

1.	APs06223 B.Ed 2 units Ruby college of Preceptors, Madurai, Tamilnadu TN	<p><b>Ruby College of Preceptors, No.3, Barathidasan Street, Thiruvalluvar Nagar, Palanganatham, Madurai-625003, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p><b>Ruby Educational Trust, Madurai District, Tamilnadu</b> has submitted an application to the Southern Regional committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Ruby College of Preceptors, No.3, Barathidasan Street, Thiruvalluvar Nagar, Palanganatham, Madurai-625003, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>secondary (B.Ed)</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of <b>100</b> Students. The recognition was granted to the institution on 28.09.2007 with a condition to shift to its own premise/ building within three years from the date of recognition.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014, a letters were issued to all existing institutions regarding notification of new regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards before 31.10.2015.                  On 02.03.2015 the institution has submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed Course with an annual intake of 100students.</p> <p>The SRC in its 276<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07<sup>th</sup> -09<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 decided to issue Provisional Recognition order to the existing institutions as and when the affidavits are received by this office. The SRC also decided to place the consolidated lists of cases to the committee for ratification subsequently. The Committee also decided to maintain check list of such cases for verification in October/November and for causing inspection.</p> <p>Accordingly, a revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 25.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its online application for shifting of premises along with DD of Rs.1,50,000/- and relevant documents on 29.05.2015.The letter stated as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>"I hereby sending the application for shifting of premises from No.3, Bharathidhasan Street, Palanganatham, Madurai-625003, Madurai, Madurai (DT) to No.9/3, Rajapalayam Road, T. Pudupatti, Thirumangalam Taluk, Madurai-625704, Madurai District . Hence I request you to consider our application in a prescribed format and processing fee Rs. 1,50,000/- (one lakh fifty thousand) DD No.date 27.05.2015). Please do the needful thing for us".</i></p> <p>Meantime, institution has submitted its written representation dated 29.07.2015 and 27.10.2015 received by SRC on 31.10.2015 along with documents and stating as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>".. I submit all the details regarding the staff approval to the TNTE, Chennai on 26.10.2015. The University acknowledged and promised to issue the Staff approval in the first week of November 2015. I have enclosed the photo copy of the acknowledged form by Tamilnadu Teachers Education University, Chennai. I assure you that as soon as I receive the approval from the University, I will send it to you for your perusal".</i></p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 10%;">SI. No</th> <th style="width: 40%;">Brief of the institution</th> <th style="width: 50%;">Remarks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td>Name and address of the</td> <td>Ruby College of Preceptors, No.3,</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	SI. No	Brief of the institution	Remarks	1	Name and address of the	Ruby College of Preceptors, No.3,
SI. No	Brief of the institution	Remarks						
1	Name and address of the	Ruby College of Preceptors, No.3,						

		institution	Barathidasan Street, Thiruvalluvar Nagar, Palanganatham, Madurai-625003, Tamilnadu
		2	Fees of shifting premises DD of Rs. 1,50,000/-bearing no.171247 dated 27.05.2015 drawn in Union Bank <b>(not taken into account, the DD is expired. Accordingly, a letter to the institution for revalidation of DD is prepared).</b>
		3	Date of online submission 27.05.2015
		4	Date of hardcopy received by SRC 29.05.2015
		5	Proposal submitted by the institution for shifting premises Ruby College of Preceptors, 9/3, Rajapalayam Road, T.Pudupatti, Thirumangalam (T.K), Madurai-625704, Tamilnadu
		<p>The institution has submitted their applications along with relevant documents were processed</p> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, written representation dated 29.07.2015 and 27.10.2015 from the institution, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.Title deed is given. Title is clear.</li> <li>2.EC is clear.</li> <li>3.LUC is incomplete. Obtain complete LUC covering all Kh.nos.</li> <li>4.BP is clear. Built-up area is adequate for =B.Ed (2 units).</li> <li>5.BCC is not approved by competent authority.</li> <li>6.Original FDRs not given.</li> <li>7.Faculty list is approved; but, al positions are not covered.</li> <li>9.Cause Inspection for shifting of B.Ed ( 2 units).</li> <li>10.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.</li> </ol>	
2.	APS04405 B.Ed 2 units Sekthi institute of Teacher education & research, Dindigul, Tamilnadu TN	<p><b>Sakthi Institute of Teacher Education &amp; Research, Sakthi Nagar, Dindigul-Palani Mai Road, Paiakkanuthu-624624, Dindigul District, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p><b>Soudamman Charitable Trust, Dindigul, Tamilnadu</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Sakthi Institute of Teacher Education &amp; Research, Sakthi Nagar, Dindigul-Palani Mai Road, Paiakkanuthu-624624, Dindigul District, Tamilnadu</b>The institution was granted recognition on 05.10.2006 with a condition the institution shall shift to its own premises/building within three years from the date of recognition. (In case the course is started in rented premises).</p> <p>The institution has submitted willingness affidavit as per regulations 2014. Accordingly, Revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 12.02.2015 <b>with a condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its Formal Recognition order dated 05.10.2006.</b></p>	

		<p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation along with documents on 16.07.2015 in its letter stated as follows:</p> <p><i>“As per the NCTE recognition order (B.Ed) F.SRO/NCTE/APS04405/B.Ed/TN/2014-15/64482 dated 12.05.2015 part 4 (i) Condition, herewith we are submitting all documents including land, building, encumbrance Certificate, land use certificate, building plan, staff list and infrastructure facilities for furnish course of action at your end”.</i></p> <p>Meantime, the institution has submitted its written representations dated 20.10.2015 and 22.02.2016 received by SRC on 10.11.2015 and 27.02.2016 along with documents and staff list.</p> <p>The documents submitted by the institution were processed</p> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, written representation dated 20.10.2015 and 22.02.2016 from the institution, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1.Title deed is given. Title is clear.</b></li> <li><b>2.EC is clear.</b></li> <li><b>3.LUC is given. But, area details are discrepant.</b></li> <li><b>4.BP is not approved by competent authority.</b></li> <li><b>5.BCC is not approved by competent authority.</b></li> <li><b>6.Original FDRs are not given.</b></li> <li><b>7.Faculty list is given. 2 positions are vacant.</b></li> <li><b>8.Processing Fee not paid.</b></li> <li><b>9.Collect full fee and cause inspection for shifting B.Ed (2 units).</b></li> <li><b>10.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.</b></li> </ol>
3.	<p>AOS00166  B.Ed 2  units  Dr.  Sarvapalli  Radhakrish  nan B.Ed  college,  mahaboob  nagar,  Telangana  TS</p>	<p><b>Dr.SarvapalliRadhakrishnan B.Ed College, C/o VidyaBharathi Educational Society, Teachers Colony, Mahaboobnagar-509002, Telangana</b></p> <p><b>VidyaBharathi Educational Society, Teachers Colony, Mahaboobnagar-509002, Telangana</b> submitted an application for seeking grant of recognition to <b>Dr.SarvapalliRadhakrishnan B.Ed C/o VidyaBharathi Educational Society, Teachers Colony, Mahaboobnagar-509002, Telangana</b> 14.07.2001.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014 letters were issued to all existing institutions regarding notification new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revise norms and standards before 31.10.2015.</p> <p>On 22.01.2015, the institution has submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students.</p> <p>The SRC in its 276<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup>-9<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 decided to issue provisional recognition orders to the existing institutions and the committee also decided to maintain a check list of such cases for verification in October/November and for causing inspection.</p>

Accordingly, as per the Regulation 2014 a revised recognition order was issued to the institution on **26.05.2015** with a condition that the institution has not maintained/revalidated the Fixed Deposited Receipts towards Endowment and Reserve Funds.

Now the institution has submitted a letter requesting to shift to its own premises long with relevant documents on 04.08.2015 and 31.10.2015 it stated as follows:

*“With reference to the subject cited above I am to bring your kind notice that Dr.SarvepallyRadha Krishan College of Education, Narayanpet, Mahabubnagar was granted recognition to run B.Ed course in the academic year 2000-01. Now the society of the college has decided to shift the college in new and its own premises. In this regard I request you kindly arrange to send inspection team after the month of October 2015. As the said college building is under construction, it will be completed by the time of visiting NCTE inspection authority. The details are furnished in application form.*

*A D.D. worth of Rs.1,50,000/- (Rs One Lakh Fifty thousand only)towards fee for processing of application form is also enclosed with application form. Necessary required documents along with filled in application form is submitting for your kind perusal.*

*Therefore, I request you kindly take necessary steps to grant permission to run B.Ed course in new and own premises from the academic year 2015-16, for this act of kindness I shall be thankful forever.”*

The recognition was granted to Dr.SarvapalliRadhakrishnan B.Ed College, C/o VidyaBharathi Educational Society, Teachers Colony, Mahaboobnagar-509002, Telangananow the institution is shifting to Sy.No.31/A/A Gurlapally Village, Singaram Post, Narayanpet Taluk, Mahabubnagar District, Telangana.

The institution has submitted another written representation along with Lease Deed on 16.06.2016 and it stated as follows:

*“With reference to the subject cited above I am to bring your kind notice that the Dr.SarvepallyRadha Krishnan College of Education, Narayanpet (Sponsored by VidyaBharathi Educational Society, Mahabubnagar) was granted permission in the academic year 2001-02 on lease building base. The building was leased for a period of 33 years. In the academic year 2015-16, the Govt. of Telangana and Palamuru University, Mahabubnagar have rejected grant of affiliation of the college due to the college is not running its own building. One academic year was lapsed due to this reason.*

*Now I request you kindly perceive the matter sympathetically and issue a fresh order for continuation of grant of permission of recognition and affiliation by including the college name on the base of leased (33 Years) building. For this act of kindness, I shall be thankful to you.”*

**The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, written representation dated 04.08.2015 & 31.10.2015 from the institution, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under**  
**1.Title deed is given. Title is clear.**

		<p><b>2.EC and LUC are clear.</b>  <b>3.BP is not approved by competent authority.</b>  <b>4.BCC is approved, built up area is adequate only for one unit. 5.Original FDRs not given.</b>  <b>6.Faculty ist-not given. 7.Processing fee-paid in full.</b>  <b>8.Cause inspection for shifting B.Ed (2 units).</b>  <b>9.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents and check especially built-up area.</b></p>
4.	<p>APs07366          B.Ed          2 units          Best          college of          education,          Nagapattin          am,          Tamilnadu          TN</p>	<p><b>Best College of Education, No.26/54, Mayura Nathar North Street, Mayiladuthurai, Nagapattinam District-609001, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p>Ayoob Educational Trust, No.26/54, Mayura Nathar North Street, Mayiladuthurai, Nagapattinam District-609001, Tamilnadu has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to Best College of Education, No.26/54, Mayura Nathar North Street, Mayiladuthurai, Nagapattinam District-609001, Tamilnadu for B.Ed course. The recognition was granted to the institution on 26.08.2008 for B.Ed course with an annual intake of 100 students.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its willingness affidavit on 27.01.2015 as per regulations 2014. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 14.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its Formal Recognition order dated 26.08.2008.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 28.07.2015 along with DD of Rs. 1,10,000/- bearing no.173226 dated 15.07.2015, online application for shifting of premises and relevant documents. The letter stated as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“I herewith we have submitted the application for shifting to own premises with necessary enclosures and demand draft for our Best College of Education, Mayiladithurai and as per the reference cited 2, we already sent a demand draft dated 24.05.2010 for Rs. 40.000/- with application of shifting to own premises. Herewith we have enclosed a demand draft for remaining inspection fee of Rs.1,10.000/- only”.</i></p> <p>Meantime, the institution has submitted another written representation on 03.11.2015 along with documents and stating as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“We are enclosed herewith the necessary documents towards the additional unit of B.Ed., Degree course as per the instruction for your reference”</i></p> <p>The documents submitted by the institution were processed</p> <p><b>Note:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The institution has submitted shifting fee of Rs. 1,10,000/- only remaining 40,000/- not submitted but the institution has stated in its letter that “we already sent a demand draft dated 24.05.2010 for Rs. 40,000/- with application of shifting to own premises. Herewith we have enclosed a demand draft for remaining inspection fee of Rs.1,10,000/- only”. Hence the institution is required to submit difference amount of</li> </ul>

		<p style="text-align: center;">shifting fee of RS. 40.000/-.</p> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, written representation dated 28.07.2015 3.11.2015 from the institution, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1.Original title deed not given. According to English copy title is clear.</b></li> <li><b>2.Latest EC is required.</b></li> <li><b>3.LUC is clear.</b></li> <li><b>4.BP does not show land area.</b></li> <li><b>5.BCC does not show sy.nos; according to BCC built-up area is adequate only for one unit.</b></li> <li><b>6.Original FDRs not given.</b></li> <li><b>7.Faculty list is not in format. The faculty is adequate only for one unit.</b></li> <li><b>8.Processing Fee-shortfall of ₹40,000/-</b></li> <li><b>9.Collect the balance fee and cause inspection for shifting of B.Ed (2 units),</b></li> <li><b>10.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents and check esp. built-up area.</b></li> </ol>
5.	<p>APS04358 B.Ed 2 units Sri Venkatesw ara college of Education, nalgonda, Telangana TS</p>	<p><b>Sri Venkateswara College of Education, Sri Venkateswara Junior and Degree College, Kondamallepally Mandal, Devarkonda, Nalgonda District, Telangana</b></p> <p><b>Sri Venkateshwara Educational society, Kondamallepally Mandal, Devarkonda, Nalgonda District, Telangana</b> has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Sri Venkateswara College of Education, Sri Venkateswara Junior and Degree College, Kondamallepally Mandal, Devarkonda, Nalgonda District, Telangana</b> for <b>Secondary (B.Ed)</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of <b>100</b> Students and it was granted on <b>09.03.2006 with condition that the institution shall shift B.Ed course to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition (In case the course is started in rented premises).</b></p> <p>SCN was issued to the institution on 02.07.2009 for Non-Maintenance of Norms and standards.</p> <p>On 08.03.2010, a letter is received form the institution along with shifting fee of Rs.40,00,000 regarding shifting of the college.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014, a letters were issued to all existing institutions regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised norms and standards before 31.10.2015.</p> <p>The institution has submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students on 09.02.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 276<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup>-9<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 decided to issue provisional recognition orders to the existing institutions and the committee also decided to maintain a check list of such cases for verification in October/November and for causing inspection.</p> <p>Accordingly, a revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 11.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 31.07.2015 along with some relevant documents and stated as follows:</p>

		<p>With reference to the above cited subject, I am here with submitting of all documents details of Sri Venkateshwara College of Education, Kondamallepally Village, Devarakonda Mandal, Nalgonda Dist.</p> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, written representation dated 31.07.2015 from the institution, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under</b></p> <p><b>1.Title deed is given. Title is clear.</b>  <b>2.EC is clear.</b>  <b>3.LUC is clear. Irrelevant LUC is ignored.</b>  <b>4.BP is approved. Built up area is shown to be adequate only for one unit.</b>  <b>6.Original FDRs not given.</b>  <b>7.Faculty list –not given.</b>  <b>8.Processing Fee – Paid in full.</b>  <b>9.Cause Inspection for Shifting of B.Ed (2 units).</b>  <b>10.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents and check esp. adequacy of built-up area.</b></p>				
6.	<p>APS06142 B.Ed 2 Units Bethesda college of Education, Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p><b>Bethesda College of Education, Bethelpuram-629803, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p><b>Bethesda Charitable and Educational Trust, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu</b> has applied to the SRC grant of recognition to <b>Bethesda College of Education, Bethelpuram-629803, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 Students on 11.01.2008.</p> <p>As per the regulation 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit on 22.01.2015. Accordingly, revised order was issued to the institution for two years duration on 14.05.2015 with condition to that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its formal recognition order dated 11.01.2008.</p> <p>Now, a letter received from the institution on 03.08.2015 along with relevant documents letter stating as under:</p> <p><i>“ I submit to state that our institution, Bethesda College of Education, Bethelpuram, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu is functioning in own premises. I submit to enclose the copies of the following documents.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Land documents</li> <li>2. Building plan approved copy</li> <li>3. Encumbrance Certificate</li> <li>4. Land Use Certificate</li> <li>5. Staff List</li> <li>6. Building Stability Certificate.</li> </ol> <p><b>The details of documents as under:</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="337 1612 1458 1764"> <tr> <td data-bbox="337 1612 912 1703">Name of the institution</td> <td data-bbox="912 1612 1458 1703"><b>Bethesda College of Education, Bethelpuram-629803, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="337 1703 912 1764">Name and address of the Society</td> <td data-bbox="912 1703 1458 1764"><b>Bethesda Charitable and Educational Trust, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu.</b></td> </tr> </table>	Name of the institution	<b>Bethesda College of Education, Bethelpuram-629803, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu</b>	Name and address of the Society	<b>Bethesda Charitable and Educational Trust, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu.</b>
Name of the institution	<b>Bethesda College of Education, Bethelpuram-629803, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu</b>					
Name and address of the Society	<b>Bethesda Charitable and Educational Trust, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu.</b>					

		Date of online application for shifting of premises.	<b>The institution has not submitted shifting fee of Rs. 1.50.000/- and online application for shifting of premises.</b>						
		<p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, written representation dated 03.08.2015 from the institution, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under</b></p> <p><b>1. There are two lease deeds each citing different sy.nos. There are also discrepancies between the lease deed entries and entries in BP and BCC.</b></p> <p><b>2. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</b></p>							
7.	APS05270 B.Ed 2 units Vidhya Vikas plus the institute of Education, Perambalur, Tamilnadu TN	<p><b>Vidhya Vikas Plus The Institute of Education, Udumbiam Village, Venganur Post, Veppanthatti Taluk, Perambalur District -621116, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p><b>Eadun Garden Educational Health and Charitable Trust, Perambalur District, Tamilnadu</b> has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Vidhya Vikas Plus The Institute of Education, Udumbiam Village, Venganur Post, Veppanthatti Taluk, Perambalur District -621116, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course. The recognition was granted to the institution on <b>23.12.2006</b> for conducting <b>B.Ed</b> course with an annual intake of 100 students with a condition that the institution shall shift to its own premises/building within three years from the date of recognition (in case the course is started in a rented premises).</p> <p>The institution has submitted its willingness affidavit on 29.01.2015 as per regulations 2014. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 12.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its Formal Recognition order dated 23.12.2006.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 31.07.2015 along with relevant documents and stating as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“..we are submitting the documents required as per your instruction, we have transferred land and building in the name of college in 2009 as per your regulation in the same land we are running college in the same campus, for this we have enclosed land document etc for your consideration.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>We request your good self to consider and oblige”.</i></p> <p>The documents submitted by the institution were processed as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="363 1465 1448 1743"> <tr> <td data-bbox="363 1465 824 1560"><b>Name of the institution</b></td> <td data-bbox="824 1465 1448 1560"><b>Eadun Garden Educational Health and Charitable Trust, Perambalur District, Tamilnadu.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="363 1560 824 1682"><b>Name and address of the Society</b></td> <td data-bbox="824 1560 1448 1682"><b>Vidhya Vikas Plus The Institute of Education, Udumbiam Village, Venganur Post, Veppanthatti Taluk, Perambalur District -621116, Tamilnadu.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="363 1682 824 1743"><b>Date of online application for shifting of premises</b></td> <td data-bbox="824 1682 1448 1743"><b>Not Submitted</b></td> </tr> </table>		<b>Name of the institution</b>	<b>Eadun Garden Educational Health and Charitable Trust, Perambalur District, Tamilnadu.</b>	<b>Name and address of the Society</b>	<b>Vidhya Vikas Plus The Institute of Education, Udumbiam Village, Venganur Post, Veppanthatti Taluk, Perambalur District -621116, Tamilnadu.</b>	<b>Date of online application for shifting of premises</b>	<b>Not Submitted</b>
<b>Name of the institution</b>	<b>Eadun Garden Educational Health and Charitable Trust, Perambalur District, Tamilnadu.</b>								
<b>Name and address of the Society</b>	<b>Vidhya Vikas Plus The Institute of Education, Udumbiam Village, Venganur Post, Veppanthatti Taluk, Perambalur District -621116, Tamilnadu.</b>								
<b>Date of online application for shifting of premises</b>	<b>Not Submitted</b>								



		<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"><b>Details of payment of application and processing fee</b></td> <td><b>Not Submitted</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Details of proposed premises</b></td> <td>-----</td> </tr> </table> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, written representation dated 31.07.2015 from the institution, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1.Title deed is given. Title is clear.</b></li> <li><b>2.EC and LUC are clear.</b></li> <li><b>3.Since the College, which earlier had only leasehold right, now has free-hold title to the land given to it by the Society, there is no need to retain the shifting condition. Remove it.</b></li> <li><b>4.BP and BCC are clear. The built-up area is shown to be adequate for B.Ed (2 units) and D.El.Ed (1 unit).</b></li> <li><b>5.Original FDRs are not given.</b></li> <li><b>6.Faculty list not given.</b></li> <li><b>7.Processing fee not paid.</b></li> <li><b>8.Collect full fee and Cause Inspection for additional 2<sup>nd</sup> unit of B.Ed.</b></li> <li><b>9.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.</b></li> </ol>	<b>Details of payment of application and processing fee</b>	<b>Not Submitted</b>	<b>Details of proposed premises</b>	-----
<b>Details of payment of application and processing fee</b>	<b>Not Submitted</b>					
<b>Details of proposed premises</b>	-----					
8.	APS00647 AOS00168 B.Ed-AI B.Ed 2 units Dakshin Bharath Hindi Prachar Sabha B.Ed College, Kerala KL	<p><b>Dakshin Bharath Hindi Prachar Sabha B.Ed College,Nileshwaram,Kasargod 671 314 , Kerala</b></p> <p>Dakshin Bharath Hindi Prachar Sabha B.Ed College, Nileshwaram, Kasargod 671 314 , Kerala was granted recognition for offering B.Ed course of one year duration from the academic session 2001-2002 with an intake of 60 students on 12.07.2001.(APS00168)</p> <p>The institution was granted recognition for offering B.Ed –A.I course with an intake of 40 students thus making the total intake 100, from the academic session 2004-2005 on 23.03.2005 with a condition to shift to its own premises /building within three years from the date of recognition ( in case the course is started in rented premises).</p> <p>The institution submitted an affidavit affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014 and a revised order was issued to the institution on 15.05.2015 for offering B.Ed course of two years duration with an intake of 100 students from the academic session 2015-16 subject to fulfillment of certain conditions.</p> <p>On 30.07.2015, in response to the conditions stipulated in the revised order, the institution has submitted a reply as under :-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“We are running college in both present and in the old building till December 2007.From 2007-08 the college is run in the present address only. Correspondence to your office since 2007-2008 were made from the present address. The documents (sale deed, Encumbrance Certificate, Land Use Certificate Building Plan, Property Tax receipts and approved staff list) are enclosed herewith for your perusal.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>You are hereby kindly requested to note this address and in future. Any further</i></p>				

*clarification needed in this regard will be sent by us if duly intimated.”*

The Institution has submitted a written representation on 20.11.2015.

As informed in your above order our college is functioning at our own premises D.B Hindi Prachin Sabha :- B.Ed Training College Puthukai PO Nileschwara Kasaragod-671314, Kerala as mentioned in your items address and the above address mentioned in your letter in the item no.2 is not full and incorrect.

And as mentioned in item No.3 the institution is functioning in our own premises as mentioned in the address since 2006-2007.

As per the item No.4 we have already submitted the building plan, land details encumbrance certificate to your office. We again enclose the encumbrance certificate, sale deed and building plan for your kind perusal.

The Institution has submitted a written representation on **29.02.2016**.

With reference to the above, we herewith submit the staff list approved by affiliating body in prescribed proforma. Kindly accept and acknowledge the same.

Note :-

1. Recognition order was issued to the institution on 12.07.2001 DHBPS B.Ed College (APS00168) B.Ed course of one year duration from the academic session 2001-2002 with an intake of 60 students.
2. Revised order was issued to the institution on 15.05.2015 for offering (APSO0647) B.Ed course of two years duration with an intake of 100 students from the academic session 2015-16 with a condition to shift to its own premises /building within three years from the date of recognition.

The Show cause Notice was issued to the institution for B.Ed Course (AOS00168) on 20.05.2016.

The Institution has submitted written representation on **13.06.2016** as under:-

I most humbly submitted that there seems to be some mix up with regards to files concerning the college your notice cited above mentioned the college code as “**AOSO0168**” while as your order F.SRO/NCTE/APSO647/B.Ed/KL/2015-16/64821 dt. 15.05.2015 mentioned it as APSO0647 and acknowledges the fact that the necessary affidavit has been submitted and therefore ‘grant recognition conducting B.Ed Training college Nileschwaram Pin 671314 Kasaragod Dist, Kerala conducting B.Ed programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 student each for the basic units for academic session 2015-16.

Hence it is clear that DBHPS has only one college offering the B.Ed Course and is named “DBHPS B.Ed College Nileschwaram” and not DBHPS B.Ed Training College “I would also request you kindly refer to your website serial No.89.

It is therefore prayed that the show cause notice may kindly be rescinded and action as contemplated may also be kindly be dropped and an efficacious communication may please be issued.

		<p>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, written representation dated 13.06.2016 from the institution, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There are 2 cases.</li> </ol> <p>The Sabha has clarified that only APS00647 is to be pursued. So, close the case (no. APS0-0168). Withdraw the recognition for it w.e.f. 2015-16.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. The need for inspection will, however, still be there particularly to check the adequacy of built-up area.</li> <li>3. Title deed is given. Title is clear.</li> <li>4. EC-obtain English version.</li> <li>5. LUC is not given.</li> <li>6. BP is not approved by competent authority.</li> <li>7. BCC is approved. According to it, built-up area is inadequate even for one unit.</li> <li>8. Original FDRs are not given.</li> <li>9. Faculty list is given. There is a shortfall of two. Examine the eligibility of the 14.</li> <li>10. Processing Fee-not paid,</li> <li>11. Collect fee and Cause Inspection for B.Ed (2 units).</li> <li>12. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.</li> </ol>
9.	<p>SRCAPP23 22 B.Sc.B.Ed (1 unit) Central University of Tamilnadu, Thiruvarur, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p><b>Central University, Neelakudi Village, Kandalancherry Post Office, Thiruvarur Taluk and City, Thiruvarur District-610101, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p><b>Central University of Tamilnadu, Neelakudi Village, Kandalancherry Post Office, Thiruvarur Taluk and City, Thiruvarur District-610101, Tamilnadu</b> has applied for grant of recognition to <b>Central University, Neelakudi Village, Kandalancherry Post Office, Thiruvarur Taluk and City, Thiruvarur District-610101, Tamilnadu</b> for offering <b>B.AB.EdB.ScB.Ed</b> course of four years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 21/05/2015. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 04/06/2015.</p> <p>The application is processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 09/6/2015 Followed by recommendation 11/12/2015 and reminder II on 15/12/2015.</p> <p>The Sub Section (7) of Section 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course”.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 296<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup>-16<sup>th</sup> December, 2015 considered the documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and it has decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Name to be changed to Central University.</li> <li>2. Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents.</li> <li>3. Cause Composite Inspection.</li> </ol> <p>As per the decision of SRC, inspection intimated was sent to the institution on 11.01.2016. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 26.01.2016 and the VT Report along with</p>

documents received in the office of SRC on 01.02.2016.

The SRC in its 301<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 05<sup>th</sup> – 06<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 the committee considered the VT report and all relevant documents and decided to issue LOI for 1 unit.

As per the decision of SRC the Letter of Intent was issued to the institution on 11.02.2016.

Now, the institution has submitted its reply for LOI on 03.03.2016 stating as follows:

Sl.No.	Documents Sought	Reply of the University
(i)	<i>An affidavit on Rs.100/- stamp paper about appointment of faculty/staff as required.</i>	<i>Recruitment of faculty for Education Programme is under process.</i>
(ii)	<i>An affidavit of Rs.10/- stamp paper by individual faculty/staff members.</i>	
(iii)	<i>A statement giving the staff profile. The details therein should be filled by every faculty/staff members.</i>	
(iv)	<i>Before issuance of Formal Recognition order the institution shall convert Endowment Fund of Rs. Five Lakhs and Reserve Fund of Rs. Seven Lakhs into joint account for five years in a scheduled Bank with the Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, National Council for Teacher Education (Regional Director, SRC, NCTE. Original FDR's converted into Joint Account shall be submitted.</i>	<i>The University is established under the Central University Act (Act No. 2df1) in 2009 and funded by the UGC. The University is under the Ministry of Human Resource Development. Hence, the requirement stated is not applicable to CUTN as per NCTE regulation 2014, as we are fully financed by MHRD/UGC and no programmes are offered in self-financing mode. We may be exempted from the said condition.</i>

The University hereby undertakes to upload the following in the University website:

- a. Sanctioned programmes along with annual intake in the institution.
- b. Name of faculty and staff in full as mentioned in school certificate along with their qualifications, scale of pay and photograph.
- c. Name of faculty members who left or joined during the last quarter.
- d. Name of students admitted during the current session along with qualification, percentage of marks in the qualifying examination and in the entrance test, if any, date of admission, ets.
- e. Fee charged from students.
- f. Available infrastructural facilities.
- g. Facilities added during the last quarter.
- h. Number of books in the library, journals subscribed to and additions, if nay, in the last quarter.
- i. The affidavit with enclosure submitted along with application.
- j. The institution shall be free to post additional relevant information, if it so desires.

The University also undertakes that "Any false or incomplete information on website shall

render the institution liable for withdrawal of recognition”.

The committee considered the matter, LOI reply dated 03.03.2016, all other relevant documents of the institution and decided as under

1. Approved staff list not yet received
2. They have time till mid April
3. Put up in the third week of April
4. Inform the University that the Supreme Court has prescribed 2<sup>nd</sup> May as the last date for issue of Formal Recognition for 2016-17

The institution has submitted written representation on 30.04.2016 and stated as follows:

*We would like to inform that the Central University of Tamilnadu. February 2016. Thiruvarur was issued a letter for starting of the BSc.BEd. course from the academic year 2016 by National Council For Teacher Educaiton, Southern Regional committee (NCTE SRC) subject to submission of list of faculty members for the said course.*

*To appoint teachers for the Department of Education in CUTN, We have already finished the selection process for the post of Professor/s, Associate Professor/s on 16.03.2016 and for the post of Assistant Professors on 18<sup>th</sup>, 19<sup>th</sup> and 20.04.2016.*

*Meanwhile the Election Commission of Tamilnadu has instructed.*

*CUTN to defer the selection process till the completion of the Election in Tamilnadu.*

*Hence, as the end of the selection process to issue offer of appointment orders to the list of selected members, approval by the Executive Council of the University becomes essential which would done only after the completion of the election code of conduct. In this context we will be able to appoint the teachers before the prescribed time and submit the staff list to NCTE only after 19<sup>th</sup> april 2016. Therefore, under the circumstance, we request the NCTE, to kindly consider our case as a special issue and grant approval pending submission of the staff list by the end of June 2016. We undertake to submit the list of staff latest by 30.06.2016.*

SRC in its 313<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 02<sup>nd</sup> & 3<sup>rd</sup> May, 2016 considered the matter and the committee decided as under:

*Time as requested is given subject to the understanding that this case can not now be considered for 2016-17.*

Accordingly, letter was issued to the institution on 01.06.2016. The institution has submitted LOI reply on 30.06.2016 and 07.07.2016.

**The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, written representation dated 31.07.2015 from the institution, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under**

**1. Faculty list is in order.**

**2. Issue Formal Recognition for B.Sc.B.Ed (1 unit) w.e.f. 2017-18.**

10.	APS08997 M.Ed (1 Unit) Rani Channamm a University. Belagavi, Karnataka KA	<p><b>Kittoor Rani Chennamma PG College, Belgaum, Karnataka</b></p> <p><b>Kittoor Rani Chennamma PG College, Belgaum, Karnataka had submitted an application for starting of M.Ed. course on 11.9.2007.</b></p> <p>After submission of essential documents, inspection was conducted on 31.07.2008. The VT report and all other related documents were placed before 162<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SRC held on 20<sup>th</sup> - 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2008 and it was decided to issue Letter of Intent/Conditional recognition.</p> <p><b>The letter of intent was sent to the institution on 18.09.2008.</b></p> <p>Even after the lapse of stipulated time, the institution had not submitted any reply and the matter was placed before 171<sup>st</sup> meeting of SRC held during 16-17 March 2009 which has decided to issue a Notice and Notice was served to the institution on 24.3.2009 to submit the documents before 10<sup>th</sup> April, 2009.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The institution submitted its reply on 28.4.2009.</li></ul> <p>The matter was placed before 174<sup>th</sup> meeting of SRC held on 1.5. 2009 wherein it was decided to issue Notice. Again, a letter was sent on 9.6.2009 to submit the documents within 19.6.2009 and a reminder letter was sent on 2.7.2009 to submit the documents within 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2009.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The institution submitted representation on 22.7.2009 stating that the Vice-Chancellor of Karnatak University, Dharwad is making appointment of teaching staff as per norms.</li></ul> <p>The matter was placed before 179<sup>th</sup> meeting of SRC held on 23<sup>rd</sup> July, 2009 wherein it was decided to serve Notice and Notice was served to the institution on 24.7.2009.</p> <p>Since the institution did not submit any reply, the matter was once again placed before 180<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2009 wherein it was decided to permit the institution to submit the reply by 17.8.2009 and a final reminder was sent on 31.7.2009.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The institution submitted its representation on 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2009 and 11.8.2009. The reply was considered by SRC in its 181<sup>st</sup> meeting held during 20-21 August, 2009 wherein it was decided to serve Notice to submit reply by 28<sup>th</sup> August, 2009 and notice was issued to the institution on 24.8.2009.</li></ul> <p>The institution did not submit any reply even after expiry of stipulated period and hence the matter was placed before SRC in its 182<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> August, 2009 which came to the conclusion to refuse the recognition/withdraw the Letter of Intent OR Conditional Recognition accorded to the institution for the proposed course as per Section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act for the following reasons:-</p>
-----	---	--

- The institution has not appointed requisite faculty/staff and also not submitted the staff list and affidavits as per regulations 7(9) of NCTE.

Accordingly, refusal order was issued to the institution vide Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/2008-2009/15844 dated 24.09.2009. Aggrieved by the refusal order the institution preferred an appeal before the appellate authority on 13.09.2011.

The Appellate authority on 8-12-2011 noted that

*a) Karnataka University submitted an application to the SRC for grant of recognition of Kittur Rani Channamma P.G. Centre, Belgaum, Karnataka for M.Ed. course. SRC vide letter dated 18-09-2008 issued a letter of intent prior to grant of recognition under Clause 7(9) of NCTE Regulations. Thereafter it issued a notice, for which the administrator of Karnataka University submitted a reply on 28-07-2009 stating that “the University is in the process of appointing qualified staff to teach M.Ed. students.*

*In the meanwhile, some qualified and permanent teaching staff has been transferred from Dharwad to Belgaum”. Despite this reply, SRC decided to refuse recognition on the grounds as stated in Para 1 above. (b) The Council further noted Kittur Rani Channamma P.G. Centre, Belgaum was upgraded to the status of an independent University under the name “Rani Channamma University”, Belgaum from 2010 onwards. The Rani Channamma University, being the successor institute of the earlier P.G. Centre, pursued the matter of M.Ed. recognition and filed an appeal. The delay caused in filing the appeal was due to upgradation of PG centre into university, which was a valid reason for condoning the delay caused in preferring the appeal. (c) The university had taken steps to appoint full complement of the faculty for teaching M.Ed. students.*

*The Appellate authority therefore came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal with a direction to the SRC for considering the faculty profile of the institution and for taking further decision thereafter. The institution is advised to forward a complete set of faculty profile as required under NCTE Norms, for consideration of SRC.*

*After perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and reverse the SRC’s order dated 24-09-2009 vide appellate authority order No.89-361/2011 Appeal/8th Meeting/2011/A46189 dated 04/01/2012 with a direction to the SRC for considering the faculty profile of the institution and for taking further decision thereafter. The institution is advised to forward a complete set of faculty profile as required under NCTE Norms, for consideration of SRC.*

***Accordingly, the appeal is accepted and the order of SRC dated 24.09.2009 is reversed .”***

The institution had not submitted Faculty profile to this office so far(as advised in the appeal order). The appeal committee advised the University to forward reply to the show cause notice of SRC for consideration vide its order dt. 04.01.2012.

The SRC in its 217<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 8-9 February, 2012 considered the Appellate authority order dated 04.01.2012 decided to wait for the reply from the institution till 45 days and then process the reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice for further action.

As per the decision of 217<sup>th</sup> meeting of SRC and since 45 days is over and faculty profile is not received from the institution, the matter is once again placed before SRC for its consideration and decision. The SRC in its 221<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 19-20 April, 2012 considered the matter and decided to close the file of M.Ed (APS08997) course applied by Kittoor Rani Chennamma PG College, Belgaum District, Karnataka for the time being, as the institution is yet to submit the faculty profile.

In the meanwhile, on 11.5.2012, a fax is received from the Registrar, Rani Chennamma University, Vidyasangama, NH-4, PBNH, Belgavi-591156 regarding the starting of M.Ed. programme in the newly started Rani Chennamma University, Belagavi, Karnataka.

- The Registrar had stated that as per Karnataka State University Act, 2000, Rani Chennamma University Statutes for the recruitment of the teachers and the guidelines issued by the UGC and NCTE. As per the Act, the Chancellor of the University has to nominate experts in the selection committee and now the Governor has nominated the experts, the process of recruitment will start before the commencement of the next academic year 2012-2013(July, 2012). The entire recruitment process will be completed and the information to this effect will be communicated to you. Further, the Library and other facilities as per NCTE norms are being created.
- On 13.8.2012, a letter is received from the Registrar, Rani Chennamma University, Belgavi, Karnataka regarding revival of M.Ed. programme in the newly started Rani Chennamma University(copy enclosed). The Registrar had stated that he has selected One Professor, 2 Associate Professor and 3 Assistant professor have joined the University in the month of July, 2012. Copies of the office order issued to the selected candidates is produced as documentary proof. Joining report of the staff is not produced. List of non-teaching staff is also not produced.

The SRC in its 230<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 16<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup> July, 2012 considered the reply of the institution and all the relevant documentary evidences wherein it was decided to serve Notice Under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act. Accordingly, a Notice was issued to the institution on 30/08/2012 for the following:-

- The institution has not submitted staff profile in prescribed format as per NCTE norms.



The institution submitted its reply by fax message on 31/08/2012.

The SRC in its 232<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> – 31<sup>st</sup> August, 2012 considered the reply of the institution and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under NCTE Act. Accordingly, a Notice was issued to the institution on 24/09/2012 for the following deficiency:-

- Associate Professor is not having the prescribed qualification for the post as per NCTE norms.

The institution submitted replies vide letter dated 01/10/2012 and 16.10.2012.

The SRC in its 235<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 21-22 November, 2012 considered the reply of the institution and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under NCTE Act and Notice was issued on 31.12.2012. Notice issued to the institution is returned back on 07.01.2013.

In the meanwhile, on 3.1.2013(on going through the website), the Registrar submitted reply to the deficiencies pointed out

The Chairman's Remarks: In 242<sup>nd</sup> meeting

“In the context of discussion relating to Rani Chennamma PG College, Belgaum District, Karnataka, a point was raised about Prof. Lalithamma, a Member of the Southern Regional Committee, having been a member of the selection committee for Faculty in the Rani Chennamma PG College/University. It was suggested that it would be appropriate for Prof. Lalithamma to withdraw from the deliberations to avoid any issue of conflict of interest. Prof. Lalithamma was firmly of the view that there was no question of clash of interest and that it would be inappropriate to require her to recluse. But, the other members of the Southern Regional Committee attending the meeting were definitely in favour of her withdrawal especially for facilitating free and frank expression of views of all. Accordingly, Prof. Lalithamma left the meeting and withdrew from participation in the deliberations on this item”.

The SRC in its 242<sup>nd</sup> Meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> – 16<sup>th</sup> April 2013, considered the matter, reply of the institution dated 03.01.2013 and advised Southern Regional Office to write to University for justification of the appointment of Dr. Maruthi Rao Nimbalkar, a superannuated faculty.

Accordingly, a letter was addressed to the Registrar, Rani Chennamma University seeking justification of the appointment of Dr. Maruthi. R. Nimbalkar, a superannuated faculty.

The Registrar, Rani Chennamma University submitted a written representation dated 24.06.2013 received by this office on 02.07.2013 is as under ;-

*“With reference to your letter No. F.No. APSO8997/M.Ed/KA/2013-2014/51963*

*dated 31.05.2013, I am to submit that Dr. Maruthi.R.Nimbalkar is not appointed in Rani Chennamma University, against any vacancy of faculty position. However he has been appointed as the visiting professor as per the Karnataka State Universities Act 2000 section 53 and subsection 10 for a period of one year.*

*In fact, Dr. M.R. Nimbalkar was the Principal of Kai .Sou. Indumathi Patil Mahila B.Ed College, Malakapur-Shahuwadi. With his vast administrative and teaching experience in the past he was designated to the post of Visiting Professor.*

*It was found imperative that a person with such experience be appointed as visiting professor to enable the newly established school of Education Department of Rani Channamma University, Belagavi.*

*It is further reiterated that Dr. Nimbalkar is not a regular appointed faculty of School of Education, but only a Visiting Professor of Education appointed on an adhoc basis (not against any regular post)" Photocopy of Bio-data and Experience Certificates of Dr.M.R.Nimbalkar were enclosed.*

*The Southern Regional Committee in its 250<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 11<sup>th</sup> – 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2013 considered the reply of Registrar dated 31.5.2013 and advised the University to submit list of regular staff as per NCTE norms and standards for M.Ed.*

*As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution (University) seeking the list of regular staff as per NCTE norms, vide F.SRO/NCTE / APSO8997/M.Ed /2013/53881 dated 20.09.2013*

*Now, on 13.08.2013, a complaint was received by this office from Mr. Basavaraj Godachi, High Court- Advocate, Gourishankara Krupa, 8<sup>th</sup> cross, Kalyan Nagar, Dharwad – 580 007 which is as under :-*

*"It is submitted that Rani Channamma, Belgaum, Karnataka State had made the following irregularities while appointing the associate professors in department of Education;*

*In response to your show cause notice dated 30.08.2012, the University submitted the detailed profile of teachers appointed in the Department of Education. However the NCTE was still not satisfied with the appointments and passed a resolution in its 235<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 21<sup>st</sup> – 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2012. Following is the text of the resolution.*

*The Committee considered the reply of the institution and all the relevant serve Notice Under NCTE Act for the following:*

- Dr. Yarriswamy is not eligible for the post of Associate Professor as he is having only 51.7% in M.A Degree, as per NCTE norms minimum of 55% Marks is required for eligibility.*
- Dr. Sushma is not eligible for the post of Assistant Professor as she is having only 53% in M.A Degree, as per NCTE norms minimum of 55% Marks is required for*

eligibility.

- *Dr. Maruthirao. R. Nimbalkar is not eligible for the post of Visiting Professor as she is having only 52%,marks, as per NCTE norms ,minimum of 55 % marks is required for eligibility.”*

*In view of the above and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee decided to issue a Notice to the institution, as to why the recognition be not withdrawn and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a written representation within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the withdrawal of application for recognition, based on the records available, with no further notice.*

*However, the above NCTE observations are only partial and several irregularities have taken place while appointing the teachers in Education. The following Associate Professors appointed by the University are ineligible for their posts for the following reasons;*

*I . Dr. Purnima Pattanashetti*

**a.PG Teaching Experience**

*Dr.Purnima Pattanshetti has never taught in any colleges even for B.Ed course. However she has managed to collect the experience certificates from private B.Ed Colleges.She has enclosed the teaching experience of Jain Mahila Mandal's B.Ed College for women from 1989 – 1994.where as she has completed her B.Ed in 1989 and M.Ed in 1992.she was not eligible to teach B.Ed course also from 1989 1992.She has enclosed a forged Experience Certificate issued on 29.06.1996 by the President of the Jain Mahila Mandal in order to secure Job in Rani Channamma University to make her eligible for the post of Associate Professor. The Vice Chancellor of Rani Channamma University was bent upon appointing her as Associate Professor, as she was a sitting Syndicate Member of the University till the date of her interview.Dr.Purnima Pattanshetti has produced many other testimonials to show her teaching experience as visiting teacher in PhysiotherapyNurising colleges and residential schools which are not the requisite experience to qualify her to the post of Associate Professor.*

**b. Academic Performance Indicator Points (API):**

*Dr.Purnima Pattanshetti has claimed 450 API points to her credit and the University has assessed her API points to 325 API points .However,both the assessments are wrong for the following reasons :*

*Dr.Purnima Pattanshetti has hyped her API points by showing the fake consultancy projects of starting schools as Research consultancies(Examples of such certificates are enclosed) Dr.Purnima Pattanshetti has no academic contributions.*

*II. Dr.Yarriswamy*

*Dr. Yarriswamy has been appointed as Associate Professor though he does not have requisite qualification and experience :*

*a. Academic Qualification:*

*As per the observations made in the 235<sup>th</sup> Meeting of NCTE held on 21<sup>st</sup> – 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2012, Dr. Yarriswamy is not eligible for the post of Associate Professor as he has only 51.7% in M.A. Degree, whereas, as per the NCTE Norms minimum 55% marks is required for eligibility.*

*Now, the Vice-Chancellor has asked Dr. Yarriswamy to appear for his M.A. Degree from Karnataka State Open University and accordingly Dr. Yarriswamy has appeared for his M.A. Examination during the academic year (2012-13). Dr. Yarriswamy did not possess the required qualification on the date of his interview/appointment.*

*b. PG Teaching Experience :*

*As per the norms of UGC, one should have 5 years of PG Teaching Experience to be appointed as Associate Professor. Where as, as per NCTE norms at least eight years of teaching experience in University Department of Education or College of Education, with a minimum of three years at the M.Ed level. But, Dr. Yarriswamy has only one year of PG Teaching Experience and all other certificates are B.Ed teaching experience.*

*c. Academic performance Indicator Points(API):*

*Dr. Yarriswamy does not have any academic contribution which can fetch 300 API Points. However, the University has hyped the API Points and recruited him as Associate Professor.*

*The above complaint letter along with enclosures submitted by the complainant is submitted for its consideration and decision.*

*As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution(University) seeking the list of regular staff as per NCTE norms, vide F.SRO/NCTE / AP508997/M.Ed /2013/53881 dated 20.09.2013*

*With the above submission the University has not complied the provisions of Sec 53 (iv)(6) and (7) of the Karnataka Universities Act 2000 and Sec 14(1) of NCTE Act.*

*Sir, the above are the only few samples of the misdeeds of Vice Chancellor of Rani Channamma University. Almost all the appointments are irregular. Even the appointment of Prof. Shailaja as Professor and other three Assistant Professors in the Department of Education are also irregular. Some of the members of SRC are colluded with the Vice-Chancellor of Rani Channamma and trying to regularize these appointments and to grant recognition to M.Ed course conducted by the University. Prof. M.S. Lalithamma was former colleague of Vice Chancellor Prof. Ananthan at University of Mysore. She is putting her all out efforts to support him. Where fore it is*

requested that, the proceedings for nullifying these appointments be initiated at the earliest. Further, direct the University to re-advertise and fill up the positions as per NCTE norms otherwise I will be forced to approach the competent court by way of Public Interest Litigation against the University and NCTE.”

The Southern Regional Committee in its 253<sup>rd</sup> Meeting held between 30<sup>th</sup> September to 1<sup>st</sup> October,2014 considered the matter and noted that the institution (University) has not replied to our earlier letter dated 20-09-2013 to submit the list of regular staff as per NCTE norms.

*‘A specific complaint was received by this office from Mr. Basavaraj Godachi, High Court, Advocate , Gourishankara Krupa, 8<sup>th</sup> Cross, Kalyan Nagar, Dharwad – 580 007.*

*It is decided by the Committee and advised Southern Regional Office to send the above complaint letter to the University for their comments. If the reply from the University is not received to the complaint within 6 weeks, the Committee will be constrained to take an adverse decision in the matter.’*

**As** per the decision of SRC , a letter was addressed to the Registrar,Rani Chennamma University, Belgaum seeking a reply with respect to the complaint by Mr.Basavaraj Godachi.on 18.12.2013.

In the mean time, the University submitted had a written representation on 25.11.2013,.The University has submitted a staff profile approved by Director,School of Education,Rani Chennamma University is submitted.The details are as under ;

Name and designation	General Qualification	Professional Qualification	Type of appointment	Experiance
Dr.Shailaja.H.M Professor	M.Sc – 56.30 % M.A – 52.40 %	B.Ed – 60.2% M.Ed -65.57% M.Phil – AWARDED PH.D-AWARDED	Full and Regular	B.Ed- 8 Ye 1989 to 199 M.Ed – 15 03.07.97 to 09.07.2012
Dr.Purnima Pattanshetti Associate Professor	M.A – 58.9 % B.A -71.6%	B.Ed – 72.5% M.Ed – 59.9% P.Hd- Awarded	Full and Regular	B.Ed – 1989 to 199 M.Ed – 01.01.2007 02.01.2010
Dr.Yarriswamy .M Associate Professor	B.A – 50.2% M.A- 51.7% M.Sc- 59%	B.Ed -65 % M.Ed – 68.2% P.hD-awarded P.G.D.H.E-69.2&	Full and Regular	B.Ed – 199 2005 M.Ed – 200 2009,2010
Arvind .V.Karbasanagoud Assistant Professor	B.A – 56 % M.A – 53%	B.Ed – 79.1% M.Ed – 80.43 % NET -qualified P.hD-awarded	Full and Regular	B.Ed – 18.0 to 31.12.20 01.01.2008 07.07.2012

		P.G.D.H.E- 62 %		M.Ed a)2007 to Nov 20 b) Nov 2011 to 07.07.2012
Dr.Sushma.R Assistant Professor	B.A- 56 % M.A (Eng)- 53 %	B.Ed – 79.1% M.Ed – 80.43 % NET – qualified Ph.D – awarded	Full and Regular	Under graduate : 04..5.2010 to 11.07.2011  B.Ed- 15.02.2006 to 20.05.2007
Mr.Kanakappa Pujar Assistant Professor	B.A – 72% M.A – 55%	B.Ed – 75.3% M.Ed – 63.09% NET – qualified	Full and Regular	B.Ed – 01.09.2005 to 31.01.2006  15.02.2006 to 20.05.2007

The Registrar, Ranichennamma University has replied to this office letter dated 18.12.2013 with respect to the complaint of Mr.Basavaraj Godachi as under;

*“With reference to the above I wish to state the following:*

*Mr. Basavaraj Godachi has been seeking information on the appointments made to various departments in Rani Channamma University. The University has furnished all the information sought by him as per the provisions of RTI Act. If the information supplied is inadequate, he has every right to approach the immediate appellate authority —i.e.- the Vice Chancellor of the University. It is disheartening to note that instead of approaching the Vice Chancellor, he has approached your good self with a frivolous complaint. I am, however, duty bound to reply to him as per your direction.*

*A. Clarification to the objection raised regarding the eligibility of Dr. Yarriswamy for the appointment of Associate Professor of Education:*

*As per the UGC norms, the staff strength in each PG Department shall be in the ratio of 1:2:3 (1 Professor, 2 Associate Professors, 3 Assistant Professors). Following the roster system points prescribed by the Government of Karnataka, reservations are made for various categories. Further, the candidates are selected by the Expert Committee constituted as per the guidelines of UGC and the Statute of Rani Channamma University prepared for this purpose. The Selection Committee, discipline, recommended candidate specialized in certain areas relevant to impart teaching in Education. The Selection Committee opined that it is desirable for the Education Department to have a faculty who has specialized in Guidance, Counseling and Remedial Teaching. Based upon the API score and the performance in the interview, Dr. Yarriswamy was selected under the Category 3B. Regarding the basic qualification, the Committee noted that Dr. Yarriswamy had an additional PG Degree scoring 51.7% which fulfilled the norm laid down by NCTE earlier. At the time of interview, Dr. Yarriswamy had completed the first year of one more*

PG programme scoring 56%. The new NCTE norm prescribes that the incumbent candidate should have scored at least 55% at the PG level. Keeping in mind the Roster, the merit, the NCTE norms, Dr. Yarriswamy was selected provisionally. His probationary period has not yet been declared as he has not produced the final degree marks sheet of the additional PG programme indicating that he has scored more than 5% in the second year. The allegation made by Mr. Basavaraj Godachi is beyond truth and hence denied.

B. Clarification to the objection raised regarding the eligibility of Dr. Sushma:

It is unfortunate that the complainant, being an advocate himself, is ignorant of the provisions of NCTE regarding the norms prescribed for appointments of various categories of applicants. It is alleged that Dr. Sushma is not eligible for the post of Assistant Professor as she is having only 53% in M.A. degree whereas the eligibility is 55% marks. This is a baseless allegation as the selected incumbent belongs to SC Category who has a relaxation of 5% in the eligibility marks. Her 53% of marks at the M.A. level is within the norms prescribed by NCTE and hence the allegation is baseless.

C. Clarification regarding the eligibility of Dr. Maruthirao R. Nimbalkar:

It is alleged that Dr. Maruthirao R. Nimbalkar is not eligible for the post of Visiting Professor as he is having only 52% of marks. Dr. Nimbalkar was a retired Principal of a College of Education in Bombay. Under the provision of Section 53.10 of KSU Act 2000, the Vice Chancellor may appoint an expert as a Visiting Professor without formally subjecting him to the process of recruitment. Dr. Nimbalkar was appointed temporarily as a Visiting Professor for a period of one year to do the preliminary work to recommence the M. Ed. Course in the University. His services were terminated from September 1, 2013. This implies that he is not the faculty of the University. Hence the allegation made is incorrect.

D. Clarifications regarding the eligibility of Dr. Poornima Pattanashetti

Dr. Poornima Pattanashetty was appointed as she fulfilled all the requirements as per KSU Act 2000 and NCTE norms. The allegation that she has collected bogus experience certificates is devoid of truth. As per NCTE norms, an applicant will be eligible for the post of the Associate Professor should have an experience of eight years of teaching experience of which 5 years at the UG level and 3 years at the PG level. As per the records available with us and also supplied to NCTE no objection was raised whatsoever and the eligibility of the Dr. Poornima Pattanashetty for the post of Associate Professor.

The allegation that the Vice Chancellor of RCU was bent upon appointing Dr. Poornima Pattanashetty as the Associate Professor as she was a sitting Syndicate Member till the date of her interview is a frivolous and baseless allegation and has to be brushed aside. Regarding API scores, how is the Complainant competent to assess the quality of publications? The Scrutiny Committee, composed of the experts in the field, is only competent enough to award points, The Vice Chancellor and the Registrar have no say or role in deciding the API score. Hence the allegation has to be construed as a baseless one. Regarding the API scores of Dr. Yarriswamy, what has been stated in the above paragraph holds good here also. The rest of the allegations are subjective and opinionist. Somebody being the former colleague of the Vice Chancellor being on the Selection Committee cannot vitiate objectivity of the selection process.

*In view of the above, I request you to dispose the complaint of Mr. Basavaraj Godachi and take appropriate decision to recognize the M.Ed. Course of Rani Channamma University. Please also advise any RTI activist to explore the formal avenues prescribed under RTI Act before approaching your office."*

The above reply of the University was placed before the SRC in its 265<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 24-27 March, 2014, but the Committee did not take up the issue.

In the meantime, a Court Notice dated 4.4.2014 in 85469/2013 and 85596/2013 filed by [Subhas Yadav, Sai Nagar Last cross, Hubli against Ranichennamma University, Belgaum and 16 others wherein SRC, NCTE is the 6<sup>th</sup> respondent is received by this office on 21.4.2014. The petitioner is aggrieved by the appointment order dated 7.7.2012 issued to the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent by the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent i.e, Rani Chennamma University, Belgaum and has prayed for quashing of the appointment orders issued to the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent, i.e., Dr. Yerriswamy.

A letter was addressed to the advocate ,Shri.M.B.Kanavi on 29.04.2014.

On 21.08.2014, statement of objections was received from the advocate ,Shri.M.B.Kanavi. A letter was addressed to the advocate enclosing the objection statement on 04.09.2014.

On 12.02.2015, this office has received another notice in W.P.No. 85469 of 2013 – 85596/2013. A letter was addressed to the advocate ,Shri.M.B.Kanavi on 13.02.2015.

On 30.04.2015 and 27.05.2015, letters were received from the advocate seeking parasitic comments in W.P.No. 108542 of 2014. A duly signed vakalatnama was sent to the advocate on 11.05.2015 and 29.05.2015.

Complaints were received from Shri.Basavaraj Goddachi on 29.05.2015 and 09.03.2015

**On 28.04.2015, the institution has submitted an affidavit affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014 and has sought an intake of 50 students .**

A letter was addressed to the university on 31.05.2015 stating that revised order cannot be issued .

On 20.08.2015, the institution submitted a request for issuance of revised order. A copy of the appellate authority order reversing the rejection order was enclosed.

On 05.10.2015, a copy of the reply the University to the complaint matter addressed to NCTE, New Delhi was received by this office. A letter was addressed to NCTE ,New Delhi stating that NCTE has not granted recognition to Rani Chennamma University ,Belgaum for offering M.Ed course and hence no action can be taken on a nonrecognized institution.

On 21.12.2015, a letter was received from NCTE-Hqrs regarding closure of complaint of Basavaraj Goddachi.



A letter was addressed to the advocate ,Shri.M.B.Kanavi on 18.02.2016 in respect of W.P.No. 108542 of 2014.

SRC in its 307<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 9<sup>th</sup> March , 2016 considered the matter of non submission of reply to the Letter of Intent and decided as under :-

- “ 1. No reply has been received even after 2 years in spite of several reminders*
- 2. Withdraw the LOI*
- 3. Reject the application”*

On 25.04.2016, a letter is received from the Registrar, Rani Channamma University stating as under :-

*“ With respect to the subject and references cited above, I hereby request you to add the Rani Channamma University name and code for M.Ed course in MIS Data .I would like to bring to your attention that, the University name and address are reflecting in NCTE,New Delhi website under the list of State Universities offering Teacher Education Programmes ( in Campus Department ) at serial number 57.*

*In this regard, the University has also been regularly corresponding with your esteemed office , to add the name and code of the Rani Channamma University,Belagavi in the Regional Office MIS data also .*

*It is further reiterated that ,Rani Channamma University ,Belagavi is a state funded university and has finally complied with the norms of NCTE .Therefore , it is requested to kindly expedite the process for issue of the revised order .*

*We assure that,the University will adhere and take all such measures to comply with the norms stipulated by the apex body to maintain academic excellence .*

*Looking forward for your early response.”*

As per the decision of SRC , a Rejection order was issued to the University on 14.06.2016

On 14.06.2016, the University has submitted a written representation as under :-

*“ I am submitting herewith , the regular and guest faculty staff profiles for M.Ed course in Rani Channamma University,Belagavi*

The academic year with 2 years M.Ed course ( New Syallbus ) for 2015-16 commenced from August 2015. We have already into the verge of completion of second semester The academic year shall begin from August 2016.At present, our Educational Faculty consists of One Professor ,Two Associate Professors and Three Assistant Professors to comply as per the NCTE Norms .We need four more faculty to run t

two year M.Ed programme , so , four of the guest faculty names along with their profile are enclosed herewith for your kind perusal .

Hence , it is requested to continue the recognition of the department of Education and approved the list of the staff presently working .

*Reply to the complaint of Mr. Basavaraj Godachi with comment to the complainant letter.*

*(a) Dr. Purnima Pattanahetti*

*Dr. Purnima Pattanahetti had appeared for the interview on 24.05.2012 at Rani Channamma University,Belagavi for the post of Associate Professor in School of Education ,Rani Channamma University,Belgavi.she got selected by the Board of Appointment (BOA) which is approved by the Syndicate on 07.07.2012.She received the appointment order and joined as Associate Professor on 16.07.2012*

*Her appointment is challenged in W.P.No. 85469/2013 (S-RES) on the file of the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka,Dharwad and is pending for disposal.*

*(b) Dr.N.C.Yarriswamy*

*Dr.M.C.Yarriswamy has been selected as Associate Professor in School of Education ,Rani Channamma University,Belagavi by Board of Appointment (BOA) and he received appointment order on 07.07.2012 and reported to his duties from 14.07.2012. His appointment is challenged in W.P.No. 85469 of 2013 (S-RES) on the file of the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Dharwad and is pending for disposal.*

*© Dr,Sulima .R*

*Belongs to the category of SC and she is eligible to get relaxation of 5 % under the rules published on 18<sup>th</sup> September 2010 in GOI Gazette Part-III at serial number 3.4.1 Hence , she was considered for the post of Assistant Professor.A copy of the above cited Gazette is enclosed herewith as Annexure –A*

*(d) Dr. Marutirao Nimbalkar ,is eligible to avail a relaxation under the provision provided under the rules published on 18<sup>th</sup> September 2010 in GOI Gazette PART III serial number 3.5.0 i.e “relaxation of 5 % may be provided from 55% to 50% of the marks to the Ph.D degree holders who have obtained their Master's Degree prior to 19 September 1991”. A copy of which is enclosed herewith as Annexure –B*

*Further,Dr. Marutirao Nimbalkar has been appointed for one academic year i.e 2012-13 and he is relieved from his duties after one year.*

*The above points of reply are correct and valid as per records.the former Vice - Chancellor of Rani Channamma University,Belgavi and the Board of Appointment have discharged their duties judiciously.The appointment of the **above said** an*

*other staff in the department are made according to the norms of UGC, NCTE and the University*

*Further, the name of Rani Channamma University, Belagavi is in the list of National Council for teacher Education (NCTE), Hans Bhawan (Wing II), 1, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110002 at serial number 57 of affiliated universities. A copy of which is enclosed as Annexure-C.*

*This is for your kind consideration and the needful action in the matter."*

The University has submitted the following documents :-

1. The appellate authority order dated 04.01.2012 reversing the refusal order of SRC dated 24.09.2009.
2. A copy of the Gazette Notification dated 18.09.2010 regarding appointment of teaching faculty.
3. A copy of the list downloaded from NCTE, website showing Rani Channamma University as Campus Department offering teacher education course.
4. Staff list of the University approved by the Registrar, Rani Channamma University on 19.05.2016 is submitted.

The following are observed from the file,

1. *The institution was refused recognition vide this office order dated 24.09.2009*
2. *The appellate authority vide order dated 04.01.2012 has reversed the order appealed against (order dated 24.09.2009) and remanded to case to SRC with a direction to the University to submit a complete set of faculty profile.*
3. *SRC in its 221<sup>st</sup> meeting had decided to close the file, as reply was not received from the University. Later, the university submitted representations/replies with respect to appointment of faculty which were considered by SRC in various committee meetings and notices were issued as the replies were not satisfactory. Complaints were received against the University with respect to appointment of teaching faculty. W.P.No.85469 of 2013 and 85596 of 2013 filed in respect of appointment of faculty is pending in the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka.*
4. *SRC in its 307<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 9<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 decided to withdraw the LOI and reject the application as the reply has not been received even after 2 years in spite of several reminders. Rejection order is issued to the University on 14.06.2016*
5. *The University has submitted the approved staff list and representation on 14.06.2016 and the same is detailed above.*

**The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July,**

		<p><b>2016, written representation dated 14.06.2016 from the institution, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under</b></p> <p><b>Rejection order has issued. The case has been formally closed. We have no power to reopen Closed cases. Advise them accordingly. If necessary, they can take recourse to the appeal process.</b></p>
--	--	--

**Volume-01**

**Consideration of Submission of Affidavit: (Volume- 1)**

11.	<p>APS00236 B.Ed Madeena B.Ed college, Khammam, Telangana TS</p>	<p><b>Madeena B.Ed college, Vepala Gadda, Sujatha Nagar, Kothagudem Madal, Khammam District-507120, Telangana</b></p> <p>Madeena Educational Society, Khammam District, Telangana had submitted an application to the SRC-NCTE for grant of recognition to Madeena B.Ed college, Vepala Gadda, Sujatha Nagar, Kothagudem Madal, Khammam District-507120, Telangana for B.Ed Course of one year duration and it was granted on 22.01.2004.</p> <p>Continue of recognition order was issued to the institution on 19.10.2010.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014, a letters were issued to all existing institutions regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised norms and standards before 31.10.2015.</p> <p>The institution has not submitted affidavit as per regulations 2014.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted written representation along with affidavit on 05.07.2016 and stated as follows:</p> <p><i>We are here with submitting request to give permission to process our application for the sanction of 2<sup>nd</sup> unit for the existing B.Ed Program. In this connection, we wish to submit the following for your consideration and approval.</i></p> <p><i>Madeena B.Ed College is an existing B.Ed college with an intake of 100 students operating in its own campus located at Vepalagadda Village, Sujatha Nagar, Kothagudem.</i></p> <p><i>We are in receipt of New NCTE Regulations 2014 and submitted an affidavit stating that we will follow the NCTE Regulations-2014 hereafter.</i></p> <p><i>The said affidavit was submitted along with a request to processing our application for the sanction of 2<sup>nd</sup> Unit in the existing B.Ed program for the academic year 16-17.</i></p> <p><i>In this connection, we wish to inform you that we have not received any letter from your office acknowledging the commencement of process for the grant of 2<sup>nd</sup> Unit in B.Ed course which was received by other B.Ed Colleges. Hence we request you to issue the same to enable us to go forward on this issue.</i></p> <p><i>Therefore, we request you to kindly permit us to get our application processed as per the rules of NCTE and sanction of 2<sup>nd</sup> unit in the existing B.Ed course in the academic year 2016-17.</i></p>
-----	--	---

		<p>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, written representation dated 05.07.2016 from the institution, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under</p> <p>1. There is a lot of confusion about dispatch/receipt of affidavit. Our papers are not fully in order to pin the blame on them. They have at least a Postal receipt for their dispatch. We had also entered into continuing correspondence with them in Nov.2015 for renewal of FDRs. Even then the issue about (alleged) non-issue of RPRO was not pointed out.</p> <p>2. They have now produced another affidavit for our record. Accept it and take it on record.</p> <p>3. They had gone ahead with admissions in 2015-16. The affiliating University had allowed that. We should not jeopardize the careers of students so admitted.</p> <p>4. Issue RPRO; and, prepare for inspection 'after' collecting the fee.</p>
--	--	---

**Consideration of Court case: (Volume- 1)**

12.	<p>APS03809 B.Ed P.D. Rajan college of Education, Dharmapuri, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p><b>P.D. Rajan College of Education, Pattukonampatti Post, Dharmapuri District, Pin-636905 Tamilnadu</b></p> <p><b>P.D. Rajan College of Education, Pattukonampatti Post, Dharmapuri District, Pin-636905 Tamilnadu</b> had submitted an application for B.Ed Course on 20.12.2004. The institution has granted recognition on 17.11.2005 with an annual intake of 100 students.</p> <p>The institution vide its letter dtd. 20.01.2012 and 19.03.2012 has requested for change of management from MERIT Trust to P.D.Rajan Memorial Educational Society.</p> <p>The institutions vide its letter dtd. 04.07.2012 has stated that “<b>our application for permission for the change of Management of P.D.Rajan College of Education and P.D.Rajan Teacher Training Institute from Madras and Research Integrated Trust (MERIT) to P.D. Rajan Memorial Educational Society.</b> As the academic year 2012-2013 has commenced and the Management of MERIT Trust has decided not to allow any confusion in the minds of the General Public and the students as to which Management is going to have control over P.D.R. College of Education and P.D.R Teacher Training Institute. MERIT Trust has decided to withdraw the Application submitted to NCTE (SRC), Bangalore letter dtd. 30.01.2012. Our Request for the Change of Management from <u>MERIT Trust to P.D.Rajan Memorial Educational Society</u> may be withdrawn.</p> <p>We request that this withdrawal may be recorded and necessary orders may be issued regarding the withdrawal of our application for change of Management”.</p> <p>The SRC may take a note regarding withdrawal of request of change of management.</p> <p>The SRC in its 230<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 16<sup>th</sup>-17<sup>th</sup> August, 2012 considered the request of the management vide their letter dt.30.01.2012 for <u>withdrawal</u> of application for change of management from <u>MERIT Trust to “P.D. Rajan Memorial Education Society”</u></p> <p>The institution vide its letter dtd. 13.08.2012 has submitted DD bearing no. 882651 dtd.</p>
-----	--	--

10.08.2012 for Rs. 50,000/- towards change of place from Pattukonampatti to Pappireddipatti, Dharmapuri District for B.Ed course and had stated the following:-

“National Council for Teacher Education(SRC) Bangalore was pleased to accord Recognition to start B.Ed course by P.D.R. College of Education at Pattukonampatti, Pappireddipatti (Po) & (Tk), Dharmapuri District with an intake of 100 students from 2005-2006.

Based on the Recognition order of NCTE (SRC), Bangalore, Periyar University granted affiliation to P.D.R. College of Education from 2005-2006.

Consequent on the formation of Tamilnadu Teacher Education University, all the colleges of Education that were earlier affiliation to different Universities within their jurisdictions in Tamilnadu, came under the unitary control of Tamilnadu Teacher Education University w.e.f. 1.7.2007.

Madras Education and Research Integrated Trust (MERIT) proposes to shift P.D.R. College of Education functioning at Pappireddipatti (Po) & (Tk), Dharmapuri District to Sekkampatti, Mobripatti Panchayat, Dharmapuri District to a permanent building. The proposed change of location of P.D.R. College of Education has a permanent Building and other infrastructure facilities as per the norms prescribed by the National Council of Education. The library and faculty members will move over to the new place.

Under Regulation 8(11) of NCTE Act, a demand draft for Rs. 50,000/- from State Bank of India, Harur, Dharmapuri District is enclosed for necessary approval after due inspection of the institution at the new site viz Sekkampatti, Mobripatti Panchayat, Harur Taluk, Dharmapuri District. We are willing to submit any other particulars required by NCTE(SRC).

We pray that NCTE (SRC) may be pleased to make arrangements for earlier inspection and approval for change of place of P.D.R. College of Education”.

The SRC in its 233<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> -28<sup>th</sup> September 2012, considered the written reply and other relevant documents submitted by the institution and decided to cause composite inspection for shifting at the new premises and to ascertain infrastructural and instructional facilities Under NCTE Act. Accordingly, inspection was carried out on 27.11.2012.

*The SRC in its 237<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 05<sup>th</sup> -06<sup>th</sup> January, 2013 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences of B.Ed course and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE Act.*

*Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 15.03.2013. The institution had submitted its written representation on 05.04.2013.*

*The SRC in its 246<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 2-6 January 2013 considered the written reply of the institution and noted that many deficiencies are still there even after grant of many opportunities for their removal, decided to reject the application for shifting.*

Further, the committee considered the written reply of the institution vide letter dt.05.04.2013 on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution, the deficiencies still persists and decided to withdraw recognition for B.Ed for the following reasons:-

1. Original approved building plan issued by competent civil authority is not submitted. In

the approved building plan copy submitted, total land area is not mentioned, moreover, all the rooms shown as class rooms in the building plan, instead of specifying the rooms for Laboratory, library, Principal room, office, multipurpose hall etc., multipurpose hall size is also not mentioned.

2. Original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund from a Nationalized Bank in joint name is not submitted.
3. Notarised land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the educational purpose is not submitted. Proceedings of Revenue Divisional Officer not submitted for conversion of land from agricultural to educational purposes.
4. For the deficiency point (14) of the show cause notice, the institution has replied that the Associate professor S. Manimizhi qualified with M.Sc & M.Ed joined on 01.06.2012 in handling foundation courses. But the name of the same lecturer is not found in the approved staff list. Qualification certificate, marks cards etc., as per Annexure I, II, & III not submitted for Lecturer in Physical Education.
5. Lecturer in Fine Arts is not qualified for the post as per NCTE norms.

The reply of the institution is not convincing and not satisfactory.

Based on the above grounds, the committee decides to withdraw the recognition of the B.Ed (APS03809) course run by P.D. Rajan College of Education and P.D.R Teacher Training Institute, Pattukonampatti Post, Dharmapuri District-636905, Tamilnadu from the academic year 2013-14, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed course, if any, to complete their course.

Accordingly, withdrawal order was issued to the institution on **11.07.2013**.

The institution, preferred an appeal to NCTE, Hqrs and the Appellate Authority vide order no. F.No. 89-495/2013 Appeal/15<sup>th</sup> meeting-2013/A77964/ dated 12.11.2013 has stated as follows:-

*“after perusal of the documents, Memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to re-consider the explanations given by the appellant institution and till then the withdrawal order dated 11.07.2013 may be kept in abeyance. The case may be decided within two months of the receipt of the order of the Appellate Authority.*

*NOWHEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of P.D. Rajan College of Education, Dharmapuri, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.”*

The SRC in its 256<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 04-06<sup>th</sup> December, 2013 considered the Appellate authority order dated 12/11/2013, directing SRC to process the application as per the regulation, decided to process and advised Southern Regional Office to put up the case in next meeting.

Accordingly, the application was being processed and placed before SRC in its 260<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 29-31 January 2014, and the committee considered the Appellate authority order dated 12/11/2013 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE Act for the following –

- The Institution has not appointed Lecturer in Foundation course.
- The Institution has not appointed Part-time Lecturer in Physical Education and Fine Arts.

- The Institution has not appointed Librarian.

Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 25.02.2014, notice was returned back to SRC, NCTE on 03.03.2014 and reposted on 03.03.2014. The institution has submitted its written representation on 27.03.2014.

The SRC in its 267<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 12-13 May 2014 considered the matter, written reply of the institution vide letter dated 27.03.2014 to the show cause notice issued, all the relevant documents of the institution, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to:

- Ask for University's approval of the three newly appointed staff.

Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 19/06/2014. The institution was submitted its written representation on 24/07/2014 along with Colour Xerox copies of approved staff list on 24.07.2014

In the meantime, an e-mail has been received from Shri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy on 13.08.2014 along with counter affidavit.

A letter was sent to Shri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy forwarding of signed counter affidavit on 14.08.2014.

The court order in W.P.No.21670 of 2014 and MP.No.1 of 2014 dated 15.09.2014 was received from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras on 22.09.2014. the court order stating as follows:-

*"..... 16. In view of the strict mandate, it appears that a batch of writ petitions were filed under Article 32 of the constitution, before the Supreme Court in W.P.( Civil) Nos.148, 152 and 155 of 2014, by three Colleges of Education in Punjab . The prayer in those writ petitions before the Supreme Court was for a Mandamus academic session 2014-2015. But, these writ petitions were dismissed by the Supreme Court by an Order dated 24.3.2014. Paragraph 2 of the said order reads as follows:*

*" It has been brought to our notices that this Court has passed orders I SLP (C) Nos. 4247-4248 of 2009, on 10<sup>th</sup> September, 2013 directing that those who are desirous of establishing Teacher Education Colleges/ Institutions shall be free to make application in accordance with the new Regulations to be framed by the NCTE and their applications shall be decided by the competent authority keeping in view the relevant statutory provisions. By the said order, this Court has further directed that all pending applications shall also be decided in accordance with the new Regulations. The new Regulations have not been finalized and by an order passed by this Court on 7<sup>th</sup> March, 2014 in IA Nos. 56-57 of 2011 filed in SLP (c) No.4247-4248 of 2009, this Court has granted extension of time till June, 2014 for the National Council for Teacher Education to revise the norms and standards of various Teacher Education programmes and codify the new Regulations. The result is the National Council for Teacher Education has time up to June, 2014 to frame the new Regulations and till the new Regulations are frames, the pending application of the petitions for recognition cannot be considered. Therefore, the consideration of the applications of the petitioner- institutions for the year 2014-2015 cannot be made by the National Council for Teacher Education before June, 2014, the academic session 2014-2015 would have commenced. We cannot, therefore, issue any direction to the National Council for Teacher Education to consider the applications of the petitioner-institutions for the academic session 2014-2015 instead of 2015-16."*



*Therefore, no Mandamus can be issued as prayed for by the petitioner, in the teeth of the order of the Supreme Court.*

*17. Hence, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, M.P. No. 1 of 2014 is also dismissed.*

In the meantime, a private notice dated 19.09.2014 received from Shri.K.Selvaraj, Advocate received by SRC on 23.09.2014 regarding W.A.No.1278 of 2014 filed by P.D.Rajan College of Education- High Court Order. The private notice stating as follows:-

*“The Hon’ble 2<sup>nd</sup> Division Bench of the High Court, Madras by order dated 19.09.2014 was pleased to serve Notice on the Standing Counsel for National Council for Teacher Education, the Respondent in the above appeal for hearing on 24.09.2014. Since the Standing Counsel did not acknowledge the receipt of the Notice on 19.09.2014, we are constrained to send this Private Notice to you in the above case for the hearing on 24.09.2014 due to urgency. Please acknowledge and enter your appearance in the above case for the hearing on 24.09.2014, failing which the case will be decided in your absence.”*

The matter was placed before SRC in its 273<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 30<sup>th</sup> September & 01<sup>st</sup> October, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under;

*Let the notice be received from the court. When that happens, our Lawyer can take note defend the case.*

A letter received from the advocate P.R. Gopinathan on 29.10.2014. Accordingly Brief of the case was sent on 29.10.2014.

On 31.12.2014, a letters were issued to all existing institutions regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised norms and standards before 31.10.2015.

The institution has not submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed course.

The matter was placed before SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> to 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the committee considered the matter and decided to **issue SCN for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act.**

Accordingly SCN was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016.

Now, a Court order dated 30.03.2016 received on 13.05.2016 from the Hon’ble High Court of Madras in W.P.No1278 of 2014 and M.P.No.1 of 2014 filed by P.D. Rajan College of Education.

The Court order stated as follows;

*The learned counsel for the appellant seeks permission of this court to withdraw this writ appeal and has also made an endorsement to that effect as under;*

*“The appellant may be permitted to withdraw W.A.No.1278 of 2014.”*

		<p style="text-align: center;"><i>In view of the above submission and endorsement made by the learned counsel for the appellant, this writ appeal is dismissed as withdrawn. No costs. Connected Miscellaneous petition is closed.</i></p> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, Court order dated 30.03.2016, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under</b></p> <p><b>1.The court has dismissed the WP. The College has Suo Motu withdrawn the writ appeal they had filed.</b></p> <p><b>2.This is an existing institution. They were required to file an affidavit about adhering to the 2014 Regulations so that a R.P.r.O could be issued. They have not replied to the Show Cause Notice issued thereafter by us.</b></p> <p><b>3.Withdraw recognition for B.Ed (2 units) w.e.f. 2016-17</b></p> <p><b>4.Inform the Affiliating University. Ask them not to allow admissions in 2016-17.</b></p> <p><b>5.Students admitted in 2015-16 will be allowed to complete their course in 2016-17.</b></p>
13.	<p>APS01426 B.Ed Andavar Teacher Trainin Institute, Nagapattina m, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p><b>Andavar Teacher Training Institute, First Beach Line Road, Nagapattinam – 611001, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p>Alamelu Ammal Educational Trust, Nagapattinam, Tamilnadu for offering Andavar Teacher Training Institute, First Beach Line Road, Nagapattinam – 611001, Tamilnadu for D.T.Ed course vide order No.F.SRO/NCTE/TN-AI/2004-05/9300 dated 13.12.2004 with an intake of 100 students.</p> <p>The institution had submitted proposal for shifting the premises along with Demand Draft for Rs.40,000/- vide receipt No.13293 dated 24.10.2007. The inspection report was placed before SRC in its 177<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 22-23 June 2009.</p> <p>SRC considered the report and decided to issued section 17 notice. As per the decision of SRC notice was issued to the institution on 16.07.2009. In reply to the notice institution has submitted written representation on 10.08.2009.</p> <p>The committee considered the reply to the Notice along with supporting documents and decided to permit the institution for shifting of D.T.Ed course from temporary premises on 15.09.2009.</p> <p>Now, a Court order received from the Hon'ble High Court Of Madras dated 29.03.2016 received on 20.05.2016 in W.P.No.22532 of 2003 filed by Andavar Teacher Training Institute.</p> <p>The Court order stated as follows;</p> <p><i>The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instructions, made on endorsement stating that this writ petition has become infructuous.</i></p> <p><i>In view of the same, this writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.</i></p>

		<p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, Court Order dated 29.03.2016 from the institution, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1.The Court has dismissed the petition and closed the case.</b></li> <li><b>2.The Court – Order is noted.</b></li> <li><b>3.The facts of this case are, however, not clear. The objection raised was stayed by an interim injunction. What happed to the injunction. If it is deemed to have abated, what happens to our objection?</b></li> <li><b>4.Let us undertake a fresh review of this case.</b></li> </ol>
14.	<p>APS00936 APs03278 B.Ed B.Ed Ponnaiah Ramajayam college of Education, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p><b>Ponnaiah Ramajayam College of Education, P.R. Group of Institutions, Central Office, M.C. Road, Natarajapuram South, Thanjavur-613007, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p><b>Ponnaiah Ramajayam College of Education, P.R. Group of Institutions, Central Office, M.C. Road, Natarajapuram South, Thanjavur-613007, Tamilnadu</b> had submitted an application for <b>B.Ed</b> and <b>M.Ed</b> Course. The institution was granted recognition for <b>B.Ed</b> Course (APS03278) on <b>14.11.2005</b> with an annual intake of 100 students, <b>M.Ed</b> Course (APS07646) on <b>07.11.2007</b> with an annual intake of 35 students, <b>M.Ed</b> Course (APS07649) on <b>06.11.2007</b> with an annual intake of 25 students and <b>P. R College of Education, PR Engineering College Campus, Vallam, Thanjavur-613403, Tamilnadu</b> was granted recognition for <b>B.Ed</b> Course (APS00936) on <b>12.04.2005</b> with an annual intake of 100 students <b>P. R College of Education, SR No. 99/2, 100/1B, 1E, 1D, Thiripu Village, Kumbakonam, Thanjavur – 614904, Tamilnadu</b> was granted recognition for <b>B.Ed</b> Course(APS04432) on <b>22.09.2008</b> with an annual intake of 100 students.</p> <p>The institution vide its letter dated 18.09.2007 submitted vide DD bearing no. 754538 dtd. 10.09.2007 for Rs. 40,000/- B.Ed (APS00936) towards shifting of premises, Accordingly, inspection of the institution was conducted on 03.06.2011 for B.Ed (APS03278), M.Ed (APS07646) and M.Ed (APS07649) Courses and 04.06.2011 for B.Ed (APS04432) course.</p> <p><i>The SRC in its 211<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 21<sup>st</sup>-23<sup>rd</sup> September, 2011 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show Cause Notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution (APS04432-B.Ed. on 29.11.2011) on 22.11.2011. The institution had submitted its written representation on 26.12.2011.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 216<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 11<sup>th</sup>-12<sup>th</sup> January, 2012 considered the reply of the institution dt. 26.12.2011, VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show Cause Notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution (APS04432-B.Ed. on 25.02.2012, APS07649-M.Ed. on 14.03.2012) on 27.02.2012. The institution had submitted its written representation on 14.03.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 222<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 09<sup>th</sup>-10<sup>th</sup> May 2012 considered the written reply vide letter dt 14.03.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show Cause Notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the</p>

institution (APS04432-B.Ed. on 06.06.2012, APS07649-M.Ed. on 06.06.2012) on 09.06.2012. The institution had submitted its written representation on 02.07.2012.  
*The SRC in its 236<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 16<sup>th</sup> – 18<sup>th</sup> December 2012 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 02.07.2012 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE Act, for the following:*

- 1. As per land documents, only three sy. nos. viz 338/2, 337 and 340/2 consist of land area of 5.60 acres whereas as per affidavit(s) submitted by the institution, Four sy. nos viz 338/2, 337, 340/2 and 553/1B2 consist land area of 5.60 acres. It may be clarified by the institution. No land documents have been submitted for the sy. no. 553/1B2.*
- 2. Sl. No. 5 of the affidavit is not as per prescribed format.*
- 3. Original certified land documents are not submitted by the institution so far.*
- 4. Building Plan is not in the name of running institution, it is the name of Trust. Total site area is not mentioned therein. Moreover, built-up area is not matching with BCC.*
- 5. Building Completion Certificate is not submitted in the prescribed format and not approved by the Competent Civil Authority.*
- 6. The same land and other documents have been submitted for file no. APS03278, APS07649, APS07646 and APS00936.*

Accordingly, a show cause notices was issued to the institution APS00936-B.Ed, APS07646-M.Ed. on 05.02.2013, and APS03278-B.Ed, APS0-7649-M.Ed on 13.02.2013, APS04432-B.Ed on 15.02.2013. Till date the institution has not submitted written representation to the Show Cause Notice.

In the meantime, a letter from Sri.A.Sivaji, Advocate dt. 03.01.2014 received by SRC on 07.01.2014 along with court case in W.P.No.10670 of 2012 filed by Ponnaiyah Ramajayam College of Education, Thanjavur District.

Accordingly, a letter was sent to Sri.A.Sivaji, Advocate on 06.02.2014 along with brief of the case of all courses to defend the case on behalf of the SRC-NCTE.

A court notice was received on 20.03.2014 in W.P.No.10670 of 2012, the same (reminder-I) was sent to advocate on 10.07.2014 regarding the latest position of the court case.

No reply was received from the advocate so far.

In the meantime, a RTI application was received by SRC on 21.07.2014, at that time after verification of file, it is observed that the institution has not submitted reply to show cause notice issued to all the courses.

The SRC in its 273<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 30<sup>th</sup> September and 1<sup>st</sup> October, 2014 considered the Non-reply of the institution on the above five matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition of all 5 courses for the following reasons:-

- *No reply has been received to the Show Cause Notice issued by us vide dated 05.02.2013 (APS00936-B.Ed), 13.02.2013 (APS03278-B.ED), 15.02.2013 (APS04432-B.Ed), 05.02.2013 (APS07646-M.Ed) & 13.02.2013 (APS0-M.Ed)*
- *Based on the above points the committee decides to withdraw the recognition of all the 5 courses (APS00936-B.Ed), 13.02.2013 (APS03278-B.ED), 15.02.2013 (APS04432-B.Ed), 05.02.2013 (APS07646-M.Ed) & 13.02.2013 (APS0-M.Ed) run by the Ponnaiah Ramajayam College of Education, SR No.99/2,100/1B, 1E,1D, Thiripu Village, Kumbakonam, Thanjavur-614904, Tamilndu, from the academic year 2015-16, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed, course, if any, to complete their course.*

But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.

The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly.

Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any, after ensuring payments to all dues of faculty and staff.

Accordingly, withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 25.11.2014 (for all the courses i.e. B.Ed, B.Ed B.Ed & M.Ed, M.Ed).

In the meantime, the institution was submitted its written representation on 29.12.2014 along with interim order in M.P.(MD) No.1 of 2014 in WP (MD) No.20239 of 2014 dated 12.12.2014. Interim order stating as follows:-

*ORDER: this petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/s.V.Bharathidasan, Advocate for the petitioner, the court made the following order:-*

*Notice to the respondents returnable in four weeks.*

*2. The petitioner has challenged the impugned order, dated 25.11.2014, passed by the second respondent/Regional Director, National Council for Teacher Education, Southern Regional Committee, Bangalore, on the ground that without due application of mind, the second respondent, wrongly holding that the petitioner has not given reply to the show cause notices, 05.02.2013, 13.02.2013 and 15.02.2013 passed the impugned order.*

*3. According to the petitioner, he has sent a common reply in respect of all the show cause notices on 25.03.2013 seeking for personal hearing for production of the required documents to satisfy the second respondent. In spite of the fact that the petitioner has sent a detailed representation through registered post, which was received by the second respondent, on 03.04.2013, the impugned order repeatedly says that no reply has been submitted by the petitioner to the show cause notices, dated 05.02.2013, 13.02.2013 and 15.02.2013.*

*4. On perusal of the records, it is seen that the petitioner has submitted his detailed and comprehensive reply on 25.03.2013, along with relevant documents to the said show*

*cause notices. In such circumstances, this court deems fit to stay the operation of the impugned order dated 25.11.2014, passed by the second respondent. Accordingly, an order of interim stay is granted.*

(Note: (1) Outcome of the court case in W.P.No.10670 of 2012 is not known by SRC.  
(2) As state in the order, the institution has not at all submitted reply on 03.04.2013 as per this office center diary report (copy enclosed).  
(3)Original Interim order not received from the court.)

The SRC in its 276<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 7-9 January 2015 considered the matter, written representation from the institution vide letter dated 29.12.2014 and interim order of Hon'ble High Court of Tamilnadu dated 12.12.2014, decided as under:

*That SRO should ask the party to produce any ack. that they may have for our verification. If the ack. Is found to be acceptable, we can proceed to process the case. Otherwise, we can ask our Lawyer to move for vacation of the Interim Stay granted.*

As per decision of SRC, a letter was sent to institution on 30.01.2015 and a letter was sent to advocate on 30.01.2015.

The institution has submitted its written representation on 10.02.2015. The institution has submitted photocopy of submission copies to NCTE as follows:-

1. Photocopy of final show cause reply notice dated 02.03.2012 (APS00936)
2. Photocopy of show cause notice reply dated 21.12.2011(APS00936)
3. Photocopy of final show cause notice reply dated 28.06.2012 (APS00936)
4. Photocopy of show cause notice reply dated 19.12.2011 (APS03278 & APS07649)
5. Photocopy of final show cause notice reply dated 28.06.2012 (APS03278 & APS07649)
6. Photocopy of final show cause notice reply dated 20.03.2012 (APS03278 & APS07649)
7. Photocopy of final show cause notice reply dated 10.03.2012 (APS04432)
8. Photocopy of final show cause notice reply dated 28.06.2012 (APS04432)
9. Photocopy of final show cause notice reply dated 21.12.2011 (APS04432)
10. Photocopy of final show cause notice reply dated 28.06.2012 (APS07646)
11. Photocopy of show cause notice reply dated 21.12.2011 (APS07646)

The institution has submitted five original affidavit (for each course) regarding processing of application as per new regulations 2014.

SRC in its 281<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 19, February, 2015 considered the institution written representation and decided as under:

- 1.Our records do not show receipt of any reply dated 25.3.2013
- 2.They have also not shown any such document to us or to our Lawyer in spite of repeated

reminders.

3. Ask our Lawyer to appraise the Court of this position and move for vacation of stay and dismissal of the petition.

As per decision of SRC, a letter was sent to Advocate on 17.03.2015.

Revised Recognition order was issued to the institution APS00936-B.Ed with an intake of 100 students, APS04432-B.Ed with an intake of 100 students, APS07646 (M.Ed) with an intake of 50 students, APS07649 (M.Ed) with an intake of 50 students, APS03278 (B.Ed) with an intake of 100 students on 31.05.2015 with a condition that the SRC has withdrawn recognition on 25.11.2014 and Hon'ble High Court of Madras has ordered an interim stay of the withdrawal orders in MP (MD) No.1 of 2014 in W.P.No.20239/2014.

A letter received from the advocate A.Sivaji on 09.05.2016 requesting to send history of the case & para war remarks in respect of W.P.No.10670 of 2012 filed by PRIST University.

Accordingly the brief of the case was sent on 10.05.2016.

Now, a Court order received from the advocate in W.P.No.24939 of 2005 and W.P.M.P.No. 27314 of 2005.

The Hon'ble Court order in W.P. No. 24939 of 2005 and W.P.M.P. No. 27314 of 2005 dated 29.10.2013 stating as follows; *".....The NCTE Act has been enacted by Parliament under entry 66 of list 1 to coordinate with and determine the standards of teacher training institutions as well as entry 25 of List III. The provisions of the University Act regarding affiliation of teacher training colleges and the conditions for grant and continuation of such affiliation by the University shall remain operative. However, the conditions that are prescribed by the University for grant and continuation will have to be in conformity with the norms and guidelines prescribed by the NCTE in respect of the matters entrusted to it under the NCTE Act. We hasten to add that the grant of affiliation alone entitles the colleges to admit the students and also enables the students to write the examination, subject to the training in the prescribed syllabi and fulfilling the attendance norms, and there cannot be any retrospective affiliation and the attendance before the affiliation or college is entitled to admit students before the grant of affiliation by the University, and as observed by the learned Chief Justice in Loordhu Ammal Educational Trust's case, that any college or institution admitting students for a degree in the University even before the University accords affiliation is really committing fraud on those students and is cheating those students who are given admission, because those students can be left in the add that it would be impermissible for the court to permit the students of an unaffiliated institution to appear for the examination conducted by the University under the interim orders of the court or to direct the University to grant affiliation with retrospective effect, as it would amount to directing the University to disobey the statute to which it owe its existence and the regulations made by the University itself".*

6. *Admittedly, the fourth respondent college was not affiliated at the relevant point of time and therefore, as per the decision of the Hon'ble Full Bench of this court, the petitioners are not entitled to seek the relief as prayed for in this writ petition.*

7. *Therefore, the writ petition is dismissed by following the above referred decision of the Hon'ble Full Bench of this Court. No. Costs. Consequently, connected Micellaneous Petition is closed.*

The Committee in its 315<sup>th</sup> src meeting held on 17-18 June, 2016 considered the

		<p>matter, Court order, other related relevant documents of the institution, and decided as under;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.This order has been brought to our notice only now.</li> <li>2.In this order, the direction is to the affiliating body.</li> <li>3.Noticed.</li> </ol> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under</b></p> <p><b>Withdrawn from agenda as already decided in the last meeting.</b></p>
--	--	--

15.	<p>APS02506 B.Ed 2 unit K.L.E Society's College of Education, Belgaum, Karnataka KA</p>	<p><b>K.L.E society's college of Education, C.S.Kittur High School Campus, Athani-591304, Belgaum District, Karnataka</b></p> <p><b>K.L.E Society, Belgaum Karnataka</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>K.L.E society's college of Education, C.S.Kittur High School Campus, Athani-591304, Belgaum District, Karnataka</b> for <b>Secondary (B.Ed)</b> course of one year duration from the academic session <b>2004-05</b> with an annual intake of <b>100</b> students and was granted recognition on <b>10.03.2005</b> with condition to shift to its own premises/building within three years from the date of recognition (in case the course is started in rented premises).</p> <p>On 15.03.2010 a letter is received by this office from the institution regarding change in the name of the college as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>"I have the honour to inform you that, The Board of Management, K.L.E Society, Belgaum at its meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> September,2009 resolved to name the K.L.E Society's College of Education (B.Ed), Athani as "K.L.E Society's Smt. Yashodadevi Gangadhar Kulagude College of Education (B.Ed), Athani"</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>Hence, I request you to kindly change our college name in all your correspondence as "K.L.E Society's Smt, Yashodadevi gangadhar Kulagude college of Education (B.Ed), Athani"</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>This is for your kind information and necessary action in the matter."</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 190<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 29<sup>th</sup> &amp; 30<sup>th</sup> March,2016 Considered the request of the institution and has permitted for change of name from K.L.E Society's Smt. Yashodadevi Gangadhar Kulagude college of Education (B.Ed), Athani" on payment of Rs.40,000/- by the institution and subject to the condition that there is no change in the location and the Management.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 07.05.2010 requesting to submit Rs.40,000/- towards change of name. Now the institution has submitted Demand Draft bearing No.265011 dated 20.05.2010 for Rs.40,000/- on 25.05.2010.</p> <p>The SRC in its 195<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 17<sup>th</sup> &amp; 18<sup>th</sup> August,2010 considered the request of the institution and permitted to change the name of the college from. K.L.E Society's college of Education to K.L.E society's Smt Yashodadevi Gangadhar Kulagude college of Education (B.Ed) Athani" Subject to the Condition that there is no change in the Management.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, order was issued to the institution on 29.09.2010 regarding change</p>
-----	---	--



	<p>of name of the college.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014 a letter was issued to the institution on affidavit expressing adherence to Regulation 2014. The institution has submitted its written representation along with affidavit on 30.01.2015.</p> <p>The Provisional revised order issued to the institution on <b>18.05.2015</b> with an intake of 100 Students with shifting condition</p> <p>On 25.06.2015 a letter is received by this office from the institution as under:-</p> <p><i>" I have the honour to invite your kind attention that, we have received your letter on 22.05.2015. As per clause No.3 mentioned in your letter No.65085 dated 18.05.2015, it is found that our institution has not shifted to our own premises. I bring to you kind information that our institution has been running actually in our own premises since the beginning of the college i.e from 2005 and in your recognition order dated 10.03.2005, the institution having own premises of our institution we refer already vide our office letter No.912 dated 29.09.2007 in response to your office letter No.10535 dated 01.09.2007.</i></p> <p><i>However, I am enclosing again all the required documents respect of ownership of premises of our institution for your kind information and verification I request you kindly consider the above facts and guide us for further action."</i></p> <p>A Corrigendum was issued to the institution on 15.07.2015 with an intake of 100 students with new conditions.</p> <p>On 28.07.2015 a letter is received by this office from the institution which is as under:-</p> <p><i>I honour to invite your kind attention that as per your office guidelines I am herewith submitting the required documents and information pertaining to infrastructural and instructional facilities in our institution. Secondly we have been running our institution in our own premise since the beginning of college 2005, already we have sent a letter to your office on 09.06.2015 regarding having the ownership of premises. The copy is enclosed for your reference. However, I am enclosing again all required documents of ownership of premises as well as institutional and instructional facilities. So I request you kindly consider the above said facts. This is for your kind information and needful action.</i></p> <p>On 30.10.2015 a letter is received by this office from the institution which is as under:-</p> <p><i>"I honour to invite your kind attention as per your office guidelines I am herewith submitting the required documents and information pertaining to infrastructural and instructional facilities in our institution. All the required Documents are enclosed for your reference &amp; kind consideration This is your kind information."</i></p> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, Court Order dated 28.07.2016 &amp; 30.10.2015 from the institution, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</b></p> <p><b>1.EC is in order.</b> <b>2.LUC is not given.</b></p>
--	---

		<p><b>3.BP is not approved by competent authority. Built up area shown is adequate for 2 units.</b></p> <p><b>4.BCC is in order. Built-up area shown is inadequate even for 1 unit.</b></p> <p><b>5.Original FDRs not given.</b></p> <p><b>6.Faculty list is approved; but, it is not in format.</b></p> <p><b>7.Processing Fee-not paid.</b></p> <p><b>8.Collect full fee and cause inspection for sifting of B.Ed (2 units).</b></p> <p><b>9.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; and, check especially adequacy of built – up area for B.Ed (2 units).</b></p>
16.	<p>APS03044 B.Ed 2 units G.P. Secondary Teacher Education training college, Vellore, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p><b>G.P. College of Education, Makkanur Village, Tirupattur, Vellore District-635601, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p>Eswary Educational Trust, Vellore District, Tamilnadu submitted an application for grant of recognition to G.P. Teacher Education Training College, Makkanur Village, Tirupattur, Vellore District-635601, Tamilnadu for B.Ed course in the office of SRC-NCTE, Bangalore.</p> <p>The application was processed as per the provisions contained in Rules and Regulation, Norms and Standards prevalent at that point of time and the recognition was granted to the institution vide order dated 12.08.2005 with certain stipulated conditions.</p> <p>As per decision of SRC in its 175<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup>-14<sup>th</sup> May 2009, the office of SRC has reviewed the files of the institution who have been granted recognition either in a rented premises pending construction of own building or in lease premises.</p> <p>A list of such institutions has been prepared and placed before SRC in its 181<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup>-21<sup>st</sup> August 2009 wherein, it was decided that the institution which have not shifted to the permanent premises even after the expiry of three years time limit be issued notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act for further action.</p> <p>As per decision of SRC notice was issued to the institution on 16.09.2009. The institution did not submit its reply. SRC considered the matter in its 196<sup>th</sup> meeting 15<sup>th</sup>-16<sup>th</sup> September 2010 and the committee decided to issue show cause Notice to the institution. Accordingly, notice was issued to the institution on 26.10.2010</p> <p>The institution did not submit its reply even after expiry of stipulated period. Again SRC in its 199<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 22<sup>nd</sup>-23<sup>rd</sup> December 2010 considered the matter and decided to issue a <u>final Showcause Notice</u> to the institution for withdrawal of the recognition as the institutions have not shifted to permanent premises even after the expiry of three years stipulated time limit and also have not responded to the two show cause notices.</p> <p>Accordingly final show cause notice was issued to the institution on 31.01.2011. The institution submitted its reply on 09.03.2011 on the following:</p> <p>The institution has replied vide letter dt. 09.03.2011 for the deficiencies pointed in the show cause notice and committee considered the replies and noted;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The building plan of the new building duly approved by the Government Authority submitted is</li> </ul>

	<p>not clear.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Original FDRs for Rs. 5 lakhs and 3 lakhs towards endowment and reserve fund respectively is not submitted.</li><li>• The Affidavit on Rs. 100/- stamp paper in the prescribed format with notary attestation in original is not submitted.</li></ul> <p>SRC in its 202<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 14-15<sup>th</sup> March 2011 considered the reply to the Show Cause Notice Dt. 31.01.2011 and the committee decided to withdraw the recognition of the B.Ed (APS03044), D.T.Ed(APS03055) &amp; D.T.Ed-AI(APS06132).</p> <p>Accordingly Withdrawal Order was issued to the B.Ed(APS03044) on 18.04.2011 and for D.T.Ed(APS03055) &amp; D.T.Ed-AI(APS06132) on 15.04.2011.</p> <p>On 02.05.2011, a letter received from the advocate Shri. K Ramakrishna Reddy regarding the W.P.No. 11067 and 11068 of 2011 filed by G.P College of Education.</p> <p>Accordingly brief of the institution was sent to the advocate on 06.05.2011.</p> <p>On 16.05.2011, this office received a copy of the counter affidavit from the advocate Shri. K. Ramakrishna Reddy. Accordingly, a duly signed counter affidavit was sent to the advocate on 20.05.2011.</p> <p>The institution has submitted affidavit for Revised Recognition order as per regulation 2014 on 12.02.2015. Accordingly a letter was issued to the institution regarding non issuance of revised order. Now, the institution has submitted written representation along with a copy of court order in MP Nos 1 &amp; 1 of 2011 of W.P No. 11067 &amp; 11068 of 2011 filed by G.P Teacher Training Institute &amp; G.P College of Education dated 28.04.2011. The institution stating as follows:</p> <p><i>“Referring the court order writ petition No.11068/2011 issued by the Hon’ble High Court of Madras, we are eligible to get the revised order by submitting the Affidavit insisted by NCTE for the revised 2014 regulation provisional order”.</i></p> <p><i>“Since, interim stay issued by the court is not vacated, we request your good self to kindly set aside the letter issued by your good office dated 30.07.2015 and issue a revised provisional order and oblige”.</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, court order and representation dated 28.04.2015 and advised Southern Regional Office as under; Issue RPRO</p> <p>Accordingly revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 29.01.2016 with a condition that the recognition granted to the institution was withdrawn on 18.04.2011 and the Hon’ble High Court of Madras has ordered an interim stay of the withdrawal orders in M.P. No. 1 of 2011 in W.P. No. 11067 and 11068 of 2011.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted written representation on 16.06.2016 and stated as follows: With reference to the above subject we are herewith submitting all the required documents for the shifting of our premises. Hence we request the Regional Director to kindly conduct the inspection and issue the shifting order at the earliest.</p>
--	--

		<p>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, Court Order dated 28.07.2016 &amp; 16.06.2016 from the institution, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.This is an existing institution.a R.P.R.O. was issued in this case because of an interim order of a court of Law.</li> <li>2.Title deed is given. Title is clear.</li> <li>3.EC &amp; LUC are clear.</li> <li>4.BP is given. But details are not legi le.</li> <li>5.BCC is clear. Built-up area is adequate for 2 units.</li> <li>6.Original FDRs not given.</li> <li>7.Faculty list-not approved.</li> <li>8.Processsing fee not paid.</li> <li>9.Collect full fee and cause Inspection for shifting of B.Ed (2 units).</li> <li>10.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; and, check exp. Adequacy of built-up area for B.Ed (2 units).</li> </ol>
17.	APS00258 B.Ed 2 units Aradhan college of Education, Ranga reddy, Telangana TS	<p><b>Aradhana College of Education, Rangareddy District, Andhra Pradesh</b></p> <p><b>Aradhana College of Education, Rangareddy District, Andhra Pradesh</b> was granted recognition for offering <b>B.Ed</b> course vide order dated <b>28.02.2003</b> with condition that the institution shall shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition(In case the course is started in rented premises).</p> <p>The SRC in its 178<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 13-14<sup>th</sup> July 2009 considered the matter and decided to issue show cause notice for the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The institution has not shifted to permanent premises as per norms and standards and conditions mentioned in recognition order dated 28.02.2003/ not communicated the shifting of premises to the SRC and not paid the prescribed fees for shifting of premises.</li> </ul> <p>As per the decision of SRC a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 27.08.2009. Reply not received so far.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014, a letters were issued to all existing institutions regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised norms and standards before 31.10.2015.</p> <p>The institution has submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students on 27.08.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 276<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup>-9<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 decided to issue provisional recognition orders to the existing institutions and the committee also decided to maintain a check list of such cases for verification in October/November and for causing inspection.  <b>Accordingly, a revised order was issued to the institution on 27.08.2015 with shifting condition.</b></p>

		<p>Now the institution has submitted its written representation on 20.06.2016 along with relevant documents, staff list and shifting fee of Rs. 1, 50,000/- DD dated 13.05.2016.</p> <p>Letter stated as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“...we the Aradhana College of Education, RR Dist. here by informing you that we have taken permission from your office to run the B.Ed course with 2 units for the academic year 2015-16 further as per the regulations of NCTE they have no space to accommodate the additional build up area. So we have constructed new building as per the NCTE regulations with build up area (20985 Sq.ft). So we want to shift the college old premises to new premises situated at Kismathpur, RR Dist. <u>We have drawn the DD worth of 1,50,000 for inspection fee and enclosed all required documents as per the proforma given by the NCTE for shifting the premises.</u> So we are requesting you to please inspect our college and give the permission for shifting and give the permission to run the college at Kismathpur Village, Rajendranagar Mandal, RR Dist.”</p> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, letter from the institution dated 20.06.2016, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1.Title deed is given. Title is clear.</b></li> <li><b>2.EC and LUC are clear.</b></li> <li><b>3.BP-not approved. Built-up area shown is adequate for 2 units.</b></li> <li><b>4.BCC-not approved by competent authority. Built-up area shown is adequate for B.Ed (2 units).</b></li> <li><b>5.Original FDRs not given.</b></li> <li><b>6.Faculty list approved; in format given.</b></li> <li><b>7.Processing Fee paid in full.</b></li> <li><b>8.Cause inspection for shifting of B.Ed (2 units).</b></li> <li><b>9.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; and, check esp. adequacy of built up area for B.Ed ( 2units).</b></li> </ol>
18.	<p>APS03281 B.Ed 2 units Indra Ganesan college of Education, Trichy, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p><b>J.A.S College of Education, No.79, Chinnaredampatty, Athipalayam Road, Coimbatore District-641006, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p><b>D.V.P Charitable Trust, Coimbatore District, Tamilnadu</b> has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>J.A.S College of Education, No.79, Chinnaredampatty, Athipalayam Road, Coimbatore District-641006, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course with an annual intake of <b>100</b> students. The recognition was granted on <b>11.01.2008</b>.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its willingness affidavit on 23.03.2015. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 14.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its Formal Recognition order dated 11.01.2008.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 18.11.2015 along with documents. The letter stated as follows:</p>

		<p><i>“..we are enclosing herewith the necessary documents in supporting of the additional facilities available at our campus. Due to shortage of time, we are submitting the available documents. The rest of the documents will be submitted at the earliest. Kindly receive the same and do the needful”.</i></p> <p>The institution has submitted following documents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Photocopy of staff list which is not approved by affiliating body.</li> <li>2. Photocopy of BP which is not approved by competent authority.</li> </ol> <p>Note: revised recognition order was issued with shifting condition. The institution has not submitted shifting processing fee and relevant documents. As per the statement of the institution they will submit the documents at the earliest.</p> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, written representation from the institution dated 18.11.2015, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1.Title deed is given. Title is clear.</b></li> <li><b>2.EC is clear.</b></li> <li><b>3.LUC is not approved by competent authority.</b></li> <li><b>4.BP is clear. Built-up area shown is adequate for 2 units.</b></li> <li><b>5.BCC-not given.</b></li> <li><b>6.Original FDRs-not given.</b></li> <li><b>7.Faculty list-given; approved</b></li> <li><b>8.Processing Fee – not paid.</b></li> <li><b>9.Collect full fee and cause inspection for shifting of B.Ed (2 units).</b></li> <li><b>10.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; and, check especially adequacy of built-up area for B.Ed (2units).</b></li> </ol>
19.	<p>APS03462          B.Ed 2          units          Senthil          college of          Education,          Cuddalore,          Tamilnadu          TN</p>	<p><b>Senthil College of Education, Periyavadavadi, Vriddhachalam, Cuddalore District-606001, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p><b>Senthil College of Education, Periyavadavadi, Vriddhachalam, Cuddalore District-606001, Tamilnadu</b> was granted recognition for B.Ed course of one year duration from the academic session 2005-06 with an annual intake of 100 students on 05.01.2006 with a condition to shift its own premises within three years from the date of recognition (in case the course is started in rented premises).          The institution has submitted willingness affidavit as per regulations 2014 on 03.02.2015. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 14.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its formal recognition order dated 05.01.2006.</p> <p>The institution has submitted relevant documents for shifting of premises on 24.07.2015 &amp; 30.10.2015 a letter stating as under:</p> <p><b><i>“...enclosing herewith the all documents for shifting own premises. Hence we request you to kindly accept the same and to the needful.”</i></b></p> <p>The institution has submitted documents, which are processed as follows:-</p>

		<p>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, letter from the institution dated on 24.07.2015 &amp; 30.10.2015, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.Title deed is given. Title is clear.</li> <li>2.EC is clear.</li> <li>3.LUC is not approved by competent authority.</li> <li>4.BP is incomplete and, not approved, also.</li> <li>5.BCC- not in format., not approved.</li> <li>6.Original FDRs not given.</li> <li>7.Faculty list-not given.</li> <li>8.Processing fee not paid.</li> <li>9. Collect full fee and cause inspection for shifting of B.Ed (2 units).</li> <li>10. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; and, check especially adequacy of built-up area for shifting of M.Ed ( 1 unit), B.Ed (2 units) and, D.El.Ed (1 unit)..</li> </ol>
20.	<p>APS08737 B.Ed 2 units Royal college of Education, Madurai, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p>Royal College of Education, Valarpirai Education Trust No. 7 Raja Sir Muthiah Nagar, 70 Feet New Ellis Nagar Road, Bypass Road, Madurai-625010, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>Royal College of Education, Valarpirai Education Trust No. 7 Raja Sir Muthiah Nagar, 70 Feet New Ellis Nagar Road, Bypass Road, Madurai-625010, Tamilnadu was granted recognition for B.Ed course of one year duration from the academic session 2005-06 with an annual intake of 100 students on 07.12.2007.</p> <p>The institution has submitted willingness affidavit as per regulations 2014 on 11.05.2015. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 30.05.2015.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted a letter dated 29.10.2015 along with relevant documents, a letter stating as under:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“...we humbly submit all essential documents of our college for fulfillment of 2 year B.Ed course.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>Please acknowledge our proposal and do kindful arrangement for further activities.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>We have submitted Staff Profiles of our College and other essential documents to the Tamilnadu Teachers Education University, Chennai-600005, on 27.10.2015 for Staff Approval, Now it is under processing in TNTEU.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>We assure you that we shall send staff profiles and other documents to NCTE office after getting them from TNTEU”</i></p> <p>The institution has submitted documents, which are processed</p> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, letter from the institution dated on 29.10.2015, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.They have shifted without NCTE approval.</li> </ol>

		<p>2.Title deed is given. Title is clear. 3.EC and LUC are clear. 4.BP and BCC are clear. Built-up area shown is adequate for B.Ed ( 2 units). 5. Original FDRs not given. 6. .Faculty list –not approved. 7. .Processing fee not paid. 8.Collect full fee and cause inspection for shifting of B.Ed ( 2 units). 9.Ask VT to collect all relevant document; and, check esp. adequacy of built up area for B.Ed (2 units).</p>
21.	<p>APS04489 B.Ed 2 units  M.Ed (1 unit) Holy Trinity college of Education; Kanyakuma ri, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p><b>Vekkaiamman College of Education, No. 6, Vekkaiamman Nagar, Woraiyur, Trichy-620003, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p><b>K.S.M. Educational and Charitable Trust, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu</b> has applied to the SRC grant of recognition to <b>Holy Trinity College of Education, Edaicode Post-629152, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 Students on 20.06.2007.</p> <p>As per the regulation 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit on 24.01.2015. Accordingly, revised order was issued to the institution on 12.05.2015 with condition to that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its formal recognition order dated 20.06.2007.</p> <p>Now, a letter received from the institution on 31.07.2015 along with relevant documents letter stating <b>as under:</b></p> <p><i>“in your order, dated 12.05.2015, you have stated that the institution has not been shifted to its our premises as stipulated in its formal recognition order dated, 13.07.2005.</i></p> <p><i>But, the fact is that we have shifted the institution to its own premises in the year 2007 itself and we informed it to the NCTE, SRC, Bangalore on 24.12.2007. a copy of the information letter is attached. And then, when we applied for M.Ed course in 2008, and an inspection was conducted by NCTE and it was verified whether the institution was in its own premises or not.</i></p> <p><i>It was mandatory then that the institution should function in its own premises to apply and to get approval for M.Ed course. only on the basis of the fact that the institution was functioning in its own land and its own premises, approval was given to us by NCTE for starting M.Ed course in the year 2009.</i></p> <p><i>We also got NAAC accreditation certificate at 'B' grade with a CGPA of 2.81 on a 4 point scale based on the fact that the institution functions in its own premises.</i></p> <p><i>However as per your order, we are again enclosing all documents related to the building including land, building, encumbrance certificate, land use certificate, building plan and approved staff list.</i></p> <p><i>We, therefore, kindly request you not to cause an inspection to verify whether the institution functions in its own premises or not in Aug-Sep, 2015 since it was already done.</i></p>



		<p><i>However, an inspection can we caused as you have mentioned for verifying the infrastructural and instructional facilities and other matters in Feb, 2016 since you have given approval for two units in the B.Ed course.</i></p> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, letter from the institution dated on 31.07.2015, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1.They have shifted without NCTE approval.</b></li> <li><b>2.Title deed is given. Title is clear.</b></li> <li><b>3.EC is clear.</b></li> <li><b>4.LUC-not approved by competent authority.</b></li> <li><b>5.BP is given. Contents are clear.</b></li> <li><b>6.BCC is not approved.</b></li> <li><b>7.Original FDRs – not given.</b></li> <li><b>8.Faculty list-not in format.</b></li> <li><b>9.Processing Fee – not paid.</b></li> <li><b>10.Collect full fee and cause Inspection for shifting of B.ED (2 units) and M.Ed ( 1 unit).</b></li> <li><b>11.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents, and, examine esp-adequacy of built-up area for shifting B.Ed(2 units) and M.Ed (1 unit).</b></li> </ol>
22.	<p>APS08748 B.Ed 2 units J.A.S college of Education, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p><b>J.A.S College of Education, No.79, Chinnaredampatty, Athipalayam Road, Coimbatore District-641006, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p><b>D.V.P Charitable Trust, Coimbatore District, Tamilnadu</b> has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>J.A.S College of Education, No.79, Chinnaredampatty, Athipalayam Road, Coimbatore District-641006, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course with an annual intake of <b>100</b> students. The recognition was granted on <b>11.01.2008</b>.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its willingness affidavit on 23.03.2015. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 14.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its Formal Recognition order dated 11.01.2008.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 18.11.2015 along with documents. The letter stated as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“..we are enclosing herewith the necessary documents in supporting of the additional facilities available at our campus. Due to shortage of time, we are submitting the available documents. The rest of the documents will be submitted at the earliest. Kindly receive the same and do the needful”.</i></p> <p>The institution has submitted following documents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Photocopy of staff list which is not approved by affiliating body.</li> <li>2. Photocopy of BP which is not approved by competent authority.</li> </ol> <p>Note: revised recognition order was issued with shifting condition. The institution has not submitted shifting processing fee and relevant documents. As per the statement of the institution they will submit the documents at the earliest.</p>

		<p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, letter from the institution dated on 18.11.2015, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1.Title deed not given.</b></li> <li><b>2.Processing Fee-not given</b></li> <li><b>3.BP-not approved.</b></li> <li><b>4.Faculty list-not approved.</b></li> <li><b>5.No other documents have been given.</b></li> <li><b>6.Issue Show Cause Notice for rejection accordingly.</b></li> </ol>
23.	<p>APS03571 B.Ed 2 units J.J. College of Education, Pudukottai, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p><b>J.J. College of Education, J.J. Nagar, Sivapuram, Namanasamudram Post, Pudukottai District-622404, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p><b>Karpaga Vinayaga Educational Trust, Pudukottai District-622001, Tamilnadu</b> has applied to the SRC grant of recognition to <b>J.J. College of Education, J.J. Nagar, Sivapuram, Namanasamudram Post, Pudukottai District-622404, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 Students on 23.06.2005.</p> <p>As per the regulation 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit on 29.01.2015. Accordingly, revised order was issued to the institution for two years duration on 14.05.2015 with condition to that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its formal recognition order dated 23.06.2005.</p> <p>Now, a letter received from the institution on 30.10.2015 along with relevant documents letter stating as under:</p> <p><i>“Herewith we submit the following documents required at your office vide dated in the order noted in the reference above for your perusal.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>1. The original fixed deposit receipt for an amount of Rs. 12 L (Rupees Twelve Lakhs only), the enhanced value and revalidate, created in the joint name of SRC and Trust was already submitted at your office in June-2015. The copy of Fixed Deposit Receipt is enclosed Encl:1</i></li> <li><i>2. The list of staff available at the institution for B.Ed, Additional Programme (existing staff and additionally added staff) and the duly notarized affidavit of individual staff and affidavit by the Management are enclosed as Encl:2</i></li> <li><i>3. Existing Land and Building available at the campus are sufficient and satisfy the new norms also. So the copy of the English version of land exclusively allocated for Teacher Education College to an extent of 5.07 acres, EC and Land Use Certificate are also enclosed as Encl:3</i></li> <li><i>4. Copy of the Building Plan and the Floor-wise plan is enclosed as Encl:4</i></li> <li><i>5. The Photo’s of the Laboratory, BCC and Building License copy are enclosed as Encl:5</i></li> </ol> <p><i>So, kindly accept the above mentioned documents and grant continuation of Recognition to our Institution viz. JJ College of Education, Pudukkottai to conduct B.Ed Programme with an annual intake of 100 students for course duration of 2 years from the year 2015-2016 and onwards.</i></p>

		<p>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, letter from the institution dated on 30.10.2015, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Title deeds are given. They cover small, small areas.</li> <li>2. From the BP and BCC it is not very clear, which sy.nos are relevant for the B.Ed course-building and appurtenant land-area.</li> <li>3. Much of the area shown (eg. other than sy.nos. 1013.2/1012.3/1013.4A/1013.4B/1013.9A/1013.9B) are irrelevant.</li> <li>4. Ask the college to show specifically which is were and which is required.</li> <li>5. Resubmit after the clarification is received.</li> </ol>
24.	<p>APS07897 B.Ed 2 units Sri annamalai ar college of Education, Tiruvannam alai, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p><b>Sri Annamalaiyar College of Education, Tindivanam Road, Chinnakangeyanur Village, Tiruvannamalai Taluk &amp; District-606 011, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p><b>Sri Arunachala Trust Education &amp; Health, Tiruvannamalai, Tamilnadu</b> has submitted an application to the SRC-NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Sri Annamalaiyar College of Education, Tindivanam Road, Chinnakangeyanur Village, Tiruvannamalai Taluk &amp; District-606 011, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>Secondary (B.Ed)</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition was granted to the institution on <b>08.05.2008</b>.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014 letters were issued to all existing institutions regarding notification new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revise norms and standards before 31.10.2015.</p> <p>On 01.04.2015, the institution has submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students.</p> <p>The SRC in its 276<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup>-9<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 decided to issue provisional recognition orders to the existing institutions and the committee also decided to maintain a check list of such cases for verification in October/November and for causing inspection.</p> <p>Accordingly, as per the Regulation 2014 a revised recognition order was issued to the institution on <b>12.05.2015</b> with a condition that the institution has not maintained/revalidated the Fixed Deposited Receipts towards Endowment and Reserve Funds.</p> <p>Now the institution has submitted its written representation along with documents on 03.11.2015 and it stated as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“As per subjected mentioned above, herewith I enclosed details of Necessary facilities having in our college as per New Regulation 2014 – for 2015-16 academic year. I kindly request you to take necessary action for further Process.”</i></p> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, letter from the institution dated on 03.11.2015, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. They were given recognition in 2008 over land leased for 50 years. There was no shifting condition. It was wrongly introduced in the RPRO. <u>Remove it.</u> They can stay on the leased land till 2056.</li> </ol>

		<p>2.BP and BCC are clear. Built up area shown is adequate for B.Ed (2 units).  3.Original FDRs-not given.  4.Faculty list-not approved.  5.Processing Fee-not paid.  6.Collect full fee and cause inspection for shifting B.Ed (2 units).  7.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; and, check esp-adequacy of built-up area for B.Ed (2 units).</p>
25.	<p>APS06015  B.Ed 2  units  AGRA  college of  Education,  Nagapattina  m,  Tamilnadu  TN</p>	<p><b>AGRA College of Education, ChozhenPettai (Vil), Mayiladuthrai Taluk, Nagapattina District-609003, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p>AdoorGovindarajanRajalakshmiAmmal (AGRA) Trust, Tamilnadu had submitted a application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to AGRA College of Education, ChozhenPettai (Vil), Mayiladuthrai Taluk, Nagapattinam District-609003, Tamilnadu for Secondary (B.Ed) course of One year duration from the academic session 2006-2007 with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition was granted to the institution on 28.09.2006 with a condition that the institution shall shift to its own premises/building within three years from the date of recognition (in case the course started in rented premises).</p> <p>In the meantime, the institution has submitted its written representation on 27.01.2014 along with willingness affidavit as per regulations 2014.</p> <p>Accordingly, as directed revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 12.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its formal recognition order dated 28.09.2006.</p> <p>The institution has submitted two written representations along with documents on 14.07.2015 and 18.11.2015. In its reply stated as follows:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“As per the required certificates, I send you all those in proper order. I request you to verify all the certificates.”</i></p> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, letter from the institution dated on 14.07.2015 &amp; 18.11.2015, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</b></p> <p>1.Their course was given recognition w.r.t one portion of ‘own land’ and another contiguous portion of land held on 31 year lease. They can function from this area till 2036. That being so, <u>remove the ‘shifting condition’</u></p> <p>2.BP is not approved, BCC-not given. According to BP-built up area is not adequate. BCC – not there.</p> <p>3.According to BP, Asbestos sheets have been used in the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor of the building.</p> <p>4.Original FDRs-not given.  5.Faculty list-not in format; not approved.  6.Processing fee not paid,  7.Collect full fee and cause inspection for shifting of B.Ec (2 units)  8.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; check particularly adequacy of built</p>

		<b>up area for B.Ed (2 units); and, check on asbestos roofing.</b>						
26.	APS06269 B.Ed 2 units Naik college of Education, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh AP	<p><b>Naik College of Education, Dupadu Village, Kurnool District -518218, Andhra Pradesh Bheema Educational Society, Kurnool District Andhra Pradesh</b> has submitted an application to the Southern Regional committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Naik College of Education, Dupadu Village, Kurnool District -518218, Andhra Pradesh</b> for Secondary (B.Ed) course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students and was granted recognition on 12.04.2007 with shifting condition.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014 letter was issued to the institution on affidavit expressing adherence to Regulation 2014. The institution has submitted its written representation along with affidavit on 27.01.2015.</p> <p>The Provisional revised order issued to the institution on 11.05.2015 with an intake of 100 students with shifting condition.</p> <p>The Institution has submitted a written representation on <b>29.07.2015</b> which is as under:-</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><b>Instructions/ Defeciencies</b></th> <th><b>Explanations / information.</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>1. WHEREAS</b>, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section(2) of the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993(73 of 1993), and in supersession of the National Council for Teacher Education [Recognition Norms and Procedure] Regulations, 2009, the National Council for Teacher Education has notified the Regulations, 2014 on 1.12.2014.</td> <td>1. The National Council for teacher Education has obtained new norms and Regulation for all B.Ed Colleges of nation. So we adhere your regulations forever and we must follow them</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>2. AND WHEREAS</b>, the institution, <b>Naik College of Education, Dupadu Village, Kurnool District -518218, Andhra Pradesh</b> has by affidavit consented to come under New Regulations and sought for two basic units in <b>B.Ed</b> which require additional facilities.</td> <td>2. By your kind sight the institution has come to your new regulations and sought for two Basic units in B.Ed Course, it required additional intake because the institution has sufficient infrastructure and it is fully deserve to conduct this program.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>Instructions/ Defeciencies</b>	<b>Explanations / information.</b>	<b>1. WHEREAS</b> , in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section(2) of the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993(73 of 1993), and in supersession of the National Council for Teacher Education [Recognition Norms and Procedure] Regulations, 2009, the National Council for Teacher Education has notified the Regulations, 2014 on 1.12.2014.	1. The National Council for teacher Education has obtained new norms and Regulation for all B.Ed Colleges of nation. So we adhere your regulations forever and we must follow them	<b>2. AND WHEREAS</b> , the institution, <b>Naik College of Education, Dupadu Village, Kurnool District -518218, Andhra Pradesh</b> has by affidavit consented to come under New Regulations and sought for two basic units in <b>B.Ed</b> which require additional facilities.	2. By your kind sight the institution has come to your new regulations and sought for two Basic units in B.Ed Course, it required additional intake because the institution has sufficient infrastructure and it is fully deserve to conduct this program.
<b>Instructions/ Defeciencies</b>	<b>Explanations / information.</b>							
<b>1. WHEREAS</b> , in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section(2) of the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993(73 of 1993), and in supersession of the National Council for Teacher Education [Recognition Norms and Procedure] Regulations, 2009, the National Council for Teacher Education has notified the Regulations, 2014 on 1.12.2014.	1. The National Council for teacher Education has obtained new norms and Regulation for all B.Ed Colleges of nation. So we adhere your regulations forever and we must follow them							
<b>2. AND WHEREAS</b> , the institution, <b>Naik College of Education, Dupadu Village, Kurnool District -518218, Andhra Pradesh</b> has by affidavit consented to come under New Regulations and sought for two basic units in <b>B.Ed</b> which require additional facilities.	2. By your kind sight the institution has come to your new regulations and sought for two Basic units in B.Ed Course, it required additional intake because the institution has sufficient infrastructure and it is fully deserve to conduct this program.							

	<p><b>3. AND WHEREAS,</b> on scrutiny it is found that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its Formal Recognition order dated <b>12.04.2007</b>.</p>	<p>3. Yes. The society was applied B.Ed Course on the lease agreement basis where it stands today So that the respective scrutiny was inspected and made appropriate information of the institution but today it runs on the same address, on which address the society was applied the B.Ed course. The institution run in OWN PREMISES from the beginning of the B.Ed course, 2007 and also D.El.Ed Course . The land (7.5 acres ) and buildings Registered on the name of Bheema Educational Society .So. That necessities are enclosed with this letter.</p>
	<p><b>4. AND WHEREAS,</b> the SRC has decided to permit the institution to have two basic units of 50 students each subject to the institution fulfilling following conditions namely,</p> <p>I. The institution shall submit all documents including land, building, Encumbrance Certificate, Land Use Certificate, Building Plan, approved staff list, pertaining to shifting to own premises to the SRC before 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2015 failing which recognition will be withdrawn.</p> <p>II. The Regional Committees shall inspect the proposed premises during August-September-October, 2015 and if on inspection it is found that the institution dose not fulfill required norms of infrastructure recognition will be withdrawn.</p>	<p>4. According to the new Regulations the respective SRC NCTE has given opportunity to the institution thereby we have decided to utilize this opportunity. So that the institution is submitting the following appropriate documents the following appropriate documents and all necessity particulars, as per your kind guidelines They are</p> <p>i. Land Documents, Building plan, Encumbrance Certificate, Land usage certificate and everything is made on the Bheema Educational Society, Approved staff list is also enclosed.</p> <p>ii. We are submitte the own premises</p>

	<p>III. Further, with regard to the second unit the institution shall create additional facilities that include (a) additional built-up area, (b) additional infrastructure, (c) additional funds, (d) additional staff as per Regulations, 2014 and inform Regional Committees with required documents by October 31, 2015.</p> <p>IV. The applicant-institution for additional unit will be required to submit the required documents such as land documents, Encumbrance Certificate (EC), Land Use Certificate (LUC), Building Plan (BP) and the Approved Staff List in the specified proforma available on the website to the Regional Committee in proof of having provided additional facilities before October 31, 2015. Building Completion Certificate (BCC) may be given along with other documents if available, otherwise it can also be given to the Visiting team at the time of inspection.</p> <p>V. The Regional Committees shall arrange for verification of documents, inspection of these premises and check adherence to these conditions by 20 Feb, 2016. If it is found by the Regional Committee that the institution fails to comply with these requirements, the institutions shall not be permitted to admit students for the academic year 2016-2017</p>	<p>particulars of the institution. I hope you may consider the appropriate particulars of the own premises which is on the name of Bheema Educational society”.</p> <p>iii. The institution is adhere to SRC NCTE and it tries to fulfill the requirement norms and conditions.</p> <p>iv. SRC NCTE has given second unit opportunity to the institution so the society has decided to utilize this splendid opportunity, because the institution is fit for additional intake (Intake). It has adequate and ample infrastructure.</p> <p>v. I will submit all necessities and requirements which are asked for additional intake (unit) according to your regulation I will submit to the respective office and same show to the inspection team.</p>
	<p><b>5. NOW THEREFORE</b>, in the light of the above and in terms of Section 14(3) of NCTE Act and in accordance with the Regulations, 2014, the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE hereby grants recognition to <b>Naik College of</b></p>	<p>5. I Submit proof of own premises along with relevant original documenta to the office. The institution is fully qualified for conducting B.Ed Course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100</p>

	<p><b>Education, Dupadu Village, Kurnool District -518218, Andhra Pradesh</b> for conducting <b>B.Ed</b> programme of <b>two</b> years duration with an annual intake of <b>100</b> students of two basic units from the academic session <b>2015-16</b></p>	<p>students of two basic units , I will be furnished all details which are mentioned at (i), (ii), (iii), iv and v same should be submitted to the office in a stipulated time.</p>
	<p><b>6.</b> Further, the recognition is subject to fulfillment of other requirements as may be prescribed by other regulatory bodies like UGC, affiliating University/Body, the State Government etc, as applicable.</p>	<p><b>6</b> The institution is affiliated to the Rayalaseema University, Kurnool Copies are enclosed.</p>
	<p><b>7.</b> The institution shall submit to the Regional Committee a Self- Appraisal Report at the end of each academic year along with the statement of annual accounts duly audited by a chartered Accountant.</p>	<p><b>7.</b> The institutin should be submitte to the Regional Committee a self Appraisal report at the end of each academic year along with the statement of Annual accounts duly audited by a Chartered accountant.</p>
	<p><b>8.</b> The institutions shall maintain &amp; update its web-site as per provisions of NCTE Regulations and always display following as mandatory disclosure:-</p> <p>a) Sanctioned programmes along with annual intake in the institution:</p> <p>b) Name of faculty and staff in full as mentioned in school certificate along with their qualifications, scale of pay and photograph.</p> <p>c) Name of faculty members who left or joined during the last, quarter:</p> <p>d) Names of Students admitted during the current session along with qualification, Percentage of marks in the qualifying examination and in the entrance test, if any, date of admission, etc.;</p> <p>e) Fee charged from students;</p> <p>f) Available infrastructural facilities;</p> <p>g) Facilities added during the last quarter;</p> <p>h) Number of books in the library, journals subscribed to and additions, if any, in the last quarter;</p> <p>i) The affidavit with enclosure</p>	<p><b>8.</b> The institution adhere you ever and maintain update information as per guidelines</p> <p>B.Ed Code :- APS0 6269 (100).</p> <p>Copy is enclosed</p> <p>Copy is enclosed</p> <p>Copy is enclosed</p> <p>14500/- (Tuition Fee &amp; Special Fee) Copy is enclosed Yes Yes, 3500 (Copies are Enclosed)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>



	<p>submitted along with application.</p> <p>j) The institution shall be free to post additional relevant information. if it so desires.</p> <p>k) Any false or incomplete information on website shall render the institution liable for withdrawal of recognition.</p> <p>If the institution Contravenes any of the above conditions or the provision of the NCTE Act, Rules, Regulations and Orders made of issued there under , the institution will render itself vulnerable to adverse action including withdrawal of recognition by the regional committee under the provisions of Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act.</p>	
	<p>Kindly the institution runs in its own premises from the date of establishment so I request that you are very merciful to permit the institution to conduct the new program on the same address where it stands. It makes successfully by your kind sight. In this regard co-operation is highly needed to us.</p> <p>Thus, sir we have tried our best to fulfill the norms and standards of the esteemed NCTE through our documents of evidence. Kindly accept our reply sympathetically and sanction us the additional intake (units ) to B.Ed Course.</p> <p>On 31.10.2015 email &amp; letter are received by this office from the principal Naik College of Education, Kurnool District Andhra Pradesh regarding requested to permission for one unit of the B.Ed Course for the academic year 2015-16 as under:-</p> <p>“I would like to run the institution with Additional intake. Thereby, I had applied for 2 basic units for B.Ed Course. But after perceiving the new norms and regulations I have decided to withdrawal to myself for asking 2 basic units. The another thing is you have enhanced the duration of B.Ed course and introduced basic unit system for strengthen the B.Ed Course. Hence I request you that (1) one unit is enough to our B.Ed institution. Kindly grant permission for (1) one unit.</p> <p>Kindly perceive above said matter and permit us to run the institution under your kind sight Therefore I am adhere to your guidelines and implementation.</p> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, letter from the institution dated on 31.10.2015, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</b></p> <p><b>1.They have contested the ‘shifting condition’. But, that can not be accepted. The land document produced now is different from what was given originally. If at all, they can be said to have ‘shifted without permission’. We will therefore, conduct shifting inspection.</b></p>	

		<p><b>2.Title deed now given is clear.</b>  <b>3.EC is old. Latest EC is required.</b>  <b>4.LUC is not approved by competent authority.</b>  <b>5.BP and BCC are clear. Built-up area shown is adequate for both B.Ed (2 units) &amp; D.El.Ed (1 unit).</b>  <b>6.Original FDRs not given. 7.Faculty list not approved.</b>  <b>8.Processing Fee not given.</b>  <b>9.Collect full fee and cause inspection.</b>  <b>10.Ask VT to collect all relevant document; and, check esp. adequacy of built-up area for B.Ed (2 units) &amp; D.El.Ed (1 unit).</b></p>
27.	<p>AOS00141  B.Ed 1  unit  Dr. Palpu memorial  S.N.D.P  yogam  college of  Education,  Thrissur,  Kerala  KL</p>	<p>Dr. Palpu Memorial S.N.D.P. Yogam College of Education, Kodungallur-680664, Thrissur, Kerala</p> <p>Dr. Palpu Memorial S.N.D.P. Yogam College of Education, Kodungallur-680664, Thrissur, Kerala was granted recognition for B.Ed. course from the academic session 2002-2003 with an annual intake of 100 students vide order No.1521 dated 03.07.2002 subject to certain conditions.</p> <p>A copy of the letter addressed to the Vice-Chancellor, University of Calicut dated 23.1.2012 is received from the students of the institution. The letter states that “the College is affiliated to the University of Calicut and is conducting B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses. For the last one year, the college is running without a qualified Principal or Staff with qualification as per NCTE norms or stipulations as given by the University. For a College with P.G. degree courses, there should be at least two teachers with Ph.D. But, in this College, no teachers are having Ph.D. degree. In addition to this the Principal is not qualified to work as Principal in PG colleges. We the students of this college are suffering several times they are not ready to sort out the complaints. Management is running the college only for money making. For teachers with Ph.D. they have to pay more salary. So they are not giving much attention to our complaint. When enquired with the Principal she is threatening the students and saying that she will cut down the internal marks. So the students of the college are suffering a lot without proper guidance in the PG classes. The Lecturers working in the College also do not have experience in PG teaching. How, the quality of education will be for the students coming out this college. So with a great expectation to solve the problem we lodge this complaint and we request your kind consideration and favourable action in this regard.”</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 08<sup>th</sup> -09<sup>th</sup> February, 2012 considered the letter addressed to the Vice-Chancellor, University of Calicut dated. 23.1.2012 received from the students of the institution and decided to forward the same to the University for appropriate action under their affiliation statute/rules.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a letter was issued to the Vice Chancellor, University of Calicut, Kerala on 15.03.2012.</p> <p>Further no action available in the file.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014 letter was issued to the institution on affidavit expressing adherence to Regulation 2014. The institution has submitted its written representation along with affidavit on 06.02.2015.</p>

The Provisional revised order issued to the institution on 18.05.2015 with an intake of 100 students.

On 13.06.2015 a letter is received by this office from the Dr. Palpu Memorial S.N.D.P. Yogam College of Education, Kodungallur-680664, Thrissur, Kerala as under:-

*“ As per the reference cited above order from NCTE, SRC consented to come under new regulation and sought for two basic units is B.Ed. But we have already applied and sent undertaking through affidavit to NCTE for one basic unit of 50 students for B.Ed programme. So I humbly request you to sanction one basic unit in B.Ed (intake 50) instead of two units.”*

A corrigendum issued to the institution on 03.07.2015 with an intake of 50 students.

On 03.08.2015, a letter is received by this office from the institution which is as under:-

*“ I ma forwarding the details regarding land, building, encumbrance certificate, land usage Certificate Building plan, approved staff list for your perusal. Farther details required please inform.*

On 04.03.2016, a letter is received by this office from the institution which is as under:-

*“ Dr. Palpu Memorial SNDP Yogam College of Education, Kodungallur, Kerala have one basic unit of B.Ed (Recognition order No.F.SRO/NCTE/AOS00141/B.Ed/KL/2015/69264) and M.Ed ( Recognition order No.F-SRO/NCTE/APS04263/M.Ed/KL/2015-16/66364). The student strength is as follows.*

*B.Ed-39 (2015 June admission two year Programme)  
M.Ed-9 (2015 June admission two year Programme)*

*We submitted the application for approval of faculty list (two originals and a copy) in September 2015 and University of Calicut approved the faculty list in 03.11.2015. The University had already forwarded the original to NCTE Southern Regional Committee in November 2015 itself.*

*I here by forward the copy of faculty list approved by University of Calicut as per Public Notice referred above for your consideration and perusal.”*

**The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, letter from the institution dated on 03.08.2015, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:**

- 1.Title deed is given. Title is clear.**
- 2.EC is in Regional Language. Obtain English copy.**
- 3.LUC is clear.**
- 4.BP-not approved by competent authority.**
- 5.BCC-not given.**
- 6.Original FDRs-not given.**
- 7.Faculty list not approved by competent authority.**
- 8.Processing Fee –not paid.**
- 9.Collect full fee and cause inspection.**
- 10.Ask VT to Collect all relevant documents; and, check esp adequacy of built-up area for B.Ed (1 unit) & M.Ed ( 1unit).**

28.	Aps03708 B.Ed 2 units Sri Jayajothi colleg eof Education, Salem, Tamilnadu TN	<p><b>Sri Jayajothi College of Education, D.No. 7B, Pavadi Muniyappan Koil Street, IX Ward, Tharamangalam-636502, Salem District, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p><b>Sri Jayajothi College of Education, D.No. 7B, Pavadi Muniyappan Koil Street, IX Ward, Tharamangalam-636502, Salem District, Tamilnadu</b> was granted recognition for B.Ed course of one year duration from the academic session 2005-06 with an annual intake of 100 students on 13.07.2005 with a condition to shift its own premises within three years from the date of recognition (in case the course is started in rented premises).</p> <p>The institution has submitted willingness affidavit as per regulations 2014 on 22.01.2015. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 13.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its formal recognition order dated 13.07.2015.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 02.07.2015 alongwith relevant documents.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup>-16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 considered the matter and it was decided to <b>resubmit alongwith documents. Submitted for the second unit of B.Ed.</b></p> <p>Now, a letter received from the institution on 30.10.2015 alongwith relevant documents a letter stating a under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“...we hereby enclosed the following documents to your kind perusal.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>Land documents</i></li><li>• <i>Encumbrance certificate</i></li><li>• <i>Land Use Certificate</i></li><li>• <i>Building Plan</i></li><li>• <i>Building completion certificate</i></li><li>• <i>Approved staff list</i></li><li>• <i>Affidavit</i></li></ul> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Already we have a staff approval (approved by university) as per your previous norms (7+1=8). Now we appointed additional staffs as per the NCTE Norms. Staffs approval is under processing with University, we also enclosed with this for your reference.”</i></p> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, letter from the institution dated on 30.10.2015, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1.Title deed is given. Title is in favour of an individual.</b></li><li><b>2.EC is clear.</b></li><li><b>3.LUC-not given.</b></li><li><b>4.BP-not approved by competent authority.</b></li><li><b>5.BCC-not approved by competent authority.</b></li><li><b>6.Built-up area shown is adequate only for B.Ed (2 units).</b></li><li><b>7.Original FDRs-not given.</b></li></ol>
-----	---	--

		<p><b>8.Faculty list-not in format.</b> <b>9.Processing fee-not given.</b> <b>10.Issue SCN accordingly including APS04423 and APS08808 for which also they had given the same title deeds.</b></p>
29.	<p>Aps01349 B.Ed 2 units Christ college of Education for women, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p><b>Chrish College of Education for women, No. 4581A, Mathakottai, Don Bosco Street, Thanjavur-613005, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p><b>Chrish Public Charitable and Educational Trust, No. 4581A, Don Bosco Street, Mathakottai, Thanjavur-613005, Tamilnadu</b> has submitted application to the SRC granted recognition to <b>Chrish College of Education for women, No. 4581A, Mathakottai, Don Bosco Street, Thanjavur-613005, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 Students on 11.01.2008.</p> <p>As per the regulation 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit on 05.05.2015. Accordingly, revised order was issued to the institution on 25.05.2015 with condition to that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its formal recognition order dated 11.01.2008.</p> <p>Now, a letter received from the institution on 29.07.2015 along with relevant documents letter stating as under:</p> <p><b><i>“...as per your instruction I is submitting al the following documents required by you.</i></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Attested copy of FDR Nos. Two</li> <li>2. Attested copy of Land documents</li> <li>3. Attested copy of Building Plan</li> <li>4. Attested copy of Building license</li> <li>5. Attested copy of building photo</li> <li>6. Attested copy of land use certificate</li> <li>7. Attested copy of Encumbrance Certificate</li> <li>8. Attested copy of Staff list</li> </ol> <p>Now, we are running B.Ed classes in our own remises only. In this regard we has already submitted a file on 27.08.2009 for your perusal.</p> <p>With your esteemed guidance we are adhering all your instruction true in word and spirit. <b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, letter from the institution dated on 29.07.2015, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.Title deed is given. Long-term leased hold title is clear.</li> <li>2.EC is clear</li> <li>3.LUC is not approved by competent authority.</li> <li>4.BP, BCC both are not legible and, therefore, not clear. BCC is not in format.</li> <li>5.Original FDRs-not given. 6.Faculty list-not given.</li> <li>7.Processing Fee-not paid.</li> <li>8.Collect Fee for the 2<sup>nd</sup> unit of B.Ed and Cause inspection for shifting of B.Ed (2 units).</li> <li>9.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; and, check on adequacy of built-up area.</li> </ol>

30.	<p>Aps03019 B.Ed 2 units Chezhian college of Education, Tiruvannam alai, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p><b>Chezhan College of Education, Thenpallipattu Village, Kalasapakkam Post, Polur Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District-606751, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p>Chezhan College of Education, Thenpallipattu Village, Kalasapakkam Post, Polur Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District-606751, Tamilnadu was granted recognition for B.Ed course of one year duration from the academic session 2005-06 with an annual intake of 100 students on 06.07.2005 with a condition to shift its own premises within three years from the date of recognition (in case the course is started in rented premises).</p> <p>The institution has submitted willingness affidavit as per regulations 2014 on 28.01.2015. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 14.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its formal recognition order dated 06.07.2015.</p> <p>Now, a letter received from the institution on 30.07.2015 &amp; 02.05.2016 alongwith relevant documents a letter stating a under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“...we herewith submit all B.Ed course Documents including land, building, Encumbrance Certificate, Land use certificate, Building Plan and Approved Staff list for your perusal consideration.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>A letter dated 02.05.2016 stating as under</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>We herewith enclose the approve staff list for B.Ed, B.Ed-AI &amp; M.Ed courses for your perusal consideration.”</i></p> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, letter from the institution dated on 30.07.2015 &amp; 02.05.2016, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.Title deed is given. Title is clear.</li> <li>2.Ec is clear</li> <li>3.LUC- is clear</li> <li>4.BP- is clear.</li> <li>5.BCC- is clear. Bit. Sy.nos. are different from those in EC, LUC &amp; Land Deed.</li> <li>6.Built up area shown in BP/BCC is inadequate for B.Ed (4 units) and M.Ed ( unit)</li> <li>7.Original FDRs not given</li> <li>8.Facuty list given; and, approved.</li> <li>9.Processisng Fee not paid.</li> <li>10.Collect full fee and cause inspection</li> <li>11.Ask VT to collect relevant documents; check on sy.nos and, check on adequacy of built-up area for B.Ed (2 units), B,Ed-Ai ( 2 units) &amp; M.Ed (1 unit).</li> </ol>
31.	<p>APS00202 B.Ed 2 units Ashoka college of Education, Vizianagara</p>	<p><b>Ashoka College of Education, Vizianagaram Revenue Division, Door No. 8-18-64, Balaji Nagar, Vizianagaram District-535002, Andhra Pradesh.</b></p> <p>The SRC grant of recognition to <b>Ashoka College of Education, Vizianagaram Revenue Division, Door No. 8-18-64, Balaji Nagar, Vizianagaram District-535002, Andhra Pradesh</b> for B.Ed course of one year duration with an anssssssssual intake of 100 Students on 03.03.2003 with the condition to the institution shall shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition (in case the course is started</p>

	<p>m, Andhra Pradesh Ap</p>	<p>in rented premises).</p> <p>As per the regulation 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit on 31.01.2015. Accordingly, revised order was issued to the institution on 12.05.2015 with condition to that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its formal recognition order dated 03.03.2003.</p> <p>Now, a letter received from the institution on 06.11.2015 along with relevant documents letter stating as under:</p> <p><i>“ I, Secretary/Correspondent, of Sri Ashok College of Education, Jammu, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh, (Code No. APS0 0202) under the Management of Sri Lagudu Simhadri Educational Society, sending herewith the file containing complete data?information of our college according to new the NCTE regulation, 2014 in order to give revised Recognition order, as desired by you.</i></p> <p><i>The management have provided additional built up area, additional infrastructure, appointed additional staff and alongwith Endowment and Reserve Fund.”</i></p> <p><b>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, letter from the institution dated on 06.11.2015, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</b></p> <p><b>1.Title deed is given. Title is clear.</b> <b>2.EC &amp; LUC are clear.</b> <b>3.BP &amp; BCC-are clear. Built up area shown is adequate for B.Ed (2 units) and D.El.Ed (1 unit) and D.El.Ed-AI (1 unit). 4.Original FDRs-not given.</b> <b>5.Faculty list –not given.</b> <b>6.Processing Fee-not paid.</b> <b>7.Collect full fee and cause inspection .</b> <b>8.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; and, check on adequacy of built up area for B.Ed (2 units), D.El.Ed (1 unit) and D.El.Ed-AI (1 unit)</b></p>
<p>32.</p>	<p>Aps03651 B.Ed 2 units The Kavery college of Education, Salem, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p><b>The Kavery College of Education, M. Kalipatti Post, Mecheri-636453, Mettur Taluk, Salem District, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p><b>The Kavery College of Education, M. Kalipatti Post, Mecheri-636453, Mettur Taluk, Salem District, Tamilnadu</b> was granted recognition for B.Ed course of one year duration from the academic session 2005-06 with an annual intake of 100 students on 31.10.2005 with a condition to the institution shall shift to its own premises/building within three years from the date of recognition. (in case the course is started in rented premises).</p> <p>The institution has submitted willingness affidavit as per regulations 2014 on 18.03.2015. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 13.05.2015.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted a letter dated 29.10.2015 alongwith relevant documents, a letter stating as under:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“...I am here with submitting the required documents for the additional intake of the academic year 2015-16”</p> <p>The institution has submitted documents, which are processed</p>

		<p>The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, letter from the institution dated on 29.10.2015, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.They have not given title deed again now, The original title deed shows title is clear. Therefore, remove the ‘shifting condition’.</li> <li>2.EC-not given. 3.LUCis clear.</li> <li>4.BP is there. Contents are not clear. Built-up area shown of 2937 sq,mtrs is quite inadequate for B.Ed (2 courses), D.El.Ed (2 courses), D.El.Ed-AI (2 courses) &amp; M.Ed (1 course)</li> <li>5.BCC – not approved by competent authority. Sy.nos. are different from those in the B.P.</li> <li>6.Original FDRs-not given.</li> <li>7.Faculty list-not approved.</li> <li>8.Processing Fee-not paid.</li> <li>9.Collect full fee and cause composite inspection of B.Ed (2), D.El.Ed(2) and M.Ed (1)</li> <li>10.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents; and, check adequacy of built-up area for all 5 courses.</li> </ol>
33.	<p>APS01568 B.Ed 2 units Vellalar college of Education, Erode, Tamilnadu TN</p>	<p>Vellalar College of Education, Thindal Post, Erode-638009, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>The SRC granted recognition to <b>Vellalar College of Education, Thindal Post, Erode-638009, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 Students on 06.07.2005.</p> <p>As per the regulation 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit on 31.01.2015. Accordingly, revised order was issued to the institution on 13.05.2015 with condition to that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its formal recognition order dated 06.07.2005.</p> <p>A letter received from the institution on 11.06.2015 regarding modification of shifting clause in the revised recognition order.</p> <p>The SRC in its 291<sup>st</sup> Meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup> &amp; 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2015 considered the matter and it was decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Since revised recognition order has been issued, cause inspection after 31 Oct, 2015 to ensure full compliance of the 2014 Regulations.</b></li> <li>2. <b>Advised them specifically that the 2014 Regulations do not provide for any Opportunity to remove deficiencies after the inspection.</b></li> </ol> <p>Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 21.10.2015.</p> <p>Now, the institution submitted to letters dated 30.10.2015 &amp; 17.12.2015 alongwith relevant documents letter stating as under:</p> <p><i>“...with reference to your letter cited, above, I do hereby submit the documents required for B.Ed two basic units of 50 students such as land documents, Encumbrance Certificate (Encumbrance Certificate), Land Use Certificate (LUC), Building Plan (Building Plan) &amp; Building Completion Certificate and staff list in the specified proforma according</i></p>



**to the NCTE regulation 2014.**

Letter dated 30.10.2015 stating as under:

*“...In continuation of our letter, I hereby submit the approved 17 staff members list in the specified proforma for B.Ed, Annexure-III (TNTEU Letter No. TNTEU/R/QA/B.Ed/10725/2015-16/1796 Dt: 20.10.2015) issued by the Registrar, Tamilnadu Teachers Education University, according to the NCTE regulation 2014 kindly approve the same.*

The committee considered the matter in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07-08 July, 2016, letter from the institution dated on 30.10.2015 & 17.12.2015, all other relevant document of the institution and decided as under:

- 1.Title deed is given. Title is clear.**
- 2.EC & LUC-are clear. 3.BP – not at all legible.**
- 4.BCC-is clear. Built-up area shown is adequate for B.Ed (2 units), M.Ed (1 unit) and D.El.Ed (1 unit).**
- 5.Original FDRs-given. 6.Faculty list-not on format.**
- 7.Processing Fee-not paid.**
- 8.Collect fee for B.Ed (2 units) and cause inspection.**
- 9.Ask VT collect all relevant documents, and, check esp-adequacy of built-up area for B.Ed (2 units), M.Ed (1 unit) and D.El.Ed (1 unit).**