001, Andhra Pradesh had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition for starting B.Ed Course of one year from the academic session 2002-03 with an annual intake of 120 students and was granted recognition 04.04.2003 with the condition to shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition (In case the course is started in rented premises).</p> <p>The matter of shifting premises from temporary to permanent premises for B.Ed course in the State of Andhra Pradesh was placed before SRC in its 178th meeting held on 13th -14th July 2009. The committee decided to issue notice under section 17 of NCTE Act and call all documents of new premises / building. Accordingly, a notice was issued to the institution on 25.09.2009.</p> <p>On 31.01.2015, the institution has submitted an affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students and revised order was issued to institution on 11.05.2015.</p> <p>A copy of the letter dated 02.03.2015 which was addressed to the Correspondent, V.C.R College of Education, V.C.R Nagar, Vellore Road, Chittoor District, Andhra Pradesh was received by this office on 09.03.2015.</p> <p>On 27.01.2016, a letter along with some documents for shifting premises from the Correspondent, Smt. Lakshamma & Srinivasulu Reddy Educational Society, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh is as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“ As per the reference cited above, we have submitted the proposals for shifting of the college premises to the permanent building along with necessary enclosures duly paying the inspection fees of Rs. 1,50,000/- (Rupees one lakh fifty thousand only) vide Demand Draft No. 245539 dt:06.07.2015 of Bank of Baroda, Chittoor Branch, payable at Bangalore.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">In this connection, we humbly submit that till today the inspection was not caused. Last academic year alos we have faced lot of difficulties for inclusion of our college name in the Ed-CET list on the grounds of getting permission for shifting from Southern Regional Committee, National Council for Teacher Education, Bangalore. For the next academic year the Government of Andhra Pradesh has announced the Ed-CET 2016 schedule.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Hence, we plead your goodselves to cause inspection at the earliest, for which act of kindness, we shall ever remain grateful to you madam.”</p>

		<p>In addition to this the institution has submitted the photocopy of the Demand Draft of Rs. 1,50,000/- vide no. 245539 dated 06.07.2015 of Bank of Baroda, Chittoor Branch towards inspection fee and online application for permitting change of premises.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, letter dated 27.01.2016 from the institution and decided as under:</p> <p>No documents have been furnished. Give another opportunity. Caution them that unless documents are given, recognition will be withdrawn.</p>
15.	SRCAPP71 B.P.Ed Sri Swamy Vivekananda B.P.Ed college, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh AP	<p>Sri Swamy Vivekananda B.P.Ed College ,Khasra No. 153 & 154,Battamdoddi Village and Post , Peddapanjani Taluk,Chittoor District – 517247,Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>Sri Swamy Vivekananda Educational Society,Ananthpur, Plot No. 1-3-882-1,Rajkumar Street, Anantpur City and Post Office,Anantpur Taluk,Anantpur District – 515001,Andhra Pradesh had submitted online application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE on 31.12.2012 and submitted printouts on 07.01.2013 for grant of recognition of B.P.Ed programme at Sri Swamy Vivekananda B.P.Ed College , Khasra No. 153 & 154,Battamdoddi Village and Post , Peddapanjani Taluk, Chittoor District – 517247,Andhra Pradesh.The institution was granted recognition for offering B.P.Ed course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students from the academic session 2015-16 on 03.03.2015</p> <p>On 05.03.2015, a Complaint was received from Shri. I.K. Sushakar AISF president, representative of student organization against Sri Swamy Vivekananda B.P.Ed College and other colleges run by Mr.Karunakar Reddy. An affidavit in the complaint matter is received by this office on 17.04.2015.</p> <p>The complainant has stated as under :-</p> <p><i>“We, being the representatives of a student organization do hold the responsibility of keep tracking the righteousness, and integrity of the educational institutions of this region.In this regard we would like to bring to your kind notice, the following few facts for your kind consideration and necessary stringent action.</i></p> <p><i>As per the decision taken by the SRC in their 280th meeting, the SRC has given LOI to Sri Swamy Vivekananda B.P.Ed college [S.R.C.AP.P.71/B.P.Ed] near Battamdoddi Village and P.O., Peddapanjani Mandai, Chittoor Dist, Andhra Pradesh.</i></p> <p><i>In this regard, we would like to bring you that ,the above said Battamdoddi is a very small village ,and we cannot find a single professional college in the entire vicinity of the village. The VT members who have undertaken the inspection ,have been taken to degree college building in Madanapalle town ,(which is nearly 50km away from) the above said address, and the VT members were duly bribed by One Mr. Karunakar Reddy and they have fraudulently given the report that they have inspected the building.</i></p> <p><i>We sincerely request you sir ,please enquire the VT members whether they have genuinely visited the village by name Battamdoddi, and thoroughly verified the land records and then certified the report.</i></p> <p><i>With the consent of our legal team, we came to know that as per the NCTE guide lines, the visiting team members ,hence they hail from the different states, they should hire a taxi, enquire the address, and then they should identify the building. Instead the VT members, have been taken by the management vehicle and identified a building in Madanapalle, belonging to Sri. Srinivasa Degree College Madanapalle.(Photo Evidence is enclosed.)</i></p>

Further ,we bring to you that, the following key documents will play a vital role in establishing an institution.

- A. Land and building documents which should be registered and counter signed by The Registrar, Punganur.
(Sri Vijay Kumar ,Sub Registrar,Punganur.cell: 09949008667)
- B. Land use certificate and land conversion certificate which will be counter signed by Tahasildar ,Peddapanjani Mandal.
(Smt. Sri Devi , Tahasildar ,Peddapanjani Mandal.Cell:9491077075)
- C. Building compliance and Building plan approvals which should be counter signed by Sarpanch and village secretary.(Smt D.Bhagyamma ,Sarpanch,Battamdoddi village,Cell:9573646481)
(Smt. Nirosha,Village Secretary,Battamdoddi village,Cell:970117679)

We submit you that ,all the above documents for the said Vivekananda B.P.Ed college have been fabricated and fraudulently endorsed. On the over leaf of the second page of every document ,the document number will be there.

Here with we provide the name and contact no of concerned authorities.If you thoroughly enquire the authorities ,with the document numbers,you will find all the documents are forged and fabricated.

We further submit you that above said one Mr.Karunakar reddy has also applied another B.P.Ed. College (SRCA.P.P.47/B.P.Ed).There also he has shown a C.S.I (Church of South India) building to the V.T. Members, has bribed them and thoroughly got the report favoring him. The S.R.C. in its 8 meeting ,has given FR to the same college.

We also submit you ,that the same Mr. Karunakar reddy previously applied and got permitted running D.Ed College in punganur(VIKAS D.Ed College ,punganur).There he has shown Narayana e Techno school building, as his Original D .Ed college building .In the same year he has applied and got permitted to run the D.Ed college ,by name kalyani D.Ed college in pulivendula, Kadapa Dist. With false documents .Later he has sold both the institutions to some third person ,and thus got profited by making false, fabricated and fraudulent way of making pseudo institutions.

Further, we request you sir if you continue to allow such kind of activities it will be a menace to the institutions, which are maintaining genuinity and integrity.

Hence we request you sir ,please take the stringent all the involved and thoroughly enquire the irregularities that have been taken place, and do the justice.

We further inform you that we are also bringing the same information to the notice of central vigilance commission (CVC),New Delhi, Central Beaur of Investigation (CBI)New Delhi, Human Resource Development (HRD),New Delhi and The Chairman ,NCTE New Delhi for necessary action.

The SRC in its 289th meeting held during 23rd June 2015 considered the matter, complaint letter dated 05.03.2015, decided as under:

- 1.Send a copy of the complaint to the affiliating University to enquire and advise regarding B.P.Ed.**
- 2.Send a copy to SCERT to enquire and advise regarding D.Ed at two places.**
- 3.Keep both the University and SCERT informed that the College runs B.P.Ed & D.Ed**

		<p>As per the decision of SRC, a copy of the complaint letter issued to University and SCERT on 17.09.2015.</p> <p>On 19.08.2015 and on 08.02.2016 a letter is received by this office from the Correspondent, Sri Swamy Vivekananda Educational Society, Ananthapur, Andhra Pradesh is as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“ Our Society was granted recognition for Sri Swamy Vivekananda B.P.Ed College, Battomoddi (Vill), Pedda Panjani (Mdl), Chittoor (Dist) (SRCAPP71 on 03.03.2015 at Battomdoddi the Society is managed by the members.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">The same members are also running another society by name Sri Kalyani Educational Society during the meeting conducted on 03.03.2015 the members who happen to be the Management of both the societies have resolved to close the Society by name Sri Swamy Vivekananda Educational Society Regd No:334 / 2011 and continue Sri Kalyani Educational Society with office at 37/1, Chinna Rangapuram (Vill), Pulivendula (Mandal), Kadapa (Dist) as per the resolution of our Society the request to NCTE to permit the change of Management of B.P.Ed (SRCAPP71) granted to Sri Swamy Vivekananda Educational Society to Sri Kalyani Educational Society and permit shift of B.P.Ed from Sri Swamy Vivekananda B.P.Ed College, Battomdoddi (Vill), Peddapanjani (Mdl), Chittoor (Dist) to Sri Kalyani College of Physical Education, Chinna Rangapuram (Vill), Pulivendula (Mdl) Kadapa (Dist)”</p> <p>In addition to this the institution has submitted DD of Rs. 1,50,000/- vide No:389688 dated 06.02.2016 as a shifting fees, No Objection Certificate from the University and Resolution of Society.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, letters from the institution dated 19.08.2015 and 08.02.2016, and decided as under:</p> <p>The University has not cooperated to enquire into this case and give us their comments. Let us send a V.T on our own and obtain a comprehensive report.</p>				
16.	APS00336 APS02837 B.Ed D.Ed Surya colleg eof Education and surya D.Ed college, Karimnagar, Andhra Pradesh AP	<p>Surya College of Education and Surya D.Ed College, Jagityal Revenue Division C/o Gauthama High School, Guvindupally, Jagtial, Karimnagar District – 505327, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">APS00336/B.Ed</th> <th style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">APS02837/D.Ed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>Surya College of Education, Jagityal Revenue Division C/o Gauthama High School, Guvindupally, Jagtial, Karimnagar District – 505327, Andhra Pradesh was granted recognition on 04.04.2003 for B.Ed Course with an intake of 100 students from the session 2002-2003 with a condition to shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition (in case the institution is started in rented premises)</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 07.09.2007 regarding Information on shifting of</p> </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>Surya D.Ed College, C/o surya College of Education, Jagtial, Kaimnagar District-505327, Andhra Pradesh was granted recognition on 26.08.2005 for offering D.Ed course with an annual intake of 50 students with a condition that the institution shall shift both B.Ed & D.Ed courses to its own premises/building within three years from the date of recognition of B.Ed college as the recognition for D.Ed issued based on existence of B.Ed college and Notification dated 01.01.2004 of NCTE (in case the B.Ed/D.Ed course is started in a rented premises).</p> <p>A letter dated 23.12.2014 was received</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	APS00336/B.Ed	APS02837/D.Ed	<p>Surya College of Education, Jagityal Revenue Division C/o Gauthama High School, Guvindupally, Jagtial, Karimnagar District – 505327, Andhra Pradesh was granted recognition on 04.04.2003 for B.Ed Course with an intake of 100 students from the session 2002-2003 with a condition to shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition (in case the institution is started in rented premises)</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 07.09.2007 regarding Information on shifting of</p>	<p>Surya D.Ed College, C/o surya College of Education, Jagtial, Kaimnagar District-505327, Andhra Pradesh was granted recognition on 26.08.2005 for offering D.Ed course with an annual intake of 50 students with a condition that the institution shall shift both B.Ed & D.Ed courses to its own premises/building within three years from the date of recognition of B.Ed college as the recognition for D.Ed issued based on existence of B.Ed college and Notification dated 01.01.2004 of NCTE (in case the B.Ed/D.Ed course is started in a rented premises).</p> <p>A letter dated 23.12.2014 was received</p>
APS00336/B.Ed	APS02837/D.Ed					
<p>Surya College of Education, Jagityal Revenue Division C/o Gauthama High School, Guvindupally, Jagtial, Karimnagar District – 505327, Andhra Pradesh was granted recognition on 04.04.2003 for B.Ed Course with an intake of 100 students from the session 2002-2003 with a condition to shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition (in case the institution is started in rented premises)</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 07.09.2007 regarding Information on shifting of</p>	<p>Surya D.Ed College, C/o surya College of Education, Jagtial, Kaimnagar District-505327, Andhra Pradesh was granted recognition on 26.08.2005 for offering D.Ed course with an annual intake of 50 students with a condition that the institution shall shift both B.Ed & D.Ed courses to its own premises/building within three years from the date of recognition of B.Ed college as the recognition for D.Ed issued based on existence of B.Ed college and Notification dated 01.01.2004 of NCTE (in case the B.Ed/D.Ed course is started in a rented premises).</p> <p>A letter dated 23.12.2014 was received</p>					

		<p>premises from temporary to permanent building on completion of 3 years from the date of recognition-information on shifting to permanent building submitted along with DD of Rs. 40.000/- bearing no.100070 dated 31.08.2007.</p> <p>A letter was sent to the institution on 25.05.2009 regarding proposal for shifting the institution to the permanent building/location.</p> <p>The shifting inspection of the institution was conducted on 11.02.2011 and the report was received to SRC on 17.02.2011.</p>	<p>from SCERT on 16.01.2015 regarding Private D.Ed colleges in the state – Karimnagar District verification of staff list for D.Ed course-staff list in respect of Surya D.Ed college, Jatigal Karimnagar District Approved and communicated.</p> <p>The SRC in its 278th meeting held on 25th January, 2015 considered the matter and decided that Staff list is noted.</p>
		<p>The SRC in its 208th meeting held during 13th to 14th July, 2011 considered the VT report & VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act to both the courses <u>i.e.B.Ed (APS00336) & D.Ed (APS02837).</u></p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution (only B.Ed) on 30.08.2011.The institution has submitted its reply on 29.09.2011 along with relevant documents.</p> <p>Further there is no action taken in this file.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its willingness affidavit on 22.01.2015 as per regulations 2014.</p> <p>The SRC in its 287th meeting held during 20th May, 2015 considered the reply from the institution dated 29.09.2011 to the show cause notice issued, and it has decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Issue revised order and meanwhile process the documents submitted and put up. <p>As per the decision of SRC Revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 29.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its Formal Recognition order dated 04.04.2003.</p> <p>As decided by SRC in its 287th meeting application was processed and placed before SRC in its 291st Meeting held on 20th-21st August, 2015 considered the matter and it has decided and advised Southern Regional Office to: <u>Cause Inspection of the new premises as per 2014 Regulations, after 31.10.2015.</u></p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, inspection of the institution was fixed 10th-30th January, 2016. The same was intimated to the institution on 16.01.2016.</p> <p>In the meantime, the institution has submitted its written representation on 05.02.2016 along with relevant documents. The letter stating as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>"I submit to inform that as per directions of NCTE-SRC Bangalore vide ref 1st cited the visiting team inspected the college on 11.02.2011 and we are submitted all the required documents to the visiting team on the inspection day. After that NCTE-SRC Bangalore issued a show cause notice to this college vide ref 2nd cited, to submit the necessary</i></p>	

		<p><u>documents/certificates to SRC Bangalore. In response to the show cause notice we submitted all required documents/certificates as desired by the SRC Bangalore vide ref. 3rd cited by Reg. Post.</u></p> <p><u>The shifting of college premises permission is pending at SRC-NCTE Bangalore, in the circumstances mentioned above we are not in a position for further inspection”.</u></p> <p>The SRC in its 303rd meeting held on 15th February, 2016 considered the written representation from the institution dated 29.01.2016 and it has decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As claimed in the representation inspection already conducted. 2. Send a copy of the SCN dated 30.08.2011 and speak explanation. <p>Before issuance of show cause notice, as per website information the institution has submitted its reply along with relevant documents on 15.02.2016.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution dated 29.01.2016 and decided as under: Permit shifting.</p>
17.	<p>APS08997 M.Ed Kittoor Rani Chennamma a PG college, Belgaum, Karnataka KA</p>	<p>Kittoor Rani Chennamma PG College, Belgaum, Karnataka.</p> <p>Kittoor Rani Chennamma PG College, Belgaum, Karnataka had submitted an application for starting of M.Ed. course on 11.9.2007.</p> <p>After submission of essential documents, inspection was conducted on 31.07.2008. The VT report and all other related documents were placed before 162nd meeting of SRC held on 20th -21st August, 2008 and it was decided to issue Letter of Intent/Conditional recognition.</p> <p>A letter of intent was sent to the institution on 18.09.2008.</p> <p>Even after the lapse of stipulated time, the institution had not submitted any reply and the matter was placed before 171st meeting of SRC held during 16-17 March 2009 which has decided to issue a Notice and Notice was served to the institution on 24.3.2009 to submit the documents before 10th April, 2009.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The institution submitted its reply on 28.4.2009. <p>The matter was placed before 174th meeting of SRC held on 1.5. 2009 wherein it was decided to issue Notice. Again, a letter was sent on 9.6.2009 to submit the documents within 19.6.2009 and a reminder letter was sent on 2.7.2009 to submit the documents within 10th July, 2009.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The institution submitted representation on 22.7.2009 stating that the Vice-Chancellor of Karnatak University, Dharwad is making appointment of teaching staff as per norms. <p>The matter was placed before 179th meeting of SRC held on 23rd July, 2009 wherein it was decided to serve Notice and Notice was served to the institution on 24.7.2009.</p> <p>Since the institution did not submit any reply, the matter was once again placed before</p>

180th meeting held on 29th July, 2009 wherein it was decided to permit the institution to submit the reply by 17.8.2009 and a final reminder was sent on 31.7.2009.

- The institution submitted its representation on 30th July, 2009 and 11.8.2009.

The reply was considered by SRC in its 181st meeting held during 20-21 August, 2009 wherein it was decided to serve Notice to submit reply by 28th August, 2009 and notice was issued to the institution on 24.8.2009.

The institution did not submit any reply even after expiry of stipulated period and hence the matter was placed before SRC in its 182nd meeting held on 31st August, 2009 which came to the conclusion to refuse the recognition/withdraw the Letter of Intent OR Conditional Recognition accorded to the institution for the proposed course as per Section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act for the following reasons:-

- **The institution has not appointed requisite faculty/staff and also not submitted the staff list and affidavits as per regulations 7(9) of NCTE.**

Accordingly, refusal order was issued to the institution vide Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/2008-2009/15844 dated 24.09.2009. Aggrieved by the refusal order the institution preferred an appeal before the appellate authority on 13.09.2011.

The Appellate authority on 8-12-2011 noted that a) Karnataka University submitted an application to the SRC for grant of recognition of Kittur Rani Channamma P.G. Centre, Belgaum, Karnataka for M.Ed. course. SRC vide letter dated 18-09-2008 issued a letter of intent prior to grant of recognition under Clause 7(9) of NCTE Regulations. Thereafter it issued a notice, for which the administrator of Karnataka University submitted a reply on 28-07-2009 stating that "the University is in the process of appointing qualified staff to teach M.Ed. students.

In the meanwhile, some qualified and permanent teaching staff has been transferred from Dharwad to Belgaum". Despite this reply, SRC decided to refuse recognition on the grounds as stated in Para 1 above. (b) The Council further noted Kittur Rani Channamma P.G. Centre, Belgaum was upgraded to the status of an independent University under the name "Rani Channamma University", Belgaum from 2010 onwards. The Rani Channamma University, being the successor institute of the earlier P.G. Centre, pursued the matter of M.Ed. recognition and filed an appeal. The delay caused in filing the appeal was due to upgradation of PG centre into university, which was a valid reason for condoning the delay caused in preferring the appeal. (c) The university had taken steps to appoint full complement of the faculty for teaching M.Ed. students.

The Appellate authority therefore came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal with a direction to the SRC for considering the faculty profile of the institution and for taking further decision thereafter. The institution is advised to forward a complete set of faculty profile as required under NCTE Norms, for consideration of SRC.

After perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and reverse the SRC's order dated 24-09-2009 vide appellate authority order No.89-361/2011 Appeal/8th Meeting/2011/A46189 dated 04/01/2012 with a direction to the SRC for considering the faculty profile of the institution and for taking further decision thereafter. The institution is

advised to forward a complete set of faculty profile as required under NCTE Norms, for consideration of SRC.

The institution had not submitted Faculty profile to this office so far(as advised in the appeal order). The appeal committee advised the University to forward reply to the show cause notice of SRC for consideration vide its order dt. 04.01.2012.

The SRC in its 217th meeting held on 8-9 February, 2012 considered the Appellate authority order dated 04.01.2012 decided to wait for the reply from the institution till 45 days and then process the reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice for further action.

As per the decision of 217th meeting of SRC and since 45 days is over and faculty profile is not received from the institution, the matter is once again placed before SRC for its consideration and decision. The SRC in its 221st meeting held on 19-20 April, 2012 considered the matter and decided to close the file of M.Ed (APS08997) course applied by Kattoor Rani Chennamma PG College, Belgaum District, Karnataka for the time being, as the institution is yet to submit the faculty profile.

In the meanwhile, on 11.5.2012, a fax is received from the Registrar, Rani Chennamma University, Vidyaasangama, NH-4, PBNH, Belgavi-591156 regarding the starting of M.Ed. programme in the newly started Rani Chennamma University, Belagavi, Karnataka.

- The Registrar had stated that as per Karnataka State University Act, 2000, Rani Chennamma University Statutes for the recruitment of the teachers and the guidelines issued by the UGC and NCTE. As per the Act, the Chancellor of the University has to nominate experts in the selection committee and now the Governor has nominated the experts, the process of recruitment will start before the commencement of the next academic year 2012-2013(July, 2012). The entire recruitment process will be completed and the information to this effect will be communicated to you. Further, the Library and other facilities as per NCTE norms are being created.
- On 13.8.2012, a letter is received from the Registrar, Rani Chennamma University, Belgavi, Karnataka regarding revival of M.Ed. programme in the newly started Rani Chennamma University(copy enclosed). The Registrar had stated that he has selected One Professor, 2 Associate Professor and 3 Assistant professor have joined the University in the month of July, 2012. Copies of the office order issued to the selected candidates is produced as documentary proof. Joining report of the staff is not produced. List of non-teaching staff is also not produced.

The SRC in its 230th meeting held on 16th and 17th July, 2012 considered the reply of the institution and all the relevant documentary evidences wherein it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act. Accordingly, a Notice was issued to the institution on 30/08/2012 for the following:-

- The institution has not submitted staff profile in prescribed format as per NCTE norms.

The institution submitted its reply by fax message on 31/08/2012.

The SRC in its 232nd meeting held on 29th – 31st August, 2012 considered the reply of the institution and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under NCTE Act.

Accordingly, a Notice was issued to the institution on 24/09/2012 for the following deficiency:-

1. Associate Professor is not having the prescribed qualification for the post as per NCTE norms.

The institution submitted replies vide letter dated 01/10/2012 and 16.10.2012.

The SRC in its 235th meeting held on 21-22 November, 2012 considered the reply of the institution and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under NCTE Act and Notice was issued on 31.12.2012. Notice issued to the institution is returned back on 07.01.2013.

In the meanwhile, on 3.1.2013(on going through the website), the Registrar submitted reply to the deficiencies pointed out

The Chairman's Remarks: In 242nd meeting

“In the context of discussion relating to Rani Chennamma PG College, Belgaum District, Karnataka, a point was raised about Prof. Lalithamma, a Member of the Southern Regional Committee, having been a member of the selection committee for Faculty in the Rani Chennamma PG College/University. It was suggested that it would be appropriate for Prof.

Lalithamma to withdraw from the deliberations to avoid any issue of conflict of interest. Prof. Lalithamma was firmly of the view that there was no question of clash of interest and that it would be inappropriate to require her to recluse. But, the other members of the Southern Regional Committee attending the meeting were definitely in favour of her withdrawal especially for facilitating free and frank expression of views of all. Accordingly, Prof. Lalithamma left the meeting and withdrew from participation in the deliberations on this item”.

The SRC in its 242nd Meeting held on 14th – 16th April 2013, considered the matter, reply of the institution dated 03.01.2013 and advised Southern Regional Office to write to University for justification of the appointment of Dr. Maruthi Rao Nimbalkar, a superannuated faculty.

Accordingly, a letter was addressed to the Registrar, Rani Chennamma University seeking justification of the appointment of Dr. Maruthi. R. Nimbalkar, a superannuated faculty.

The Registrar, Rani Chennamma University submitted a written representation dated 24.06.2013 received by this office on 02.07.2013 is as under ;-

“ With reference to your letter No. F.No. APSO8997/M.Ed/KA/2013-2014/51963 dated 31.05.2013,I am to submit that Dr. Maruthi.R.Nimbalkar is not appointed in Rani Chennamma University, against any vacancy of faculty position. However he has been appointed as the visiting professor as per the Karnataka State Universities Act 2000 section 53 and subsection 10 for a period of one year.

In fact, Dr. M.R. Nimbalkar was the Principal of Kai .Sou. Indumathi Patil Mahila B.Ed College, Malakapur-Shahuwadi. With his vast administrative and teaching experience in the past he was designated to the post of Visiting Professor.

It was found imperative that a person with such experience be appointed as visiting professor to enable the newly established school of Education Department of Rani Channamma University, Belagavi.

It is further reiterated that Dr. Nimbalkar is not a regular appointed faculty of School of Education, but only a Visiting Professor of Education appointed on an adhoc basis (not

against any regular post)"Photocopy of Bio-data and Experience Certificates of Dr.M.R.Nimbalkar were enclosed.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 250th meeting held on 11th – 13th August,2013 considered the reply of Registrar dated 31.5.2013 and advised the University to submit list of regular staff as per NCTE norms and standards for M.Ed.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution(University) seeking the list of regular staff as per NCTE norms, vide F.SRO/NCTE / APSO8997/M.Ed /2013/53881 dated 20.09.2013

Now, on 13.08.2013, a complaint was received by this office from Mr.Basavaraj Godachi, High Court- Advocate, Gourishankara Krupa,8th cross, Kalyan Nagar, Dharwad – 580 007 which is as under :-

“ It is submitted that Rani Channamma,Belgaum,Karnataka State had made the following irregularities while appointing the associate professors in department of Education;

In response to your show cause notice dated 30.08.2012,the University submitted the detailed profile of teachers appointed in the Department of Education. However the NCTE was still not satisfied with the appointments and passed a resolution in its 235th meeting held on 21st – 22nd November 2012.Following is the text of the resolution.

The Committee considered the reply of the institution and all the relevant serve Notice Under NCTE Act for the following:

- Dr. Yarriswamy is not eligible for the post of Associate Professor as he is having only 51.7% in M.A Degree, as per NCTE norms minimum of 55% Marks is required for eligibility.
- Dr. Sushma is not eligible for the post of Assistant Professor as she is having only 53% in M.A Degree, as per NCTE norms minimum of 55% Marks is required for eligibility.
- Dr. Maruthirao. R. Nimbalkar is not eligible for the post of Visiting Professor as she is having only 52%,marks, as per NCTE norms ,minimum of 55 % marks is required for eligibility.”

In view of the above and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee decided to issue a Notice to the institution, as to why the recognition be not withdrawn and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a written representation within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the withdrawal of application for recognition, based on the records available, with no further notice.

However, the above NCTE observations are only partial and several irregularities have taken place while appointing the teachers in Education. The following Associate Professors appointed by the University are ineligible for their posts for the following reasons;

I . Dr. Purnima Pattanashetti

a.PG Teaching Experience

Dr.Purnima Pattanshetti has never taught in any colleges even for B.Ed course. However she has managed to collect the experience certificates from private B.Ed Colleges.She has enclosed the teaching experience of Jain Mahila Mandal's B.Ed College for women from 1989 –

1994. where as she has completed her B.Ed in 1989 and M.Ed in 1992. she was not eligible to teach B.Ed course also from 1989 1992. She has enclosed a forged Experience Certificate issued on 29.06.1996 by the President of the Jain Mahila Mandal in order to secure Job in Rani Channamma University to make her eligible for the post of Associate Professor. The Vice Chancellor of Rani Channamma University was bent upon appointing her as Associate Professor, as she was a sitting Syndicate Member of the University till the date of her interview. Dr.Purnima Pattanshetti has produced many other testimonials to show her teaching experience as visiting teacher in Physiotherapy Nursing colleges and residential schools which are not the requisite experience to qualify her to the post of Associate Professor.

p. Academic Performance Indicator Points (API):

Dr.Purnima Pattanshetti has claimed 450 API points to her credit and the University has assessed her API points to 325 API points .However, both the assessments are wrong for the following reasons :

Dr.Purnima Pattanshetti has hyped her API points by showing the fake consultancy projects of starting schools as Research consultancies(Examples of such certificates are enclosed) Dr.Purnima Pattanshetti has no academic contributions.

II. Dr.Yarriswamy

Dr.Yarriswamy has been appointed as Associate Professor though he does not have requisite qualification and experience :

a. Academic Qualification:

As per the observations made in the 235th Meeting of NCTE held on 21st – 22nd November 2012, Dr.Yarriswamy is not eligible for the post of Associate Professor as he has only 51.7% in M.A.Degree, whereas, as per the NCTE Norms minimum 55% marks is required for eligibility.

Now, the Vice-Chancellor has asked Dr.Yarriswamy to appear for his M.A.Degree from Karnataka State Open University and accordingly Dr.Yarriswamy has appeared for his M.A .Examination during the academic year (2012-13). Dr.Yarriswamy did not possess the required qualification on the date of his interview/appointment.

b.PG Teaching Experience :

As per the norms of UGC, one should have 5 years of PG Teaching Experience to be appointed as Associate Professor. Where as, as per NCTE norms at least eight years of teaching experience in University Department of Education or College of Education, with a minimum of three years at the M.Ed level. But Dr.Yarriswamy has only one year of PG Teaching Experience and all other certificates are B.Ed teaching experience.

c. Academic performance Indicator Points(API):

Dr.Yarriswamy does not have any academic contribution which can fetch 300 API Points. However, the University has hyped the API Points and recruited him as Associate Professor.

The above complaint letter along with enclosures submitted by the complainant is submitted for its consideration and decision.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution(University) seeking the list of regular staff as per NCTE norms, vide F.SRO/NCTE / APSO8997/M.Ed /2013/53881 dated 20.09.2013.

With the above submission the University has not complied the provisions of Sec 53 (iv)(6) and (7) of the Karnataka Universities Act 2000 and Sec 14(1) of NCTE Act.

		<p>Sir, the above are the only few samples of the misdeeds of Vice Chancellor of Rani Channamma University. Almost all the appointments are irregular. Even the appointment of Prof.Shailaja as Professor and other three Assistant Professors in the Department of Education are also irregular. Some of the members of SRC are colluded with the Vice-Chancellor of Rani Channamma and trying to regularize these appointments and to grant recognition to M.Ed course conducted by the University. Prof. M.S. Lalithamma was former colleague of Vice Chancellor Prof. Ananthan at University of Mysore. She is putting her all out efforts to support him. Where fore it is requested that, the proceedings for nullifying these appointments be initiated at the earliest. Further, direct the University to re-advertise and fill up the positions as per NCTE norms otherwise I will be forced to approach the competent court by way of Public Interest Litigation against the University and NCTE.”</p> <p>The SRC in its 253rd meeting held between 30th September to 1st October, 2013 considered the matter and noted that the institution (University) has not replied to our earlier letter dated 20-09-2013 to submit the list of regular staff as per NCTE norms.</p> <p>A specific complaint was received by this office from Mr. Basavaraj Godachi, High Court, Advocate , Gourishankara Krupa, 8th Cross, Kalyan Nagar, Dharwad – 580 007.</p> <p>It is decided by the Committee and advised Southern Regional Office to send the above complaint letter to the University for their comments. If the reply from the University is not received to the complaint within 6 weeks, the Committee will be constrained to take an adverse decision in the matter.”</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the Registrar, Rani Chennamma University, Belgaum seeking a reply with respect to the complaint by Mr. Basavaraj Godachi on 18.12.2013.</p> <p>Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances of the case it is humbly prayed that the Hon’ble Court may be pleased to dismiss the writ petition in the interest of justice.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, all relevant documents of the institution and decided as under:</p> <p>1.No reply has been received even after 2 years in spite of several reminders.</p> <p>2.Withdraw the LOI.</p> <p>3.Reject the application.</p>							
18.	SRCAPP88 1 APS02641 D.El.Ed B.Ed Narketpally college of Education, Nalgonda, Telangana TS	<table border="1"> <tr> <td align="center" data-bbox="347 1423 1218 1465">APS02641/B.Ed</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1218 1423 1529 1465">SRCAPP881/D.El.Ed</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center" data-bbox="347 1465 1218 1768">Narketpally College of Education, Cherlapally Village, Hyderabad Road, Nalgonda, Telangana.</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1218 1465 1529 1768">Gaythri College of Elementary Education, Sy No.783, Chinn, Narketpally Post and Taluk,. Nalgonda District- 508254, Andhra Pradesh</td> </tr> </table>	APS02641/B.Ed	SRCAPP881/D.El.Ed	Narketpally College of Education, Cherlapally Village, Hyderabad Road, Nalgonda, Telangana.	Gaythri College of Elementary Education, Sy No.783, Chinn, Narketpally Post and Taluk,. Nalgonda District- 508254, Andhra Pradesh	<table border="1"> <tr> <td align="center" data-bbox="1218 1423 1529 1768">St. Mary’s Educational Society, Nalgonda Dt., Andhra Pradesh has submitted an application to SRC-NCTE for grant of recognition to Narketpally College of Education, Village</td> <td align="center" data-bbox="1218 1768 1529 1869">Gayahri Educational Society, Plot</td> </tr> </table>	St. Mary’s Educational Society, Nalgonda Dt., Andhra Pradesh has submitted an application to SRC-NCTE for grant of recognition to Narketpally College of Education, Village	Gayahri Educational Society, Plot
APS02641/B.Ed	SRCAPP881/D.El.Ed								
Narketpally College of Education, Cherlapally Village, Hyderabad Road, Nalgonda, Telangana.	Gaythri College of Elementary Education, Sy No.783, Chinn, Narketpally Post and Taluk,. Nalgonda District- 508254, Andhra Pradesh								
St. Mary’s Educational Society, Nalgonda Dt., Andhra Pradesh has submitted an application to SRC-NCTE for grant of recognition to Narketpally College of Education, Village	Gayahri Educational Society, Plot								

	<p>Cherlapally, Hyderabad Road, Nalgonda, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed course.</p> <p>The application was processed as per the provisions contained in Rules and Regulation, Norms and Standards vogue at that point of time and the recognition was granted to the institution vide order dated 08.03.2005 with stipulated conditions.</p> <p>The society submitted an application for M.Ed. course vide dated 10.5.2007 to the office of SRC. The same was processed and re-inspection of the institution was conducted on 12.3.2009. While considering the written representation for M.Ed. course, the SRC also decided to issue notice under Section 17 for existing B.Ed. Consequent upon the decision taken by SRC-NCTE the show cause notice was issued to the institution on 5.6.2009.</p> <p>The institution submitted its reply on 01.07.2009. The SRC in its 178th meeting held on 13-14th July 2009 considered the written representation along with documents and has decided to withdraw recognition.</p> <p>Recognition granted to the Institution was withdrawn under Section 17 of NCTE Act for B.Ed course from the academic session 2009-10 vide order dated 24.07.2009.</p> <p>However, the ongoing batch of students be permitted to complete their course (The students admitted in 2008-09 be allowed to continue in order to complete the course). The institution shall not make any fresh admission subsequent to the withdrawal order. The State Government and affiliating University may be informed accordingly.</p> <p>The institution has approached the Appellate Authority, New Delhi. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 24.07.2009 was confirmed".</p> <p>The institution has approached the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad in W.P.No.29059 of 2009. The Court order dated 31.12.2009.</p> <p>In this context it is informed that as per appellate order no 89-1170/2009-Appeal/A-7236 dated 26.11.2009, the effect of withdrawal order was made from the academic session 2010-2011 instead of session 2008-2009."</p> <p>The SRC in its 188th meeting held on 28-29 January 2010 noted the above and has decided to inform the NCTE Counsel to pursue the case. The Committee also decided to await the disposal of the case. Simultaneously, Headquarters was</p>	<p>No.783, Yeallareddy Gudem Village, Narketpally Post and Taluk, Nalgonda District-508254, Andhra Pradesh has applied grant of recognition to Gaythri College of Elementary Education, Sy No.783, Chinna, Narketpally Post and Taluk, Nalgonda District-508254, Andhra Pradesh for D.Ed course of two years duration. The recognition was granted to the institution on 26.02.2014 for conducting D.Ed with an annual intake of 50 students from the academic session 2014-15.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 27.10.2015 regarding transfer Gaythri College of Elementary Education (SRCAPP881) Chinnanarayanapuram, Narketpally Nalgonda District run by Gayathri Educational Society, YellareddyGudda, Narketpally, Nalgonda District to St. Mary Educational Society, Nalgonda along with relevant documents.</p>
--	---	--

	<p>informed of the status. The concerned affiliating university and the State Government be informed of the Court Order that “the petitioner (institution) shall be entitled to run the B.Ed college in the next academic year (2010-11) <u>only after the premises in which the College is to be located is approved by the competent authority (SRC, NCTE)</u>”. The institution shall not make admission till the Court Orders are complied with.</p> <p>As per direction of Regional committee a letter was issued on 02.02.2010 to the Member Secretary, NCTE Hqrs for information.</p> <p>The institution has approached to Hon'ble High court in writ petition no. 37687/2009 in writ petition no. 29059.</p> <p>The institution has submitted inspection fee of Rs.40000/- on 15.07.2010.</p> <p>The matter was considered by the Committee in its 194th meeting held on 21st-22nd July, 2010 and it was decided to cause inspection of the institution for B.Ed. Course, under Section 17 of NCTE Act, after payment of Rs.40,000/- inspection fee and on submission of filled in Questionnaire, along with relevant supporting documents relating to building, land, including Building Completion Certificate from the competent Government Engineer and Land Use Certificate, within four weeks from the date of confirmation of the Minutes.</p> <p>Accordingly inspection of the institution was carried out on 03.09.2010.</p> <p>The SRC in its 196th meeting held on 15-16 December, 2010 considered the VT report, VCD and other related documents along with the original file and decided to continue recognition accorded to the institution.</p> <p>Accordingly, continue recognition order was issued to the institution on 20.09.2010.</p> <p>In the meantime, a court notice in W.P.No.31925 of 2011 received on 21.12.2010. writ petition filed against RD-SRC-NCTE, State Council of Higher Education, Convenor,Ed-CET 2011, Mahatma Gandhi University.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter was sent to Sri.Madhava Rao on 26.12.2011 along with brief of the case.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 21.08.2012 regarding correction in address given in recognition order.</p>	<p>The SRC in its 293rd Meeting held on 29th-31st October, 2015 considered the matter, written representation dated 27.10.2014 from the institution, decided and advised Southern Regional Office as under:</p> <p>Keep pending</p>
--	---	---

Accordingly, corrigendum was issued to the institution on 22.08.2012.

The institution has submitted its written representation on 29.01.2015 along with willingness affidavit as per regulation 2014.

Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 12.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not maintained the FDR towards Endowment & Reserve funds.

The institution has submitted original FDRs on 06.07.2015. After verification of original FDRs, FDRs are returned to institution on 06.07.2015.

The institution has submitted its written representation on 24.09.2015 along with photocopies of recognition order copies.

The SRC in its 292nd meeting held on 29th-30th September 2015 considered the institution written representation and it has decided as follows;

- Inspection for shifting caused. Allowed already to function in new premises. In SRC's 196th meeting shifting order not issued. Issue now.

Accordingly shifting permission order was issued to the institution on 06.10.2015.

Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 27.10.2015 regarding taking over of Gaythri College of Elementary Education (SRCAPP881) Chinnanarayanapuram, Narketpally Nalgonda District run by Gayathri Educational Society, YellareddyGudda, Narketpally, Nalgonda District to St. Mary Educational Society, Nalgonda along with relevant documents.

The SRC in its 293rd Meeting held on 29th-31st October, 2015 considered the matter, letter dated 27.10.2015 from the institution, decided and advised Southern Regional Office as under:

Process for change of management.

SRCAPP881/D.El.Ed

The SRC in its 293rd Meeting held on 29th-31st October, 2015 considered the matter, written representation dated 27.10.2014 from the institution, decided and advised Southern Regional Office as under:

		<p>Keep pending</p> <p><u>APS02641/B.Ed</u></p> <p>The SRC in its 293rd Meeting held on 29th-31st October, 2015 considered the matter, letter dated 27.10.2015 from the institution, decided and advised Southern Regional Office as under:</p> <p>Process for change of management.</p> <p>The institution has submitted following documents.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The institution has submitted a copy of the special General body meeting, dated 03.09.2015 and 05.08.2015 • The institution has submitted photocopy of institution letter dated 05.08.2015 addressed to the registrar of Societies, Nalgonda. <p>Note:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SRCAPP881/D.Ed college address is Gaythri College of Elementary Education, Sy.No. 783, Chinna, Narketpally Post & Taluk, Nalgonda District-208254, Andhra Pradesh. Whereas as per APS02641 College address is Narketpally College of Education, Village Cherlapally, Hyderabad Road, Nalgonda, Andhra Pradesh. <p>Remarks:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The institution has not submitted NOC from affiliating body and NOC from Registrar of Society/Trust. <p>The Committee considered the matter, all the relevant dcumens submitted by the institution and decided as under:</p> <p>Only the Society's Resolution is given. State Govt's NOC, Registrar's certification, proof of title change, etc., have not been given. The application is rejected.</p>
19.	<p>APS09642 B.Ed Sri Krishnadeva raya University, Ananthapur am, Andhra Pradesh AP</p>	<p>Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapur Centre for Distance Education, Sri Venkateswarapuram, Ananthapur District-515055, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapur Centre for Distance Education, Sri Venkateswarapuram, Ananthapur District-515055, Andhra Pradesh has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to Sri krishnadevaraya University, Sri Venkateswarapuram, Ananthapur District-515055, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed (Distance Education) course of two years duration with an annual intake of 500 students and was granted recognition on 29.05.2009.</p> <p>A letter dated 09.12.2014 was forwarded by the NCTE Hqrs to this office on 19.12.2015 stated as under:-</p> <p>" Directorate of Distance Education of Sri Krishnadevaraya University on regular as well as distance modes without any regular faculty and violating the norms prescribed by NCTE.</p> <p>Really it is astonishing</p> <p>How can a university run a masters program without a teaching fraternity and violating the norms prescribed by the statuary body created by the Parliament of India i.e.NCTE to</p>

regulate the standards of Teacher Education.

Are SRC of NCTE and the Central Secretariat of NCTE aware of this. If it is so, what are the steps that are initiated by NCTE to monitor the implementation of NCTE norms.

Has the NCTE relaxed the its quality norms for Teacher Education Institution by permitting Sri Krishnadevaraya University to offer B.Ed and M.Ed programmes in regular and distance mode without appointing regular teaching staff as per the norms.

Finally, I wish to reinvent the quotation by great American comedian and social commentator George Carlin said of the education system in its current form; " They (NCTE) don't want a population that's capable of critical thinking. They (NCTE) don't want well-informed, well educated people capable of critical thinking. That doesn't help them (NCTE). It goes against their (NCTE) interests. They (NCTE) don't want people who are smart enough to sit around the kitchen table and figure out how..."

The SRC in its 283rd meeting held during 2nd & 3rd March 2015, considered the complaint matter, letter dated 09.12.2014 and other related documents, and decided as under:

- 1. Send the complaint to University for comments.**
- 2. Put up in the 285th meeting.**

A letter was addressed to the Registrar, Shri Krishnadevaraya University, Ananthapur District, Andhra Pradesh on 04.11.2015.

On 08.12.2015, a letter dated 27.11.2015, is received by this office from the Registrar, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Ananthapur District, Andhra Pradesh is as under:-

"Remark on the complaint:

Complaint 1. The Center for Distance Education (CDE) S.K.University, Anantapur is not offering the M.Ed Programme.

Complaint 2. The Center for Distance Education (CDE) S.K. University, Anantapur Offers only B.Ed Programme related to teacher education. The B.Ed programme was sanctioned by SRC-NCTE in May 2009 after recruitment of four Lecturers/Assistant Professor and Principal on consolidated pay on 25.05.2009.

Complaint 3. The B.Ed and M.Ed courses offered by the University College of Education are on self-founding basis for which regular staff will be appointed only after the sanction of posts by the Government in 2010 when the university has made appointment in various departments one sanctioned post of Assistant Professor was filled up in the college of Education. Since then no regular teaching staff posts was sanctioned to the college of Education and the University has not taken up appointments in general courses also due to legal issues in the High Court of Andhra Pradesh. The Government of Andhra Pradesh is going to accord permission for the requirement soon. The University also taking"

The Southern Regional Committee in its 298th meeting held on 8th to 10th January , 2016 considered the matter, and other relevant documents of the institution and decided as under:

Issue SCN for inadequate faculty in M.Ed., B.Ed; and B.Ed (DE).

As per the decision of SRC , a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 03.02.2016

On 25.02.2016, the institution has submitted a reply

The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution vide letter dated 25.02.2016 and all other relevant documents, decided as under:

1.The reply is not at all satisfactory. Regular B/Ed & M.Ed are being run by adhoc teachers. The B.Ed (DE) is being handled by teachers attached from other colleges.

2.Withdraw recognition.

20.	APS09002 B.Ed St. Joseph's college of Education, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh AP	<p>St. Joseph's College of Education, Nirmala English Residential School, M.P.R. Dam, Garlandinne Mandal, Anantapur District-515731, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>St. Joseph's College of Education, Nirmala English Residential School, M.P.R. Dam, Garlandinne Mandal, Anantapur District-515731, Andhra Pradesh had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition for starting B.Ed.Course of one year from the academic session 2001-02 with an annual intake of 120 students and was granted recognition on 24.12.2003. With condition of Shift to its own premises/ building within three years from the date of recognition (in case the course is started in rented premises)</p> <p>on 27.02.2015, an affidavit from the Principal, St. Joseph's of Education, M.P.R. Dam Post, Garlandinne Mandal, Anantapur District, Andhra Pradesh dated 19.01.2015, was received by this office 20.01.2015 regarding submission of Affidavit based on the revised norms of Regulations, 2014.</p> <p>the Provisional revised order issued to the institution on 30.05.2015. The Institution had submitted a written representation on 23.09.2015 as the institution had requested for an intake of 50 only, a Corrigendum was issued to the institution on 31.10.2015. Nirmala English Residential School Society, Plot No.266/4, M P R dam Village and Post office, Garlacinne Taluka, Anantapur Distrit-515731, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Sri P Anthony Memorial Teacher Training Institute, Plot No.268/1, M P R dam Village and Post office, Garlacinne Taluka, Anantapur Distrit-515731, Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed-AI course of Two years duration for the academic section 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2015 The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 01.07.2015.</p> <p>the Southern Regional Committee in its 297th meeting held during 27th -28th December, 2015, has considered the matter, composite institution (SRCAPP14865/D.El.Ed-AI) (APS02617/D.Ed) (APS09002/B.Ed) and documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under :-</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">“1.They have D.El.Ed & B.Ed already. 2. BP & BCC are there. Built-up area is inadequate. 3. Original FDRs to be given. 4. In all the three cases, the land is reference is reserved for garden and play ground of a school. Issue SCN in all the 3 cases for improper land use.”</p> <p>As per decision of SRC a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 02.02.2016. The institution has reply to the show cause notice on 26.02.2016.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution vide letter dated 26.02.2016 to the show cause notice issued and decided as under:</p> <p>1.3 courses are involved – B.Ed/D.El.ed/D.El.Ed-AI.</p> <p>2.Cause Composite Inspection after collecting fee.</p>
-----	---	--

21.	<p>SRCAPP14 1 B.Ed Sri ramanas college of Education, Virudhunagar, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p>Sri Ramanas College of Educaiton, Plot No. 522/13, 508/3, 509/2, 522/9, 1st Street, Chidhambarapuram Village, Chettikurichi Post, Aruppukottai Taluk, Virudhunagar-626134, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Sri Ramanas Institute of Educational Trust, Vludhunagar District, Tamilnadu has applied for grant of recognition to Sri Ramanas College of Educaiton, Plot No. 522/13, 508/3, 509/2, 522/9, 1st Street, Chidhambarapuram Village, Chettikurichi Post, Aruppukottai Taluk, Virudhunagar-626134, Tamilnadu for offering B.Ed course of one year duration for the academic session 2011-12 under Section 14/1 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 31.10.2009. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 31.10.2009 and the institution has granted recognition on 14.06.2011.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014, a letters were issued to all existing institutions regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards before 31.10.2015.</p> <p>On 03.02.2015, the institution has submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students.</p> <p>The revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 13.05.2015.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 11.02.2016 stating as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“Further we bring to your notice that our college was approved for the year 2012-2013 by the SRC of NCTE when there was a ban order imposed by the Govt.of Tamilnadu and the NCTE to start new colleges under general category. However the Govt. of Tamilnadu had lifted the ban order vide G.O No.200 dated: 28.11.2011 and G.O.No.9 dated 20.01.2012.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">In the mean time, we have noticed that the SRC of NCTE in their 274th Meeting had waived the requirement of minority certificate for one college, namely TNN Memorial College of Education, Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu (Vide Item No. 68) considering the above reasons.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Since our college is also started in the same batch of 2012-2013 we request to the Regional Director (SRC) to consider our request and grant the same relief to our College also. We assure that as soon as we get the minority Certificate from the Govt. of Taminadu we would produce the same to NCTE.”</p> <p>The Committee considered he matter, written representation from the institution vide their letter dated 11.02.2016 and advised Southern Regional Office as under:</p> <p>1.Ask them to explain what concession are they asking for and why.</p> <p>2.Advise them to be ready for RPRO inspection in June-16.</p>
22.	<p>SRCAPP18 13 B.Ed St. marys college of Education, Tiruneveli, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p>District Institute of Education and Training, PR No. 1977. GSSS Street, Karavatti Village, Karavatti Post & Taluk, Lakshadweep-682555.</p> <p>District Institute of Education and Training, PR No. 1977. GSSS Street, Karavatti Village, Karavatti Post & Taluk, Lakshadweep-682555 had submitted an online application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE on 28.09.2011 and Physical application on 05.10.2011 for grant of recognition for D.El.Ed course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students from the academic session 2012-2013 and was granted recognition on 25.10.2012.</p> <p>A letter dated 12.12.2012 is received from the Director, UT of Lakshadweep, DIET, Karavatti on 17.12.2012. A copy of the letter dated 01.11.2014 from M.P.Sayed Mohammed Koya, National</p>

		<p>Council Member, Bharatiya Janata Party, Lakshadweep Karavatti-682555 received by this office on 07.11.2014 regarding Obstructing the functioning of DIET and denying admission to the NCTE recognized two year D.Ed Course by the Department of Education.</p> <p>Once again a letter from M.P.Syed Mohammed Koya is received by this office on 26.12.2015 regarding Obstructing the functioning of DIET is as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“In obedience to the letter No. F.44-29/98-TE-II/EE-9 dated 01.10.2003 on the subject misuse of DIET building and to say that the Director of Education is once again obstructing the functioning of DIET by forcefully giving the DIET building to Calicut University Centre and hindering the functioning of DIET in Lakshadweep without letting the NCTE recognized D.El.Ed course to run properly with annual intake.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Therefore, on behalf of the people of Lakshadweep, I humbly request you to take immediate action by giving clear direction to the Director of Education and Lakshadweep Administration to shift the Calicut University Centre from DIET building for the full-fledged functioning of DIET in Lakshadweep in its totality and spirit.”</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, Letter dated 26.12.2015 from the complainant and decided as under:</p> <p>1.Let us inform TNTEU that under Law, once NCTE give recognition, the affiliating body shall affiliate.</p> <p>2.If them have any objection, they may write to us. So that we can issue a SCN to the institution.</p>
23.	<p>APS09505 B.Ed-DE Directorate of Distance and Continuing Education, Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p>Directorate of Distance and Continuing Education, ManonmaniamSundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli District-627012, Tamilnadu</p> <p>Directorate of Distance and Continuing Education, ManonmaniamSundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli District-627012, Tamilnadu had submitted an application for B.Ed (Distance Education) Course on 08.05.2008. The institution was granted recognition on 24.12.2008 with an annual intake of 500 students from the academic session 2009-10, with the condition to shift to own premises within 3 years.</p> <p>The request made by the University to NCTE Hqrs on 25.03.2009 seeking permission to admit students from the academic year 2008-2009 instead of 2009-2010 was forwarded by NCTE, Hqrs to take appropriate action by SRC.</p> <p>The SRC in its 175th meeting held on 13th-14th May, 2009 discussed the matter and decided to inform the University that no retrospective approval could be given. Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 11.06.2009.</p> <p>The NCTE Hqrs vide letter dtd. 23.12.2011 forwarded a complaint received against the institution from Tirunelveli Urban District Congress Committee, SC/ST Department which as stated as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“...in the conduct of Distance Education – As per permission order no. F/SRO/NCTE/2008/7146 dtd. 17.11.2008 of the National Council for Teacher Education only 500 candidates for B.Ed Training course have to be admitted in the first year 2009-2010 under Distance Education mode and as per para 7(11) of the above permission letter the intake for the course for each year should be 500 whereas during the year 2009-2010 and 2010-2011</i></p>

500 plus 1023 candidates were admitted to the course. For the excess admission of 523 candidates they have collected Rs. 1.50 lacs per candidate to the total of Rs. 7.84 crores as bribe. This has to be enquired into by CBI.

The UGC has granted recognition 46 courses under Arts and Science under Distance Education in this University. Whereas in violation of the permission granted by University Grants Commission and without getting approval from all India Council for Technical Education, the University introduced B.E. B.Tech, M.E, M.Tech, M.B.A-Finance, M.B.A. Logistics, B.Sc., Fire and Safety Management, B.Sc., Fashion Technology mode and online mode by entering into Memorandum of Understanding with 73 Private Educational Organization and opening 1044 learning Centres throughout India and admitting 60,000 pupils for such courses and collecting fees 10 times the rate fixed by the University Grants Commission and issuing bogus degrees of the amount collected 30% was remitted into the University account and the balance 70% is shared by Vice-Chancellor, Registrar, DD & CE Director, Syndicate Members, Politician and ThiruAyyakkanu Co-ordinator of the University. All joined together and looted a sum of Rs. 747 Crores and issued bogus Degrees in India and abroad. Request CBI enquiry into the clandestine affair”.

The SRC in its 217th meeting held on 08th-09th February, 2012 considered NCTE (HQ) letter dt.23.12.2011 regarding the complaint received against the institution from Tirunelveli Urban District Congress Committee, SC/ST Department about the excess admissions to B.Ed Distance mode in the said institution for the session 2010-2011, even though NCTE ban was there for B.Ed course in 2010-2011. And it has decided to forward the complaint copy to University for their comments. Accordingly, a letter issued to the University on 14.03.2012. Reply from the University is awaited.

In the meantime, the NCTE Hqrs vide its letter dtd. 31.01.2012 has stated that “The Ministry has taken the matter on priority and directed to examine the matter. Therefore, you are requested to take up the matter before SRC for action as deemed fit, under intimation to the NCTE, Hqrs., New Delhi so that a reply may be apprised to the Ministry within the stipulated time”.

The SRC in its 223rd meeting held on 29-31 May 2012, considered the matter and letter dt.31.01.2012 from NCTE .HQ. New Delhi and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Final Show cause Notice.

Accordingly, Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 25.06.2012. The institution submitted its reply on 23.07.2012.

The SRC in its 233rd meeting held on 26th-28th September 2012, considered the written reply of the institution on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-

- To admit the student(s) beyond the intake granted is a clear-cut violation of NCTE Act, Rules and Regulations.

The Directorate of Distance and continuing Education has submitted in its reply that due to heavy rush of application, the Syndicate of the University has enhanced admission intake, which is clear violation of NCTE Act/Norms.

The reply submitted by the institution on 23.07.2012 for the above queries, has not given proper genuine reasons.

Based on the above points the committee decides to withdraw the recognition of the APS0 9505-B.Ed (DE) course run by The Directorate of Distance and Continuing Education, ManonmaniamSundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli District-627012, Tamilnadu, from the academic year 2012-13, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed, course, if

any, to complete their course.

Accordingly, withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 30.10.2012.

The University preferred an appeal to NCTE Hqrs against the withdrawal order. Original file was sent to NCTE Hqrs. on 05.12.2012.

Simultaneously they filed Court Case and obtained stay on 17.12.2012 in W.P.(MD) No.15752 of 2012.

The University has submitted a copy of Court Order M.P.(MD) No.1 of 2012 in W.P.(MD) No.15752 of 2012 dated 07.12.2012.

Court ordered that this petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S. AJMAL ASSOCIATES, Advocate for the petitioner and of Mr. A. SIVAJI, Advocate takes Notice on behalf of the 2nd Respondent the court made the following order:-

Mr. A. Sivaji, the learned counsel takes notice for the second respondent.

- 2. Mr. M. Ajmal Khan, the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner has pointed out that when a letter was issued to the University on 14.03.2012, even before receiving the reply from the University, the present impugned proceedings was issued, as admitted in the said proceedings itself. Apart from that, he also pointed out that when a final show-cause notice was issued, the petitioner submitted his reply on 23.12.2011, wherein they have stated that only in the academic year 2010-2012, 23 students were admitted in excess and as against the officials, who made such excess admission, inquiries are being conducted by the Director of Vigilance and Anticorruption. Thereafter, the number of students have not increased more than 500 and that is what the present position also. The said reply sent by the petitioner, dated 11.11.2012, though said to have been received by the 2nd respondent, there is absolutely no discussion about the explanation given by the petitioner, while passing the impugned order.*
- 3. Considering the said submissions of the learned Senior Counsel, I am prima facie of the view that the impugned order came to be passed without application of mind. Hence, there will be an order of interim stay.*
- 4. The learned counsel appearing for the second respondent seeks time to file counter. Post this matter after two weeks.*

A brief of the case was sent to Advocate on 26.04.2013 to defend the interest of NCTE. A letter was sent to advocate Sri. K. Ramakrishna Reddy on 31.05.2013 along with duly signed counter affidavit.

The SRC in its 249th meeting held on 24th-26th July, 2013 has considered the matter and advised Southern Regional Office to ask Lawyer to inform this office regarding the current status of the case.

As per the decision of SRC a letter was sent to Advocate Sri. K. Ramakrishna Reddy on 29.08.2013 along with brief of the case. Reply from the advocate not received so far.

The institution has submitted its written representation on 06.08.2015 regarding requesting to continue recognition for conducting B.Ed programme under distance mode.

An email has been received from A. Sivaji, Advocate on 25.08.2015 in respect of W.P.No. (MD) No.15752 of 2012 filed by Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu before the Honb'le Madurai bench of Madras High court. In its email stated that *"the appeal filed by the very same university after the long lapse of time before the appellate authority viz; Head Quarters, New Delhi. On receipt of this mail steps were taken by mentioning the same before concerned learned judge for listing the case. It is likely to be listed after 25.08.2015. In the meanwhile copy of the appeal filed by the university before the appellate authority may be forwarded to me, for bringing to the notice of the learned judge and for getting appropriate orders"*.

Accordingly, a letter was sent to NCTE-Hqrs on 28.08.2015.

On 28.08.2015 a letter has been received from NCTE Hqrs regarding Smt. VijilaSathyananth, Member of Parliament (Rajya Sabha) regarding Appeal submitted by Manonmaniam Sundranar University, Tirunelveli for grant of recognition for B.Ed course under Distance mode.

A court order in WP (MD) No.15752 of 2012 and M.P (MD) Nos.1 of 2012 and 1 of 2013 dated 10.09.2015 received from the Hon'ble Madurai bench of Madras High court on 16.09.2015. The court order stating as follows:

"This writ petition is listed today under the caption "for withdrawal" on the basis of letter of requisition given by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner to the registry.

2. Recording the same, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn. No Costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition are closed. "

In the meantime, the institution has submitted its written representation along with documents on 23.09.2015. In its letter stating as follows:

"The National Council for Teacher Education (NCTE) had granted recognition to ManonmaniamSundaranar University vide Ltr.No.F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed-DE/2008/8073 dated 24.12.2008 to conduct 2 years B.Ed Degree Course under Distance Mode from the year 2009 with an annual intake of 500 students.

Accordingly, the University has admitted 500 students during the year 2009-2010. In 2010-2011, 523 students were admitted due to over-demand on the basis of a resolution passed by the University Syndicate dated 11.03.2010. Although there was a deviation in the 2010-2011 batch, subsequent admissions were made strictly based on NCTE norms i.e., 500 students only during the years 2011-2012 & 2012-2013.

In the meantime, the University had received Final Show Cause Notice on 25.06.2012 for which the University sent a reply to NCTE, SRC, Bangalore on 11.07.2012. Although the University regretted the deviation and gave assurance for not repeating such deviations in future, it was noticed from the NCTE website that NCTE has withdrawn the recognition of B.Ed course due to violations of norms. In this connection, the University submitted a Memorandum of Appeal to the NCTE, New Delhi on 31.10.2012. In the meantime, on 02.11.2012 the University recognition withdrawal order from NCTE-SRC, Bangalore vide its order No.F.No.APS09505/B.Ed (DE)/TN/2012-13/46939 dated 30.10.2012.

Therefore in order to seek legal remedy, the University approached University Legal

Counsel and as an interim arrangement, a writ petition was filed before the Honorable Madurai Bench of Madras High Court against the withdrawal order passed by the NCTE, SRC, Bangalore. Subsequently the Honorable Madurai Bench of Madras High Court has granted interim stay order against the withdrawal order of NCTE on 07.12.2012 and copy of the same was received by this office on 18.01.2013.

Accordingly, the University has proceeded with the admission process of B.Ed programme under Distance Mode for the years 2013-2014 & 2014-2015. The excess intake in the year 2010-11 has been adjusted in the subsequently year admissions i.e., 2013-14 & 2014-15 detailed below:

Sl.No	Academic Year	Students intake	Excess intake
1	2009-2010	500	Nil
2	2010-2011	523	23
3	2011-2012	500	Nil
4	2012-2013	500	Nil
5	2013-2014	486	Nil
6	2014-2015	404	Nil

In the interest of the student-teachers and in order to help the educational needs of the rural students, the University has submitted a Memorandum of Appeal through on-line to the NCTE, New Delhi under section 18 of NCTE Act 1993 (Appeal No.APPL2199 of 27.02.2015) with the Demand draft of Rs.10,050/- to consider this as a fresh appeal to continue the recognition for B.Ed (DE) programme of this University.

For considering the appeal, the NCTE New Delhi has invited an officer/employee of the institution to present the case before the Council a NCTE office on 14.04.2015. Dr.M.Soundarapandian, then Director, DD&CE and Dr.C.Ramesh, Assistant Professor, DD&CCE-B.Ed, were deputed and they met the council on 14.04.2015 at NCTE office. During the discussion, the council has directed the University to approach the SRC, Bangalore for further action.

In this connection, Dr.C. Ramesh, Asst Professor, DD & CE met the Regional Director, NCTE, Bangalore on 21.08.2015 and based on the discussion the following documents are now submitted for your kind perusal and considerations:

- 1. Disposed Orders of Honb'le Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai vide writ petition (MD) No.15752 of 2012 and M.P.I(MD) Nos.1 of 2012 and 1 of 2013*
- 2. Undertaking letter from the Registrar in connection with the NCTE norms under these circumstances, I request your good-selves to restore the recognition for B.Ed course under distance mode from the date of withdrawal.*

The SRC in its 292nd meeting held on 29-30 September 2015 considered the court order and it has decided as under:-

1. The Institution has withdrawn the writ-petition.
2. Await appellate order.

Accordingly, letters was sent to NCTE-Hqrs on 06.10.2015 and also intimates the decision through e-mail.

The university has submitted its written representation addressed to NCTE Hqrs on 13.10.2015. In its letter stated as follows:-

“...the Director, Directorate of Distance & continuing Education, M.S. University and a faculty were deputed to submit point-wise written explanation in connection with continuation of recognition of B.Ed course through distance mode.

During the discussion, it was informed to discuss with SRC, Bangalore. While discussing with the SRC, Bangalore they have informed that the writ petition filed by the university is to be withdrawn for considering the appeal of continuing the recognition of B.Ed course through distance mode and also to submit an undertaking to abide to follow strictly the norms and regulations of NCTE henceforth.

Accordingly, the withdrawal orders of Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court and the undertaking letter were submitted to SRC, Bangalore. In the meantime, the decision of 292nd meeting of SRC-NCTE as put up in NCTE website reads as follows:-

- 1. The institution has withdrawn the writ petition***
- 2. Await appellate order.***

The downloaded copy of the above is enclosed.

It is also informed that the B.Ed course for the academic year 2015-2016 is not offered by Manonmaniam Sundaranar University through Distance mode, since the continuation of recognition order of NCTE has not been received by the university.

I request your good-selves to restore the recognition for B.Ed course under distance mode from the date of withdrawal.

Note:

- The Statement of the university in the letter that while discussing with SRC, Bangalore they have informed that the writ petition filed by the university is to be withdrawn for considering the appeal or continuing the recognition of B.Ed course is not correct as no such suggestion is given. In fact, when they approached the SRO they were informed that the matter is subjudice and that NCTE cannot take any action at this junction.**

- The original file was sent to NCTE Hqrs on 08.04.2015. The brief of the case was taken from the comments of appeal letter**

The SRC in its 294th Meeting held on 14th-16th November, 2015 considered the matter, written representation from the University and decided as under:

1. Writ petition is withdrawn by the Institution.
2. The case is pending with appeal committee. Await its order.

The appellate authority order along with original file received from the NCTE-Hqrs on 04.01.2016. The appellate authority vide order No. F.No.89-18/2015 Appeal/12th Meeting-2015 19182 dated

18.12.2015 stating as follows:

“...the submission of the appeal has been delayed by two years on month and 27 days beyond the prescribed time limit of 60 days. The appellant has not furnished any reasons for condonation of the delay. However, it is observed that the appellant filed an appeal dated 31.10.2012 against the decision of SRC to withdraw recognition. When the Council informed the appellant on 27.11.2015 that the appeal was not submitted on-line and there were other deficiencies also, he did not respond. The appellant simultaneously filed a Writ Petition No. W.P.No. (MD) No. 15752 of 2012 and MP (MD) NO. 1 of 2012 before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court against the withdrawal order. The Hon'ble High Court in their order dt. 07.12.2012 granted interim stay and directed posting of the matter after two weeks. The case remained subjudice till September, 2015.

AND WHEREAS, Appeal Committee in its meeting held on 28.10.2015 is apprised of the fact that appellant has withdrawn the Writ Petition filed in Madurai Bench of Madras High Court. The Hon'ble High Court had earlier granted an interim stay against the impugned withdrawal order dated 30.10.2012 and the appellant institution has been conducting course till academic sessions 2014-15. The excess intake of 23 seats during 2010-11 has been accepted as a bonafide mistake committed by the appellant and excess admissions subsequently adjusted during 2013-14 & 2014-15.

AND WHEREAS, Appeal Committee is of the opinion that the grounds on which recognition was withdrawn do not indicate toward any serious deficiency and was a mistake committed, agreed to and absorbed in subsequent years. Committee, therefore, concluded to remand back the case for reconsideration of the matter by SRC.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The SRC in its 298th meeting held on 08th -10th January, 2016 considered the Appellate Authority order dated 18.12.2015 and it has decided as under:

- The SRC is no able to grasp the exact import of the appellate order. Is the SRC order unequivocally quashed; or, is the SRC open to revive the proceedings and decided afresh. Let us seek advice of the appellate authority.

Accordingly,

A letter dated 25.02.2016 received from NCTE-Hqrs on 26.02.2015 and the letter stated as follows:

“I am directed to refer your letter no.MSU/R/DD&CE/2016/2 dated 18.02.2016 seeking clarification with regard to appeal order no.89-18/2015-appeal/12th Meeting -2015/9170 dated 18.12.2015 wherein the following order was passed.

2. The appeal committee in its meeting held on 28.10.2015 was apprised of the fact that the recognition of appellant was withdrawn and the Writ petition filed in Madurai Bench of Madras High Court. The Honb'le High Court had earlier granted an interim stay against the impugned withdrawal order dated 30.10.2012 and the appellant institution has been conducting course till academic sessions 2014-15. The excess intake of 23 seats during 2010-11 has been accepted as a bonafide mistake committed by the appellant and excess admissions subsequently adjusted during 2013-14 & 2014-15.

3. The appeal committee observed that the grounds on which recognition was withdrawn to not indicate towards any serious deficiency and was a mistake committed, agreed to be obserbed in subsequently years. The appeal committee, therefore remanded back the case for reconsideration of the matter by SRC vide its order No. 89-18/2015-Appeal/12th Meeting -2015/9170 dated 18.02.2015. In this regard, it may be stated that the appeal order issued makes it abundantly clear that the additional intake was a bonafide mistake, which was rectified subsequently. Also, the deficiency was not that serious. However, it is again clarified that the SRC may revisit the case on the basis of the observation made in the appeal order”.

		<p>A letter dated 18.02.2016 addressed to Member Secretary, NCTE-Hqrs by the University received by this office on 26.02.2016.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, letter from the NCTE (HQ) dated 25.02.2016 and decided as under:</p> <p>As per Appellate Authority's clarification, we have revisited the case w.r.t their observations. After due consideration to all aspects of the case, we reconfirm our earlier order to withdraw recognition.</p>
24.	<p>APS05892 D.El.Ed Venkateshw ara D.Ed college, Bangalore, Karnataka KA</p>	<p>Venkateshwara D.Ed College, No.1, V.S. Layout K.R. Puram Bangalore-560036, Karnataka</p> <p>Sree Venkateshwara Educational Society, Bangalore, Karnataka has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to Sree Venkateshwara D.Ed College, No.1, V.S. Layout K.R. Puram Bangalore-560036, Karnataka for Elementary (D.Ed) course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 (fifty) students on 23.05.2007 with the condition to shift to its own premises building within three years from the date of recognition (incase the course is started in rented premises).</p> <p>The institution has not submitted its proposal for shifting till date.</p> <p>On 03.08.2013 a letter date 19.08.2013 was received by this office from the correspondent, Sree Venkataeshwara Education Society, K.R. Puram Bangalore stating as under:-</p> <p><i>"With reference to the above we wish to bring to your kind information stating that, we have applied to your authority for opening of D.Ed college by our management in the interest of the local and neighbourhood students></i></p> <p><i>Considering our request, your kind authority has accorded permission to open the D.Ed College.</i></p> <p><i>As per rules we have deposited a sum of Rs.5 lakhs towards stability fund in the joint names of designation of secretary and Regional Director.</i></p> <p><i>Sir I would like to state that we have given wide publicity in the medias for admission but there was no response from any students/ parents for admission. Further we have approached/ contacted the department (cell) for deputing/ sending/ allotting the students to our college but here also we never received any communication in allotting the students.</i></p> <p><i>As there was no scope for opening of D.Ed college without students the management in their Boards meeting held on 10th July 2011, resolved to request the Regional Director SRC NCTE, Bangalore to return the deposited account of Rs. 5 lakhs as there was no way.</i></p> <p><i>A copy of the resolution made by the management is also enclosed with a deposited certificate requesting your good self to return the amount.</i></p> <p><i>Hope you will do the needful and oblige."</i></p> <p>A letter was addressed to the institution on 19.03.2014 regarding request for withdrawal of Fixed Deposit receipts.</p> <p>On 03.12.2014 a letter dated 26.11.2014 received by this office from the Correspondent, Sree Venkateshwara Education society , Bangalore -560 036 as under:-</p> <p><i>"With reference to the above, we have made correspondent, with your authority during</i></p>

2013 towards refund of stability fund as we are not running the college due to non availability of candidates either from the department or from the other sources, inspite of wide publicity given by us through various Medias. Due to this reason, there was no other go to close down the college or seeking your permission to withdraw the permission granted to open the college.

As per your direction, we have requested the DSERT authority to issue NOC to the NCTE to refund the stability fund, as the management is not running the College due to non availability of candidates/students by any means.

Further we are submitting the copy of resolution made by the management on 10th July, 2011 authorizing the Secretary to make correspondence to with draw the stability fund, as the college is not functioning and also not able to run the college due to non availability of candidates .

Hence this is for your kind perusal ad further needful in the matter.”

A letter was addressed to the institution on 19.12.2014 regarding intention for continue recognition or withdraw the course.

On 31.12.2014 a letter is received by this office from the correspondent, Sree Venkateshwara Education society, Bangalore, Karnataka as under:-

“We wish to state that we have received your letter and noted the contents and also would like to state that, we the management wants to close/ withdraw the recognition which is granted by you for opening of D.Ed College.

Hence we request your goodself to do the needful by returning the amount deposited towards stability fund.”

A letter was addressed to the institution on 10.02.2016 regarding apply to the online application for closure.

On 06.08.2015 a letter is received by this office from the Correspondent, Sree Venkateshwara Education Society, Bangalore -560 036, Bangalore which is as under:-

“We wish to state that we have obtained the permission for opening / starting D.Ed College from 29.12.2005 year by NCTE authority vide the order copy issued by them is enclosed for reference.

After getting permission order copy from the NCTE, we have made correspondence with the Education Department/cell to depute the candidates to our college. But the cell/ department has not deputed the candidates to our college to run by us.

At the same time we have given wide publicity through Medias for admission and also contacted many agencies to get the candidates.

Inspite of our effort we could not get the candidates and also we lost the hopes of running the college. hence decided to close down and to get back the stability fund deposited to NCTE at the time of getting permission.

Further we have made correspondence with NCTE towards refund of the stability fund as we are not running the college

When we met the NCTE authority towards withdrawal, they want NOC from the DSERT regarding non allotment / deputation of candidates to our college and also about “ No

DUE" from the college / management in any aspect. We would like to state that, we have not appointed any staff for the college, due to non availability of candidates from any source.

The Management has decided to close down the college and get the stability fund from the authority, for which a resolution has been made and submitted to the NCTE.

Hence in the light of the above mentioned facts, we request your good self to issue NOC for not allotted/deputed the candidates for D.Ed course to our Management/ College and also about no due from the college/Management in any aspect to the department.

Hope you will consider our request and oblige.

On 24.02.2016 a letter dated 23.02.2016 is received by this office from the correspondent, Sree Venkateshwara Education society, Bangalore-560 035, Karnataka as under:-

" We wish to state that we have obtained the permission for opening/ starting D.Ed College from 29.12.2005 year by NCTE authority vide the order copy issued them is enclosed for reference.

After getting permission order copy from the NCTE we have made correspondence with the Education department /cell to depute the candidates to our college. But the cell/department has not deputed the candidates to our college to run by us.

At the same time we have given wide publicity through Medias for admission and also contacted many agencies to get the candidates. In spite of our effort we could not make nay admission from the Management side so we lost he hopes of running the college. Hence decided to close down and t o get back the stability fund deposited to NCTE at he time of getting permission.

Further, we have made correspondence with NCTE towards refund of the stability fund as we are not running the college we have obtained the NOC from DIET which is also enclosed.

Hence in the light of the above mentioned fact, we request our good self to return the stability fund to our management / college and oblige.

Hope you will consider out request and oblige ".

The institution has submitted a following documents:-

1. A copy of the online application for permission to close teacher Education programme.
2. Certificate from DIET stating that there are no students admitted teacher Training course.
3. Photo copy of the FDR of Rs.5 lakhs is submitted.

`The Committee considered the matter, request letter from the institution for closure and decided as under:

1.Permit closure.

2.Withdraw recognition for D.El.Ed w.e.f. 2015-16.

25.	<p>APS03805 B.P.Ed Gnanamani college of Physical Education, Namakkal, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p>Gnanamani College of Physical Education, No.9, Pudupalayam Road, Rasipuram-637408, Namakkal District, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Christian Educational Development Trust, No.1, Vallalar Road, Rasipuram, Namakkal District-631408, Tamilnadu has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to Gnanamani College of Physical Education, No.9, Pudupalayam Road, Rasipuram-637408, Namakkal District, Tamilnadu for B.P.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 50 students. Recognition was granted to the institution on 21.11.2005.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 03.05.2011 and stated as follows;</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“...Gnanamani College of Physical Education, No. 9 Pudupalayam Road, Rasipuram, Namakkal District-637408 started and functioning from the academic year 2005-06.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>As there was no students joined in the course. So, our trust has taken a decision and resolved to close down the B.P.Ed., course from the year 2009. In view of this, no admissions were made for the academic year 2009-2010 onwards. The same has been communicated to the Registrar, Tamilnadu Physical Education and Sports University, Chennai, Tamilnadu and also to NCTE, SRC, Bangalore vide letter cited above (2) (copy enclosed).</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>A copy of this letter is submitted to the VT members for information and necessary action by the NCTE, SRC, Bangalore.”</i></p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p><u>Note:</u> while preparing the notice for affidavit not submitted, it is found that the institution has submitted representation for closure.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, request letter from the institution for closure and decided as under:</p> <p>1.Permit closure.</p> <p>2.Withdraw recognition for D.El.Ed w.e.f. 2015-16.</p>
26.	<p>APS00411 B.Ed Anjuman E Islam’s D.Ed college, Bijapur, Karnataka KA</p>	<p>Anjuman E Islam’s D.Ed College, Managoli Road, N.H.13, Bijapur-586101, Karnataka.</p> <p>Anjuman E Islam’s D.Ed College, Managoli Road, N.H.13, Bijapur-586101, Karnataka was granted recognition for offering D.Ed course of two and half years duration from the academic session 2004-2005 with an annual intake of 50 students with a condition to shift to its own premises (in case the course is started in rented premises).</p> <p>On 17.08.2015, a letter dated 11.08.2015 of the Principal, DIET, Vijayapura is received by this office regarding closure of Anjuman D.Ed College, Vijayapura.</p> <p>A letter was addressed to the institution on 02.11.2015 to download the application proforma for closure of application from the website.</p> <p>A letter was received from Shri. Ahmedpasha M Jagirdar seeking information regarding the action taken by NCTE with respect to his complaint against Anjuman E Islam’s D.Ed College. Managoli Road, N.H.13, Bijapur-586101, Karnataka.</p> <p>A letter was addressed to the complainant regarding the request of the institution for closure.</p> <p>On 25.01.2016, the institution has submitted a copy of the duly filled in form for online application</p>

		<p>for permission to close teacher education programme (s) in respect of closure of D.Ed course offered by the institution.</p> <p>The SRC in its 301st meeting held during 05th -06th February, 2016, considered closure letter and decided as under:- “ Change may be permitted.”</p> <p><u>Note:-</u> The institution has requested for closure, but the SRC in its 301st meeting has decided “change may be permitted” instead of “closure may be permitted.”</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, request of the institution for closure of institution, and all other relevant documents of the institution and decided as under:</p> <p>1.Our earlier order was not clearly worded. Issue a fresh order clearly specifying permission to close.</p> <p>2.Withdraw recognition for B.Ed w.e.f. 2015-16</p>
--	--	--