

SOUTHERN REGIONAL COMMITTEE  
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION  
BANGALORE

Minutes of the 319<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC held at the Conference Hall  
of NCTE, Bangalore on 30<sup>th</sup> to 31<sup>st</sup> August, 2016

The following Persons attended the Meeting:-

- |    |                      |   |            |
|----|----------------------|---|------------|
| 1. | Sri. S.Sathyam       | - | Chairman   |
| 2. | Prof.Sandeep Ponnala | - | Member     |
| 3. | Sri.M.P. Vijayakumar | - | Member     |
| 4. | Prof.M.S. Lalithamma | - | Member     |
| 5. | Dr.K. S. Mani        | - | Member     |
| 6. | Dr.J. Prasad         | - | Member     |
| 7. | Satish Gupta         | - | Non-Member |
|    | Regional Director    | - | Convenor   |

The following Members did not attend the Meeting.

Prof. K. Dorasami, Smt. Padma Sarangapani, and the Representatives of the Govts. Of Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Telangana, Lakshadweep, Pondicherry & Andaman & Nicobar Islands.

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Brief Description</b>	<b>Remarks of SRC</b>
1.	Confirmation of Minutes of 318 <sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC 8 <sup>th</sup> to 9 <sup>th</sup> August 2016	Confirmed
2.	Action Taken Report (ATR) on of 317 <sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC 28 <sup>th</sup> to 30 <sup>th</sup> July 2016	Reviewed

**Approach adopted for considering RPRO cases in which submission of affidavits was badly delayed**

1. Existing institutions, running courses that had to be converted to be in conformity with the norms/standards under the 2014 Regulations, were required to be issued with RPROs ( for purposes of legitimacy of continuation of course in the interim ) and given time for effecting the changes necessary.
2. Such institutions were required to submit affidavits affirming their intent to be governed by the New Regulations.
3.
  1. Cases of all institutions who submitted the affidavits were examined, processed and progressed.
  2. Cases institutions failing to submit the affidavit were issued with SCN for withdrawal of recognition.
  3. cases in which in response to the SCN institutions submitted affidavits delayedly, they were retained for further consideration in condonation of the default.
4. The SRC decided that in such cases, because of the delay caused by the institutions themselves, there would be very little purpose in issuing RPROs. Retention of such cases for further processing straightaway was ordered bearing in mind the definability of issuing revised (Formal) recognition orders before 3 March 2017.
5. Collection and scrutiny of all relevant documents and visiting team inspections, where necessary was prescribed. In case everything was found to be in order, the R(F)RO would be effective with retrospective force. Other cases requiring any corrective action for prospective application were left to devise their own measures for establishing the validity of the courses concerned during the academic years 2015-16 and / or 2016-17.
6. This approach was uniformly applied to all the relevant cases.

**Volume-01**

**Consideration of Reconsideration of Decision for causing Inspection: (Volume-1)**

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Code</b>	
1.	APSO 3533 B.Ed 2 units  Padmavani college of Education, Salem, Tamilnadu	<p>Padmavani College of Education, Salem, Tamilnadu was granted recognition for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students on 28.09.2006 with the condition to shift to own premises within 3 years.</p> <p>A letter was issued on 12.06.2009 to the institution for submitting necessary documents for shifting. Meanwhile SRC in its 181st meeting held on 20-21st August 2009 where in it was decided that the institution which have not shifted to the permanent premises even after the expiry of three years time limit be issued notice under section 17 of NCTE Act for further action.</p> <p>As letter was issued to the institution to the submit the documents notice was not issued to the institution. The institution did not submit its reply to the letter even after completion of stipulated period. As per the direction, the list of such institution were published in the website on 20.7.2010 giving 10 days to submit reply.</p> <p>The stipulated period has been expired, the institution did not submit its reply, SRC considered the matter in its 196th meeting held on 15th-16th September 2010 and decided to issue show cause notice to institution as to why recognition be not withdrawn. Accordingly, notice was issued to the institution on 26.10.2010 to submit the essential documents. The institution submitted reply vide its letter dated 10.11.2010.</p> <p>SRC in its 198th meeting held on 24th-26th November 2011 also decided to cause inspection Under Section 17 of NCTE Act. The institution was directed to submit the essential documents within 30 days of the receipt of the notice. Demand Draft of Rs.40,000/- towards inspection fee in favour of "The Member Secretary, NCTE" payable at Bangalore should be submitted, along with filled in Questionnaire, Land Usage Certificate from the concerned Government authorities, Building Completion Certificate from Competent Government Engineer, approved building plan and other relevant documents including the affidavit on Rs. 100 stamp paper. Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 11.01.2011. The institution submitted its reply on 31.01.2011.</p> <p>Accordingly the inspection of the institution was fixed between 18th-23rd April 2011. The same was intimated to the institution on 11.02.2011 and inspection of the institution was conducted on 18.04.2011.</p> <p><u>The Committee in its 205th meeting held on 18nd-19rd May 2011 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve show cause notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act, accordingly a show cause notice issued to the institution on 28.06.2011. The institution has submitted its reply on 24.06.2011 and 11.07.2011.</u></p> <p>The SRC in its 211th meeting held on 21st-23rd September, 2011 considered the reply of the institution Dt. 24.06.23011 &amp; 11.07.2011, VT report of the institution and all other related</p>

documents along with the original file of the institution and it was decided that shifting to new/permanent premises be permitted for B.Ed (APS03533) course.

As per the decision of SRC, shifting permission order was issued to the institution on 21.11.2011.

The institution has submitted its willingness affidavit on 22.01.2015 as per regulations 2014. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 01.05.2015 with FDR's condition.

The institution has submitted its written representation on 30.10.2015 along with relevant documents.

The SRC its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 decided as under:

- *“For cases of B.Ed (2 Units) in the existing institution, where RPRO, has been issued, we have to cause inspection to check adherence to the 2014 Regulations. This action will have to be completed by July 2016 so that revised Formal Recognition can be issued w.e.f. 2016-17 to enable them to make admission in time.*
- *Action to check the documents in these cases (about 1885 in number) will take time. Instead of Waiting for that action to be completed for placing them before the SRC, to save time, VT Inspections can straightaway be ordered. VT Inspection Report can be considered along with examination of the documents.*
- *Regional Director is authorized to initiate action accordingly. The institution concerned may be alerted about such action so that they will be prepared to receive the Visiting Teams. They may also be advised to keep in readiness latest approved Faculty Lists for Submission to the VTs.”*

**As per the decision of SRC Inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 13.06.2016.**

Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 13.07.2016. The letter stating as follows:

***“Padmavani College of Education, Opp:Periyar University, Salem District, Tamilnadu was granted recognition for B.Ed course as per the reference cited above in the year 2006-2007.***

***The inspection Committee visited to assess the infrastructure facilities staff facilities recommended for grant of recognition. Our institution has a built up area of 45144 sq.ft or 4193.84 sq.mts with all facilities. I assure that the deficiency if any will be fulfilled.***

***Hence I humbly request your honour to consider the above mentioned information and to grant of recognition to our institution.***

***I submit this for your kind consideration and for further action”.***

		<p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. For B.Ed – (2 units ) and D.El.Ed ( 1 unit) they need 3500 sq.mtrs of built up area</li> <li>2. According to VT Report for ‘shifting’, they have only 3200 sq.mtrs</li> <li>3. There is also a short fall of 2 in the D.El.Ed faculty list</li> <li>4. Examine the documents to check whether other requirements have been met and report in the next meeting</li> <li>4. We can send a composite SCN</li> </ol>
2.	<p>APSO 5066 B.Ed</p> <p>Sri Vijay Vidyalaya college of education, Dharmapur i, Tamilnadu</p>	<p><b>Sri Vijay Vidhyalaya College of Education, Gandhi Nagar, Dharmapuri District-636701, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p><b>Sri Vijay Educational Trust, Dharmapuri District, Tamilnadu</b> has submitted an application to the SRC-NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Sri Vijay Vidhyalaya College of Education, Gandhi Nagar, Dharmapuri District-636701, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>Secondary (B.Ed)</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition was granted to the institution on <b>23.12.2006</b> with a condition to shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition (in case the course is started in temporary premise).</p> <p>On 31.12.2014 letters were issued to all existing institutions regarding notification new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revise norms and standards before 31.10.2015.</p> <p>On 25.06.2015, the institution has submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students.</p> <p>The SRC in its 276<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup>-9<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 decided to issue provisional recognition orders to the existing institutions and the committee also decided to maintain a check list of such cases for verification in October/November and for causing inspection.</p> <p>Accordingly, as per the Regulation 2014 a revised recognition order was issued to the institution on <b>02.07.2015</b> with an annual intake two basic units of 50 students each.</p> <p>Institution has submitted documents as per revised recognition order on 29.07.2015.</p> <p>SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> to 28<sup>th</sup> May 2016, decided as under:</p> <p><b><i>“For cases of B.Ed (2 Units) in the existing institution, where RPRO, has been issued, we have to cause inspection to check adherence to the 2014 Regulations. This action will have to be completed by July 2016 so that revised Formal Recognition can be issued w.e.f. 2016-17 to enable them to make admission in time.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Action to check the documents in these cases (about 1885 in number) will take time. Instead of Waiting for that action to be completed for placing them before the SRC, to save time, VT Inspections can straightaway be ordered. VT Inspection Report can be considered along with examination of the documents.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Regional Director is authorised to initiate action accordingly. The institution concerned may be alerted about such action so that they will be prepared to receive the Visiting Teams. They may also be advised to keep in readiness latest approved Faculty Lists for Submission to the VTs.”</i></b></p>

		<p>As per the decision of SRC Inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 14.06.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 26.07.2016 it stated as follows:</p> <p><b><i>“The revised order dated 02.07.2015 has been issued to our Institution, Sri Vijay Vidyalaya College of Education, Dharmapuri, Tamilnadu, for conducting B.Ed Programme of Two years duration with an annual intake of 2 units of 50 each (in total 100).</i></b></p> <p><b><i>We submit to state that we had already created the various infrastructural and instructional facilities as per the norms ad standards prescribed by NCTE.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>In the meanwhile, we had applied for new B.Ed programme for SelviManivannan College of Education, Dharmapuri, and the visiting team carried out comprehensive inspection both for the existing B.Ed, M.Ed programs and for the new B.Ed Program on 06.04.2016. The order for new B.Ed programme was issued to SelviManivannan College of Education, Dharmapuri, on 02.05.2016, which also belongs to our Trust.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>We had also applied for new B.Sc., B.Ed programme for DNC Manivannan College of Education, Dharmapuri, and the visiting team carried out comprehensive inspection both for the existing B.Ed., M.Ed programs and for the new B.Sc.B.Ed Programme on 24.03.2016. The order for new B.Sc.B.Ed Programme was issued to DNC Manivannan College of education, Dharmapuri, on 02.05.2016, which also belongs to our Trust.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Since, the visiting team had already carried out comprehensive inspection both for the B.Ed and for the M.Ed programs as per the new regulations of NCTE, on 24.03.2016, we submit to state that there is no need for another inspection again and may be orders accordingly.”</i></b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Reference to other courses conducted by some other institution, even if it is under the same society, is not relevant</b></li> <li><b>2. Inspection in this case for adequacy of built up area for B.Ed ( 2 units) is necessary.</b></li> <li><b>3. Ask VT to collect latest faculty list also as approved by competent authority</b></li> </ol>
3.	APSO 5334 B.Ed 2 Units Menakshi Ramasamy college of Education, Ariyalur, Tamilnadu	<p><b>Minakshe Ramasami College of Education, M.R.Kalvinagar, Thathanur Post, Udayarpalayam Taluk, Perambalur District-621804, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p><b>MeenakshiRamasami Educational Trust, Udayarpalayam, Perambalur District, Tamilnadu</b> has submitted an application to the SRC-NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>MinaksheRamasami College of Education, M.R.Kalvinagar, Thathanur Post, Udayarpalayam Taluk, Perambalur District-621804, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>Secondary (B.Ed)</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition was granted to the institution on <b>13.11.2006</b> with a condition that the institution shall shift to its own premises / building within three years from the date of recognition. (In case the course is started in rented premises).</p>

		<p>Institution has submitted its written representation on 01.06.2011 regarding shifting the premises to Permanent Building along with DD of Rs. 41,000/-.</p> <p>The SRC in its 216<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 11<sup>th</sup> – 12<sup>th</sup> January, 2012 the committee considered the matter and decided to permit shifting to new premises.</p> <p>Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC Shifting order was issued to the institution on 02.03.2012</p> <p>On 31.12.2014 letters were issued to all existing institutions regarding notification new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revise norms and standards before 31.10.2015.</p> <p>On 17.01.2015, the institution has submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students.</p> <p>The SRC in its 276<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup>-9<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 decided to issue provisional recognition orders to the existing institutions and the committee also decided to maintain a check list of such cases for verification in October/November and for causing inspection.</p> <p>Accordingly, as per the Regulation 2014 a revised recognition order was issued to the institution on <b>11.03.2015</b> with an annual intake two basic units of 50 students each.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 13.07.2016, stating as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>“MinaksheRamasami College of Education, Thathanur, Ariyalur District, Tamilnadu was granted recognition for B.Ed Course as per the reference cited above in the year 2006-2007.</i></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>The Inspection Committee visited to assess the infrastructure and staff facilities recommended for grant of recognition.</i></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>Our institution has a built up area of 24,048 sq.foot or 2234.11 sqm. With all facilities. I assure that the deficiency if any will be fulfilled.</i></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>Hence I humbly request your honour to consider the above mentioned information and to grant recognition to our institution.</i></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>I submit this for your kind consideration and for further action.”</i></b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p>
--	--	---

4.	APSO 7066 B.Ed 2 Units Christ college of Education, Vizianagar am, Andhra Pradesh	<p><b>Christ College of Education, Church Road, Kothavalasa Mandal, Vizianagaram District-535183, Andhra Pradesh.</b></p> <p><b>J.M.J Charitable Trust, Vizianagaram District, Andhra Pradesh</b> has submitted an application to the southern regional committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Christ College of Education, Church Road, Kothavalasa Mandal, VVizianagaram District-535183, Andhra Pradesh</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition was granted to the institution on <b>14.04.2007</b>.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its willingness affidavit on 12.01.2015 as per regulations 2014. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 27.04.2015.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 07.12.2015 regarding submission of certain documents for two basic units in B.Ed under new regulations 2014 along with documents.</p> <p>The SRC its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>“For cases of B.Ed (2 Units) in the existing institution, where RPRO, has been issued, we have to cause inspection to check adherence to the 2014 Regulations. This action will have to be completed by July 2016 so that revised Formal Recognition can be issued w.e.f. 2016-17 to enable them to make admission in time.</i></li><li>• <i>Action to check the documents in these cases (about 1885 in number) will take time. Instead of Waiting for that action to be completed for placing them before the SRC, to save time, VT Inspections can straightaway be ordered. VT Inspection Report can be considered along with examination of the documents.</i></li><li>• <i>Regional Director is authorized to initiate action accordingly. The institution concerned may be alerted about such action so that they will be prepared to receive the Visiting Teams. They may also be advised to keep in readiness latest approved Faculty Lists for Submission to the VTs.”</i></li></ul> <p><b>As per the decision of SRC Inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 27.06.2016.</b></p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation dated 15.07.2016 received by SRC on 18.07.2016. The letter stating as follows:</p> <p><b><i>“May I be permitted to bring to your kind notice that we the JMJ Charitable trust, Kottavalasa had applied for an additional intake of 50 students for D.El.Ed course on 03.06.2015 with SRCAPP2779 for the academic year 2016-17. We have paid Rs. 1,50,000/- through a SBI, DD bearing number:134649, dated 29.05.2015 towards application fee/ inspection fee. After scrutinizing our application you have conducted composite inspection i.e for B.Ed &amp; D.El.Ed courses. After fulfilling the norms and standards prescribed in NCTE Regulations 2014, the SRC-NCTE accorded formal recognition orders for conducting AI-D.El.Ed course from the academic year 2016-17 as ref(3) cited above.</i></b></p> <p><b><u>So, I humbly that you have already conducted composite inspection for both B.Ed &amp; D.El.Ed courses to our institution composite inspection for both B.Ed &amp; D.El.Ed courses to our institution. So, inspection for conducting 2 years B.Ed course with</u></b></p>
----	--	--



		<p><b><u>2 units of annual intake may not arise.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>I also submit that our online GIS application number is:3031235 for reference.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hence I request your kindness to kindly look into the matter and do the need. Thanking you in anticipation”.</u></b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter , representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. For B.Ed ( 2 units ) ,D.El.Ed ( one unit) and D.El.Ed-A.I ( 1 unit) , they need 4000 sq.mtrs built up area</li> <li>2. VTI Report confirms availability of 4075 sq.mtrs BCC tallies with that .</li> <li>3.Faculty list for B.Ed is not approved .We need the latest faculty list.</li> <li>4.Issue SCN accordingly</li> </ol>								
5.	<p>APSO 7577 B.Ed 2 Units Prabhas college of Education, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p><b>Prabhas College of Education, D.No. 18-5-18 Main Road, Kedareswarpet, Vijayawada-520003, Andhra Pradesh</b></p> <p>The SRC granted recognition to <b>Prabhas College of Education, D.No. 18-5-18 Main Road, Kedareswarpet, Vijayawada-520003, Andhra Pradesh</b> for B.Ed course with an annual intake of 100 students on 03.10.2007.</p> <p>As per the regulation 2014, the institution has submitted affidavit on 28.01.2015. accordingly, a revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 19.03.2015.</p> <p>The institution has submitted faculty list and education qualification certificate and affidavit for all the staff on 15.04.2016. <b>But last page only approved by the Registrar, Krishna University, Machilipatnam.</b></p> <p>Inspection intimation letter was issued to the institution on 14.06.2016.Now, a letter received from the institution on 07.07.2016 stating as under:</p> <p><b>“...we prepared required additional facilities for TWO basic Units, that include.</b></p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"><b>1. Additional Built up area i.e. 500 sq.m (mentioned in Regulation in 2014)</b></td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><b>Required Plinth Area</b></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><b>Existing Plinth Area</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><b>1500 sq.m + 500 sq.m</b></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><b>2500 sq.m (our college has already built up and submitted earlier)</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><b>Total: 2000 sq.m</b></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><b>total:2500 sq.m/26,900 sq.ft”.</b></td> </tr> </table> <p><b><u>Note:</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It is to bring to your kind notice that our college has 2500 sq.m (26,900 sq.ft) built up area in total land area of 2558.08 sq.m. the plan and building completion certificate already submitted to NCTE at the time of approving and sanctioning B.Ed course in 2007. We have no other programs in the campus.</li> <li>2. We are herewith submitting the information of infrastructure, Additional Funds, Additional faculty, Land documents, Encumbrance Certificate, Building Completion</li> </ol>	<b>1. Additional Built up area i.e. 500 sq.m (mentioned in Regulation in 2014)</b>		<b>Required Plinth Area</b>	<b>Existing Plinth Area</b>	<b>1500 sq.m + 500 sq.m</b>	<b>2500 sq.m (our college has already built up and submitted earlier)</b>	<b>Total: 2000 sq.m</b>	<b>total:2500 sq.m/26,900 sq.ft”.</b>
<b>1. Additional Built up area i.e. 500 sq.m (mentioned in Regulation in 2014)</b>										
<b>Required Plinth Area</b>	<b>Existing Plinth Area</b>									
<b>1500 sq.m + 500 sq.m</b>	<b>2500 sq.m (our college has already built up and submitted earlier)</b>									
<b>Total: 2000 sq.m</b>	<b>total:2500 sq.m/26,900 sq.ft”.</b>									

		<p style="text-align: center;">Certificates issued by the concerned authorities.</p> <p><b>Hence I request you to stop the NCTE inspection</b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, request of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. They need 2000 sq.mtrs of built up area for B.Ed ( 2 units ) .Their claim that they already have 2500 sq.mtrs is not supported by documents .</li> <li>2. The old BCC is not approved .Not in format also.</li> <li>3. The faculty list is not signed by the Registrar in every page</li> <li>4. FDRs are not given</li> <li>5. Fee not paid</li> <li>6. Collect 'fee' and cause inspection</li> <li>7. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.</li> </ol>
6.	<p>APSO 8130 B.Ed 2 Units Stanley college of Education, Tamilnadu</p>	<p><b>Stanley College of Education, Mookareddipatti, A. Pallipatti Post, Pappireddipatti Taluk, Dharmapuri-636905, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p>The SRC granted recognition to Stanley College of Education, Mookareddipatti, A. Pallipatti Post, Pappireddipatti Taluk, Dharmapuri-636905, Tamilnadu for B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students on 30.11.2007.</p> <p>As per regulation 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit on 13.05.2015. accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 25.05.2015 with the condition to the institution has not maintained/revalidated the fixed deposit receipts towards Endowment and Reserve funds.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted a letter dated 13.07.2016 stating as under:</p> <p><b><u>“Stanley College of Education, Mookareddipatti, Pappireddipatty (T), Tamilnadu was granted recognition for B.Ed course as per the reference cited above in the year 2007-2008.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>The inspection committee visited to assess the infrastructures facilities and staff facilities recommended for the grant of recognition. Our institution has a built up area of 32,040 sq.ft or 2967 sq.mts with all facilities. I assure that the deficiency if any will be fulfilled.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Hence, I humble request your honor to consider the above mentioned information and to grant recognition to our institution.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>I submit this for your kind consideration and for further action”.</u></b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.For B.Ed ( 2 units ) ,M.Ed ( 1 unit) and D.El.Ed ( 1 unit) they need 4000 sq.mtrs of built up area .They have much less as admitted by them</li> <li>2. Separately examine the documents available on the files and report</li> <li>3.After identification of deficiencies, one comprehensive SCM can issue</li> </ol>

7.	APSO 8241 B.Ed 2 Units K.S. college of Education, Salem, Tamilnadu	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>K.S College of Education, No. 3/2321, Opp. Periyar University, Salem District-636004, Tamilnadu.</u></p> <p>K.S College of Education, No. 3/2321, Opp. Periyar University, Salem District-636004, Tamilnadu was granted recognition on 26.12.2007 for B.Ed course with an annual intake of 100 students.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its willingness affidavit on 22.01.2015 as per regulations 2014. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 30.04.2015 with a condition that the institution has not maintained FDR's.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 30.10.2015 along with documents.</p> <p>The SRC its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>“For cases of B.Ed (2 Units) in the existing institution, where RPRO, has been issued, we have to cause inspection to check adherence to the 2014 Regulations. This action will have to be completed by July 2016 so that revised Formal Recognition can be issued w.e.f. 2016-17 to enable them to make admission in time.</i></li><li>• <i>Action to check the documents in these cases (about 1885 in number) will take time. Instead of Waiting for that action to be completed for placing them before the SRC, to save time, VT Inspections can straightaway be ordered. VT Inspection Report can be considered along with examination of the documents.</i></li><li>• <i>Regional Director is authorized to initiate action accordingly. The institution concerned may be alerted about such action so that they will be prepared to receive the Visiting Teams. They may also be advised to keep in readiness latest approved Faculty Lists for Submission to the VTs.”</i></li></ul> <p>As per the decision of SRC Inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 14.06.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 13.07.2016 and stating as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“K.S. College of Education, Opp. Periyar University, Salem District, Tamilnadu was granted recognition for B.Ed course as per the reference cited above in the year 2006-2007.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>The inspection Committee visited to assess the infrastructure facilities and staff facilities recommended for grant of recognition. Our institution has a built up area of 21615 sq.ft. or 2008.80 sqm with all facilities. I assure that the deficiency if any will be fulfilled.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Hence I humbly request your honour to consider the above mentioned information and to grant recognition to our institution.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>I submit this for your kind consideration and for further action”.</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p>
----	--	---

		<p><b>1. For B.Ed ( 2units ) they need 2000 sq.mtrs. Records show ,they already have the required built up area</b></p> <p><b>2. Inspection is not required</b></p> <p><b>3. We need to check only the documents</b></p> <p><b>4. Process accordingly and put up.</b></p>
8.	<p>APs0 8247 B.Ed 2 Units Menakshi Ramasamy college of Education, Ariyalur, Tamilnadu</p>	<p><b>Menakshi Ramasamy College of Education, M.R Kalvinagar, Thathanur-621804, Udayarpalayam Taluk, Perambalur District, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p>Menakshi Ramasamy College of Education, Thathanur Post, Udayarpalayam Taluk, Perambalur District, Tamilnadu had submitted an application for B.Ed Course on 08.01.2007. The institution was granted recognition on 27.09.2007 with an annual intake of 100 students, with the condition to shift to own premises within 3 years.</p> <p>The Tamilnadu Teachers Education University had forwarded a copy of show cause notice issued to the institution for not functioning in accordance with the conditions stipulated by the NCTE, SRC, Bangalore and Tamilnadu Teachers Education University as could be apprehended from the report of Inspection commission which caused a surprise visit to the colleges.</p> <p>The SRC in its 203<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> March &amp; 1<sup>st</sup> April 2011 considered the matter and decided to issue show cause notice under section 17 of NCTE Act, on the basis of surprise visit to the above colleges from inspection committee of The Tamilnadu Teachers Education University Chennai. Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 05.05.2011. The institution had submitted its reply on 01.06.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 206<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 9-10 June 2011 considered the written reply and other relevant documents and decided to cause composite inspection for all the courses being run by the institution at the premises, in anticipation of inspection fee of Rs. 40,000/- per course, under section 17 of NCTE Act. The institution vide its letter dt. 01.06.2011 submitted its proposal for shifting of premises along with Rs. 41,000/- Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was carried out on 26.07.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 211<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 21-23 September, 2011 considered the VT Report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve Show cause notice under section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, a Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 23.11.2011. The institution had submitted its written representation on 28.12.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 216<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 11<sup>th</sup>-12<sup>th</sup> January, 2012 considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 28.12.2011, VT report of the institution and other related documents along with the original file of the institution for shifting to new/permanent premises and decided to permit shifting to new premises.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, shifting permission order was issued to the institution on 02.03.2012.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its willingness affidavit on 17.01.2015 as per regulations 2014. <b>Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 11.03.2015.</b></p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation dated 11.07.2016 received by</p>

		<p>SRC on 13.07.2016 and stating as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>“Meenakshi Ramasamy College of Education,. Thathanur, Ariyalur District, Tamilnadu was granted recognition for B.Ed course as per the reference cited above in the year 2006-2007.</i></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>The inspection committee visited to assess the infrastructure facilities and staff facilities recommended for grant of recognition. Our institution has a built up area of 40,612 Sq.foot or 3772.96 Sq M with all facilities. I assure that the deficiency if any will be fulfilled.</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>Hence I humbly request your honour to consider the above mentioned information and to grant recognition to our institution.</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>I submit this for you kind consideration and for further action”.</u></b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.This is a case for confirmation of B.Ed ( 2 units ) after issue of RPRO.</li> <li>2. This case ( 8247) appears to be the same as the other case (5334)</li> <li>3. We have to inspect to check details on the spot how 2 basic units of B.Ed were given at the same place to the same institution</li> <li>4. First ,check all the relevant documents in both the cases and report</li> </ol>
9.	<p>APs0 8851 APSO 3629 B.Ed 2 Units B.Ed-AI 2 Units</p> <p>K.S.M. college of Education for women, Madurai, Tamilnadu</p>	<p><b>K.S.M College of Education for Women, No. 1837, Madurai Melur Highway, Therkkutharu Post, Melur Taluk, Madurai District-625122, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p>The SRC grant of recognition to <b>K.S.M College of Education for Women, No. 1837, Madurai Melur Highway, Therkkutharu Post, Melur Taluk, Madurai District-625122, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 Students on 27.12.2005 with the condition to the institution shall shift to its own premises/building within three years from the date of recognition. (in case the course is stated in rented premises)</p> <p>As per the regulation 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit on 25.05.2015. Accordingly, revised order was issued to the institution for two years duration on 29.05.2015 with condition to the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its formal recognition order dated 27.12.2005.</p> <p>The institution has submitted written representation for revised order on 09.06.2015 which was placed before SRC in its 291<sup>st</sup> Meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup>-21<sup>st</sup> August 2015 the committee considered the matter and it was decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Additional unit has to be in the same premises as that of the basic unit.</b></li> <li>2. <b>The 2008 inspection of the additional unit can, therefore, be taken as inspection of the basic unit also.</b></li> <li>3. <b>There is no need to persist with the shifting clause in the recognition order.</b></li> <li>4. <b>Issue Revised Recognition order.</b></li> <li>5. <b>Cause inspection after 31 Oct, 2015 to ensure full compliance of the 2014 Regulations.</b></li> </ol>

Accordingly, as per the direction of the SRC, inspection of the institution was fixed between 10<sup>th</sup>-30<sup>th</sup> January, 2016. The same was intimated to the institution on 11.01.2016. Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 22.01.2016 VT report received in this office of SRC-NCTE on 01.02.2016.

The SRC in its 301<sup>st</sup> Meeting held on 05<sup>th</sup>-06<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the VT report and other relevant documents it was decided to Shifting permitted for B.Ed basic unit 3629.

As per the decision of SRC a shifting order was issued to the institution on 22.03.2016

Letters received from the institution on 03.03.2016 & 31.10.2015 regarding submission of staff list.

Inspection intimation letter was issued to the institution on 27.06.2016

Now, the institution has submitted a letter dated 04.07.2016 received in this office of SRC-NCTE on 08.07.2016 alongwith relevant documents letter stating as under:

*"... the institution has brought to the notice of SRC, that we are running the institution as required by the NCTE regulations, norms and standards 2014, as prescribed in NCTE Regulations, Norms and standards 2014.*

*After that, the SRC sent us a communication with the Ref. No. F.No.SRO/NCTE/VT/2016-2017/79363 dt. 11.01.2016, for inspection under section and Rules as per NCTE Regulation of 2014. That inspection was done on 22.01.2016 for which the SRC communication to the VT members stipulated to conduct inspection of the institutions by the Team of Experts for the on-the-spot study and to verify the infrastructural and instructional facilities to consider it for grant of recognition as per Regulations 2014. The SRC was pleased to appoint a Visiting Team of experts consisting of Dr. K.S. Prabhakaran, Hyderabad, Telangana and Dr. M. Nirmala Jyothi, Thirupathi, Andhra Pradesh for the on-the spot study and to make a thorough inspection and verify the infrastructural and instructional facilities to consider it for grant of recognition as per Regulations 2014 of the institution. Accordingly the Visiting team made the inspection as per the directions of SRC and verified all the infrastructural and instructional facilities of the institution and found it that the institution is having all the facilities as per the norms of the Regulation 2014. After a through inspection on 22.01.2016 of the institution, including whether the institution is in conformity which require under the NCTE Regulations, norms and standards 2014 the team experts submitted their report alongwith all the required documents to the SRC on 01.02.2016 and it has been approved by the SRC in its 301<sup>st</sup> Meeting held on 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> February, 2016.*

*We received a communication after the meeting which envisage that if the institution contravenes any of the conditions or the provisions of the NCTE Act, Rules, Regulations and orders made or issued hereunder, the Regional Committee shall withdraw the recognition as under the provisions of Sec. 17 (1) of the NCTE Act. We state that we have not contravened any of the conditions or the provisions of the NCTE Act, Rules, Regulations and orders made.*

*Since, we have been subjected to the inspection only recently on 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2016, we request the present proposal of another inspection may be reconsidered and dispensed with.*

		<p><i>In case, any process fee is required to be paid by us, we undertake to make the payment whenever it is required by the SRC.</i></p> <p><i>We kindly request you to spare the institution with another inspection which has been already conducted. Therefore, the present notice of inspection may be dispensed with".</i></p> <p><u>Note:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Shifting permission order was issued to the institution on 22.03.2016.</i></li> </ul> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>1. For B.Ed ( 2 units ) and B.Ed-A.I ( 2 units), they need 3000 sq.mtrs .They have only 2544 sq.mtrs</b></p> <p><b>2. Please process the documents on record and report</b></p> <p><b>3. There after , issue a composite SCN for withdrawal of recognition</b></p>
10.	APSO 9675 B.Ed 2 Units Merit college of Education, Ariyalur, Tamilnadu	<p><b>Merit College of Education, Ariyalur District-621804, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p>Kumar Memorial Educational Trust, Ariyalur, Tamilnadu submitted an application in the name and style of Merit College of Education, Ariyalur District-621804, Tamilnadu for seeking grant of recognition for B.Ed course on 31.10.2008.</p> <p>The application was processed and the inspection of the institution was carried out on 10.07.2009. Recognition order was issued to the institution on 28.07.2007 at Merit College of Education, No.630/1b3, Zameen Thatthanur, Udayarpalayam, Ariyalur District-621804, Tamilnadu.</p> <p>The Tamilnadu Teachers Education University forwarded a copy of show cause notice dt.14.03.2011 issued to the institution for not functioning in accordance with the conditions stipulated by the NCTE, SRC, Bangalore and Tamilnadu Teachers Education University as could be apprehended from the report of Inspection commission which caused a surprise visit to the colleges.</p> <p>The SRC in its 203<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> March &amp; 1<sup>st</sup> April 2011 considered the matter related to the institution and decided to issue show cause notice Under Section 17 of NCTE Act on the basis of surprise visit to the above colleges from inspection committee of The Tamilnadu Teachers Education University Chennai. Accordingly show cause notice was issued to the institution on 05.05.2011. The institution submitted its reply on 01.06.2011. The SRC in its 206<sup>th</sup> 9-10 June 2011 considered the written reply and other relevant documents and decided to cause composite inspection for all the courses at the premises in anticipation of inspection fee of Rs. 40000/- per course, Under Section 17 of NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 04.07.2011. The institution vide its letter dated 02.08.2011 submitted its proposal for shifting of premises along with D.D. No. 100763 dt. 25.07.2011 for Rs. 40,000/- along with documents. Inspection of the institution was conducted on 28.07.2011.</p> <p>The management is also running D.T.Ed (APS04279). The SRC in its 211<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 21<sup>st</sup> – 23<sup>rd</sup> September, 2011 considered the VT Report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Final</p>

		<p>Show cause Notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <b>In the building completion certificate, total land area is not mentioned.</b></li><li>2. <b>English version of Non-encumbrance certificate is to be submitted/</b></li><li>3. <b>Original FDRs for Rs. 5 lacs &amp; 3 lacs in joint name is not submitted.</b></li><li>4. <b>Byelaws of the Society are to be submitted by the management.</b></li><li>5. <b>Science lab &amp; Psychology lab are to be strengthened.</b></li><li>6. <b>Salary to the staff are paid by cash not through Bank Cheque</b></li></ol> <p>Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 15.11.2011. The institution has submitted its reply on 28.12.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 216<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 11<sup>th</sup> &amp; 12<sup>th</sup> January, 2012 considered the show cause notice reply and other relevant documents along with original file of the institution for shifting to new/permanent premises and it has decided to <b><i>“Permit shifting to new premises”</i></b>.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC shifting order was issued to the institution on 07.03.2012.</p> <p>The institution has submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students on 27.01.2015. Revised order was issued to the institution on 23.03.2015.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation along with relevant documents on 12.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> &amp; 28<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 considered the matter and it has decided to <b><i>“cause inspection to check adherence to the 2014 Regulations. This action will have to be completed by July 2016 so that revised Formal recognition can be issued w.e.f 2016-17 to enable them to make admission in time.”</i></b></p> <p>Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 14.06.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 11.07.2016 and stating as follows;</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b><i>“.....Merit college of Education Zameen Thatthanur, Ariyalur Dist, Tamilnadu was granted recognition for B.Ed course as per the reference cited above in the year 2009-2010.</i></b></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b><i>The inspection Committee visited to assess the infrastructure facilities and staff facilities recommended for grant of recognition. Our institution has a built up area of 23,949 sq.ft or 2224.96 Sq.mts with all facilities. I assure that the deficiency if any will be fulfilled.</i></b></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b><i>Hence I humbly request your honour to consider the above mentioned information and to grant recognition to our institution.</i></b></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b><i>I submit this for your kind consideration and for further action.”</i></b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <b>For B.Ed ( 2 units ) , they need 2000 sq.mtrs where as they have 2225 sq.mtrs</b></li><li>2. <b>Check the documents on our records to see whether all other requirements have been fulfilled.</b></li><li>3. <b>Report in the next meeting.</b></li></ol>
--	--	--



11.	SRCAPP 1783  B.Ed 2 units  Adhiyaman college of Education for Women, Krishnagiri, Tamilnadu	<p><b>Adhiyaman College of Education, for Women, Srinivasanagar, Krishnagiri Main Road, Uthanagarai Post, Krishnagiri District-625207, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p><b>Srinivasa Educational Trust, Adhiyaman Nagar, Uthanagarai Post Office &amp; Taluk, Krishnagiri District -635207, Tamilnadu</b> has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Adhiyaman College of Education, for Women, Srinivasanagar, Krishnagiri Main Road, Uthanagarai Post, Krishnagiri District-625207, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course. The recognition was granted to the institution on <b>03.03.2015</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course with an annual intake of <b>100</b> students.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 28.10.2015 along with documents and stating as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“...this college got recognition for B.Ed programme of two years with annual intake of 100 students (two units) from the academic year 2015-2016.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>As per the reference Letter No.:2) cited above this college got affiliation to offer B.Ed degree course of two years duration with an intake of 100 students from the academic year 2015-16.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>And I also submit to state that all the additional facilities required for the second units as prescribed in National Council for Teacher Education Southern Regional Committee, Bangalore –regulations 2014 are been full filled, hence the report is submitted herewith”.</i></p> <p>The SRC its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>“For cases of B.Ed (2 Units) in the existing institution, where RPRO, has been issued, we have to cause inspection to check adherence to the 2014 Regulations. This action will have to be completed by July 2016 so that revised Formal Recognition can be issued w.e.f. 2016-17 to enable them to make admission in time.</i></li><li>• <i>Action to check the documents in these cases (about 1885 in number) will take time. Instead of Waiting for that action to be completed for placing them before the SRC, to save time, VT Inspections can straightaway be ordered. VT Inspection Report can be considered along with examination of the documents.</i></li><li>• <i>Regional Director is authorized to initiate action accordingly. The institution concerned may be alerted about such action so that they will be prepared to receive the Visiting Teams. They may also be advised to keep in readiness latest approved Faculty Lists for Submission to the VTs.”</i></li></ul> <p><b>As per the decision of SRC Inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 22.06.2016.</b></p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 21.07.2016 along with some documents and stating as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>“I submit to state that the above college got recognition for B.Ed programme</i></b></p>
-----	--	---

		<p><b>of two years with annual intake of 100 students (two units) from the academic year 2015-16.</b> <b>This college got affiliation to offer B.Ed degree course of two years duration with an intake of 100 students from the academic year 2015-16.</b></p> <p><b><u>And I also submit that all the additional facilities required for the second unit as prescribed in National Council for Teacher Education, Southern Regional Committee, Bangalore –regulation 2014 are been full filled, I submitted all the required documents. Hence no need for inspection. Please consider my request.</u></b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>1. For B.Ed ( 2 units) they need 2000 sq.mtrs</b> <b>Whereas, according to the latest BCC, they have 2677 sq.mtrs. This will have to be confirmed in a VT inspection</b></p> <p><b>2.Check the documents on our records to see whether all other requirements have been fulfilled.</b></p> <p><b>3.Report in the next meeting.</b></p>
12.	<p>APSO 0289 APSO 2626 B.Ed 2 Units</p> <p>Islamia college of Education, Hyderabad, Telangana</p>	<p><b>Islamia College of Education, No.17-3-1/5, S.R.T. Colony, Yakutpura, Hyderabad - 500023, Telangana.</b></p> <p><b>Ahmed Memorial Educational Society, No.17-3-1/5, S.R.T. Colony, Yakutpura, Hyderabad, Telangana</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE seeking permission to start <b>Islamia College of Education, No.17-3-1/5, S.R.T. Colony, Yakutpura, Hyderabad -500023, Telangana</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> Course of <b>two</b> years duration from the academic session <b>2005-06</b> and the recognition was granted on <b>04.04.2003</b> with condition to shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition.</p> <p>A letter has been received from the Institution on 27.07.2009 along with inspection fee and documents and requesting for inspection of own building at Bandlaguda.</p> <p>Inspection of the institution was fixed between 7<sup>th</sup> - 12<sup>th</sup> February 2011. The inspection intimation was sent to institution on 27.01.2011. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 09.02.2011 and the VT report received in the office of SRC on 14.02.2011, it was not placed before SRC.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014, letters were sent to all existing institutions regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised norms and standards before 31.10.2015.</p> <p>The institution has submitted affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 on 24.06.2015.</p> <p>Revised order was issued to the institution on <b>29.06.2015</b> subject to decision of SRC on VT report pending with this office.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 21.08.2015 along with some</p>

		<p>documents.</p> <p>The SRC in its 297<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup>&amp; 28<sup>th</sup> December 2015, considered the written representation dated 23.11.2015 from the institution and decided to permit shifting.</p> <p>Accordingly, shifting order was issued to the institution on <b>08.01.2016</b>.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 19.02.2016 stating as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>“I invite your kind attention to the reference cited wherein you have extended the date for submission of faculty member list from 31.10.2015 to 29.02.2016.</i></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>In this regard I submit that the Osmania University has not accorded affiliation for the academic year 2015-2016 to our college so far. Therefore, we are not in a position to submit the approved staff list by affiliating body in the prescribed date.</i></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>In view of the above, I request you to grant two month extension for us to submit the approved staff list.”</i></b></p> <p>Now, a court order is received from the Hon'ble High court of Hyderabad in W.P.No. 4384 of 2016 in WPMP No. 5613 of 2016 filed by Ahmed Memorial Educational Society, Yakutpura, Hyderabad. The court order states as follows:</p> <p><b><u>Order:</u></b></p> <p><b>In the light of the interim order granted by this court in W.P.No. 36561 of 2015, it is not open to the respondents to claim that the second petitioner college is not a validly affiliated institution for the academic year 2015-16.</b></p> <p><b>Sri Abhishek Reddy, learned standing Counsel for the third respondent, fairly states that this is the only ground on the basis of which the ratification of the admissions made by the second petitioner college would not be permitted to sit for the examinations.</b></p> <p><b>There shall accordingly be an interim direction as prayed for subject to the other conditions being complied with. The interim direction shall be complied with forthwith.</b></p> <p>In the meantime another court order received from the Hon'ble High court of Hyderabad in W.P.No. 6582 of 2016 in WPMP No. 8386 of 2016 filed by Ahmed Memorial Educational Society, Yakutpura, Hyderabad. The court order states as follows:</p> <p><b><u>Order:</u></b></p> <p><b>“There shall be interim direction as prayed for.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>In view of the paucity of the time, learned counsel for the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent university undertakes to inform the respective authorities about the orders of this court to enable the students to appear for examinations from tomorrow onwards.”</b></p> <p>The SRC in its 309<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup> – 14<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 considered the matter and it</p>
--	--	---

	<p>was decided as <b>Noted</b>.</p> <p>Institution has submitted documents as per Revised Recognition order on 30.05.2016.</p> <p>SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> to 28<sup>th</sup> May 2016, decided as under:</p> <p><b><i>“For cases of B.Ed (2 Units) in the existing institution, where RPRO, has been issued, we have to cause inspection to check adherence to the 2014 Regulations. This action will have to be completed by July 2016 so that revised Formal Recognition can be issued w.e.f. 2016-17 to enable them to make admission in time.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Action to check the documents in these cases (about 1885 in number) will take time. Instead of Waiting for that action to be completed for placing them before the SRC, to save time, VT Inspections can straightaway be ordered. VT Inspection Report can be considered along with examination of the documents.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Regional Director is authorised to initiate action accordingly. The institution concerned may be alerted about such action so that they will be prepared to receive the Visiting Teams. They may also be advised to keep in readiness latest approved Faculty Lists for Submission to the VTs.”</i></b></p> <p>As per the decision of SRC Inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 14.06.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 27.06.2016 stated as follows:</p> <p><i>“I invite your kind attention to the reference 1<sup>st</sup> cited wherein you have given instructions to be ready for comprehensive inspection of Teacher Education Programmes and you have conducted composite inspection of following courses.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. APS00289 (Existing B.Ed College)</li><li>2. APS02626 (Existing D.Ed College)</li></ol> <p><i>In this connection V.T.Members Dr.Hemalatha and Dr.Ramana Reddy visited to our colleges at Bandlaguda and inspected the colleges on 27.01.2016 and verified all the relevant documents as per the NCTE 2014 New norms and submitted the report to the R.D. SRC, NCTE Bangalore. In the meanwhile in reference 2<sup>nd</sup> cited, you have requested to submit the under mentioned 3 points as per NCTE 2014 New norms.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Additional Built – up Area</li><li>2. FDRs</li><li>3. 1+15 Faculty Member list approved by the affiliating body.</li></ol> <p><i>In this connection, I am to state that we have already submitted the above mentioned information vide our office letter dated 25.05.2016 (copy enclosed). Again in the reference 3<sup>rd</sup> cited you have given instructions to keep ready all the documents, our college records to present to the visiting team for inspection between 10 to 30 days.</i></p> <p><i>In this connection I am to state that our college inspection has already been over by the VT Members Dr.Hemalatha&amp;Dr.Ramana Reddy on 27.01.2016 of two college i.e. Islamia B.Ed College &amp;Islamia D.Ed College and proposed Saba B.Ed College.</i></p> <p><i>Therefore there is no need of further inspection required. Kindly drop our B.Ed College Inspection.”</i></p>
--	--

		<p>Meantime, the institution has submitted another written representation on 25.01.2016 stating as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>"I invite your kind attention to the reference 1<sup>st</sup> cited where in you have stated that to submit Rs 1,50,000/- towards processing fee.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>In this connection, I am to state that I have already submitted the Demand Draft of Rs.1,50,000/- (One Lakh Fifty Thousand only) through D.D.No: 290426 dated 08.01.2016 of Corporation Bank, Bangalore – Service Branch (copy enclosed).</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>This is for favour of information."</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>1. For B.Ed ( 2 units ) and D.El.Ed( 1 unit) , they need 3500 sq. mtrs whereas the VTI Report shows availability only of 2297 sq.mtrs. BCC shows availability of 3500 sq,mtrs .This will have to be verified through spot-inspection.</b></p> <p><b>2. Please process the documents on record to check whether all the requirements have been fulfilled</b></p> <p><b>3.Report in the next meeting</b></p>
13.	<p>APS0 4110 APS0 8341 B.Ed 2 Units M.Ed 1 Unit</p> <p>Sri Venkatesw ara college of Education, Cuddalore, Tamilnadu</p>	<p><b><u>APS08341/M.Ed</u></b></p> <p>The SRC granted recognition to <b>Sri Venkateswara College of Education, Kazhudur Post, Tittagudi Taluk, Cuddalore District-606304, Tamilnadu</b> for M.Ed course with an annual intake of 25 students on 29.01.2008.</p> <p>As per the regulation 2014, the institution has submitted affidavit on 06.02.2015. accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 26.05.2015.</p> <p><b><u>APS04110/B.Ed</u></b></p> <p><b>Sri Venkateswara College of Education, Kazdur Post, Tittagudi Taluk, Cuddalore District, Tamilnadu</b> had submitted an application for B.Ed course on 31.12.2004.The institution was granted recognition on 16.11.2005 with an annual intake of 100 students for B.Ed course with the condition to shift to own premises within 3 years(in case the course is started in temporary premises).</p> <p>A complaint was received against Chinnammal Educational Trust, for conducting B.Ed course stating that the institution is lacking with regard to infrastructure and instructional facilities.</p> <p>The complaint was considered by SRC in its 182<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> Aug 2009 and decided to issue show cause notice 1.10.2009. The institution submitted its reply on 31.10.2009, and it was placed in 187<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> -30<sup>th</sup> Dec 2009 and decided to cause inspection.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, inspection of the institution was scheduled between 7<sup>th</sup> - 12<sup>th</sup> June 2010. The inspection of the institution could not be carried out by VT members.</p>

		<p>The institution has submitted blank VT reports on 09.06.2010 along with interim stay order in W.P.No.11389 to 11392 of 2010 and M.P.Nos.1 to 1/2010 dated 03.06.2010.</p> <p>The SRC in its 193<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 21<sup>st</sup>-22<sup>nd</sup> June 2010 considered the matter and it has decided to await for the final court orders, and the current position of the institution as per the Court orders be informed to the State and the affiliating authorities. As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the State Govt. TNTEU and DTERT on 04.08.2010.</p> <p><b>Further there is no action taken in this file.</b></p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its willingness affidavit as per regulations 2014 on 06.02.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 287<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup> May, 2015 and the committee has deferred the matter.</p> <p>As per oral direction, a revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 29.05.2015. at the time of issuance of revised order found that a W.P.No. 11389 to 11392 of 2010 and M.P.No.s 1 to 1/2010 dated 03.06.2010 filed by the college is pending before the Hon'ble Court.</p> <p>The institution has submitted documents for addition unit on 31.10.2015.</p> <p>Inspection intimation letter was issued to the institution on 22.06.2016.A letter received from the institution on 28.06.2016 stating as under:</p> <p><b><i>“Sri Vekkaiamman College of Education, Kazhudur, Thittakudi Taluk, Cuddalore District, Tamilnadu was granted recognition for B.Ed &amp; M.Ed course as per the reference cited above in the year 2006-2007.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>The inspection committee visited to assess the infrastructure facilities and staff facilities recommended for grant of recognition. Our institution has a built up area of 37700 sq.feet or 3500 sqm with all facilities. I assure that the deficiency if any will be fulfilled.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Hence I humbly request your honour to consider the above mentioned information.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>I submit this for your kind consideration and for further action”.</i></b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Ask our lawyer to report the latest position in the case</b></li> <li><b>2. Ask him to get the ‘stay’ vacated</b></li> <li><b>3. Examine the documents on record and put up in the next meeting.</b></li> </ol>
14.	APSO 8344 B.Ed 2 Units  Sri	<p><b>Sri.Vekkaiamman College of Education, Kazhudur Village &amp; Post, Thittakudi Taluk, Cuddalore District, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p>Chinnammal Educational Trust, Cuddalore District, Tamilnadu has submitted an application to the SRC of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Sri.Vekkaiamman College of Education, Kazhudur Village &amp; Post, Thittakudi Taluk, Cuddalore District,</b></p>

Vekkaiam man college of Education, Cuddalore, Tamilnadu	<p><b>Tamilnadu.</b> The recognition was granted to the institution on 22.11.2007 for B.Ed course with annual intake of 100 students.</p> <p>A complaint was received against Chinnammal Educational Trust, Cuddalore, Tamilnadu for offering Sri Vekkaiamman institutions, Kazhudur Post, Tiitagudi Taluk, Cuddalore District-606304, Tamilnadu for conducting D.T.Ed (APS5952), D.T.Ed-AI(8616) B.Ed(APS08344), courses stating that the institution is lacking with regard to infrastructure and instructional facilities.</p> <p>The matter was considered by SRC in its 182<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> August 2009 and decided to issue show cause notice under section 17 of NCTE Act to all the courses in order to call for remarks on the complaint along with questionnaire.</p> <p>Accordingly a show cause notice was issued to the institutions on 01.10.2009. The institution submitted its reply on 31.10.2009, which was placed in 187<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup>-30<sup>th</sup> December 2009 considered and decided to <b>cause Inspection under Section 17 of NCTE Act, after payment of Rs.40,000/- by the institution a period of four weeks from the date of confirmation of the Minutes.</b></p> <p>Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to institution on 02.02.2010 and 24.05.2010.</p> <p>An interim order already granted is extended till 22.2.2010 in W.P. no. 2253 Of 2010 was placed in SRC in its 192<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 10<sup>th</sup>-11<sup>th</sup> May 2010 and decided that <b><i>“copy of the complaint “excerpts” pertaining to the concerned institutions had already been given to the concerned institutions and the remarks of the institutions were also obtained and considered by the SRC; and hence the visit to the institution be proceeded, without any further delay, in anticipation of the inspection fee of Rs.40000/- payable on this account as upheld by the Hon’ble High Court of Madras.</i></b></p> <p>A letter received from P.R.Gopinathan Advocate on 04.06.2010 regarding WP Nos.11389 to 11392 of 2010; 11390/2010; 11391/2010 and 11392/2010 filed by Sri Virugambigai College of Education; Sri Vekkaiamman College of Education and St. Paul Annai Indira D.T.Ed., and Sri Venkateshwara D.T.Ed., B.Ed., M.Ed., institutions Cuddalore District Vs NCTE Hqrs and SRC-NCTE.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, inspection of the institution was scheduled between 7<sup>th</sup>-12<sup>th</sup> June 2010, the inspection of the institution could not be carried out by VT Member. Dr. S.N. Prasad vide his letter dt.11.6.2010 has informed that court has given interim stay for a period of two weeks vide order dt.03.06.2010 in WP Nos.11389 to 11392 of 2010 and M.P. Nos 1 to 1/2010. The institution produced stay from the Madras High court restraining the VT conducting the inspections as planned.</p> <p>The SRC in its 193<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 21-22 June, 2010 considered the matter and decided to await for the Final Court orders, and the current position of the institution as per the Court orders be informed to the State and the affiliating authorities.</p> <p>Accordingly, letters was issued to the Registrar TNTEU, Director DTER, Principal Secretary to Government on 04.08.2010 regarding status of action against Sri</p>
---	---

		<p>Vekkaiamman College of Education u/s 17 of NCTE Act.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its willingness affidavit as per regulations 2014 on 06.02.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 287<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup> May, 2015 and the committee has deferred the matter.</p> <p>As per oral direction, a revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 29.05.2015. at the time of issuance of revised order found that a W.P.No. 11389 to 11392 of 2010 and M.P.No.s 1 to 1/2010 dated 03.06.2010 filed by the college is pending before the Hon'ble Court.</p> <p>The institution has submitted documents for addition unit on 31.10.2015. Inspection intimation letter was issued to the institution on 22.06.2016.</p> <p>A letter was received from the institution on 28.06.2016 stating as under:</p> <p><b><i>“Sri Vekkaiamman College of Education, Kazhudur, Tittakudi Taluk, Cuddalore District, Tamilnadu was granted recognition for B.Ed &amp; M.Ed course as per the reference cited above in the year 2006-2007.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>The inspection committee visited to assess the infrastructure facilities and staf facilities recommended for grant of recognition. Our institution has a built up area of 32397 sq.feet or 3010 sqm with all facilities. I assure that the deficiency if any will be fulfilled.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Hence I request your honour to consider the above mentioned information.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>I submit this for your kind consideration and for further action”.</i></b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Ask our lawyer to report the latest position in the case</b></li> <li><b>2. Ask him to get the ‘stay’ vacated</b></li> <li><b>3. Examine the documents on record and put up in the next meeting</b></li> </ol>
15.	<p>SRCAPP 155</p> <p>B.Ed 2 Units</p> <p>Islamia college of Education, Warangal, Telangana</p>	<p><b>Islamia College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.11-23-446/1, L.B.Nagar Street, Warangal Village and Post Office, Warangal City and Taluk, Warangal District-506002, Andhra Pradesh.</b></p> <p>Islamia Education Society, plot No.11-23-446, Lal Bahadur Nagar Street, Warangal Village and Post Office, Warangal City and Taluk, Waqrangal District-506002, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Islamia College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.11-23-446/1, L.B.Nagar Street, Warangal Village and Post Office, Warangal City and Taluk, Warangal District-506002, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed Course of two year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/28.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to</p>



the institution on 05.04.2013. The institution replied to the deficiency letter on 05.06.2013.

The reply of the institution vide letter dated 05-06-2013 to the deficiency letter was duly considered by SRC in its 252<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> -14<sup>th</sup> September 2013, and observed that the reply was unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persisted as under:-

- *Building plan submitted is not approved by the competent civil authority.*
- *Total built up area as per building plan is 18776.20 sq.ft and as per building completion certificate it is 26437.32 sq.ft, both are not tallying.*
- *The institution has not submitted valid minority certificate issued by the competent authority from the State Govt. as B.Ed is banned in the State of Andhra Pradesh for the session 2013-14.*
- *A proceeding for conversion of agricultural land into non-agricultural purpose is not submitted.*

Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to refuse and reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course.

As per the decision of the SRC, rejection order was issued to the institution vide order No. F.No.SRCAPP/155/B.Ed/AP/2013-2014 dated 10/03/2014.

A complaint from Syed Mishabuddin, Grandson of Founder Islamia Education, Warrangal District was received by this office on 13.02.2014. SRC in its 268<sup>th</sup> Meeting held during 4<sup>th</sup> – 5<sup>th</sup> June ,2014 considered the complaint matter and decided to request the SCERT, Andhra Pradesh to verify and take appropriate action.

Accordingly, a letter was addressed to the Director, SCERT on 16.06.2014. In the meantime, aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution filed an appeal before the appellate authority, NCTE Hqrs.

The appellate authority order F.No.89-759/2013 Appeal/6<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2014 dated 29/05/14 is received by this on 29/05/2014 which is as under:-

*"Shri Shaikh Bashree Ahmed, President, Islamia College of Educaion, Warangal, Andhra Pradesh Presented the case of the appellant institution on 16-04-2014. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that a revised Building Plan is resubmitted with Application form submitted along with the Approved plan on 05-06-2013 with inward no. 127366 at SRC-NCTE, Bangalore. The society is already running Govt. Aided School, Junior College and Degree College having permanent Minority Status Certificate. Application to obtain Minority*

*Status for B.Ed. Course is in process at the Minority Welfare Department, Govt. of AP. The Minority Certificate is expected within a couple of days. A land use Certificate obtained from Tahsildar has already been submitted along with the application on 05-06-2013 with Inward no. 127366 at SRC-NCTE, Bangalore The Council noted that the SRC, before issuing an order refusing recognition, did not give a show cause notice to the appellant, which is required to be issue in terms of the provision to Section 14 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act. In these circumstances, the council concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to issue a show cause notice to the appellant and take further action as per the Regulations.*

*After perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a show cause notice to the appellant and take further action as per the Regulations.*

*The Council hereby remands back the case of Islamia College of Education, Warangal, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above."*

The Southern Regional Committee in its 269<sup>th</sup> Meeting held during 1- 2 July, 2014 considered the matter, as per the direction of NCTE (H'qrs) that all appeal remand cases should be processed only after notification of the new Regulations. Advised Southern Regional Office to process the case accordingly, and put up after notification of new Regulations as per NCTE (H.Q) instructions.

A letter dated 29.08.2014 was received from Mrs. Saraswathi.K.Section Officer with a request to verify the facts mentioned in the complaint and furnish the action taken report. A letter was addressed to the NCTE(HQ) on 08.10.2014.

On 09.10.2014, the institution has submitted a written representation along with relevant documents and requested for grant of recognition for the academic year 2014-15

A letter seeking consent on the willingness of the institution for considering their application as per Regulations 2014 was sent to the institution on 19.12.2014. In response to this office letter dated 19.12.2014, the institution has submitted a reply on 31.12.2014 along with an affidavit on Rs. 100/- stamp paper expressing their willingness for the application to be processed as per Regulations, 2014

The documents submitted by the institution on 09.10.2014 were examined and placed before SRC.

SRC in its 276<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 7<sup>th</sup> – 9<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 considered the matter and decided as under:-

*"They have asked for an intake of 100 which, under the 2014 Regulations, will fall into two units of 50 each, this is therefore, treated as an application for 2 units. But, further action to process the case cannot be taken because this is a case of stand-alone institution which, in terms of Regulation 3, is not eligible to make this application. Keep this case pending and ask for clarification from NCTE (H'qrs)."*

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the NCTE Hqrs, seeking clarification in the matter on 09.01.2015.

On 16.01.2015, an e-mail from Shri.Shrikanth Chauhan, Under Secretary, New Delhi, has been received by this office providing the clarification in the matter which is as under:-

*"The pending applications have to be processed, and they may be persuaded for making these institutions as composite gradually, preferably by 2016-2017."*

The Southern Regional Committee in its 277<sup>th</sup> Meeting held during considered the matter, letter dated 16.01.2014 from NCTE (H'qrs), decided and advised Southern Regional Office

		<p>to Cause Inspection.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, the inspection of the institution was scheduled during 1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> February, 2015 and the same was intimated to the institution vide this office letter dated 28/01/2015.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was conducted on 02/02/2015 and VT Report was received by this office on 05/02/2015.</p> <p>SRC in its 280<sup>th</sup> Meeting held during 12-14 February, 2015 considered the matter and decided as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“1. This case is considered not as an application for stand-alone institution, but as a case of existing institution in accordance with the instructions issued by the NCTE H'qr). 2. Issue Show Cause Notice for Building Completion Certificate.”</i></p> <p>On 16.02.2015, before issuance of Show Cause Notice to the institution, as per the website information, the institution has submitted a reply as under :-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“We come to know through the decision of 280<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 11<sup>th</sup> to 14<sup>th</sup> February, 2015 that a Show Cause Notice issued to us to submit Building Completion Certificate.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>We are herewith submitting the color Xerox copy of the building completion certificate issued by Assistant engineer duly notarized. We request you please consider and grant recognition for the year 2015-16”</i></p> <p>The institution had enclosed BCC dated 26.12.2014 issued by Assistant Engineer, CHMC, Hyderabad.</p> <p>SRC in its 282<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2015 considered the reply of the institution and the documents and decided as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“ 1. BCC is not certified by the Competent authority of the area concerned. 2. Issue Show Cause Notice.”</p> <p>On 02.03.2015, before issuance of Show Cause Notice to the institution, the institution has submitted</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 283<sup>rd</sup> meeting held during 2<sup>nd</sup>&amp; 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2015 considered the matter, reply of the institution dated 02.03.2015 to the Show Cause Notice, all other relevant documents of the institution, and decided as under:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“Issue LOI for B.Ed (2 Units)”</i></p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a LOI letter was issue to the institution on 03.03.2015.</p> <p>Earlier a letter was forwarded from NCTE Hqr's regarding the complaint of Mr. Syed Mishabhuddin, Grandson of Founder Islamia Education, Warangal District .The SRC had considered the matter in its 268<sup>th</sup> Meeting held during 4<sup>th</sup> – 5<sup>th</sup> June ,2014 and decided to request the SCERT, Andhra Pradesh to verify and take appropriate action in the matter.</p>
--	--	---

As Per the decision of SRC, a copy of the complaint was forwarded to the Director, SCERT with a request to verify & take appropriate in the matter.

A copy of the letter dated 25.03.2015 addressed to the District Collector, Warangal is received of Dr. Syed Misbahuddin, Grandson of Founder, Islamia Education, Warangal H.NO.13-8-64, Shairpura Street, Matwada, Warangal is Received by this office on 27.03.2015 which is as under:-

*“While enclosing copy of the letter under reference 1<sup>st</sup> cited, I request you authority to kindly arrange to furnish the facts of “False –show” of “Accommodation and infrastructure “ by the Islamia Education Society, warangal presented on the day of inspection i.e. on 2.2.2015, by the team from National Council for Teacher Education, Bangalore.*

*Further it is submitted that , on the day of Enquiry by the District Revenue Officer, Warangal the officials of Islamia Education society , Warangal too have admitted the fact of showing*

*The premises of Islamia public School (English Medium) L.B. Nagar, Warangal and Girls Hostel Building (Islamia Colleges) situated in the same premises for Obtaining permission for opening of “Islamia College of Education” (B.Ed) L.B.Nagar, Warangala by hanging Flexy on the building meant for Government Recognised Islamia Public School (English Medium) L.B. Nagar, Warangal.*

*In this respect further submit that the Islamia Education Society, Warangal has no suitable accommodation for B.Ed College where they are running Government Aided Islamia Arts and Science college (Opposite to M.G.M Hospital, Warangal )and Islamia public school ( English Medium) L.B. Nagar , Warangal. Hence the society adopted the means of “False –show” of “Accommodation and infrastructure for obtaining permission for B.Ed College.*

*On the day of Enquiry too they failed to show the “Enquiry Officer “ the infrastructure and additional accommodation for running B.Ed college apart from Islamia Public School (Engg.Med.) Premises.*

*It is also pertinent to bring to your kind notice that on the day of inquiry when asked where abouts of computer, Lab and its apparatus and other infrastructure set on the day of inspection by the team from National Council for Teacher Education, Bangalore. The officials of society were mum, as every thing what ever they have “Shown” dis appeared from the accommodation shown for B.Ed college.*

*Hence, I humbly request your authority to furnish the “facts” of “False –show “ presented by the Islamia Education Society, Warangal on the day of inspection by the team from National Council for Teacher Education, Bangalore.*

*Early and actual report solicited in the matter.”*

A Copy of the complaint notice addressed to the institution by the District Revenue Officer, Warangal dated 24.03.2015 is received by this office on 07.04.2015 which is as under:-

*"The President & Secretary of Islamia Education Society, Warangal is hereby informed that the concerned has submitted a petition vide reference 4<sup>th</sup> cited during the inspection of the Islamia Education Society at Warangal conducted on 11.02.2015 requested certain time(15Days) to produce required documents to prove the genuinity against the allegation . But no receipt of the documents even after lapse of more than given time.*

*Further the under secretary, National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi has requested vide reference 5<sup>th</sup> cited to verify the facts mentioned in the compliant after placing the same before southern Regional Committee and furnish Action taken Report to the complainant under intimate the same .*

*Therefore, The president & Secretary of Islamia Education Society, Warangal is hereby once again directed to submit the required documents in the case before the under signed by 30.03.2015, failing which a report based on the available material will be submitting to the collector, Warangal for taking further action in the matter."*

Proceedings of the DEO dated 17.03.2015 in Proc. Rc. No. 2322/A3/2015 is received by this office on 07.04.2015 as under:-

*"While enclosing the reference cited the Deputy Educational Officer mahabubnagar, Warangal is requested to visit the institution and enquiry the above subject & submit the details report in this matter immediately."*

The Southern Regional Committee in its 285<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 17<sup>th</sup> April, 2015 considered the matter, reply from the institution to LOI issued, complaint letter and other relevant documents of the said institution, decided as under:

*1. Issue Formal Recognition for B.Ed. (2 Units) w.e.f. 2015-16.*

*2. The complaint should have been sent to the Kakatia University and not the SCERT. Please send it now to the University.*

*3. The recognition given can be reviewed in case of any adverse finding by the University.*

As per the decision of 285<sup>th</sup> meeting of SRC, a Formal Recognition order was issued to the institution on 19.05.2015.

On 05.05.2015, a letter dated 25.04.2015 is received by the complainant Shri. Syed Misbahuddin, Grandson of Founder ,Islamia Education Society , Warangal withdrawing the complaint made by him against the management of Islamia College of Education .

He has stated as under :-

*" I am to submit that I have given representation to your good office vide references cited against the Islamia Education Society, Warangal in respect of introducing the new B.Ed College in the L.B.Nagar , Warangal, Telangana State.*

*In this regard , I humbly submit that without knowing the actual facts and inducing by other persons I have given the representation against the Islamia Education Society in respect*

		<p><i>of giving permission to open new B.Ed college are not correct</i></p> <p><i>After having known the actual facts I have realized the representation given by me, referred above is not true. I am in the impressions that the action of Islamia Education Society establishing B.Ed college is correct.</i></p> <p><i>I hereby withdraw all my complaints made against the Islamia Education Society and therefore request you to drop the further proceedings and action and oblige.”</i></p> <p>On 29.05.2015, a letter No. F.39-5(6)/ 2014-2015 /NCTE/CDN/5239 dated 22.05.2015 is received from Dr. R.K.Tomar , Under Secretary ,NCTE-Hqrs regarding the complaint of Mr.Syed Misbahuddin.</p> <p>A letter was addressed to Dr.R.K.Tomar, Under Secretary, NCTE –Hqrs on 16.06.2015</p> <p>The SRC in its 290<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 10<sup>th</sup> – 11<sup>th</sup> July 2015, the committee considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>“The Complaint has been withdrawn. Close the File.”</b></p> <p>Complaint Received against new B.Ed College, Run by Islamia Education Society, District Warangal, Telangana from Syed Misbahuddin on 27.07.2015. Veracity of the Complaint letter was sent to the complainant on 23.09.2015.</p> <p>Institution has submitted its written representation along with approved staff list on 29.02.2016.</p> <p>SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> to 28<sup>th</sup> May 2016, decided as under:</p> <p><b><i>“For cases of B.Ed (2 Units) in the existing institution, where RPRO, has been issued, we have to cause inspection to check adherence to the 2014 Regulations. This action will have to be completed by July 2016 so that revised Formal Recognition can be issued w.e.f. 2016-17 to enable them to make admission in time.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Action to check the documents in these cases (about 1885 in number) will take time. Instead of Waiting for that action to be completed for placing them before the SRC, to save time, VT Inspections can straightaway be ordered. VT Inspection Report can be considered along with examination of the documents.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Regional Director is authorized to initiate action accordingly. The institution concerned may be alerted about such action so that they will be prepared to receive the Visiting Teams. They may also be advised to keep in readiness latest approved Faculty Lists for Submission to the VTs.”</i></b></p> <p>As per the decision of SRC Inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 14.06.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 02.08.2016 it stated as follows:</p> <p><i>“With references to the subject cited above we humbly bring to your kind notice that we dropped an application for Recognition of a new B.Ed College for the academic year 2013-2014 to our society on 28.12.2012. It was in pending for long time due to NCTE New</i></p>
--	--	--

		<p><i>Regulations 2014. After new Regulations came in to force we fulfilled all the deficiencies according to the new NCTE Regulations – 2014 and submitted the same to your office.</i></p> <p><i>The visiting Team visited our institution on 28.01.2015 and we got the LOI from your office with letter No.F.No.SRCAPP155/2015-2016/B&gt;Ed/AP/2015/6234, dated 03.03.2015 as per the new Regulations for an intake of 2 units (i.e., 100 seats).</i></p> <p><i>In response to LOI we conducted interviews for Teaching and Nonteaching staff in presence of Affiliation Body and we submitted the LOI for 2 units with the Approval by Affiliating Body i.e., Kakatiya University, Warangal.</i></p> <p><i>After completing all the formal procedure with your kind consideration we got Recognition order for 2 units i.e., 100 seats on 19.05.2015 with Letter No. F.SRO/SRCAPP155/B.Ed/AP/2015/65120 as per the New NCTE – 2014.</i></p> <p><i>With your Recognition order we applied for G.O from State Government of Telangana and obtained G.O for 2 units, i.e., 100 seats on with G.O. Rt. No. 198. School Education (Training Department), dated 01.10.2015. We applied for Affiliation to Kakatiya University, Warangal University, Warangal and secured the Affiliation order for 2 units on 06.10.2015 with letter No. 473/CDC/KU/2015, as per new Regulations 2014. We felt that the process of Recognition is over as per new Regulations-2014.</i></p> <p><i>But we received a letter, Letter No. F.No. SRC/NCTE/SRCAPP155/B.Ed/ VT/TS/ 2016-2017/86378 dated 14.06.2016 from your office stating the inspection will take place as per New Regulations within 30 days and in continuation to the earlier letter, we received one more letter, Letter No. F.No. SRO/ NCTE/SRCAPP155/B.Ed/VT/TS/2016-2017/86985 dated 27.06.2016 stating to pay the Inspection Fee Rs. 1,50,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Fifty Thousand only) immediately.</i></p> <p><i>According to our knowledge we feel that the inspection is not applicable to our institution as the inspection held as per NCTE – 2014 Norms and Recognition Order also granted for 2 units to our institution. Hence we humbly request your kind heart please consider our request positively and exempt our institution from the inspection as per new NCTE Regulations-2014 and kindly grant us permanent Recognition Order and oblige.”</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>1. In this case, the FR was issued under the new Regulations .That being so , the question of RPRO does not arise. Therefore, there is no need of any further action in this case .</b></p> <p><b>2.close the file</b></p>
16.	APSO 0083 B.Ed 2 Units  Sahodaran Ayyappan Memorial	<p><b>Ayyappan Memorial Training College, Poothotta P.O. Ernakulam District-682307, Kerala.</b></p> <p><b>Ayyappan Memorial Training College, Poothotta P.O. Ernakulam District-682307, Kerala</b> was granted recognition for <b>B.Ed (additional intake)</b> course of <b>one</b> year duration from the academic session 2003-2004 with an annual intake of <b>230</b> students including existing intake of <b>200 students</b> and an <b>additional intake</b> of <b>30</b> students vide order No.F.KL/SE/N/AI/09/SRO/NCTE/2003-2004/514 dated 22.12.2003.</p>

college of Education, Ernakulam, Kerala	<p>The institution has also made two separate applications for B.Ed-English and B.Ed-Commerce course on 28.12.2001. The applications were processed and the inspection of the institution was carried out on 27.03.2002. The visiting team report was placed before SRC in its 47<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 11<sup>th</sup> April, 2002.</p> <p>The committee decided to serve notice prior to refusal of recognition for appointing additional teaching staff exclusively for the two courses to maintain Teacher Pupil ratio. Accordingly, a notice was issued to the institution on 06.05.2002 for the following reason;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Exclusive staff to teach English and Commerce Methodology is not available.</li></ul> <p>In the meantime, a written representation was received from the institution stating that they have already fulfilled all the conditions and furnished required documents for the recognition for an intake of 230 students, in 8 optional subjects upon which NCTE had taken the final decision in order dated 22.12.2003.</p> <p>The SRC considered the written representation in 173<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup> – 22<sup>nd</sup> April, 2009 and has decided to close the applications for B.Ed English and Commerce dated 28.12.2001. Accordingly, the two applications for English and Commerce for B.Ed course were closed on 15.02.2009.</p> <p>Further, the committee also decided to obtain filled in Questionnaire from all the B.Ed Colleges where more than 100 intake was granted at the initial stage and proceed further.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter enclosing a questionnaire was issued on 15.05.2009 to Sahodaran Ayyappan Memorial Training College (APS00083) for its existing course with an intake of 230 students. The institution has submitted duly filled in questionnaire on 24.06.2009. SRC considered the matter and has decided to issued show cause notice under section 17 of NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 28.07.2009. The institution submitted its reply on 20.08.2009, which was placed before SRC in its 182<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> August, 2009. The committee considered the reply and found that the institution is running B.Ed course 230 intake with the following insufficient facilities;-</p> <p>The total built up space available in the building including 682.87 sq.mtrs of titled sheet roofing is 2376.61 sq. mtrs. It is not adequate for B.Ed ( 230 intake) course and M.Ed course. The built up space required is 32000 sq.ft. for B.Ed ( and M.Ed course as per regulations of NCTE, 2007.</p> <p>In view of the above, the committee decided to reduce the intake of B.Ed course from existing 230 to 100 intake from the end of academic session 2009-2010. Institution is permitted to run the B.Ed course with 100 intake from academic year 2010-2011.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC revised recognition order was issued on 24.09.2009.</p> <p>Institution preferred an appeal dated 20.11.2009 against the order of SRC dated 24.09.2009. Appellate authority accepted the appeal and SRC's order dated 24.09.2009 was reversed with a direction to the SRC to restore the recognition of the institution for 2 units of B.Ed and 1 unit of M.Ed.</p>
---	--



SRC considered the appellate authority order dated 12.02.2010 and decided to restore the intake of two units of B.Ed thus making a total of 200 along with one unit of M.Ed.

Accordingly, a restore recognition order was issued to the institution on 13.04.2010 with an intake of two units for B.Ed and one unit for M.Ed.

On 27.01.2015 the institution has submitted an affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students. The revised order was issued to the institution on 31.05.2015 with an intake of 100 students. The institution has submitted reply to the revised order on 10.11.2015.

The SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 27<sup>th</sup> & 28<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 considered the reply of the revised order and decided as under:-

“For Cases of B.Ed ( 2 units) in the existing institution, where RPRO, had been issued, we have to cause inspection to check adherence to the 2014 Regulations. This action will have to be completed by July 2016 so that revised Formal Recognition can be issued w.e.f 2016-17 to enable them to make admissions in time.

Action to check the documents in these cases ( about 1885 in number) will take time. Instead of waiting for that action to be completed for placing them before the SRC, to save time, VT Inspection can straightaway be ordered. VT Inspection Reports can be considered along with examination of the documents.

Regional Director is authorized to initiate action accordingly. The Institutions concerned may be alerted about such action so that they will be prepared to receive the Visiting Teams. They may also be advised to keep in readiness latest approved Faculty Lists for submission to the VTs. ”

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC a VT letter was issued to the institution on 14.06.2016.

On 03.08.2016, a letter dated 29.07.2016 is received by this office from the Principal, Sahodaran Ayyappan Memorial College of Education, Kerala is as under:-

**“After verifying the various documents and infra-structural facilities of our institution presented to your office, even through the SRC of NCTE has given us permission to admit 2 units of students (50X2) to the B.Ed programme and 1 unit of students (50) to the M.Ed programme in our institution (Ref 2,3) with great regret let me intimate you that, aspirants of the programmes are seen to be highly reluctant to join for the above programmes.**

**As on status, we have got only below 35 students for B.Ed programme and below 5 students for M.Ed programme during this year 2016-17, when the last specified for admission to these course by the University is over.**

**Perhaps due to the stretching of both programmes viz. B.Ed & M.Ed since 2015 admissions, ( from 2 semesters to 4 semesters) the aspirants seem to be withdrawing from joining the course.**

		<p style="text-align: center;"><b>In this context, I humbly appeal before you to intervene in this matter positively and if possible, as you have intimated, the inspection of the visiting team of SRC, in our institution may be exempted this time; if so, the Management of the institution will have some financial relax to run the above mentioned programmes.”</b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter , representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. They have been given RPRO for B.Ed ( 2 new units of 50 each).If they have difficulty in getting enrollment of 2 units ,then , they should take permission to wind up one unit, run only one basic unit. Otherwise, they should make available infrastructural facilities for all the units sanctioned</b></li> <li><b>2.SRC has no power to allow the sanctioned units to be kept in abeyance</b></li> <li><b>3.There will have to be inspection to check adequacy of facilities .The VT will go by the number of units sanctioned. If they apply for closure of one unit , the VT can be advised to inspect with respect to only one unit</b></li> <li><b>4. Inform accordingly and proceed to cause inspection .</b></li> </ol>
17.	<p>APSO 0571</p> <p>B.Ed 2 Units</p> <p>College of Teacher Education, Kollam, Kerala</p>	<p><b>College of Teacher Education, Arkannoor, Ayoor, Kollam District-691533, Kerala.</b></p> <p><b>College of Teacher Education, Arkannoor, Ayoor, Kollam District-691533, Kerala</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition for starting <b>secondary</b> course of <b>one</b> year duration from the academic session <b>2004-2005</b> with an annual intake of <b>100</b> students on <b>23.02.2005</b> with a condition to shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition ( in case the course is started in temporary premises).</p> <p>The SRC in its 176<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 27<sup>th</sup>&amp; 28<sup>th</sup> May 2009 wherein it was decided that institution which have not shifted to the permanent premises even after the expiry of three years time limit be issued notice under section 17 of NCTE Act for further action.</p> <p>As per decision of SRC a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 02.07.2009. In reply, the institution has submitted written representation on 11.08.2009.</p> <p>On 02.02.2015, the institution has submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students. The revised order was issued to the institution on 15.05.2015.</p> <p>A letter dated 27.07.2015 is received by this office from the Principal, College of Teacher Education, Kollam District, Kerala on 30.07.2015 is as under:-</p> <p>“I submit the following facts with regard to the meeting of the conditions mentioned in the order reference.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. As mentioned under the item no. 3 in the said order it is submitted that in observance of the formal recognition order dt: 23.02.2005 issued to us earlier, the institution had shifted to its own premises well before the compliance date i.e. 23.02.2008.</li> </ol>

		<p>The copies of documents such as possession certificate, encumbrance certificate, building completion certificate, land use certificate and building plan submitted at that time as required in the said order dt 23.02.2005, are attached herewith for your reference. The very same was submitted in response to the show cause notice No. F.SC/NCTE/2009/14397 ( Code APS00571) dated 02.07.2009. ( Enclosure 1)</p> <p>2. With regard to the approved staff list, as mentioned in 4.1 of the order under reference, I am submitting a letter from the University of Kerala, the staff list approving institution, stating that the University has decided to keep in abeyance the endorsement of Staff List in Unaided Colleges for the time being. (Enclosure 2).</p> <p>3. Though the SRC has permitted us to have two batches of 50 students each, due to certain technical problems, we need only one batch. The order under reference may please be modified to that extent.</p> <p>Expecting that these points would suitably respond to your letter under reference.”</p> <p>The SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 27<sup>th</sup>&amp; 28<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 considered the reply to the revised order and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. “They have title to the properties through the Trust. Shifting condition need not be there.</li><li>2. <b>They have asked for B.Ed (2 units). Cause Inspection, after collecting fee, for the second unit.</b></li><li>3. BP is not approved by competent authority. Built up area is inadequate.</li><li>4. Ask VT to inspect and collect all relevant document; and also check on deficiencies.”</li></ol> <p>Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 06.06.2016.</p> <p>On 02.08.2016, an e-mail dated 01.08.2016 is received by this office from the institution is as under:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>“The institution has admitted only one unit of students from the academic year 2015-17 and not requested for two units for 2016-18. We don’t have future plans to admit two units.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Hence I request you to exempt the institution from submitting the fee for VT inspection.”</b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, request of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <b>Permission is given for closure of the second unit. Withdraw recognition given in the RPRO for the second unit</b></li><li>2. <b>The built up area available is not adequate even for one new basic unit</b></li><li>3. <b>Issue SCN accordingly</b></li></ol>
--	--	---

18.	APSO 8806  B.Ed 2 Units  Kottalumoodu Arulmigu Bhadeswari Devasthanam college of Education, Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu	<b>Koottalumoodu Arulmigu Bhadreswari Devasthanam College of Education, Painkulam-629188, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu.</b>  The Southern Regional Committee granted recognition to <b>Koottalumoodu Arulmigu Bhadreswari Devasthanam College of Education, Painkulam-629188, Kanyakumari District, Tamilnadu</b> for B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students on 28.05.2008.  As per the regulation 2014, the institution has submitted affidavit on 20.01.2015. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 30.04.2015 with the condition to that the institution has not maintained/revalidated the Fixed Deposited Receipts towards Endowment and Reserve Funds.  The institution has submitted documents for additional unit on 29.10.2015.  Inspection intimation letter to the institution was issued on 14.06.2016. Now, the institution has submitted written representation on 25.07.2016 alongwith relevant document. A letter stating as under:  <i>“the revised order dated 30.04.2015 has been issued to our institution Koottalumoodu Arulmigu Bhadreswari Devasthanam College of Education for conducting B.Ed programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 2 units of 50 each (in total 100). We submit to state that we has already created the various infrastructural and instructional facilities as per the norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. In the meanwhile, we have applied for New M.Ed Programme, and the visiting team carried out Comprehensive inspection both for the existing B.Ed Programme and for the New M.Ed Programme on 04.04.2016. the order for New M.Ed Programme was issued to our institution Koottalumoodu Arulmigu Bhadreswari Devasthanam College of Education on 02.05.2016</i>  <i>Since, the visiting team had already carried out comprehensive inspection both for the B.Ed and for the M.Ed Programs as per the new regulations of NCTE on 04.04.2016. We submit to state that there is no need for another inspection again and may be ordered accordingly.</i> ”  <u>Note:</u>  As per the institution written representation the composite inspection of the institution for B.Ed (APS08806) and M.Ed (SRCAPP2326) courses was conducted on 04.04.2016.  The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-  <b>1. For B.Ed ( 2 units ) and M.Ed ( 1 unit) they need 2500 sq.mtrs .BCC says they have only 2008 sq.mtrs</b>  <b>2.Examine the documents on record to check whether all other requirements have been fulfilled</b>  <b>3. Report in the next meeting</b>
-----	---	--

**Consideration of Court case : (Volume- 1)**

19.	APSO 7418  B.Ed 2 Units  Kavitha Memorial college of Education, Nalgonda, Telangana	<p><b>Kavitha Memorial College of Education, 19<sup>th</sup> Block, Thirumala Complex, Gandhi Nagar,. Devarkonda Village &amp; Mandal, Nalgonda District-508248, Telangana</b></p> <p><b>Kavitha Memorial Educational Society, Khammam, Telangana</b> had submitted application for <b>B.Ed</b> course of two year duration with an annual intake of 100 students at <b>Kavitha Memorial College of Education, 19<sup>th</sup> Block, Thirumala Complex, Gandhi Nagar, Devarkonda Village &amp; Mandal, Nalgonda District-508248, Telangana</b> and was granted recognition on 20.08.2007.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014, a letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised norms and standards before 31.10.2015</p> <p>The institution has submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students on 27.01.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 276<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup>-9<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 decided to issue provisional recognition orders to the existing institutions and the committee also decided to maintain a check list of such cases for verification in October/November and for causing inspection.</p> <p>Accordingly, a revised order was issued to the institution on 12.05.2015 with a condition that the institution is running in rented premises.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 24.09.2015 along with the fee of Rs. 1,50,000/- DD No.964296 dated 06.08.2015 and some relevant documents and stated as follows:</p> <p><i>“With reference to the subject and reference cited, this is to bring to your kind notice that the two letters dispatched to you vide reference 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> cited have returned to the college by the courier due to wrong address written on the envelope by our office administrative assistant. I express my regrets for mistake caused in this regard and apologies for the same.</i></p> <p><i>Once again I am forwarding the above two letters to you along with the same DD of Rs.1,50,000 towards change of the management from Kavitha Memorial Educational Society, Khammam to Uday Sai Educational Society, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District and also shifting of premises from temporary accommodation to the permanent buildings in Devarakonda, Nalgonda itself vide block No.20, H.No.288/914.</i></p> <p><i>Submission of online application in NCTE web portal for change of management under column e-governance is not getting up opened and the same issue was brought to the notice of NCTE Bangalore &amp; New delhi (Mr.Pawan Bairagi) and we were advised to bring it to the notice of Member Secretary, New Delhi to modify the website. Hence, we hereby submitting the application in hard copy along with land documents, building Plan, staff approval list for 2014-15 and we request the NCTE, Bangalore for approval of change of management and shifting of premises”.</i></p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 315<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 17<sup>th</sup> to 18<sup>th</sup> June, 2016 and the committee considered the matter and decided as under:</p>
-----	--	---

1. They have to shift premises.
2. But, before that they have changed the management. All the documents submitted now are in the name of the new management.
3. The 'management' issue has, therefore, to be settled before the 'shifting' matter can be considered.
4. Ask them to fulfill the formalities prescribed for change of management and apply separately for order thereon.
5. Only, thereafter, can this shifting matter be taken up for further action.

Accordingly as per the decision of SRC letter was issued to the institution on 12.07.2016 asking them to fulfill the formalities for change of management by submitting a application along with

- a) resolution of the society
- b) OC from the Registrar of Societies or trust
- c) OC from the affiliating bodies.

An e-mail received from advocate Ramakanth Reddy on 18.07.2016 along with W.P.No.23473 of 2016 filed by Kavitha Memorial Educational Society. Accordingly letter was sent along with the brief of the institution on 18.07.2016.

On 21.07.2016, an e-mail was received from the advocate, Shri. Ramakanth Reddy along with a copy of the Counter to be filed in W.P.No.23473/2016. A duly signed counter affidavit was sent to the advocate on 26.07.2016.

An e-mail received from the advocate on 27.07.2016 and 28.07.2016 along with the court order in W.P.No.23473 of 2016 filed by kavitha Memorial Educational Society and stated as follows:

*"Kavitha College case was heard by the Hon'ble High Court today. The Hon'ble Court directed the SRC to conduct inspection ob 30.07.2016 and submit report by Tuesday 02.08.2016 before the Court at 10.30 AM.*

*In view of the peculiar circumstances inspection was considered by me and the cut-off date fixed by Hon'ble Court and NOC will also be mentioned".*

The matter was placed before SRC in its 317<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> and 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 and the committee considered the matter and decided as under:

1. The Court Order is noted.
2. In the circumstances of the case, for us, this is an un-implementable order.
3. Unless the formalities relating to change of management are completed, there can be no VT inspection because all documents are in favour of the new society.
- 4.1. The 'peculiar circumstances' cited by the Counsel are of his own creation.
- 4.2. If he can not approach the court again on this matter, he should held us to get

it done by another Lawyer.

Now, an e-mail received from the institution on 01.08.2016 and stated as follows:

*“The Kavitha Memorial College of Education, Devarakonda, Nalgonda Dt, Telangana was supposed to be inspected by NCTE on 30.07.2016 at the new premises (block no 20, H-no-288/9/4, dindi road) of Uday Sai Educational Society to whom the society is being transferred. As per the Hon’ble High Court of AP & TS. WP no 23473 of 2016, The SRC NCTE is directed to depute a team for inspection of the premises from where 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner (Kavitha Memorial College of Educaiton) is proposed to be run and complete the inspection on 30.08.2016 and file in the court by 02.07.2016.*

*In view of the direction of the Hon’ble High court, the Management of the Kavitha Memorial College of Education and Uday Sai Educational society has arranged all infrastructural facilities like the premises, all relevant labs, faculty including principal for inspection at the new premises i.e (block no 20, H-no-288/9/4, dindi road) and waited till 7.00 PM on 30.07.2016 but no official of NCTE has come for inspection and no one has contacted us. We tried to contact the SRC NCTE office over telephone but no one has responded and we left at 7.00 PM. We request you to kindly look into the issue and solve it at the earliest to enable the college to get affiliation from MG university for this academic year as the college has already lost last year affiliation”.*

Meanwhile, an e-mail letter received from the advocate Sri. Ramakanth Reddy, on 10.08.2016 alongwith draft Vacate Stay Petition and counter affidavit.

An e-mail letter received from the NCTE-Hqrs on 15.08.2016 regarding approval of Counter Affidavit.

Accordingly, counter affidavit send to the advocate Sri. K. Ramakanth Reddy on 16.08.2016.

Now, a court notice received from the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in W.P.No. 23473 of 2016 dated 04.08.2016 received on 12.08.2016 stating as under:

*“Registry shall issue notice to 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to show cause why proceedings of contempt court shall not be initiated against 1<sup>st</sup> respondent for prima facie willful violation of the undertaking given before this court on 27.07.2016.*

*The 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent is also directed not to insist on the petitioners producing NOC from the Affiliating Body and the State Government as per the letter dt. 12.07.2016 for the purpose of considering the issue of transfer of management of the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner-college.*

*1<sup>st</sup> respondent is also directed to consider petitioners' application dt. 16-09-2015 within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order since already much time has elapsed since its submission and since prima facie the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent in its proceeding dt. 12.07.2016 does not appear to have applied its mind to the contents of the application dt. 16.09.2015 made by the petitioners.*

		<p><i>Post on 18.08.2016 for admission of contempt case.”</i></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><i>now, another letter received from the institution on 16.08.2016 stating as under:</i></p> <p><i>“ we, the Kavitha Memorial College of Education, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District, humbly submit a few issues for your kind consideration. Kavitha Memorial Educational Society and Uday Sai Educational Society are mutually agreed and passed resolutions to transfer the management of Kavitha Memorial College of Education, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District to Uday Sai College of Education under Uday Sai Educational Society, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District.</i></p> <p><i>The Kavitha Memorial Educational Society has paid the prescribed fee of Rs. 1.50.000/- (Rupees one lakh fifty thousand only) to the SRC, NCTE towards change of management and shifting of the premises to block No. 20, H.No. 288/9/4, Dindi Road, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District vide application dt. 16.09.2016.</i></p> <p><i>Now we request you to kindly depute your officials to inspect the premises at bock No. 20, H.No.288/9/4, Dindi Road, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District of Uday Sai College of Education under Uday sai Educational Society to whom the management of Kavitha Memorial College of Education is being transferred after your inspection. Please inspect the premises at the earliest to enable the college to get affiliation for this academic year from Mahatma Gandhi University, Nalgonda as the college has already lost last year affiliation.</i></p> </div> <p>The advocate has sent a letter seeking extension of time for placing the order before SRC. Accordingly, a signed application sent to the advocate on 18.08.2016 inform that the matter will be placed in the 319<sup>th</sup> meeting to be held on 30<sup>th</sup>-31<sup>st</sup> August &amp; 01<sup>st</sup> September, 2016 for decision.</p> <p>The Committee considered the court order, institution written representation dated 16.08.2016 and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Let us cause inspection as directed by the High Court without conceding the applicant’s request for change of management</b></li> <li><b>2. The applicant will have to fulfill all the legal requirements for allowing change of management , transfer of title to properties as well as adhere to norms and standards</b></li> <li><b>3.Issue orders for VT inspection</b></li> <li><b>4. Inform the lawyer accordingly</b></li> </ol>
20.	APs0 7351 B.Ed 2 Units  Shree Vashista College of Education,	<p><b>Shree Vashista College of Education, H.No.4-40/5, Near Vaartha Unit, Algunoor, Thimmapur, Karimnagar District, Telangana</b></p> <p><b>Shree Laxmi Vashista Educational Society, Karimnagar District, Telangana</b> had submitted application for <b>B.Ed</b> course of two year duration with an annual intake of 100 students at <b>Shree Vashista College of Education, H.No.4-40/5, Near Vaartha Unit, Algunoor, Thimmapur, Karimnagar District, Telangana</b> and was granted recognition on 12.04.2007.</p>



Karimnagar , Telangana	<p>On 31.12.2014, a letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised norms and standards before 31.10.2015.</p> <p>The institution has submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students on 20.01.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 276<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup>-9<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 decided to issue provisional recognition orders to the existing institutions and the committee also decided to maintain a check list of such cases for verification in October/November and for causing inspection.</p> <p>Accordingly, a revised order was issued to the institution on 06.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not maintained/revalidated the FDRs.</p> <p>The institution has submitted Original FDRs of Rs.5 and 3 Lakhs on 22.06.2015.</p> <p>An e-mail has received on 17.08.2015 from the office of Registrar, Satavahana University, Karimnagar, Telangana, sent clarification for extending affiliation for the academic year 2015-16.</p> <p>Accordingly, letter was issued to the registrar Satavahana University for extending affiliation for the academic year 2015-16 on 18.08.2015.</p> <p>In the meantime a letter received from the institution on 18.08.2015 requesting to issue clarification orders to Satavahana University, Karimnagar to extend affiliation for the academic year 2015-16 from your esteemed office. Accordingly a letter was issued to the institution on 18.08.2015 for extending affiliation for the academic year 2015-16 and subsequent years accordingly.</p> <p>The institution has submitted documents for 2<sup>nd</sup> unit on 30.10.2015 and 29.02.2016. As per the decision of SRC VT intimation letter was issued to the institution on 14.06.2016.</p> <p>An e-mail received on 16.06.2016 from Registrar, Satavahana University, Karimnagar for clarification for extending affiliation to Shree Vashista College of Education, Karimnagar for the academic year 2016-17. A letter was sent to the Satavahana University for extending affiliation on 21.06.2016.</p> <p>An e-mail received from the advocate Shri. K. Ramakanth Reddy on 19.07.2016 along with W.P.No.22069 of 2016 filed by Shree Vashista College of Education. Accordingly brief of the institution was sent to the advocate on 20.07.2016.</p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 317<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> &amp; 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 and the committee considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>The action taken by the Regional Director is ratified.</i></b></p> <p>A court notice has been received from the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in W.P.No.22069 of 2016 filed by Shree Vashishta College of Education, Karimnagar on 23.07.2016. The Hon'ble Court had directed respondent no 3 to appear in person or through advocate on 16.08.2016 at 10.30 A.M.</p>
------------------------------	---

		<p>A letter was addressed to the advocate, Shri.Ramakanth Reddy, along with the brief of the case appear before the court on 01.08.2016.</p> <p>Now, a Court order dated 13.07.2016 received from the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh W.P.M.P.No.27126 of 2016 in W.P.No. 22069 of 2016 filed by Shree Vashishta College of Education Karimnagar and the court order stated as follows:</p> <p><b><i>In the present case, on mere ground that the institution is running in a leased premises affiliation is refused. So far as Regulation 8 (11) is concerned, that itself makes it clear that the area related norms notified in 2014 Regulations shall not be made applicable to the existing colleges subject to the condition that the required built up area shall be applicable with to additional programmes or additional intake.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>In the present case, the communication of not granting the affiliation for the year 2016 merely mentions that the college is running in a leased building. In those circumstances there being a doubt with respect to applicability of 2014 Regulations and there being no deficiency for granting affiliation, there shall be an interim direction to the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to grant affiliation to the petitioner-college. However, granting of affiliation shall be subject to further orders that may be passed in the writ petition."</i></b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter , Court order dated 13.07.2016 and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. The Court order is directed to the affiliating body</b></li> <li><b>2. Instruct the lawyer to appear on our behalf, and ,if necessary, say that we have no objection to renewal of affiliation</b></li> </ol>
21.	APSO 9789 B.Ed 2 Units Sri Geervani college eof Education, karimnagar  , Telangana	<p><b>Sri Geervani College of Education, H.No. 15-86/1, Opp. Bus Stand, Chappadand, Karimnagar District-505415, Telangana</b></p> <p><b>Sri Geervani Educational Society, Karimnagar District, Telangana</b> had submitted an application for seeking grant of recognition to <b>Sri Geervani College of Education, H.No. 15-86/1, Opp. Bus Stand, Chappadand, Karimnagar District-505415, Telangana</b> on 31.10.2008.</p> <p>As per MIS data, the application status is mentioned as acknowledged.(copy enclosed). On 09.01.2013, this office has received a court order dated 26.12.2012, in W.P.No. 39712 of 2012 filed Sri Geeravani Educational Society &amp; Sri Geeravani College of Education in the High Court of Judicature of Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad. Which is as under:-</p> <p><b><i>'The petitioner established a college of Education at Choppandandi Village, Karimnagar District in the year 2008, by obtaining recognition from the respondent..Even before just one academic year has elapsed , the petitioner submitted an application on 31.10.2008 to the respondent with a request to grant recognition for additional intake of 100 students .A communication was sent to the petitioner pointing out certain defects .Thereafter, the petitioner submitted a revised application on 19.02.2009.Its grievance is that no steps have been taken thereon .Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondent.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>The petitioner was making efforts to get the intake of the institution tripled. However, that did not fructify for one reason or the other. The application was made for the academic year 2009-10.ever since then , there has been</i></b></p>

**substantial change in the rules and regulations framed by the respondent .If the petitioner is so advised, it can make an application seeking recognition for additional intake.**

**Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of leaving it open to the petitioner to submit a fresh application for recognition of additional intake. As and when such applications is made, the respondent shall complete the steps in accordance with law within a period of three months.**

**The miscellaneous petition filed in this writ petition shall also stand disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.'**

After a gap of five years, on 29.01.2013, the institution has submitted a written representation along with the above mentioned court order in W.P. No. 39712 of 2012 which is as under :-

**"The SRC-NCTE processed our application and issued a deficiency letter bearing Lr. No F.SRO/NCTE/2008/DL-7206, dated 24.11.2008 to the society. After receiving the deficiency letter, the society filed its reply on 9.2.2009 before specified 90 days expiry time.**

**But the SRC-NCTE has not taken any further steps to process our application till date. We have patiently waited such a long time to get positive reply from the SRC-NCTE but unfortunately, we not yet received any kind of reply from the SRC-NCTE. Finally, we have constrained to take a painful decision to approach the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh to get justice in this matter.**

**The Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh passed an order bearing W.P. No. 39712 of 2012 dated 26.12.2012 dated 26.12.2012. The copy of order is enclosed along with the application.**

**According to the direction of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh, we are making a fresh application for grant of recognition in respect of Sri Geervani College of Education (B .Ed) for the academic year 2013-14. For this, we are herewith submitting, a fresh application along with necessary documents for your kind consideration.**

**In view of the above information furnished by us, the management is humbly requesting your esteemed authority to consider our application and grant recognition to start Sri Geervani College of Education (B.Ed) with effect from the academic year 2013-2014".**

Note 1: As per the statement of the institution, the application of the institution was processed and deficiency letter was issued and the reply for deficiency letter also submitted by them. But, it is not known whether the reply was placed before the SRC or not (No information available in the file). As stated in the court order, fresh application for recognition of additional intake, in the first instance only, the institution was not granted recognition for basic B.Ed course, where is the question of additional intake. Again the

institution has submitted a request letter on 3.9.2013 requesting to consider their application.

**Note 2:** As per the Hon'ble Court direction, the petitioner has not submitted any fresh application for additional intake through on-line mode as per the NCTE Regulations. The old application cannot be re-opened again.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment dated 13/12/2012 in Maa Vaishno Devi case reported in (2013) 2 SCC 617 para 87.3 to para 87.4. reads as under:

“..... 87.3 : The recognition and affiliation granted as per the above Schedule shall be applicable for the current academic year. For example, recognition granted upto

03.03.2013 and affiliation granted up to 10.05.2013 shall be effective for the academic year 2013-2014 i.e. the courses starting from 01.04.2013. For the academic year 2013-2014, no recognition shall be issued after 03.03.2013 and no affiliation shall be granted after 10.05.2013. Any affiliation or recognition granted after the above cut-off dates shall only be valid for the academic year 2014-15....”

.87.4 : We make it clear that no Authority / person / Council / Committee shall be entitled to vary the Schedule for any reason whatsoever. Any non-compliance shall amount to violating the orders of the court....”

As per interim direction in IA No. 2 in Civil Appeal No. 9078 of 2012 in SLP(Civil) no. 22351 of 2012 filed by Weltech College of Education & Anr. Vs State of U.P. & Others in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It stated that the interim direction of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 22.4.2013 wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court was pleased to permit the NCTE to carry forward all pending applications for processing for the next academic session i.e. 2014-2015 (copy enclosed).

NCTE-Hqrs., in its letter dated 4th September, 2013 stated that

***“.....It is seen that there is no provisions for making application manually for grant of recognition as per extant Regulations and moreover for the academic session 2013-2014, the NCTE is not in a position to even consider the application in the light of judgment dated 13.12.2012 of Hon'ble Court of India”(copy enclosed).***

A letter was addressed to Shri. Ramakanth Reddy, Advocate on 19.9.2013 stating all the above mentioned information with a request to take necessary action and to defend the case accordingly.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 255<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> – 15<sup>th</sup> November,2014 considered the matter, and advised Southern Regional Office to ask the Lawyer to report what happened in this case, as per the letter dated 19-09-2013 written to him to inform this office with the request to take necessary action and to defend the case accordingly.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the advocate, Shri.Ramakanth Reddy on 23.12.2013 to defend the case on behalf of SRC,NCTE and NCTE-Hqrs.

On 10.03.2014, a Court notice from the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature of Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad dated 21.02.2014 in CC.No. 1930 of 2013 filed by Sri Geeravani Educational Society & Sri.Geervani College of Education is received by this office, The Hon'ble Court had directed the Regional Director, SRC,NCTE to appear in person or through advocate ,duly instructed on 14.03.2014 failing wherein the said case will be heard and determined ex-parte.

A letter was addressed to the advocate , Shri.Ramakanth Reddy, to appear before the court on 14.03.2014.

On 09.06.2014, the institution has submitted a written representation with a request to consider the application and grant recognition.

A letter was addressed to the advocate ,Shri. Ramakanth Reddy on 17.06.2014 requesting him to furnish the status of the case (W. P. No. 39712 of 2012) for taking necessary action in the matter.

Now, on 26.06.2014, the General Secretary & Correspondent, Sri Geervani Educational Society has submitted another written representation which is as under :-

The institution has submitted the following documents :-

- 1. A copy of the deficiency letter dated 24.11.2008 issued to the institution is submitted.**
- 2. A copy of the reply of the institution dated 19.02.2009 to the deficiency letter is submitted.**
- 3. Copies of the applications submitted by the institution on 29.01.2013,03.09.2013 and 09.06.2014 along with related documents is submitted.**

Note : In obedience to the court order , the institution has submitted applications It has not applied through online on as per NCTE Regulations , 2009.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 271<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 1<sup>st</sup> August, 2014 considered the matter, letter from the institution dated 09.06.2014, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to find out and report:

***1 .Is any application (other than APS09789) pending?***

***2. Which case has given rise to the contempt notice? What action is pending?***

**Further, our Lawyer is to be asked to report the status of the case.**

A letter was addressed to the advocate, Shri.Ramakanth Reddy on 18.08.2014 conveying the decision of 271<sup>st</sup> meeting of SRC.

On 23.09.2014, an e-mail was received from the advocate , Shri. Ramakanth Reddy along with a copy of the Counter to be filed in C.C.No.1930 of 2013 in W.P.No. 39712 of 2013.A

	<p>duly signed counter affidavit was sent to the advocate on 24.09.2014.</p> <p>On 30.12.2014, the institution has submitted an affidavit on Rs.100/- stamp paper expressing their willingness for the application to be processed as per Regulations, 2014 , (based on information available in the website). The institution has requested for the application to be considered for two units of intake.</p> <p>As per records, documents have been submitted by the institution :</p> <p>The SRC in its 276<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 7<sup>th</sup> – 9<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 considered the matter and decided as under :-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>“1.Language courses are not recognized under the new Regulations. 2.This is therefore, a standalone Institution. 3. This is a standalone Institution.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>They have asked for an intake of 100 which, under the 2014 Regulations, will fall into two units of 50 each, this is therefore, treated as an application for 2 units. But, further action to process the case cannot be taken because this is a case of stand-alone institution which, in terms of Regulation 3, is not eligible to make this application. Keep this case pending and ask for clarification from NCTE (H'qrs).”</i></b></p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the NCTE Hqrs, seeking clarification in the matter on 09.01.2015.</p> <p>On 16.01.2015, an e-mail from Shri. Shrikanth Chauhan, Under Secretary, New Delhi, has been received by this office providing the clarification in the matter which is as under:- <i>“The pending applications have to be processed, and they may be persuaded for making these institutions as composite gradually, preferably by 2016-2017.</i></p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 277<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 20<sup>th</sup> to 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2015 considered the matter and decided as</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>1. The court order refers to additional intake but the applicant have clarified that their application is for a basic unit and may be considered accordingly the case is processed in this back- drop. 2. Cause Inspection 3. Ask VT to Collect all certified Copies of original documents including renewed FDRs</i></b></p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a letter regarding inspection is issued to the institution on 28.01.2015.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was conducted on <b>03.02.2015</b> and the VT Report was received by this office on <b>05.02.2015</b>.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 280<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 12<sup>th</sup> to 14<sup>th</sup> February,2015 considered the VT Report and decided as</p>
--	---

- 1. This case is considered not as an application for standalone institution, but as a case of existing institution in accordance with the instructions issued by the NCTE (H'qrs)***
- 2. LOI FOR B.Ed (2 basic units)***
- 3. Ask them to reply by 25 feb, 2015 if possible , so that this case can be considered for Formal Recognition before 3 March.***

Accordingly, Letter of Intent was issued to the institution on 16.02.2015.

Institution has submitted reply on 25.02.2015. SRC in its 282<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 2<sup>th</sup> February, 2015 considered the reply of the institution decided to issue Show Cause Notice.

Institution has submitted notice reply on 27.02.2015 the reply was placed before SRC in its 283<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 2<sup>nd</sup> & 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2015 and decided to issue Formal Recognition w.e.f.2015-16.

**Accordingly Formal Recognition Order was issued to the institution on 03.03.2015.**

A, letter received from Satavahana University, Karimnagar-505001, Telangana on 08.09.2015 the matter was placed before SRC in its 292<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> & 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2015.

The Committee considered the letter from Satavahana University and decided as under:

***“Verification of details with land document and BCC does not confirm the University’s observation. Send copies of the two documents to the university. Close the case”.***

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the Registrar, Satavahana University on 13.11.2015.

A letter was sent to Advocate Sri. Ramakantha Reddy on 13.11.2015 along with brief of the case.

On 08.01.2016, a Court notice received from the Hon’ble High Court of Judicature of Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad dated 29.12.2015 in W.P. NO. 30583 of 2015 filed by Sri.Geervani College of Education. The Hon’ble Court had directed respondent no 2 to appear in person or through advocate on 01.02.2016 at 10.30 A.M.

A letter was addressed to the advocate, Shri. Ramakanth Reddy, along with the brief of the case to appear before the court on 13.01.2016.

A Court order received from the Hon’ble High Court of Judicature of Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad dated 29.12.2015 in W.P. NO. 30583 of 2015 filed by Sri.Geeravani College of Education.

The SRC in its 299<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup> – 21<sup>st</sup> January 2016, the committee considered the court order and decided as ***Noted.***

The institution has sent a letter requesting for Shifting of the premises on 08.06.2016 stating as follows:

***“With the reference and subject cited above, the SRI GEERVANI COLLEGE OF EDUCATION (B.Ed) is proposing to shift the college premises from H.No. 15-86/1, Choppadandi (V&M), Karimnagar (Dist), Telangana State to SY.No. 831, Choppadandi (V&M), Karimnagar (Dist), Telangana State. The proposed premise is hardly 2 Kms. Away from the existing premises, which is situated in the same village.”***

The matter was placed before SRC in its 316<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07<sup>th</sup> to 08<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 and the committee considered matter and decided as under:

- 1.Land is given. Title to land is there.***
- 2.Processing Fee has been paid.***
- 3.No other documents have been given.***
- 4.This is a pending carried forward case. The stand-alone condition, will not, therefore, bar this case.***
- 5. Cause Inspection. For shifting of B.Ed (2 units) Ask VT to collect all the documents. They should assess adequacy of facilities for B.Ed (2 units) at the new site.***
- 6.A new, approved Faculty List will also be required.***
- 7.The Pandit Course will have no relevance because it is no longer a ‘recognized course’ of the NCTE.***

As per the decision of SRC letter was issued to the institution on 18.07.2016.As per the decision of SRC Visiting team is assigned to Sri Geervani College of Education for causing inspection through online procedures.

A, court notice has been received from the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in W.P.No.22878 of 2016 filed by Sri Geervani College of Education on 23.07.2016. The Hon'ble High Court had directed respondent no 2 to appear in person or through advocate on 16.08.2016 at 10.30 A.M.

A letter was addressed to the advocate, Shri.Ramakanth Reddy, along with the brief of the case to appear before the court on 16.08.2016.

Now, a Court order dated 15.07.2016 received from the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in W.P.M.P.Nos.28130 and 28131 of 2016 in W.P.No. 22878 2016 filed by Sri Geervani College of Education and the court order stated as follows:

***Interim direction as prayed for.***

The institution has submitted a request for postponement of inspection on 09.08.2016.

The Committee considered the matter, Court order dated 15.07.2016 and the request of the institution for postponement of inspection and decided as under :-



		<p><b>1. The Court order is directed to the affiliating body. It is noted .</b></p> <p><b>2. The applicant wants the 'shifting inspection' to be delayed by 4 months We have no objection .Issue permission accordingly.</b></p>
22.	W.P. No. 9280 of 2016 filed by Sheena Sulthana. R.M. and Others Vs the State of Kerala and others ----	<p><b>Court order in W.P.No. 9280 of 2016 filed by Sheena Sulthana .R.M and others Vs the State of Kerala and others</b></p> <p>On 14.07.2016, this office has received a letter dated 08.07.2016 from the advocate ,Shri.V.M.Kurian enclosing a copy of the Court order dated 30.6.2016 .</p> <p>The writ petition is filed by Sheena Sulthana R.M against the University of Kerala and others</p> <p>The Court order dated 30.06.2016 in W.P.(C).No.9280 of 2016 is as under :-</p> <p><i>“ The petitioner, who had worked as an Assistant Professor in Kerala University College of Teacher Education, Anchal and Nedumangad from July 2006 to December 2014 on contract basis , is aggrieved by the denial , by the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> respondents , of a relaxation in respect of teachers, who had worked in the establishment earlier , while considering her candidature for appointment as Assistant Professor in the University. In this connection she has preferred Ext.P2 representation before the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent. The limited prayer of the petitioner in the writ petition is for a direction to the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to consider and pass orders on the same , expeditiously, after hearing the petitioner .</i></p> <p><i>2. I have heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner, the learned standing counsel for the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent University and Sri. V. M. Kurian , the learned standing counsel for respondents 2 and 3. In the nature of the orders, that I propose to pass, I do not deem it necessary to hear the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent.</i></p> <p><i>On a consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case as also the submissions made across the bar , I dispose the writ petition with a direction to the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to consider and pass orders on Ext.P2 representation preferred by the petitioner, after taking note of Ext.P1 regulations, and determining whether they have any bearing on the issue to be considered in respect of the petitioner. The 1<sup>st</sup> respondent shall pass appropriate orders based on the directions in this judgment and after hearing the petitioner, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.</i></p> <p><i>The petitioner shall produce a copy of the writ petition along with the copy of this judgment before the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent for further action.”</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the Court order dated 30.06.2016 and decided as under :-</p> <p><b><i>The Court order is directed to the University. It is noted.</i></b></p>
23.	AOSO 0381 B.Ed 2 Units National	<p><b>National Training College for Women, Kollamkavu, Pazhutti P.O. Nedumangad, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala.</b></p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee grants recognition to <b>National Training College for Women, Kollamkavu, Pazhutti P.O. Nedumangad, Thiruvananthapuram District, Kerala</b> for <b>Secondary Course</b> of one year duration from the academic session <b>2000 – 2001</b> with an</p>

	<p>Training college for women, Trivandrum , Kerala</p>	<p>annual intake of <b>100</b> students and was granted recognition on 05.08.2000.</p> <p>Further, no action seen in the file.</p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards and the institution has not submitted the affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 14<sup>th</sup> – 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the committee considered the matter, not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Order and decided to issue show cause notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiencies:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Affidavit not submitted as per Regulations , 2014</b></p> <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 23.05.2016 and the institution has submitted a notice reply on 02.06.2016 .</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Request for permission to close down the B.Ed course is accepted</b></li> <li><b>2. Withdraw recognition with effect from 2014-15.</b></li> <li><b>3. Return the FDR.</b></li> </ol>
<p>24.</p>	<p>AOSO 0414 B.Ed 2 Units</p> <p>Shri. Tangaturi Prakasham j Memorial Governme nt institute of Advance study in education, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p><b>Shri.Tangaturi Prakasham Memorial Government Institute of Advanced study in Education ,Santhapet ,Nellore – 524 001,Andhra Pradesh</b></p> <p><b>Shri.Tangaturi Prakasham Memorial Government Institute of Advanced study in Education ,Santhapet ,Nellore – 524 001,Andhra Pradesh</b> was granted recognition for offering B.Ed course of one year duration from the academic session 2000-2001 on 21.07.2000.</p> <p>Based on the performance appraisal report, the intake of the institution was fixed at 160 vide this office letter dated 30.03.2006.</p> <p>Further, no action is seen in the file.</p> <p>SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 considered the matter, not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiency .-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Affidavit not submitted affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014.</b></li> </ul> <p>As per the decision of SRC , a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016.</p> <p><b>On 26.05.2015, the institution has submitted affidavits in respect of both B.Ed and M.Ed courses .</b></p>

		<p>On 01.06.2016, the Principal, STPM Govt. Institute of Advanced Study in Education has submitted a request as under :-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“ As per the reference cited above, the original affidavit for B.Ed and M.Ed had been already sent to the Regional Director ,SRC,NCTE ,Bangalore on 23.05.2016 and also mailed the same to the office I here with enclose the Xerox copy for reference ,please consider the request and grant the permission as early as possible.”</i></p> <p>Note :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The institution has submitted an affidavit for B.Ed and M.Ed courses on 26.05.2015.</li> <li>2. It is observed that revised order is issued in respect of the M.Ed course only vide this office order dated 02.07.2015.</li> </ol> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution received on 01.06.2016 and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>They had given the affidavits in May, 2016</b></li> <li>2. <b>Issuing RPRO at this stage will create avoidable complications .The institution, by its delayed submission of affidavits, is responsible for this situation</b></li> <li>2.2 <b>It is not clear how any RPRO was issued for M.Ed even before the affidavit was received</b></li> <li>3. <b>Ask them to convert the courses to conform to norms /standards under the new regulations in 2 months</b></li> <li>4.<b>Collect fees for the 2 inspections and cause composite inspection by 15.11.2016</b></li> <li>5. <b>Ask VT to collect all relevant documents for B.Ed ( 2 units ) and M.Ed ( 1 unit)</b></li> </ol>
25.	APSO 2505 B.Ed 2 Units K.L.E Society's college of Education, Rajajinagar Karnataka	<p><b>KLE Society's College of Education, S.Nijalingappa College campus, 2<sup>nd</sup> block Rajajinagar, Bangalore -560010, Karnataka</b></p> <p><b>Karnatak Lingayat Educational Society, Belgaum, Karnataka</b> had applied for grant of recognition to <b>KLE Society's College of Education, S.Nijalingappa College campus, 2<sup>nd</sup> block Rajajinagar, Bangalore -560010, Karnataka</b> has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for offering <b>secondary(B.Ed)</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of <b>100</b> students from the academic session 2004-2005 under section 14(1) of the NCTE Act 1993 recognition order was issued to the institution on <b>30.11.2004</b>.</p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards on 31.05.2016 and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the Committee considered the matter and noticed that the institution has not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiency.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Affidavit not submitted affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014.</b></li> </ul> <p>As per the decision of SRC a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 23.05.2016. The institution has submitted a written representation on 10.06.2016 .</p>

		<p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>1.We have no power to keep courses in abeyance</b> <b>2. The institution has not filed the required affidavit</b> <b>3. Issue SCN for withdrawal of recognition .</b></p>
26.	<p>APSO 2965 B.Ed 2 Units</p> <p>Dr. Anbupaul college of Education, Pondicherry,</p>	<p><b><u>Dr.Anbupaul College of Education, Anbunagar, Thutipet, Pondicherry-605502.</u></b></p> <p><b>Pauls Educational Trust, 761, Thiruvattiyur High Raod, Madras-600081</b> has applied for grant of recognition to <b>Dr.Anbupaul College of Education, Anbunagar, Thutipet, Pondicherry-605502</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for offering B.Ed (Secondary) course of One year duration with an annual intake of 100 students from academic session 2005-06 under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993.</p> <p>The Formal Recognition order was issued to the institution on 28.10.2004.</p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the committee considered the matter, not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016. The institution has submitted its written representation on 02.06.2016.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>1. They had given the affidavits in May, 2016</b> <b>2. Issuing RPRO at this will create avoidable complications .The institution, by its delayed submission of affidavits, is responsible for this situation .</b> <b>3. Ask them to convert the courses to conform to norms /standards under the new regulations in 2 months</b> <b>4.Collect fees for the 2 inspections and cause composite inspection by 15.11.2016</b> <b>5. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents for B.Ed ( ( 1 unit)</b></p>
27.	<p>APSO 3112 B.Ed 2 Units D.El.Ed (1 unit)</p> <p>Aadya Shri Nijalingeshwar Shikshana Sansthe's</p>	<p><b>Aadya Shri Nijalingeshwar Shikshana Sansthe's D.Ed College, Ananth Vidya Nagar, PB. No.16, Hukeeri, Sankeshwar – 591313, Belgaum District, Karnataka</b></p> <p><b>Aadya Shri Nijalingeshwar Shikshana Sansthe, Sankeshwar, Karnataka</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Aadya Shri Nijalingeshwar Shikshana Sansthe's D.Ed College, Ananth Vidya Nagar, PB. No.16, Hukeeri, Sankeshwar – 591313, Belgaum District, Karnataka</b> for <b>Elementary (D.Ed)</b> Course of two and half years duration from the academic session 2005-06 with an annual intake of <b>50</b> students.</p> <p>The institution was granted recognition on 24.12.2005 with the condition to shift to its own premises/Building within three years from the date of recognition (incase the course is started</p>

D.Ed College, Karnataka	<p>in rented premises).</p> <p>The institution in its written representation enclosed a copy of letter issued by DIET Belgaum dated 05.05.2014 stated as follows:</p> <p>“...From the academic year 2012-13 due to lack of admission of students for D.Ed Course and there is no teaching staff in the Institution. So this is the reason for closing your institution. Send this copy to SRC-NCTE”.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its letter dated 13.05.2014 received by SRC on 19.05.2014 has stated that, “students were not admitted from the academic year 2012-13 for D.Ed course and hence precautions were taken to increase the strength of admissions by giving paper advertisements in the surrounding regions for the current academic year and has requested <u>SRC not to withdraw the recognition</u>”.</p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 268<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 04<sup>th</sup> -05<sup>th</sup> June 2014, the committee considered and decided to <u>withdraw the recognition</u> for (<u>APS03112-D.Ed</u>) course with effect from <u>2014-2015</u>.</p> <p>Accordingly, withdrawal order was issued to the institution on <u>05.08.2014</u>.</p> <p>The institution in its written representation dated 14.08.2014 enclosed a copy of its letter dated 13.05.2014 and stating as follows:</p> <p><i>“We humbly bring to your kind notice is that typical mistake our request letter has given wrong information to you (Kannada letter). Because actually which letter we have sent it was request for not to withdraw the recognition of our D.Ed college but as said above it was typical mistake in fact we were requested for not close the D.Ed college by withdrawing the recognition.</i></p> <p><i>Hence you shown in paragraph 5 of your letter dated 05.08.2014 it was typical mistake. So, by this letter we cordially requesting you to continue the recognition of our D.Ed College and allow us to run it.</i></p> <p><i>And its further information to you that our D.Ed college situated at the border of Maharashtra and Karnataka. So, in view of future and for the border area students our college is very needful. Therefore we kindly request you for continuation of recognition.”</i></p> <p>A letter dated 06.11.2014 received from Higher Education Department State Government of Karnataka along with Trust letter dated 14.08.2014 and 13.05.2014 withdrawal order etc... and stating as follows:</p> <p><i>“...Adya Shri Nijalingeshwar Shikshana Sansthe D.Ed College Requisition for continue recognition of this institution”.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 275<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 01<sup>st</sup> 02<sup>nd</sup> December 2014 considered the written representation of the institution vide letter dated 13.05.2014, decided that,</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">1. The wrong order for withdrawal of recognition is cancelled.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Further,</p>
-------------------------------	--

		<p>The SRC considered the written representation of the institution vide letter dated 13.05.2014, and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE Act for the following:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">2. There is no faculty in position.</p> <p>Accordingly, Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 06.01.2015. The institution has submitted its written representation along with relevant documents on 16.01.2015</p> <p>The SRC in its 278<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 25<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 considered the matter, reply of the institution to the show cause notice vide letter dated 16.01.2015, and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under NCTE Act for the following :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The Institution has not submitted approved staff duly signed by the competent authority in the NCTE format.</b></li> </ul> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 18.02.2015. The institution has reply to the show cause notice on 16.03.2015.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. They have no enrolment , they are not running the programme.</b></li> <li><b>2. They have not submitted a proper faculty list . The faculty list given by them is not in the format ; not approved ,it contains only 6 names.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>3. Issue SCN for withdrawal of recognition</b></p>
28.	APS0 3371 APS0 3914 B.Ed 2 Units B.Ed 2 Units  Rajeev Gandhi Kendriya Sanskrit Vidya peeta, Chikmagal ur, Karnataka	<p><b>Rashtriya Sanskrit Sansthan, Sringeri , Karnataka</b></p> <p><b>Rajiv Gandhi Kendriya Sanskrit Vidyapeetam, Sringeri – 577139, Chikamagalur District , Karnataka (APS03371)</b> was granted recognition for offering B.Ed course of one year duration from the academic session 2000-2001 with an annual intake of 50 students vide this office order dated 09.08.2000.</p> <p>Based on the performance appraisal reports , the intake of the institution was fixed at 60 vide this office orders dated 11.07.2002 and 01.09.2003.</p> <p>Rashtreeya Sanskrit Sansthan applied for increase in intake in the existing B.Ed course on 30.09.2004 (APS03914) and recognition was granted to the institution for increase in intake by 40 students , thus making the total intake of 100 students vide this office order dated 30.01.2006.</p> <p>SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 considered the matter, not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiency .-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Affidavit not submitted affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014.</b></li> </ul> <p>As per the decision of SRC , a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 20.05.</p>

2016.

On 01.06.2016 , the institution has submitted a reply as under :-

*“ It is stated that consequent upon submission of affidavit in the prescribed format for compliance of NCTE Regulations, 2014 vide this office letter No. RSKS(DU)RGCS/A-23(B)/14-15/1299 dated 9<sup>th</sup> February 2015 (copy enclosed), **our campus has been granted for conducting B.Ed-A.I programme for two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students ( one unit) from the academic session 2015-16 vide your order No. F.SRO/NCTE/APS09564/B.Ed-A.I/KA/2014-15/65889 dated 29<sup>th</sup> May, 2015 ( copy enclosed) .However, it is observed that the ‘Show Cause Notice’ issued as referred above (Sl.No.1 and 2) through two different NCTE Code numbers i.e APS03371 and APS03914 in favour of ‘The Principal ,Rashtriya Sanskrit Sansthan (Deemed University ) ,Rajiv Gandhi Campus ,Menase ,Sringeri (Karnataka) – 577 139 & The Rajiv Gandhi Kendriya Sanskrit Vidyapeetham ,Sringeri respectively which are created prior to 2008-2009 for the same existing institution ( copies enclosed).Further it is also remarkable to note that Rajiv Gandhi Kendriya Sanskrit Vidyapeetha has been renamed as Rashtriya Sanskrit Sansthan (DU) ,Rajiv Gandhi Campus ,Srinagar with effect from 7<sup>th</sup> May, 2002 consequent upon declaration as a multi campus under Rashtriya Sanskrit Sansthan ,New Delhi by the UGC ( copy enclosed)***

***In these circumstances , we request you to kindly the withdraw the ‘Show Cause Notice ‘ issued as above in favour of ‘The Principal ,Rashtriya Sanskrit Sansthan (Deemed University ),Rajiv Gandhi Campus & Rajiv Gandhi Kedriya Sanskrit Vidyapeetham ,Sringeri under intimation to this office & kindly suggest us whether those two NCTE codes created earlier i.e APS03371 & APS03914 also to be retained or cancelled? Please Clarify***

From the records , it is observed that ,

Sl. No.	Code No.	Course	Recognition granted on	Intake	Revised order issued on	Intake
1	APS03371	B.Ed	09.08.2000	50 Based on PAR intake fixed at <b>60</b>	--	--
2	APS03914	B.Ed-A.I	30.01.2006	Additional intake of 40  Total intake <b>=100</b>	--	--
3	APS09564	B.Ed-.AI	22.08.2008	Additional intake of 27 students  Total intake	29.05.2015	<b>50</b>

		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">: 127 students</td> </tr> </table> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. From the reply of the institution , it is evident that they have become off-campus constituent units of the RSS (Deemed University) in New Delhi. They are not an independently deemed university .</li> <li>2. In accordance with their request , we may change our records accordingly</li> <li>3. The APS0 numbers will remain the same</li> <li>4. Ask them to file fresh affidavits accordingly in three cases B.Ed,B.Ed-A-I and B.Ed-A.I</li> <li>5. Thereafter, give them time till 30.11.2016 to ensure adherence to the new norms /standards</li> <li>6.Cause composite inspection around 15.12.2016.Meanwhile collect all documents and examine</li> <li>7. Issue of any RPRO at this stage will have no significance.</li> </ol>				: 127 students
			: 127 students			
29.	<p>APS0 3468 B.Ed 2 Units</p> <p>Kumaresh war college of Education, Haveri, Karnataka</p>	<p><b>Kumareshwara College of Education, Hangal 581104, Haveri District , Karnataka</b></p> <p>Recognition was granted to Kumareshwara College of Education, Hangal 581104, Haveri District ,Karnataka for offering B.Ed course of one year duration with an intake of 100 students on 16.08.2000.</p> <p>Based on the performance appraisal report , the intake of the institution was fixed at 100 vide this office order dated 27.10.2003.</p> <p>SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 considered the matter, not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiency .-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Affidavit not submitted affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014.</b></li> </ul> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 20.05. 2016.</p> <p>On 31.05.2016, the institution has submitted a reply as under :-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“ We have submitted the respective affidavit as per your guideline .Our file No. is skvvt@ NCTE 2014-15/414 dated 29. 01. 2015 and we also received the order copy of basic units (50+50) from the NCTE SRC dated SRO/NCTE /APS02484/ B.Ed/KA/2015-16/66267 dated 31.05.2015 , with this letter here we enclosed copy of earlier affidavit copy</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>You please consider our request and accepted for the needful action.</i></p> <p>The institution has submitted FDRs of Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs in reply to the revised order issued and original FDRS were returned to the institution vide this office letter dated 15.07. 2015.</p> <p>On 13.06.2016, the institution has submitted a representation seeking clarification regarding the APS0 number of the institution and has submitted a detailed explanation to the points in the revised order</p>				



		<p style="text-align: center;"><i>“ I the Principal ,shri Kumareswar College of Education , Hangal , Haveri , we are receiving an unknown APS0 number letter from SRO/NCTE,Bangalore APS03468/ B.Ed/KA is not our college APS0 number /SRO/NCTE/APS02484 is our APS0 number You please confirm the APS0 for next correspondence.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>This is to inform you that we are getting correspondence from your office for two APS0 numbers (i.e APS02484 and 3468) for the same college existing .But actually we are responding to your letter through APS02484-B.Ed</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>APS03468 is not existing and we are running only one college with application code APS02484.We request you to delete APS03468”</i></p> <p>Remarks :</p> <p>(1) It is observed from the records that the institution has submitted an affidavit affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014 with the File code APS02484 and a revised order is issued to the institution for offering B.Ed course of two years duration with an intake of 2 units of 50 students each in the file APS02484 which is D.Ed file .</p> <p>(2) There is no shifting condition in the revised order issued</p> <p>(3) The institution has submitted reply along with documents on 10.03.2016 to the revised order and point wise detailed explanation to the revised order on 13.06.2016.</p> <p>(4)On 13.06.2016 the institution has stated that they are receiving communication with two APS0 numbers (APS02484 and APS0 3468) and they are responding to APS02484. The institution has also stated that they are running only one college ith application code APS02484.</p> <p>(5)As per records , the institution was granted recognition for B.Ed course (APS03468) on 16.08.2000 and D.Ed course (APS02484) on 30.11.2004</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution received on 13.06.2016 and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. There are 2 courses B.Ed ( 3468) and D.El.Ed( 2484) .There is only one college .</b></li> <li><b>2. Apparently, they are confused , they think APS0 Nos was given for a college .It has to be clarified to know that APS0 Nos were given separately for each course</b></li> <li><b>3.Separate the two files accordingly. Collect complete documents separately for each file.</b></li> <li><b>4. Examine the documents and report in the next meeting</b></li> <li><b>5.Thereafter, we have to collect fees and cause inspection .</b></li> </ol>
30.	APS0 3637 B.P.Ed 1 unit Vivekanan daha college of Physical Education for Women,	<p><b>Vivekananda College of Physical Education for Women, Unjanai Village, Tiruchengodu Taluk, Namakkal District-637205, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p><b>Angammal Educaitional Trust, Namakkal District, Tamilnadu</b> has applied for grant of recognition to <b>Vivekananda College of Physical Education for Women, Unjanai Village, Tiruchengodu Taluk, Namakkal District-637205, Tamilnadu</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for offering <b>B.P.Ed</b> course of One year duration with an annual intake of 50 students from academic session 2005-06 under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the Formal Recognition order was issued to the institution on <b>18.10.2005</b>.</p>

	<p>Namakkal, Tamilnadu</p>	<p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the committee considered the matter, not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiencies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Affidavit not submitted affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014</b></li> </ul> <p>Accordingly, as directed by SRC Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation along with Affidavit and other documents on 06.06.2016 stating as follows:</p> <p><i>“With reference to the cited above, we are herewith submitting all the required documents of our Vivekanandha College of Physical Education for Women, Elayampalayam-637205, TiruchengodeTk, Namakkal Dt. Tamilnadu for Conducting B.P.Ed Programme for two years duration with annual intake of 100 Students, which require additional facilities, for your kind perusal and consideration.</i></p> <p><i>Hence, we request you to accept the documents enclosed and grant permission for the fulfilment of our college to run B.P.Ed Programme for the academic year 2016-17 under New Regulations at the earliest.”</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, request of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Affidavit, along with documents , have been received</b></li> <li><b>2. Issue of any RPRO at this stage has no significance</b></li> <li><b>3. Examine the documents and report in the next meeting</b></li> <li><b>4. Thereafter, we have to collect fees and cause inspection .</b></li> </ol>
<p>31.</p>	<p>APSO 3875 B.Ed 2 Units Christian college of Education, Viruudhunar, Tamilnadu</p>	<p><b>Christian College of Education, Mountzion, Cholapuram (South), Rajapalayam, Virudhunagar District-626139, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p><b>ChristhavaDharmasthapanaSangam, 6/541, 1<sup>st</sup> Main Road, Lakshmi Nagar, Pozhichalur, Chennai-74, Tamilnadu</b> has applied for grant of recognition to <b>Christian College of Education, Mountzion, Cholapuram (South), Rajapalayam, Virudhunagar District-626139, Tamilnadu</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for offering <b>B.Ed</b> course of One year duration with an annual intake of 100 students from the academic session 2005-06 under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 and the Formal Recognition order was issued to the institution on <b>23.03.2006</b>.</p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the committee considered</p>

		<p>the matter, not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiencies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Affidavit not submitted affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014</b></li> </ul> <p>Accordingly, as directed by SRC Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation along with Affidavit on 08.06.2016 stating as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>“I hereby submit the affidavit required by you in your show-cause notice: F.No.SRO/NCTE/APSO3875/B.Ed./TN/2015-16 dt. 20.05.2016.</i></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>I also understand that if sanctioned strength of our Institution is 100. Now I understood that it is two units. Now we decide that one unit is enough that is 50 students according to our present circumstances.</i></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>Kindly I request you to do needful and permit us to serve among the remote rural public.”</i></b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1.Affidavit has now been received</b></li> <li><b>2. They want only one unit of B.Ed</b></li> <li><b>3.Issue of any RPRO at this stage has no significance</b></li> <li><b>4.Collect all documents , examine and put up</b></li> <li><b>5. Thereafter , we have to collect fees and cause inspection.</b></li> </ol>
32.	<p>APSO 4192 M.Ed 1 Unit Dr. Anbupaul college of Education, Pondicherry,</p>	<p><b>Dr. Anbu Paul College of Education, Anbunagar, Thutipet-605502, Pondicherry.</b></p> <p><b>Pauls Educational Trust, 761, Thiruvattiyur High Raod, Madras-600081</b> has applied for grant of recognition to <b>Dr. Anbu Paul College of Education, Anbunagar, Thutipet-605502, Pondicherry</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for offering M.Ed course of One year duration with an annual intake of 25 students from academic session 2006-07 under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993.</p> <p>The Formal Recognition order was issued to the institution on 06.10.2006.</p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the committee considered the matter, not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016. The institution has submitted its written representation on 02.06.2016</p>

		<p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1.Affidavit has now been received</b></li> <li><b>2.Issue of any RPRO as this stage has no significance.</b></li> <li><b>3. Collect all documents examine and put up.</b></li> <li><b>4.Thereafter, we have to collect fees and cause composite Inspection.</b></li> </ol>
33.	<p>APSO 4281 B.Ed 2 Units Karunya University, Coimbatore  , Tamilnadu</p>	<p><b>Karunya University, Karunya Nagar, Coimbatore District-641114, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p><b>Karunya Institute of Technology &amp; Sciences, Karunya Nagar, Coimbatore-641114,Tamilnadu</b> has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Karunya University, Karunya Nagar, Coimbatore District-641114, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students. Recognition was granted to the institution on <b>31.08.2005</b>.</p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the committee considered the matter, not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiencies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Affidavit not submitted as per Regulations, 2014.</b></li> </ul> <p>Accordingly, as directed by SRC show cause notice was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation along with Affidavit on 01.06.2016 stated as follows:</p> <p><b><i>“We are in respect of your Show Cause Notice F.No.SRO/ NCTE/ APS04281/B.Ed/TN/2015-16/85571 dated 20/05/2016. The request from NCTE to submit an affidavit affirming adherence to Regulations 2014 was not responded promptly as the B.Ed program was kept in abeyance by the University at that point of time. We are currently willing to revive the program vide our letter dated 7<sup>th</sup> May 2016 (Copy Enclosed) from this academic year itself.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Kindly condone the non-submission of the affidavit in time. May I request you to kindly accept the affidavit duly signed by the university authorities and grant permission to restore the Education Programs (B.Ed and M.Ed) at the earliest.”</i></b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1.They have both B.Ed and M.Ed</b></li> <li><b>2.They say, B.Ed has been kept in abeyance. These is no provision for keeping courses in abeyance. If B.Ed is kept in abeyance ,M.Ed will become a ‘stand-alone’ course</b></li> <li><b>3. Issue of any RPRO at this stage has no significance. The university by their delayed</b></li> </ol>

		<p><b>submission of affidavit is responsible for this situation</b></p> <p><b>4. Collect all documents , examine and report</b></p> <p><b>5. Thereafter , we have to collect fees and cause composite inspection</b></p>
34.	<p>APSO 4590 B.P.Ed 1 Unit J.J. college of Physical Education, Pudukkotta i, Tamilnadu</p>	<p><b>J.J. College of Physical Education, J.J. Nagar, Sivapuram, Namanasamudram Post, Pudukkattai District-622404, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p><b>KarpagaVinayaga Educational Trust, Pudukottai District, Tamilnadu</b> has applied for grant of recognition to <b>J.J. College of Physical Education, J.J. Nagar, Sivapuram, Namanasamudram Post, Pudukkattai District-622404, Tamilnadu</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for offering B.P.Ed course of One year duration with an annual intake of 50 students under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993.</p> <p>The Formal Recognition order was issued to the institution on 09.04.2008.</p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the committee considered the matter, not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016. The institution has submitted its written representation on 02.06.2016</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>1. Affidavit has now been received .</b></p> <p><b>2. There is no point in issuing an RPRO at this stage. The college by their delayed submission of affidavit is responsible for this situation</b></p> <p><b>3. They want to operate a one year B.P.Ed course with 50 students .The new Regulations recognize only a two year B.P.Ed course with a basic unit of 100 students</b></p> <p><b>4. Issue SCN accordingly</b></p>
35.	<p>APSO 4756 M.Ed 1 Unit Dept. of P.G. Studies and research in education,</p>	<p><b>Bhatkal Education Trust's Department of Post Graduate Studies in Education, Sagar Road, Ayodhya Nagar, Bhatkal-581320, Uttara Kannada District, Karnataka.</b></p> <p><b>Bhatkal Education Trust's Department of Post Graduate Studies in Education, Sagar Road, Ayodhya Nagar, Bhatkal-581320, Uttara Kannada District, Karnataka</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for offering <b>M.Ed</b> course of <b>One year duration</b> with an annual intake of <b>25</b> Students from academic session 2008-2009 under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 and Recognition order was issued to the institution on 22.09.2008 enhancement of intake to 25 to 35 student was permitted vide this office order dated 25.08.2010.</p>

Uttara Kannada, Karnataka	<p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards on 31.05.2015 and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the Committee considered the matter and notice that the institution has not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiency's.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Affidavit not submitted affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014.</b></li></ul> <p>The Show cause Notice was issued to the institution on <b>20.05.2016</b></p> <p>The Institution has submitted written representation on <b>10.06.2016</b> as under:-</p> <p>“The Dept. of P.G Studies in Education (M.Ed) was started in 2007, with the recognition of NCTE and affiliation from Karnataka University Dharwad M.Ed Course waws successfully run in our centre till 2011-12. There after the number of aspirants for M.Ed has come down drastically. As a matter of fact we had only 16 &amp; 14 student with great difficulty for M.Ed during the year 2012- 13 and 2013-14 respectively during these years the students are reluctant to pay even the minimum fees. This was trend in other centres also under these circumstances it was quite difficult in all respect to run the M.Ed course in our center. Added to this the Karnataka University Dharwad has enhanced affiliation fees and annual fees from Rs.30,000/- to Rs. 80,000/- which was seriously enhanced the financial burden on the Institution we do not understand the rationale for this sudden and unreasonable enhancement and it is against the UNESCO Education Report 2009. Where in it was mentioned to encourage and support private sector participation in Higher Education. But it is unfortunate that the policies of University do not tune with the said report.</p> <p>Hence the affiliation during the year 2014-15 has not applied the University authority to permit us to apply for affiliation during subsequent year i.e 2015-16 and request to allot the University Quota if Students to M.Ed Course of our centre, with better hopes. In response to our request the university has replied that such provision is not in the rules of University and it has not allotted the University Quota of Students to our center. In addition to this the increase of duration of M.Ed Course from one year to two years (4 Semesters) and abnormal increase of fee structure has no hopes of the admissions to M.Ed course.</p> <p>Under such situations and with no hopes, the management was helpless to submit its willingness and Affidavit for fulfilling the revised norms and standards on 31.05.2015 But still it has maintained the Deposits in the Joint Account till now hoping that there may be favorable situation and encouragement for higher Education for the Private Sector by University or by NCTE (Copies enclosed for your kind reference)</p> <p>Now by observing the show cause notice, it appears that there may not be any such hopes mentioned above for Higher Education for private sector and hence the management has decided and directed to withdraw the said Deposits with immediate effect. Hence it is our submission to permit us to withdraw the Deposits”.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice and decided as under :-</p>
---------------------------------	---

		<p><b>1. They have not submitted the affidavit.</b>  <b>2. They have requested for closure of the M.Ed course</b>  <b>3. Permit closure and withdraw recognition for M.Ed w.e.f from 2014-15</b>  <b>4. Refund FDRs</b></p>
36.	<p>APSO 5099 M.Ed 1 Unit Sri vidyahiraj a model college of Teacher education, Kollam, Kerala</p>	<p><b>Sri Vidyadhiraja Charitable Society, Kollam District, Kerala</b> has applied for grant of recognition to <b>Sri Vidyadhiraja Model College of Teacher Education, Vendar P.O. Kottarakara, Kollam District-691 507, Kerala</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for offering <b>M.Ed</b> course of One year duration with an annual intake of 25students under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 and recognition order was issued to the institution on <b>17.03.2008</b>. Enhancement of intake from 25 to 35 students was permitted vide this office order dated <b>24.08.2010</b>.</p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the committee considered the matter and notice that the institution has not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiency.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Affidavit not submitted affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014.</b></li> </ul> <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 23.05.2016.</p> <p>On 06.06.2016, a notice reply was received by this office from the Manager, SVM Model HSS &amp; VHSS .</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice issued and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>1.Affidavit was not received earlier. Copy has been received now</b>  <b>2.Do they have B.Ed .Put up the B.Ed file also</b>  <b>3.Issue of any RPRO at this stage has no significance</b>  <b>4.Collect all documents , examine and put up</b>  <b>5.Thereafter,we have to collect fees for the 2 inspections and cause composite inspection</b>  <b>5.2 Conversion of the B.Ed course will also have to be pursued .</b></p>
37.	<p>APSO 6220 B.Ed 2 Units Govt. College of Education, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu</p>	<p><b>Government College of Education, Orathanadu, Thanjavur-614625, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p><b>Government College of Education, Orathanadu, Thanjavur-614625, Tamilnadu</b> has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Government College of Education, Orathanadu, Thanjavur-614625, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students. Recognition was granted to the institution on <b>13.02.2006</b>.</p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p>

		<p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the committee considered the matter, not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiencies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Affidavit not submitted affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014</b></li> </ul> <p>Accordingly, as directed by SRC Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 09.06.2016 stating as follows:</p> <p><i>“We didn’t conduct B.Ed (AI) course for 100 students with your permission from 13-02.2006 that is why we cannot understand this Show Cause Notice sent by you on 20.05.2016.</i></p> <p><i>We request you kindly send the clarification for your Show Cause Notice (Copy of Show Cause Notice Enclosed).”</i></p> <p><u>Note:</u></p> <p>The institution has submitted affidavit for the B.Ed (AOS00197) and the Revised Recognition order was issued to the institution on 30.05.2015.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1.They have B.Ed (0197) and B.Ed-AI (6220)</b></li> <li><b>2.They have not been operating B.Ed(AI) since long.</b></li> <li><b>3.Issue SCN for Withdrawal of recognition for B.Ed AI.</b></li> <li><b>4. RPRO for B.Ed was issued. Collect all documents, examine and put up in the B.Ed (0197)File.</b></li> <li><b>5. Thereafter, we have to collect fees and Cause inspection</b></li> </ol>
38.	APSO 6229 M.Ed 1 Unit AVS college of Education, Salem, Tamilnadu	<p><b>A.V.S. College of Education, Attur Main Road, Ramalingapuram, Salem-636106, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p><b>Om Sri Vivekanandha Educational Trsut, Salem District, Tamilnadu</b> has applied for grant of recognition to <b>A.V.S. College of Education, Attur Main Road, Ramalingapuram, Salem-636106, Tamilnadu</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for offering <b>M.Ed</b> course of One year duration with an annual intake of 25 students under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993.</p> <p>The Formal Recognition order was issued to the institution on 13.11.2006.</p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the committee considered the matter, not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiencies.</p>



		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Affidavit not submitted affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014</b></li></ul> <p>Accordingly, as directed by SRC Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 27.05.2016 stating as follows:</p> <p><b><i>“With reference to your letter third cited, I have to state that, I have already informed the trust’s unwillingness to run M.Ed., Degree Course in our college of Education in the letter second cited.”</i></b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. Affidavit not received</b></li><li><b>2. They have reported unwillingness to run the M.Ed course</b></li><li><b>3. Permit closure.</b></li><li><b>4. Withdraw recognition for M.Ed w.e.f 2015-16.</b></li><li><b>5 We have to pursue conversion of the B.Ed course, collect all documents examine and put up</b></li></ol>
39.	APSO 7075 B.Ed 2 Units Regional Institute of English, Bangalore, Karnataka	<p><b>Regional Institute of English, South India, Jnanabharathi Campus, Bangalore, Karnataka.</b></p> <p><b>Regional Institute of English, South India, Jnanabharathi Campus, Bangalore, Karnataka</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition for conducting <b>B.Ed</b> course with an annual intake of <b>100</b> students and was granted recognition on 23.05.2016.</p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards on 31.05.2015 and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> – 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the committee considered the matter and noticed that the institution has not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiencies.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>“Affidavit not submitted affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014.”</b></p> <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 26.05.2016. On 06.06.2016, a notice reply was received by this office from the Institution</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. Affidavit not received . Indefinite extension of time is sought. We have no power to entertain such requests.</b></li><li><b>2. Give them time till 30<sup>th</sup> Sept 2016 to file the affidavit along with all documents. Failing this we will be constrained to withdraw recognition.</b></li></ol>

40.	<p>APSO 7107 M.Ed 1 Unit Al-Badar M.Ed college, Gulbarga, Karnataka</p>	<p><b>AL-Badar M.Ed College, No.1-101, Behind PWD Quarters, Alwan-e-shahi Road, station Bazar, Gulbarga-585102, Karnataka</b></p> <p><b>Al-Badar Educational &amp; Charitable Trust, Gulbarga District, Karnataka</b> had applied for grant of recognition to <b>AL-Badar M.Ed Colleg, No.1-101, Behind PWD Quarters, Alwan-e-shahi Road, station Bazar, Gulbarga-585102, Karnataka</b> has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for offering <b>M.Ed</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of <b>25</b> students under section 14(1) of the NCTE Act 1993 recognition order was issued to the institution on <b>10.08.2002</b>. Enhancement of intake from 25 to 35 Students was permitted vide this office order dated 20.08.2010</p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards on 31.05.2016 and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the Committee considered the matter and noticed that the institution has not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiency.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Affidavit not submitted affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014.</b></li> </ul> <p>As per the decision of SRC a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 23.05.2016. The institution has submitted a written representation on 10.06.2016 as under :-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>The matter is attended on top priority basis and the Affidavit Adhering to the Regulation will be submitted in writing at the earliest.</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1.Their reply shows casualness. Affidavit is not given even now.</b></li> <li><b>2.Withdraw recognition w.e.f 2016-17.</b></li> <li><b>3.Their B.Ed course (APs01685) will require to be converted too. Collect all documents, examine and put up in the B.Ed file.</b></li> </ol>
41.	<p>APSO 8611 M.Ed     1 Unit Shankara Gowda college of education, Mandya, Karnataka</p>	<p><b>PET Post Graduate Center for Education &amp; Research Shankara Gowda College of Education, Manday -571401, Karnataka.</b></p> <p><b>People’S Education Trust, Mandya District, Karnataka</b>has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>PET Post Graduate Center for Education &amp; Research, Shankara Gowda College of Education, Manday -571401, Karnataka</b> for <b>M.Ed</b> course of <b>one</b> year duration with an annual intake of <b>25</b> students and was granted recognition on 03.09.2007. Enhancement of intake from 25 to 35 students was permitted vide this office order dated 24.08.2010.</p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards on 31.05.2015 and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> – 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the committee considered the matter and noticed that the institution has not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause</p>

		<p>Notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiencies.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>“Affidavit not submitted affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014.”</b></p> <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 26.05.2016.</p> <p>On 06.06.2016, a notice reply was received by this office from the Principal, SHankaragowda College of Education, Mandya</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>1.Their request for permission to close the M.Ed course is accepted.</b> <b>2. Withdraw recognition w.e.f 2015-16.</b> <b>3. Refund the FDRs.</b> <b>4. Their B.Ed course has to be converted. Collect all documents examine and put up on the B.Ed file.</b></p>
42.	APSO 9251 B.Ed 2 Units GKM college of Education, Bangalore, Karnataka	<p><b>G.K.M. B.Ed College, G.K.M. Educational Institutions Trust, 23/2, 23/5, G.K.M. College Road, J.P. Nagar Post, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore-560078, Karnataka.</b></p> <p><b>G.K.M. Educational Institutions Trust, 23/2, 23/5, G.K.M. College Road, J.P. Nagar Post, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore, Karnataka</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>G.K.M. B.Ed College, G.K.M. Educational Institutions Trust, 23/2, 23/5, G.K.M. College Road, J.P. Nagar Post, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore-560078, Karnataka</b> offering <b>Secondary (B.Ed)</b> course of <b>One year</b> duration with an annual intake of <b>100</b> students from academic session <b>2009-10</b> under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993. Recognition order was issued to the institution on 24.02.2009.</p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards on 31.05.2015 and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the committee considered the matter and noticed that the institution has not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiency.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>• Affidavit not submitted affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014.</b></p> <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016.</p> <p>On 03.06.2016, a notice reply was received by this office from the Secretary, GKM College of Education, J.P. Nagar, Bangalore</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>1. Affidavit is now received</b> <b>2. Issue of any RPRO at this stage has no significance.</b> <b>3. Collect all documents examine and put up.</b></p>

		<p><b>4. Give them time till 31.10.2016 to ensure adherence to new norms/standards</b></p> <p><b>5. Thereafter collect fees and Cause inspection</b></p>
43.	<p>APSO 0629</p> <p>M.Ed 1 unit</p> <p>Kannur University, Kannur, Kerala</p>	<p><b>Kannur University Department Palayad, Thalaseery Campus, Palayad Post, Kannur District-670661, Kerala.</b></p> <p><b>Kannur University Department Palayad, Thalaseery Campus, Palayad Post, Kannur District-670661, Kerala</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for offering <b>M.Ed</b> course of One year duration with an annual intake of 40students under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993andRecognition order was issued to the institution on <b>29.09.2005</b>. Enhancement of intake from 25 to 35 students was permitted vide this office order dated <b>20.08.2010</b>.</p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards on 31.05.2015 and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the Committee considered the matter and notice that the institution has not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiency's.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Affidavit not submitted affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014.</b></li> </ul> <p>As per the decision of SRC, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016. The institution has submitted reply to the show cause notice on 22.06.2016</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Affidavit is now received</b></li> <li><b>2. Issue of any RPRO at this stage has no significance.</b></li> <li><b>3. Collect all documents examine and put up.</b></li> <li><b>4. Give them time till 31.10.2016 to ensure adherence to new norms/standards</b></li> <li><b>5. Thereafter collect fees and Cause inspection</b></li> <li>6. Their B.Ed course if any will also required to be converted. Collect all documents including affidavit, examine and put up in the B.Ed file.</li> </ol>
44.	<p>AOSO 0198</p> <p>B.Ed 2 Units</p> <p>Governme nt college eof education for women, Coimbatore</p>	<p><b>Government College of Education for Women, Big Bazaar Street, Coimbatore District-640001,Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p><b>Government College of Education for Women, Big Bazaar Street, Coimbatore District-640001, Tamilnadu</b> has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Government College of Education for Women, Big Bazaar Street, Coimbatore District-640001,Tamilnadu</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students. Recognition was granted to the institution on <b>06.07.2000</b>.</p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards and the</p>

	Tamilnadu	<p>institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the committee considered the matter, not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016. The institution submitted its written representation on 01.06.2016</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Affidavit is now received</b></li> <li><b>2. Issue of any RPRO at this stage has no significance.</b></li> <li><b>3. Collect all documents examine and put up.</b></li> <li><b>4. Give them time till 31.10.2016 to ensure adherence to new norms/standards</b></li> <li><b>5. Thereafter collect fees and Cause inspection</b></li> <li><b>6. Their B.Ed course if any will also request to be converted. Collect all documents including affidavit, examine and put up in the B.Ed file</b></li> </ol>
45.	<p>APs09349 M.Ed 1 Unit</p> <p>JSS institute of Education, Mysore, Karnataka</p>	<p><b>JSS Institute of Education, JSS Mahavidyapeeth, Suttur, Nanjangur Taluk, Nanjangud, Mysore-571129, Karnataka.</b></p> <p><b>JSS Mahavidyapeetha, Ramanuja Road, Mysore, Karnataka</b> had applied for grant of recognition to <b>JSS Institute of Education, JSS Mahavidyapeeth, Suttur, Nanjangur Taluk, Nanjangud, Mysore-571129, Karnataka</b> and had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for offering <b>M.Ed</b> course of <b>One</b> year duration with an annual intake of <b>25</b> students from academic session 2009-2010 under Section 14 (1) of the NCTE Act, 1993. Recognition order was issued to the institution on 15.05.2009. Enhancement of intake from 25 to 35 students was permitted vide this office order 23.08.2010.</p> <p>A letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards on 31.05.2015 and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> – 16<sup>th</sup> Novembr, 2015 and the committee and the committee considered the matter and noticed that the institution has not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue show cause notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiency:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>• Affidavit not submitted affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014</b></li> </ul> <p>As per decision of SRC show cause notice was issued to the institution on 26.05.2016. On 25.07.2016, the institution has submitted reply to the show cause notice</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution to the Show Cause Notice and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1.Their reply is not acceptable.</b></li> <li><b>2.They have still not given the affidavit</b></li> <li><b>3.The M.Ed course is not being operated for want of enrollment What is the purpose in</b></li> </ol>

		<p><b>continuing such a situation ?</b> <b>4 Withdraw recognition w.e.f 2013-14.</b> <b>5.Refund the FDRs</b></p>
--	--	---

**Consideration of Appeal Case : (Volume- 1)**

46.	<p>APSO 2889 D.Ed 1 Unit</p> <p>Princeton school of Elementa ry Educatio n, Hyderab ad, Telangan a</p>	<p><b>Princeton School of Elementary Education, H.No.3-9-29, Sharadanagar, Ramanathapur, Hyderabad -500013, Telangana for D.Ed course.</b></p> <p><b>Vagdevi Educational Society, Sharadanagar, Hyderabad -500013, Telangana</b> has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Princeton School of Elementary Education, H.No.3-9-29, Sharadanagar, Ramanathapur, Hyderabad -500013, Telangana</b> for <b>D.Ed</b> course. The recognition was granted to the institution on 26.08.2005 for D.Ed course with annual intake of 50 students.</p> <p>On 16.05.2015 letter dated 06.05.2015 is received by this office vide Letter No Lr.No.16/N1-3/2014 regarding submission of Fake Fire Safety Certificates by the Private Elementary Teacher Education Colleges of Telangana (Copy enclosed) and the Director has requested for initiation of action against the college. The letter of Director is as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>'I wish to inform that Government vide memo 2<sup>nd</sup> cited directed to obtain No Objection Certificate for providing Fire Safety norms from the District Fire Officers concerned from all the Private Diploma in Elementary Teacher Education Colleges (D.Ed) before Participating in admission counselling for the academic year 2014-15.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>Accordingly all the managements of Private D.Ed,. Colleges have been requested to produce the No Objection Certificate issued by the District Fire Officers concerned. All the managements have submitted NOCs issued by the District Fire Officers and admission counselling for admission into D.Ed course for the year 2014-15 also over.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>Subsequently the District Wise NOCs issued by the District Fire Officers have been referred to concerned district vide reference 3<sup>rd</sup> read above for genuineness.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>In this connection, I Wish to inform that the District Fire Officers have confirmed the No Objection Certificate issued by them to the following colleges are not genuine and the same are submitted by the managements are FAKE.</i></p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 10%;">Sl. No</th> <th>Name of the College</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1.</td> <td><i>Balaji Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Formerly Maheshwara Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Maheshwaram, Narsampet(M), Warangal District-506331</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2.</td> <td><i>Sri Venkateshwara College of Education, Land Title No. 22228, Khasara No. 617/A, Plot No. 7-5/1, Borlam Street and Village, Banswada (M &amp; Town) Nizamabad District-503187.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">3.</td> <td><i>Vivekananda College of Education, H.No. 3-147, Mamidipally (V&amp;P), Armoor (M), Nizamabad District-503224.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">4.</td> <td><i>Sri Krishna D.Ed College, P.No. 25, Housing B.O Street, Perkit (V&amp;P), Armoor</i></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No	Name of the College	1.	<i>Balaji Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Formerly Maheshwara Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Maheshwaram, Narsampet(M), Warangal District-506331</i>	2.	<i>Sri Venkateshwara College of Education, Land Title No. 22228, Khasara No. 617/A, Plot No. 7-5/1, Borlam Street and Village, Banswada (M &amp; Town) Nizamabad District-503187.</i>	3.	<i>Vivekananda College of Education, H.No. 3-147, Mamidipally (V&amp;P), Armoor (M), Nizamabad District-503224.</i>	4.	<i>Sri Krishna D.Ed College, P.No. 25, Housing B.O Street, Perkit (V&amp;P), Armoor</i>
Sl. No	Name of the College											
1.	<i>Balaji Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Formerly Maheshwara Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Maheshwaram, Narsampet(M), Warangal District-506331</i>											
2.	<i>Sri Venkateshwara College of Education, Land Title No. 22228, Khasara No. 617/A, Plot No. 7-5/1, Borlam Street and Village, Banswada (M &amp; Town) Nizamabad District-503187.</i>											
3.	<i>Vivekananda College of Education, H.No. 3-147, Mamidipally (V&amp;P), Armoor (M), Nizamabad District-503224.</i>											
4.	<i>Sri Krishna D.Ed College, P.No. 25, Housing B.O Street, Perkit (V&amp;P), Armoor</i>											

			(M), Nizamabad District-503224.	
5.	S.V. College of Education, No. 174/1, 175/A, PNo.2-53, 1 <sup>st</sup> Street, Patha Rajampet (V&P) Kamareddy (M) Nizamabad District-503111.			
6.	Nayana Sri D.Ed College, Plot/Khasara No. 175/AA, Kondapak (V&P & Tq), Medak District-502372.			
7.	G.L. College of Elementary Education, Neredcharla Road, Sy.No.306, Durajpally Village & Post, Chivemla Taluk, Suryapet City, Nalgonda District-508213.			
8.	Chandana D.Ed College, Sy.No. 743 & 744, Pillalamarri (V&P), Suryapet (Tq& City), Nalgonda District-508213.			
9.	Vatsalya College of Education, Bangara Hills, Bhongir, Nalgonda District-508116.			
10.	Trinity College of Elementary Education, Station Road, Peddapally, Karimangar District-505172			
11.	Vani Niketan D.Ed College 7-1-68/1, Mukarampura (P), Karimnagar-505001.			
12.	Sahaja School of Education, No. 164, 1 <sup>st</sup> Street, Rangampalli (V), Peddapalli (M), Krimnagar District-505174.			
13.	Laxmi Nagireddy College of Diploma in Elementary Education, Plot No. 181/A, Rama Temple Street, Shanthinagar Road, Waddepally (P&M) Mahabubnagar.			
14.	Sri Vasavi Raja Pratap College of Elementary Education (D.Ed) New Town, Mahabubnagar District-509001.			
15.	Y.S.R Elementary Teacher Training Institute, K.No. 7906, Plot No. 84 5 <sup>th</sup> Street, Petbashirbag (V), Quthbullapur (P&Tq) Rangareddy District-501403.			
16.	MNR Elementary Teacher Education College (D.Ed) 1-23B/350, Bhagyanagar Phase-III, Near HMT Hills Colony, Opp. JNTU< Kukatpally, Rangareddy District-500085.			
17.	St. Ann's Institute of Elementary Teacher Education for Women, Malkajgiri, Hyderabad, Rangareddy District-500047.			
18.	SSV D.Ed College, Khasara No. 239/A, Plot No. 1-23/1, Phase No. 1, Almasguda Village, Badangpet Post, Saroornagar Taluk & Mandal, Rangareddy District.			
19.	Vailankanni Elementary Teacher Education, No. 6-2-13, Shivarampally, NPA Post, Rajendranagar, Municipality, Rangareddy District-500052.			
20.	Bugudi Tulasidas D.Ed College, Sy.No. 83, Plot No.1, Tandur Village, Post & Taluk, Rangareddy District-501141.			
21.	Princeton School of Elementary Education, H.No. 3-9-29, Sharadanagar, Ramanthapur, Hyderabad District-500013.			
22.	Anwar-Ul-Uloom College of Elementary Education, New Malakpet, Hyderabad-500001.			

In view of the above, I request the Regional Director, NCTE Bangalore to initiate action as per section 17 (1) & (30) of NCTE Act-73 of 1993.

The college referred to in this SCN is at Sl.No.21 of the list given above.

The SRC in its 290<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 10<sup>th</sup>-11<sup>th</sup> July, 2015 considered the letter from the Director School Education Department, Telangana State and decided as under:

1. In A.P. & Telangana, Director (S.E) is the chairman of the Affiliation Committee.

2. Issue Show Cause Notice to the institutions named for withdrawal of recognition.
3. Subsequently, we should consider criminal action against the institutions that supplied fake fire certificate.

As per the decision of SRC, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 04.09.2015. The institution has submitted its reply on 28.09.2015.

The SRC in its 293<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup>-31<sup>st</sup> October, 2015 considered the replies of the institution and it has decided as under:

*“Send the reply, received from the 22 teacher Education institutions to show cause notice for withdrawal of recognition, to the director of TSSCERT, for comments at the earliest for taking further action. Also inform the TSSCERT, that as of now recognition has not been withdrawn incase of any of these institutions”.*

As per the decision of SRC, the replies of the institutions are sent to the Director, SCERT on 02.11.2015. The Government of Telangana School Education Department submitted its written representation on 27.11.2015.

The SRC in its 295<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup>-30<sup>th</sup> November & 01<sup>st</sup> December, 2015 considered the letter received from the Director of Education Government of Telangana and it has decided as under:

1. SCERT has certified the revised certificates as genuine for 18 TEIs. Close the Complaint-case relating to these 18 TEIs.
2. For the 4 TEIs that have not cared to produce any revised genuine certificate, withdraw recognition w.e.f 2015-16.

**As per the decision of SRC, withdrawal recognition order was issued to the institution on 30.01.2016.**

Aggrieved by the withdrawal order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE Hqrs and the appellate authority order dated 04.07.2016 has stated as follows:

*“AND WHEREAS Sh. Sudheer Kumar, Director, Princeton School of Elementary Education, Hyderabad, Telangana presented the case of the appellant institution on 28.05.2016. In the appeal and during personal presentation and in a letter dt.,28.05.2016 it was submitted that “in their reply to the SRC on 21.09.2015 they have submitted the payment receipt (amt. Rs.30.000) for issue of NOC certificate by District Fire Officer and indicated that NOC would be submitted soon. It is also submitted that they have made genuine efforts to obtain revised NOC certificate which is being submitted now. The appellant further submitted that their institution is an old institution imparting quality education and they may be granted recognition for2015-16. The appellant enclosed a copy of Fire No Objection Certificate dt.18.03.2016 issued by the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation”.*

*AND WHEREAS the committee, noting that the appellant has since obtained the Fire No Objection Certificate, concluded that the mater deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the certificate and take a fresh decision. The*



		<p><i>appellant is directed to submit a copy of the Fire No Objection Certificate to the SRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.</i></p> <p><i>AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, <u>the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to consider the certificate and take a fresh decision. The appellant is directed to submit a copy of the Fire No Objection Certificate to the SRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby remands back the case of Princeton School of Elementary Education, Hyderabad, Telangana to the SRC, NCTE for necessary action indicated above”.</u></i></p> <p>Meantime, the institution has submitted its written representation on 14.07.2016 along with photocopy of <b>Fire No Objection certificate dated 18.03.2016 issued by District Fire Officer, Fire Prevention Wing.</b> The letter stated as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>“....Fire NOC for our building with D.No.3-9-29, Sharada Nagar, Ramanathapur, Hyderabad -500013 as directed by NCTE, New Delhi, for your kind consideration and early action in granting recognition for our institution 2015-16.</i></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i><u>I enclose herewith following and request you to consider our institution for recognition for the year 2015-16. I request you to look into the matter and do the needful at the earliest”.</u></i></b></p> <p>The Committee considered the appellate authority order , representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>Process</b></p>
47.	<p>SRC APP 1094 D.Ed 1 Unit</p> <p>Nayana Sri D.Ed college, Medak, Karnatak a</p>	<p><b>Nayana Sri D.Ed College, Plot / Khasara No.175/AA, Kondapak Village and Post Office, Kondapak Taluk and Town, Medak District 502372, Andhra Pradesh.</b></p> <p><b>Nayana Sri Educational Society, Plot No. 175/aa, Kondapak Road, Kondapak Village and Post Office, Kondapak Town and Taluk Medak District 502372, Andhra Pradesh</b> had submitted an online application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE on <b>29.09.2011</b> and physical application on <b>03.10.2011</b> for grant recognition for <b>D.El.Ed</b> course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 students at <b>Nayana Sri D.Ed College, Plot / Khasara No.175/AA, Kondapak Village and Post Office, Kondapak Taluk and Town, Medak District 502372, Andhra Pradesh.</b> The recognition was granted to the institution on 30.08.2012 from the academic session 2012-2013 with annual intake of 50 students.</p> <p>A letter from SCERT, Education Department, Govt of Andhra Pradesh dt. 03.04.2013 received on 25.04.2013 was placed before SRC in its 244<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 9-11 May 2013 and the committee decided to issue a notice to the institution. Accordingly, notice was issued to the institution on 07.06.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 27.06.2013.</p>

The SRC in its 252<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 13-14 September 2013 considered the matter and it has decided that Formal Recognition issued earlier is confirmed. Accordingly, a letter was sent to institution on 09.10.2013 to intimate the decision of SRC.

A letter has been received from Shri. Gopal Reddy, Director, SCERT, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad on 25.2.2014 and 26.2.2014, enclosing a letter from Commissioner and Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh to Regional Director, SRC, NCTE, Bangalore dated 23.12.2013 to communicate the order passed on the detailed report submitted to NCTE, Bangalore in respect of (41) D.Ed colleges who have made admissions during 2012-13 at their on in violation of admission rules and to take necessary action as per NCTE rules.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 268<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 4<sup>th</sup> & 5<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 considered the matter, letter dated 25.02.2014 & 26.02.2014 from Shri Gopal Reddy, Director, SCERT, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, letter dated 23.12.2013 and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition for the violations of Regulation 8 (12) of 2009 and 3 (3) of the Norms and Standards for D.El.Ed course, 2009, as reported by the affiliating body in respect of 41 D.Ed college who have made admissions during 2012-13 at their own in violation of admission rules issued by the State Govt. of A.P.

As per the decision of SRC, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 07.08.2014. The institution has submitted a written representations on 26.08.2014 along with court orders in WP No.15921 of 2014, W.P.M.P. No.6030,6046,6049,6057, 6063, 6067,6068,6096,6112,6176,6178,6179,6180,6183, 6185,6186,6188,6190,6191 6192 of 2014, and 6234, 6235, 6236, 6243, 6244, 6253,6256,6269,6272,6279,6305, & 6306 of 2014. Court cases filed against the Government of Andhra Pradesh, Telangana Government, Commissioner & Director of School Education, Director-SCERT, Director of Government Examinations.

The institution has submitted its written representation on 26.08.2014. In its letter stated as follows:-

*“We submitted that in our application for grant of recognition to Nayana Sri D.EdCollege, the land document submitted has shown the Sy. No. 175/AA, SRC, NCTE has granted recognition on the date 30.08.2012.*

*Now during a recent survey, the land submitted by us is observed to be in Sy. No.156/AA instead of 175/AA.*

*We therefore request the authorities to issue a rectification order to this effect.”*

The SRC in its 273<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 30 September & 1<sup>st</sup> October 2014 Committee considered the matter, reply of the institution vide letter dated 26.08.2014, Honb'le High Court of Andhra Pradesh order dated 11.06.2014, & 04.03.2014 decided as under:

1). the Law is clear on this issue. The Supreme Court has also given specific directions. Once 'recognition' is given by NCTE, the affiliating body shall affiliate. If they have any problem, they have to take it up with NCTE. In this case, the High Court has also reiterated this position. In their reply to our show cause notice, the institution has clarified that all the

actions were taken in compliance of the High Court order. The State Government and the SCERT will, therefore, be well advised to comply with the High Court order.

Further, the Committee advised Southern Regional Office that:

2). As regards change of Sy. Numbers obtain documents and put up for processing and inspection after notification of the new Regulations.

A letter was sent to School Education Department & SCERT on 07.11.2014 regarding action taken against the institution as per SCERT letter dated 25.02.2014 & 26.02.2014.

An e-mail has been received from NCTE-Hqrs regarding guidelines for processing of pending applications on 18.12.2014. Accordingly, a letter was sent to institution on 19.12.2014 to submit willingness affidavit as per regulations 2014. The reply not received from the institution.

The SRC in its 283<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 2-3 March 2015 considered the matter and it has decided that “wherever SRO has sent letters to existing institutions new applications calling for such affidavits, a reminder may issue to ascertain their interest. In case of no response, withdraw recognition reject the application w.e.f. 2015-16.

**Accordingly, reminder letter was issued to the institution on 24.03.2015 along with intimating the SRC decision. No reply was received from the institution.**

A letter is received from the Director of School Education Government of Telangana Hyderabad Lr.No.16/N1-3/2014 dated 06.05.2015 stating as under:

*'I wish to inform that Government vide memo 2<sup>nd</sup> cited directed to obtain No Objection Certificate for providing Fire Safety norms from the District Fire Officers concerned from all the Private Diploma in Elementary Teacher Education Colleges (D.Ed) before Participating in admission counseling for the academic year 2014-15.*

*Accordingly all the managements of Private D.Ed,. Colleges have been requested to produce the No Objection Certificate issued by the District Fire Officers concerned. All the managements have submitted NOCs issued by the District Fire Officers and admission counseling for admission into D.Ed course for the year 2014-15 also over.*

Sl.No	Name of the College
1.	Balaji Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Formerly Maheshwara Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Maheshwaram, Narsampet(M), Warangal District-506331
2.	Sri Venkateshwara College of Education, Land Title No. 22228, Khasara No. 617/A, Plot No. 7-5/1, Borlam Street and Village, Banswada (M & Town) Nizamabad District-503187.
3.	Vivekananda College of Education, H.No. 3-147, Mamidipally (V&P), Armoor (M), Nizamabad District-503224.

4.	<i>Sri Krishna D.Ed College, P.No. 25, Housing B.O Street, Perkit (V&amp;P), Armour (M), Nizamabad District-503224.</i>
5.	<i>S.V. College of Education, No. 174/1, 175/A, PNo.2-53, 1<sup>st</sup> Street, PathaRajampet (V&amp;P) Kamareddy (M) Nizamabad District-503111.</i>
6.	<i>Nayana Sri D.Ed College, Plot/Khasara No. 175/AA, Kondapak (V&amp;P &amp;Tq), Medak District-502372.</i>
7.	<i>G.L. College of Elementary Education, Neredcharla Road, Sy.No.306, Durajpally Village &amp; Post, Chivemla Taluk, Suryapet City, Nalgonda District-508213.</i>
8.	<i>ChandanaD.Ed College, Sy.No. 743 &amp; 744, Pillalamarri (V&amp;P), Suryapet (Tq &amp; City), Nalgonda District-508213.</i>
9.	<i>Vatsalya College of Education, Bangara Hills, Bhongir, Nalgonda District-508116.</i>
10.	<i>Trinity College of Elementary Education, Station Road, Peddapally, Karimangar District-505172</i>
11.	<i>Vani NiketanD.Ed College 7-1-68/1, Mukarampura (P), Karimnagar-505001.</i>
13.	<i>LaxmiNagireddy College of Diploma in Elementary Education, Plot No. 181/A, Rama Temple Street, Shanthinagar Road, Waddepally (P&amp;M) Mahabubnagar.</i>
14.	<i>Sri Vasavi Raja Pratap College of Elementary Education (D.Ed) New Town, Mahabubnagar District-509001</i>
15.	<i>Y.S.R Elementary Teacher Training Institute, K.No. 7906, Plot No. 84 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Petbashirbag (V), Quthbullapur (P&amp;Tq) Rangareddy District-501403.</i>
16.	<i>MNR Elementary Teacher Education College (D.Ed) 1-23B/350, Bhagyanagar Phase-III, Near HMT Hills Colony, Opp. JNTU&lt;Kukatpally, Rangareddy District-500085.</i>
17.	<i>St. Ann's Institute of Elementary Teacher Education for Women, Malkajgiri, Hyderabad, Rangareddy District-500047.</i>
18.	<i>SSV D.Ed College, Khasara No. 239/A, Plot No. 1-23/1, Phase No. 1, Almasguda Village, Badangpet Post, Saroornagar Taluk &amp; Mandal, Rangareddy District.</i>
19.	<i>Vailankanni Elementary Teacher Education, No. 6-2-13, Shivarampally, NPA Post, Rajendranagar, Municipality, Rangareddy District-500052.</i>
20.	<i>BugudiTulasidasD.Ed College, Sy.No. 83, Plot No.1, Tandur Village, Post &amp; Taluk, Rangareddy District-501141.</i>
21.	<i>Princeton School of Elementary Education, H.No. 3-9-29, Sharadanagar, Ramanthapur, Hyderabad District-500013.</i>
22.	<i>Anwar-UI-Uloom College of Elementary Education, New Malakpet, Hyderabad-500001.</i>

*Subsequently the District Wise NOCs issued by the District Fire Officers have been referred to concerned district vide reference 3<sup>rd</sup> read above for genuineness*

*In this connection, I Wish to inform that the District Fire Officers have confirmed the No Objection Certificate issued by them to the following colleges are not genuine and the same are submitted by the managements are FAKE.*

*In view of the above, I request the Regional Director, NCTE Bangalore to initiate action as per section 17 (1) & (30 of NCTE Act-73 of 1993.*

The college referred to in this SCN is at Sl.No.2 of the list given above.

The matter was placed before SRC in its 290<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 10<sup>th</sup>& 11<sup>th</sup> July 2015.

The SRC in its 290<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 10<sup>th</sup>& 11<sup>th</sup> July 2015, considered the matter, letter from the Director School Education Department, Telangana State and decided to:

- Issue Show Cause Notice to the institution for withdrawal of recognition for submission of fake fire certificate by the college.

Accordingly, show cause notice was issued on 04.09.2015. The institution has submitted its written representation on 25.09.2015. In its letter stated as follows:-

*I, the correspondent of Nayana Sri D.Ed College, V&M: Kondapak District, Medak, do here by submit that I am not at all aware about production of the said fake document. I came to know only after receiving Show Cause Notice by SCERT, Telangana. I tender my unconditional and sincere apology for such an act.*

*In the academic year 2014-15 we submitted all the necessary documents required by SCERT, Telangana. Our intention was very much clear to obtain renewal of affiliation for the year 2014-15.*

*As the work was assigned to one of our staff members, he prepared application and handed over the same to an agent for obtaining the certificate from the Fire Officer concerned. Later on, the said agent handed over the certificate to our staff member for submitting the same. Our staff member prepared all the documents along with the certificate and arranged the same for our approval. Concerned authority of our institution bonafidely believing that all the documents and certificates are in order and believed that there is no difficulty in submitting the same SCERT, Telangana. This mistake whatever occurred as SCERT Show Cause Notice shows has occurred inadvertently and not intentionally. Therefore, our intention was not to play the fraud, moreover, it is not beneficial for the institution to play any fraud and looking into our past clean record we already submitted rectified fire NOC to SCERT, Telangana. Your good self will consider our case as a bonafide mistake occurred in submitting the papers and therefore, your good self wil pardon and regularize.*

*Meanwhile, we humbly request your good self to consider the past clean record of our institution and not to initiate any action with regard to withdrawn of recognition.*

*I, therefore, request you to withdraw the above Show Cause Notice and give the institution once chance to maintain the reputation of the institution.*

The SRC in its 293<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup>-31<sup>st</sup> October, 2015 considered the replies of the institution and it has decided as under:

*“Send the reply, received from the 22 teacher Education institutions to show cause notice for withdrawal of recognition, to the director of TSSCERT, for comments at*

*the earliest for taking further action. Also inform the TSSCERT, that as of now recognition has not been withdrawn incase of any of these institutions”.*

As per the decision of SRC, the replies of the institutions are sent to the Director, SCERT on 02.11.2015. The Government of Telangana School Education Department submitted its written representation on 27.11.2015.

The SRC in its 295<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup>-30<sup>th</sup> November & 01<sup>st</sup> December, 2015 considered the letter received from the Director of Education Government of Telangana and it has decided as under:

1. SCERT has certified the revised certificates as genuine for 18 TEIs. Close the Complaint-case relating to these 18 TEIs.
2. For the 4 TEIs that have not cared to produce any revised genuine certificate, withdraw recognition w.e.f 2015-16.

As per the decision of SRC, withdrawal recognition order was issued to the institution on 21.01.2016.

Aggrieved by the withdrawal order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE Hqrs and the appellate authority order dated 04.07.2016 has stated as follows:

*“WHEREAS the appeal of Nayana Sri D.Ed College, Kondapak, Medak, Telangana dated 25.03.2016 is against the order No.SRO/SRCAPP1094/D.Ed/TS/2015-16/80586 dated 21.01.2016 of Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the grounds that 1. SCERT has certified the revised certificates as genuine for 18 TEIs close the complaint-case relating to these 18 TEIs. 2. For the TEIs that have not cared to produce any revised genuine certificate, withdraw recognition w.e.f 2015-16”.*

*AND WHEREAS Sh. D.Venkataiah, Manager and Ms. Saritha, Clerk Nayana Sri D.Ed College, Kondapak, Medak, Telangana presented the case of the appellant institution on 30.05.2016. IN the appeal and during personal presentation and in a letter dt. 30.05.2016 it was submitted that “they paid the amount and necessary fittings were made to get Fire NOC but they were cheated by mediators. Later, they obtained FIRE NOC from the District Fire Officer, but they have not submitted in the office, SCERT TS. They thought that, the SCERT, TS may ask to submit the Fire NOC for the college. The appellant requested to continue recognition to their college. The appellant enclosed a copy of the certificate dt.07.09.2015 issued by the Dist. Fire Officer, Medak, State Disaster Response & Fire Services Department, Government of Telangana.*

*AND WHEREAS the committee, noting that the appellant has obtained the requisite certificate from the Dist. Fire Officer, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the certificate and take a fresh decision. The appellant is directed to submit a copy of the certificate to the SRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.*

*AND WHEREAS After perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the*

hearing, the committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to consider the certificates and take a fresh decision. The appellant is directed to submit a copy of the certificate to the SRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby remands back the case of Nayana Sri D.Ed College, Kondapak, Medak, Telangana to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.

Meantime, the institution has submitted its written representation on 19.07.2016 along with documents. The letter stated as follows:

*“...referenced 3 cited above, we approached to District Fire Officer for obtain fire No Objection Certificate and paid amount of Rs. 8100-00 to the department through Challan no.000034015 dt.29.01.2015 and fitted fire equipments through bill no.004 dt.31.01.2015 and submitted all necessary documents to the District fire Officer with help of fire agent and obtained Fire NOC and submitted to SCERT-TS withoutany cross checking with Department due to very near cutoff date by SCERT, TS.*

*Referenced 4 cited above, we received a letter from SCERT- TS, shocked regarding our fire NOC is fake. Finally we submitted written explanation to the SCERT-TS dated 11.04.2015.*

*Referenced 5 cited above, we received show cause letter from your office of F.SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP1094/D.El.Ed/TS/2015-16/73984, Dt.04.09.2015 and submitted written explanation to your office on 25.09.2015.*

*Referenced 5 cited above, we received order from your office NCTE-SRC about withdrawn of our college recognition and worried very much.*

*Explanation:*

*We paid rupees 8100-00 and purchased and fitted fire safety equipment, submitted all necessary documents to the district fire officer with help of fire agent. Here our intention is very clear to obtain fire NOC but here we cheated by agent. (Informed same matter to the SCERT-TS and SRC-NCTE and accepted same, given permission to 18 colleges out of 22 colleges).*

*SCERT –TS and NCTE-SRC are not asked to produce genuine fire NOC to their office through their office through their letters referenced above 3 & 4.*

*We perused and rectified fake fire NOC, and approached to the Fire Officer directly and paid fresh fee through Challan and genuine fire NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATE, Rc No.1773/A1/2015, dated 07.09.2015.*

*And we attended before to the appeal committee on 30.05.2016 and explained same matter.*

*The appeal committee accepted our appeal and necessary direction given to your*

		<p><i>office.</i></p> <p><i>Here I am submitting genuine fire NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATE, Rc No.1773/A1/2015, dated 07.09.2015 issued by District Fire Office of Medak District –TS.</i></p> <p><i>Please accept the same and consider our case to revoke recognition to our college”.</i></p> <p>Remarks:</p> <p>The institution has submitted photocopy of Fire No Objection certificate dated 07.09.2015 issued by District Fire Officer, Medak District.</p> <p>Note:</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC in its 283<sup>rd</sup> meeting, the institution has not submitted willingness affidavit as per regulation 2014</p> <p>The Committee considered the appellate authority order , representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>Process</b></p>
48.	<p>APS0 3605 APS0 1132 APS0 3607 APS0 7474</p> <p>B.Ed 2 unit D.T.Ed 1 unit D.T.Ed- AI 2 units M.Ed 1 unit</p> <p>C.S. Jain Teacher Training Institute &amp; C.S.Jain College of</p>	<p><b>C.S. Jain Teacher Training Institute &amp; C S Jain College of Education, Cuddalore District, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p>C.S. Jain Teacher Training Institute, Chidambaram Road, Thethampet, Srimushuam, Cuddalore, Tamilnadu submitted application was granted recognition for offering <b>D.T.Ed</b> course on <b>09.09.2004</b>, <b>D.T.Ed-AI</b> on <b>25.08.2005</b>, <b>B.Ed</b> on <b>29.12.2005</b>, <b>M.Ed</b> on <b>02.11.2007</b>.</p> <p>In the meantime NCTE, Hqrs vide its letter dated 20.05.2011 has forwarded a copy of the inspection report dated 25.09.2010 conducted <b>Under Section 13 of NCTE Act</b>. The deficiencies observed from the inspection report were communicated to the institution vide office letter no.13-8/2010/NCTE/SEC13/Insp/T.N-13/A30870 dated 08.02.2011, which was replied by the institution vide its letters dated 15.04.2011. The present/latest status of deficiencies is also enclosed.</p> <p>Keeping all the facts in view NCTE, Hqrs has requested SRC, to look into the matter to ascertain the adherence of relevant NCTE norms &amp; standards by the institution and to take appropriate action in the matter under intimation to NCTE-Hqrs.</p> <p>The SRC in its 206<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 9-10 June 2011 considered Committee considered the VT Report, reply of the institution dt.15.04.2011, and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve the Show cause Notice Under Section 17 of NCTE ACT for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The institution has not submitted the legible copy of building plan hence its approval cannot be ascertained. The competent civic authority has not issued the building completion certificates.</li> <li>• The authenticity of the claim of the institution cannot be ascertained that it has added 18 computers after the inspection/issue of NCTE deficiency letter. The stock register entry</li> </ul>



Education, Cuddalore, Tamilnadu	<p>has not been indicated on the invoices/bills, submitted by the institution.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The institution has not mentioned the approval of affiliating body in respect of three lecturers D. Kalalaivanan(SI.no.3), A.Amalilssabella (Sl.No. 8) &amp; K. Sakthivel (Sl.no.9).</li><li>• B.Ed course: The institution has mentioned that it has one principal and six lecturers. Two lecturers L. Ithavaventh (Sl.no.5) &amp; A.ArunMozhi (sl.No.7) are not qualified as per NCTE norms prevailing at the time of their appointment. As per NCTE norms the institution should have 1+7 lecturers for B.Ed course.</li><li>• M.Ed Course: The institution has mentioned that it has one Principal, one Vice Principal/Reader and three lecturers. The Principal K. Balakrishnan (sl.no. 1) does not have the P.hD (Edn) degree hence, not qualified as per NCTE norms prevailing at the time of his appointment.</li><li>• The institution has not submitted the list of teaching staff of B.Ed &amp;M.Ed approved by the Affiliating University.</li><li>• No documentary evidence is submitted by the institution that salary to its staff is paid as per UGC/Central/State Govt. scale.</li><li>• The Accessions register entry has not been indicated on this invoice/bills regarding the claim of the institution that it has purchased 1000 books and added to the library, and subscribed 5 journals and added 200 more books to the reference section.</li></ul> <p>Accordingly, show cause notice was issued on 08.07.2011. Reply not received so far.</p> <p>In the meantime, the institution has submitted its willingness affidavit as per regulation 2014 on 30.01.2015. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 27.05.2015 for B.Ed course with a condition that the institution has not replied to the show cause notice dated 08.07.2011.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation/reply (Show Cause Notice dated 08.07.2011) on 31.07.2015 along with documents.</p> <p>The SRC in its 292<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup>-31<sup>st</sup> October, 2015 considered the written reply of the institution on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and it has decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <b>The Institution appears to have taken this case very casually.</b></li><li>2. <b>Several deficiencies still persists.</b></li><li>3. <b>The documents produced eg. The staff list-are also quite old.</b></li><li>4. <b>Withdraw recognition w.e.f 2015-16. If some students have already been admitted they may be adjusted in other colleges.</b></li><li>5. <b>Ask the University and SCERT to stop sponsoring students forthwith.</b></li><li>6. <b>Ask the University/SCERT to disaffiliate the institutions forthwith.</b></li></ol> <p>Based on the above points the committee decides to withdraw the recognition of the B.Ed (APS03605), D.T.Ed(APS01132), D.T.Ed-AI(APS03607) &amp; M.Ed(APS07474) courses run by the C.S Jain eacher Training Institute &amp; C.S. Jain College of Education, Cuddalore, Tamilnadu from the academic year 2015-16, if some students have already been admitted they may be adjusted</p>
---------------------------------------	--

in other colleges.

**As per the decision of SRC, Withdrawal recognition order was issued to the institution on 23.11.2015 for B.Ed (APS03605), D.T.Ed(APS01132), D.T.Ed-AI(APS03607) & M.Ed(APS07474).**

A court notice has received from the Honb'le High Court of Madras in WP No.35165 of 2015 regarding appear before court on 05.11.2015. Accordingly, a letter was sent to the advocate RamakrishnaReddy on 15.12.2015.

a letter received from the advocate along with the copy of court order in MP Nos 1 & 2 of 2015 of W.P No. 35165 of 2015 filed by C.S. Jain Educational Trust, Thethampet, Cuddalore District, Tamilnadu.

**The Court order stated as follows:**

***“Though the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has made his submission on merits, the learned counsel appearing for the respondent submitted that the stage is premature. What has been done is only a decision of the meeting held. This would be followed by comprehensive reasoned order, which would be communicated to the petitioner. Therefore, the petitioner is not a person aggrieved at this stage”.***

***Considering the said submission, this court is of the view that the petitioner can very well await the reasoned order to be communicated to him. In the event of the said order being against the petitioner, then it is well open to the petitioner to take recourse to law, if so advised.***

***Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of as premature at this stage leaving all the issues open. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.***

The SRC in its 299<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup> -21<sup>st</sup> January, 2016 considered the court order and it has noted the matter.

Aggrieved by the withdrawal order of SRC, the institution has preferred an appeal to NCTE Hqrs and the appellate authority order dated 02.05.2016 stating as follows:

***“WHEREAS, the appeal of C.S Jain College of Education, Cuddalore, Tamilnadu dated 28.12.2015 is against the order No.SRO/APS01132/D.T.Ed/TN/2015-16/78128 dated 23/11/2015 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.T.Ed course on the grounds that “The institution appears to have taken this case very casually . Several deficiencies still persists. The documents produced i.e the staff list –are also quite old. Withdraw recognition w.e.f 2015-16. If some students have already been admitted be adjusted in other colleges. Ask the University and SCERT to stop sponsoring students forthwith. Ask the University/SCERT to disaffiliate the institutions forthwith.***

***AND WHEREAS, Sh.S Mahaveer Chand, Secretary & Correspondent, C.S. Jain College of Education, Cuddalore, Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.2016. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “The institution which were started by a Jain Minority Community Trust with adequate infrastructure facilities like permanent own building (for each courses and from starting of the institutions itself) in our own trust land, qualified staff and lab, Library and other necessary facilities for smooth running of the***

		<p><i>institutions with a service motive and earned good name and reputation in the rural downtrodden community people without any restriction as to caste, religion”.</i></p> <p><i>AND WHEREAS appeal Committee perused the impugned order dated 23.11.2015 and noted that though a single order recognition granted to appellatant institution between 2004 to 2007 for courses like D.T.Ed., D.T.Ed (Addl), B.Ed and M.Ed were withdrawn from the academic session 2015-2016. Appeal Committee noted that a show cause notice (S.C.N) dated 08.07.2011 was issued by SRC on eight grounds which are briefly mentioned below:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>Non furnishing legible copy of the building plan.</i></li> <li>2. <i>Lack of entry on invoice/bills relating to 18 computers being entered in stock register.</i></li> <li>3. <i>Non mentioning approval of affiliating body in respect of three lectures.</i></li> <li>4. <i>Inadequate faculty for B.Ed.</i></li> <li>5. <i>Principal for M.Ed course does not possess required qualification.</i></li> <li>6. <i>List of teaching staff for B.Ed &amp; M.Ed approved buy affiliating body not furnished.</i></li> <li>7. <i>No documentary evidences of payment of salary as per UGC/state Government scales.</i></li> <li>8. <i>Accession register entry not on the invoice/bills in respect of books .</i></li> </ol> <p><i>AND WHEREAS, appeal committee noted that reasons given for withdrawing recognition in the impugned order dated 23.11.2015 are cryptical. The withdrawal order should have made on specific grounds for each individual courses and the suggestive action as narrated on serial no.4 to 6 of the withdrawal order should not have been included in the reasons for withdrawal. Such a sketchy withdrawal order has also prevented the appellatant to prepare and put up a proper appeal memoranda. The impugned order dated 23.11.2015 is therefore, set aside with the direction that SRC Bangalore should examine in detail the requirement for each individual course treating it as a composite institution and then pass a reasoned and speaking order.</i></p> <p><i>AND WHEREAS, after perusal of the memoranda of Appeal, affidavit documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, <u>Appeal Committee concluded to set aside the withdrawal order dated 23.11.2015 with the direction that SRC, Bangalore should examine in detail the requirement for each individual course treating it as a composite institution and then pass a reasoned and speaking order”.</u></i></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, appellate authority order dated 02.05.2016 and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>Process each course separately</b></p>
--	--	--

**Consideration of Change of Name : (Volume- 1)**

49.	APS03531 B.Ed 2 Units  Bharathiyar college of Education, Salem, Tamilnadu	<p><b>Bharathiyar College ofEducation, Ammampalayam Post, Attur Taluk, Salem District-636141, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p><b>Bharathiyar College ofEducation, Ammampalayam Post, Attur Taluk, Salem District-636141, Tamilnadu</b>has applied for grant of recognition for offering <b>B.Ed</b> course of <b>one</b> year duration with an annual intake of <b>100</b> students for the academic session <b>2005-06</b> under Section 14/1 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE and theinstitution was issued recognition on <b>13.07.2005</b>.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014, a letters were issued to all existing institutions regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and</p>
-----	---	--

	<p>Standards before 31.10.2015.</p> <p>On 23.03.2015, the institution has submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students.</p> <p>The revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 30.04.2015.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 12.02.2016 stating as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b><i>“We would like to bring to your kind consideration that we received recognition order from NCTE for the address of Bharathiyar College of Education, Ammampalayam Post, Attur Taluk, Salem District, Pin: 636141 on 13.07.2005, on the temporary basis.</i></b></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b><i>After that we have shifted our premises to our original campus. After the intimation letter from you, we sent requisition letter to grant permission to shift our original premises address as Bharathiyar College of Education, Deviyakurichi, Attur Taluk, Salem District, Pin: 636112 in the year of 2008.</i></b></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b><i>Herewith, we enclosed the some of the following copies for your kind verification.</i></b></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b><i>Granting continuation of provisional Affiliation from Periyar University for the academic year of 2008-2009, letter dated 30.04.2008 in the new address.</i></b></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b><i>A show cause notice was sent to the new address by NCTE dated 21.03.2011.</i></b></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b><i>NAAC team visited our institution on 19<sup>th</sup> &amp; 20<sup>th</sup> Sep, 2013 in the new address and we received B grade Certificate of Accreditation dated October 25, 2013.</i></b></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b><i>Granted continuation of provisional affiliation from TNTEU for the academic year of 2015-16, letter dated 03.02.2016 in the new address.</i></b></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b><i>The entire above correspondence are made only for our original premises new address both by NCTE and TNTEU.</i></b></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b><i>But we received letters from NCTE in the temporary address to till date. So kindly change our address to our Permanent address.</i></b></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b><u>Temporary Address</u></b></p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;"><b><i>BHARATHIYAR COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, AMMAMPALAYAM POST, ATTUR TALUK, SALEM DISTRICT, TAMILNADU-636141.</i></b></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b><u>Permanent address</u></b></p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;"><b><i>BHARATHIYAR COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, DEVIYAKURICHI, ATTUR TALUK, SALEM DISTRICT, TAMILNADU PIN: 636112. PHONE: 04282-230899, 9442700888</i></b></p>
--	---

		<p style="text-align: center;"><b>URL: <a href="http://www.bced.ac.in">www.bced.ac.in</a></b> <b>E.Mail: <a href="mailto:bhdbed@gmail.com">bhdbed@gmail.com</a></b></p> <p><b><i>Already we have sent a requisition letter continuously many times for the change of address on and before 25.05.2015. We request you to kindly consider our appeal and change the address and do the needful.”</i></b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution for change of address and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1.They have shifted apparently without our permission.</b></li> <li><b>2.This has to be treated as a “Shifting case”</b></li> <li><b>3. We have to inspect the new premises before recognizing it.</b></li> <li><b>4. They must pay the shifting inspection fee.</b></li> <li><b>5. collect all documents, including latest faculty list, examine and Put up .</b></li> </ol>
50.	<p>APS08076 B.Ed 2 Units, K. Indira College of Education, Perambalu r-621802, Tamilnadu.</p>	<p><b>K. Indira College of Education, 265, 3<sup>rd</sup> Cross, Velayutha Nagar, Jayakondam Post, Udayarpalayam Taluk, Perambalur-621802, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p>The SRC grant of recognition to <b>K. Indira College of Education, 265, 3<sup>rd</sup> Cross, Velayutha Nagar, Jayakondam Post, Udayarpalayam Taluk, Perambalur-621802, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 Students on 22.09.2008. <b>(As per MIS record)</b></p> <p>As per the regulation 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit on 31.01.2015 <b>(as per centre diary report)</b>. Accordingly, revised order was issued to the institution for two years duration on 01.05.2015 with condition to the institution has not maintained/revalidated the Fixed Deposited Receipts towards Endowment and Reserve Funds.</p> <p>The institution has submitted documents for additional unit on 03.11.2015.The institution has submitted a letter dated 16.06.2016 stating as under:</p> <p><b><i>“ we submit your kindness that we have applied for New B.Ed college in the name of <u>K. Indira College of Education (B.Ed) in Thaluthalaimedu, Ariyalur District, Tamilnadu in the year 2006. While submitting the application the new building was under construction and hence we proposed to run the college in a leased building at 265, 3<sup>rd</sup> Cross, Velayutha Nagar, Jayankondam-621802, Ariyalur District, Tamilnadu. But before the Visiting Team scheduled to inspect the proposed college we have constructed our own building at 3/126, Chennai-Kumbakonam Main Road, Thaluthalaimedu-612901, Ariyalur District, Tamilnadu and kept in ready for inspection. The building was constructed in the own land of K. Indira Memorial Educational Trust, and it fulfilled all the requirements and norms of NCTE.</u></i></b></p> <p><b><i>Meanwhile the Visiting Team inspected both the leased and own premises and NCTE very is kindly enough to issue orders to start new B.Ed College on 22.09.2008 in the name of <u>K. Indira College of Education, 265, 3<sup>rd</sup> Cross, Velayutha Nagar, Jayankondam-621802, Ariyalur District, Tamilnadu, but with leased building address mentioned the application. And nto the own building address, i.e. the permanent address 3/126, Chennai-Kumbakondam Main Road, Thaluthalaimedu-612902, Ariyalur District, Tamilnadu.</u></i></b></p>

		<p><i>Hence, we humbly request that the address in the formal recognition order may please be corrected as <u>3/126, Chennai-Kumbakonam Main Road, Thaluthalaimedu-612902, Ariyalur District, Tamilnadu.</u></i></p> <p><i>Kindly, make necessary correction in the order and the corrected order with correct address may please be given. Further during the inspection the builtup area shown and recorded by the Visiting Team is 28,000 sq.ft. same documents we again submitting. Now as the builtup area required for the 2 units of B.Ed is 22,000 sq.ft there is no need for inspection now.</i></p> <p><b>Note:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Original file is not traced.</li> <li>• As per the MIS record the institution address is mentioned K. Indira College of Education, 265, 3<sup>rd</sup> Cross, Velayutha Nagar, Jayakondam Post, Udayarpalayam Taluk, Perambalur-621802, Tamilnadu. whereas now the institution requested to change of address i.e 3/126, Chennai-Kumbakonam Main Road, Thaluthalaimedu-612902, Ariyalur District, Tamilnadu</li> </ul> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>1.We have to attend not only to change of address but also to conversion of the course and its conformity with the new regulations.</b> <b>2.Trace the old file. If that is not possible reconstruct it.</b> <b>3. Obtain all documents examine and put up</b></p>
51.	<p>APSO 4066</p> <p>D.El.Ed 1 Unit Jyothi Hindi Shikshak Prashiksha n mahavidyal aya, Nalgonda, Telangana</p>	<p><b>Jyothi Hindi Shikshak Prashikshan Maha Vidyalaya, Opp. Venkateshwara Colony Bus Stop, Hyderabad Road, Nalgonda District-508001, Telangana</b></p> <p><b>Jyothi Hindi Shikshak Prashikshan Maha Vidyalaya, Opp. Venkateshwara Colony Bus Stop, Hyderabad Road, Nalgonda District-508001, Telangana</b> has applied for recognition under section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 for establishment of D.Ed course on 31.12.2004.</p> <p>The SRC in its 164<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup> September, 2008 considered the pending matters of D.Ed institutions in the state of Andhra Pradesh and decided to process these applications according to the new norms and standards of NCTE as per directions of Honb'le Court of Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Accordingly, application was processed and Deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 30.09.2008. The institution has submitted its reply on 30.12.2008 along with relevant documents.</p> <p>The SRC in its 169<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 12-13 January 2009 considered the application for D.Ed course dated 31.12.2004 in response to the Notification dated 01.01.2004 where relaxation was given for No Objection certificate to the existing B.Ed college under SSA Scheme, orders in the writ petition No.22866 of 2005 and in WP.No.8278 of 2008 and also the deficiency letter issued to the institution on 01.10.2008. On scrutiny it was brought to the notice by the office that the application submitted by the institution was not eligible to the processed as per Regulations dated 01.01.2004. Therefore the committee decided to</p>

	<p>close the application.</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 23.02.2009.</p> <p>In the meantime, a court notice in W.P.No.17609 dated 19.08.2011 received by this office on 09.09.2011. Accordingly, a letter was sent to Sri.P.VinayakaSwamy, Advocate on 15.09.2011 along with brief of the case.</p> <p>A court order in WP.No.17609 of 2011 dated 05.08.2015 received by SRC on 25.08.2015.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation along with photocopy of court order and document on 25.08.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 292<sup>nd</sup> Meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup>-30<sup>th</sup> September, 2015 considered the Hon'ble Court of Andhra Pradesh order dated 05.08.2015, and it has decided to In terms of the court order, treat the representation as a new case under the 2014 Regulations and process.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, the application was processed placed before SRC in its 296<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup>-16<sup>th</sup> December, 2015 considered and it has <u>decided to issue SCN for establishing composite status.</u></p> <p>In the meantime, the institution has submitted its written representation regarding <u>Jyothi D.Ed college –cherlapally Village, Nalgonda Dist</u> submission of documents along with documents on 15.02.2016.</p> <p>As per directions, the matter was placed before SRC in its 303<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the matter and it has decided as cause inspection, Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection intimation was issued to the institution (<u>Jyothi Hindi Shikshak Prashikshan Maha Vidyalaya, Opp. Venkateshwara Colony Bus Stop, Hyderabad Road, Nalgonda District-508001, Telangana</u>) on 22.02.2016.</p> <p>Inspection of the institution (<u>Jyothi college of Elementary Education D.El.Ed, Survey No.473, Cherlapally Village, Nalgonda -508001, Telangana</u>) was conducted on 24.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 305<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup>-27<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the VT report and other relevant documents the committee decided as under:</p> <p>Issue LOI for D.El.Ed (1 Unit) FDRs in Joint account should be furnished. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.</p> <p>Note: As per application, the institution name has mentioned as Jyothi Hindi Shikshak Prashikshan Maha Vidyalaya, Opp. Venkateshwara Colony Bus Stop, Hyderabad Road, Nalgonda District-508001, Telangana) whereas as per inspection report institution name</p>
--	---

		<p>mentioned as Jyothi college of Elementary Education D.El.Ed, Survey No.473, Cherlapally Village, Nalgonda -508001, Telangana. As per the decision of SRC, LOI was issued to the institution on 03.03.2016. The institution has submitted its reply on 17.03.2016. The SRC in its 309<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup> to 14<sup>th</sup> April 2016 the committee considered the LOI reply and it has decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As per curriculum requirement , one lecturer in sociology or philosophy is required</li> <li>• Issue SCN accordingly</li> </ul> <p>Before issuance of Show Cause Notice as per website information the institution has submitted its written representation on 02.05.2016 along with some relevant documents.</p> <p>The SRC in its 313<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 2<sup>nd</sup> -3<sup>rd</sup> May, 2016 considered the LOI notice reply and it has decided to issue Formal Recognition for D.El.Ed (1 unit) w.e.f 2016-17.</p> <p><b><u>As per the decision of SRC, Formal Recognition order was issued to the institution on 02.05.2016 for D.EL.Ed course with an annual intake of 50 students.</u></b></p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 10.06.2016 along with documents and stating as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“..I have been running jyothi Hindi Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Opp. V.T Colony Bus Stop, Hyd Road, Nalgonda since 2004.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Now I request you madam kindly permit me to change the name and address of the College as shown below.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">I shall ever be thankful to you madam in this regard.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><u>Name of the college and address:</u> Jyothi College of Elementary Education (D.El.Ed), Opp. Sri Sai Sadan Homes, Cherlapally, Hyderabad Road, Nalgonda -508001, Telangana State.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution regarding change of name and address and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>Advise them to comply with the procedural requirements clearly listed by us in earlier cases</b></p>
52.	APS01990 TTI 1 Unit N.G.P.M Teacher	<p><b>N.G.P.M Teacher Training Institute, Venchempum Punalur, Kollam District-691333, Kerala.</b></p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee of NCTE accords recognition to <b>N.G.P.M Teacher Training Institute, Venchempum Punalur, Kollam District-691333, Kerala</b> to offer <b>Elementary</b></p>



	<p>Trainin institute, Kollam, Kerala</p>	<p><b>Teacher Training</b> Course of <b>two</b> years duration from the academic session <b>2004-2005</b> with an annual intake of <b>50</b> students and was granted recognition on 01.02.2005.</p> <p>Further, no action seen in the file.</p> <p>On 09.05.2016 and 10.06.2016 a letter is received by this office from the Manager, N.G.P.M, I.T.E, Venchempu is as under:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>“NGPM T.T.I presently NGPM ITE is running under a Trust named N.Govindapillai Memorial Trust presided by me and I am the Manager of the institution. Now I am 88 years old and physically not fit to manage the institution in the required level. So I would like to hand over the charges of the Manager, NGPM TTI to my son Sri.Prakashkumar. P aged 53 years. Kindly give permission for this change of management and accept Sri.Prakashkumar. P as the manager for all future dealings and transactions connected to the NGPM TTI.”</b></p> <p>The institution has submitted the Resolution copy of the NGPM Trust dated 06.06.2016.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>Obtain the Registrar’s certificate that they have accepted the change and amended their records accordingly</b></p>
<p>53.</p>	<p>AOS00386 B.Ed 2 Units Onkarmal Somani College of education, Mysore, Karnataka</p>	<p><b>Onkarmal Simani College of Education , Kuvempu Nagar,Mysore – 570 009, Karnataka</b></p> <p>Onkarmal Simani College of Education , Kuvempu Nagar,Mysore – 570 009, Karnataka was granted recognition for offering B.Ed course of one year duration from the academic session 2000-2001 with an annual intake of 100 students on 11.07.2000.</p> <p>Based on the performance appraisal reports submitted, the intake of the institution was fixed at 100 vide this office letters dated 17.01.2002 , 26.06.2002 and 01.09.2003.</p> <p>On 27.10.2014, the institution submitted a request for letter of confirmation from NCTE regarding the permanent recognition status of the institution for submission to NAAC .The institution had enclosed copies of two recognition orders issued by SRC,NCTE in the year 1996 with different names of the college and stated that ‘The Institute of Education, Onkarmal Somani College of Education, Kuvempunagar, Mysore – 570 009’ is the confirmed authentic name and address of the institution .</p> <p>A letter was addressed to the institution on 06.01.2015 enclosing the permanent recognition order dated 11.07.2000 issued by NCTE.</p> <p>On 29.01.2015, the institution submitted an affidavit affirming adherence to Regulations , 2014. A revised order was issued to the institution on 31.05.2015 for offering B.Ed course of two years duration from the academic session 2015-16 with an intake of 100 students .</p> <p>On 02.07.2015, the institution submitted FDRs of Rs. 7 lakhs and 5 lakhs in joint A/c with the Regional Director, NCTE in reply to the revised order issued and stated that the institution shall submit the required documents and fulfill revised norms of NCTE for two year B.Ed programme.</p> <p>On 28.08.2015, the institution submitted a request for sanction of one unit of 50 students instead of two units granted .A corrigendum to this effect was issued to the institution on 06.10.2015.</p>

On 26.05.2015, the institution has submitted a written representation seeking change of name of the institution which is as under :-

*“ Recently we made an appeal to Government through the proper channel for change of name of our college. Accordingly the changed name of our college is*

**The institute of Education  
Onkarmal Somani College of Education  
Kuvempunagar, Mysore – 570 079**

*We request you to kindly do the needful at the earliest as it is very much needed to the submission of LOI to NAAC .Also kindly use this information for all future correspondence with us”*

The institution has submitted the following documents :-

- (1) Resolution of The Institute of Education Trust dated 05.08.2014 to approach the Director ,College Development Council , Mysore regarding change of name of the institution .
- (2) Copy of the letter dated 16.12.2015 of Principal Secretary to the Government ,Department of Higher Education addressed to the Vice Chancellor, Mysore University regarding change in the name of the institution
- (3) Order No. CDC-3/178/2015-15 dated 07.01.2016 of Mysore University approving the change in name of the institution from ‘**Om karmal Somani College of Education**’ to ‘**The institute of Education , Omkarmal Somani College of Education**’.

**Note :**

1. Revised order was issued to the institution for an intake of 100 students on 31.05.2015 .The institution sought an intake of 50 students vide letter dated 26.08.2015. A corrigendum to this effect was issued vide this office order dated 06.10.2015.
2. The institution has submitted replies to the revised order on 03.11.2015 and 04.03.2016

The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution regarding change of name of the institution and decided as under :-

- 1.The procedural requirements have been clearly stipulated in our earlier decisions.**
- 2. Resolution of the Trust and NOC of the University are there. Obtain NOC of the Govt and certificate of the Registrar.**
- 3. Separately process this case for proper conversion of the B.Ed course and issue of R.R.O**

54.	APS06055 B.Ed        2 Units Divine college of Education, Trichy, Tamilnadu	<p><b>Divine College of Education, North Ariyavoor, Trichy District-620009, Tamilnadu.</b></p> <p>The SRC grant of recognition to <b>Divine College of Education, North Ariyavoor, Trichy District-620009, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 Students on 20.10.2010.</p> <p>As per the regulation 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit on 16.05.2015. Accordingly, revised order was issued to the institution for two years duration on 25.05.2015.</p> <p>Now, a letter received from the institution on 03.11.2015 along with relevant documents further a letter stated as under:</p> <p><i>“We submit herewith the copies of the following documents for your kind perusal:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <i>Land documents</i></li><li>2. <i>Encumbrance Certificate (EC)</i></li><li>3. <i>Land Use Certificate (LUC) and land Title Certificate</i></li><li>4. <i>Approve Building plan for existing unit</i></li><li>5. <i>Building plan for additional unit</i></li><li>6. <i>Approved staff list in specified Proforma.</i></li><li>7. <i>Copy of paper advertisement called for the post of Asst. Professor in sociology and Philosophy.</i></li><li>8. <i>Staff list submitted to Tamil Nadu Teachers Education University for approval.</i></li><li>9. <i>Trust deed.</i></li><li>10. <i>Fire and sanitary certificates.</i></li><li>11. <i>Building license.</i></li></ol> <p><i>We inform you that the additional build up area is already available in our premises. (copy of plan enclosed)</i></p> <p><i>We request you to kindly inform us the date of inspection of the Visiting Team, so as to enclose us to get ready for the inspection.</i></p> <p>The documents of the institution were processed.</p> <p>Note :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A letter submitted on 16.06.2016 with the request to change of name, further a letter stated as under:  <p style="margin-left: 40px;"><u><i>“we would like to inform you our Trust named Parimala memorial Education &amp; Charitable Turst Trichirappalli District. Running the Divine College of Education Located in North ariyavoor. Trichy District-620009 with Good Track record. Due to administration Reasons Our Trust Decide to Change of the Name as Kamala Niketan College of Education from Divine College of Education which is also Run by the same Trust and same location. In this Regard we Obtained NOC for the same, we kindly request you to allow Name change our college As Kamala Niketan College of Education.</i></u></p></li></ul> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"><u><i>We would like to inform you that our board of trustees decided to change name of the</i></u></p>
-----	--	---

		<p style="text-align: center;"><u>college only. Name of the Trust Remains Same.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Regarding change of College name the institution submitted NOC from the TNTEU submitted on 23.05.2016 &amp; Resolution dated 19.04.2016.</li> <li>• Inspection intimation letter was sent to the institution on 14.06.2016.</li> </ul> <p>The following were observations from the file,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. As per the lease agreement &amp; Trust deed the name of society mentioned as Parimala Memorial Educational &amp; Charitable Trust, whereas as per the building plan name of the society mentioned as Parimala Educational Trust.</li> <li>2. As per land documents 1 page only certified by submitted.</li> <li>3. The institution has not submitted society registration certificate, notarized English version of land documents.</li> <li>4. Instead of land use certificate, the institution has submitted land title certificate from advocate &amp; to whom soever it may concern is submitted.</li> </ol> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and documents of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>This is a case of request for change of name.</b></li> <li>2. <b>But, before going into that issue, we have to consider a basic infirmity.</b></li> <li>3. <b>The society or the college do not have title to the land. The 3 sale deeds show the lands as belonging to an individual ( Sh.Moses ). The lease deed is not relevant because it refers to an agreement of 2008 registered in 2010.</b></li> <li>4 <b>Issue SCN accordingly</b></li> </ol>
55.	APS07032 B.Ed       2 Units Loyola High school, Karimnagar , Telangana	<p><b>Loyal High School, Rekurthi, Malkapur Post, Karimnagar-505451, Telangana.</b></p> <p><b>Jesuit Province Society, Karimnagar, Andhra Pradesh</b> has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Loyal High School, Rekurthi, Malkapur Post, Karimnagar-505451, Telangana</b> for B.Ed course. The recognition was granted on <b>14.04.2006</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> course with an annual intake of <b>100</b> students.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 08.05.2007 and stating as follows:</p> <p><i><b>“I am glad to receive the positive orders of grant of recognition to Loyala College of Education through your letter cited above. I am sorry to bring to your kind notice that at the time of applying the name of the institution was wrongly mentioned by our office. Instead of Loyala College of Education we mentioned Loyala High School. I request your good self to change the name of the institution as follows:</b></i></p> <p><u><b>Present name: Loyala High School- Name requested for: Loyala College of Education.</b></u></p> <p><u><b>Kindly find enclosed a copy of the orders issued by your office. Kindly do the needful at the earliest possible”.</b></u></p> <p><b>Further there is no action taken in this file.</b></p>

The institution has submitted its willingness affidavit on 23.01.2015 as per regulations 2014. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 31.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not maintained FDR's.

The institution has submitted a letter along with original FDR's on 25.06.2015. After verification, a letter along with original FDRs was sent to the institution on 25.06.2015.

Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 04.07.2016 along with relevant documents and stating as follows:

*“We the fathers of Loyala Education and Development Society (Jesuit Province Society Hyderabad), have been running B.Ed course in Loyala College of Education, Rekurthy, Karimanagar from 2007 onwards. When our predecessors applied for recognition it was wrongly entered as Loyala High School, Rekurthy, Karimnagar. After receiving the recognition order in the name of Loyala High school, Karimanagar we had requested the then Regional Director to change it in the name of Loyala College of Teacher Education, Rekurthy, Karimnagar so far no positive action was taken, but the affiliation order was given in the name of Loyala College of Education, Rekurthy, Karimnaagar. Now the Univerity inspection committee pointed out that the recognition order should be in the name Loyala College of Education, Rekrtrthy, Karimanagar.*

*I have enclosed our former recognition order as well as our earlier request letter to change, and the letter of communication from the registrar of Sathavahana University. I have also enclosed other proofs for Loyala College of Education as a separate entry. It is in the same campus with well demarcated of land and buildings. As there is an urgent need to submit the changed recognition order to the Ed.cet Convener for counseling. The Ed.cet counseling has already started So, I most earnestly implore to your goodness to change the recognition order in the name of Loyala College of Education Rekurthy, Karimnagar, instead of Loyala High School, Rekurthy, Karimnagar. Kindl give us the changed recognition order as early as possible to avoid problems with regard to affiliation and counseling.*

*Thanking you in anticipating for all your guidance and timely services.*

*Change of Name requested: From Loyala High School to Loyala College of Education, Rekurthy, Karimnagar.*

The Committte considered the matter, representation of the institution regarding change of name and decided as under :-

**Let them Produce**

- **Resolution of the society**
- **NOC of the affiliating Body**
- **Certificate of the registrar that the change has been registered**

56.	APSO 7257 B.Ed     2 units Bharathi college of Education, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh	<p><b>Bharathi College of Education, D.No. 28/1063-1,2, Saibabanagar, Nandyal, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh.</b></p> <p><b>Sri Saila Educational Society, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh</b> has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Bharathi College of Education, D.No. 28/1063-1,2, Saibabanagar, Nandyal, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh</b> for Secondary (B.Ed) course of <b>one</b> year duration with an annual intake of <b>100</b> students and was granted recognition on 12.04.2007.</p> <p>On 31.01.2015 the institution has submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students and revised order was issued to the institution on 19.03.2015.</p> <p>On 22.02.2015 a letter dated 18.02.2016 is received by this office from Secretary &amp; Correspondent, Bharathi College of education, Sai Baba Nagar, Nandyal, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh is as under:-</p> <p>“We wish to inform you that we are running Bharathi College of Education Nandyal, from 2007-2008 till to date that is nearly for past 9 years. We have also established D.El.Ed course from 2008-2009 onwards on the same name but to our surprise another person by name Y. Nageswar Rao, correspondent established B.Ed &amp; D.El.Ed College on the same name, the VT members were also conducted composite inspection you have given LOI also to them. In future it is very difficult for us regarding admissions for both B.Ed &amp; D.El.Ed courses to our colleges’. We have got goodwill and our management is running the institutions in a systematic manner so we are getting good admissions for above courses till now.</p> <p>In this connection we request you Madam as per rule there should not be two different institutions under different managements with the same nomenclature in same place under Nandyal Municipal Limits. So kindly instruct the Mr Y Nageswar Rao to change the name of their institutions otherwise there is no method Except to go to the Court of Law for justice to safeguard our Institution’s goodwill. Madam once again we request you to instruct Mr. Y Nageswar Rao to change the name of his institutions as early as possible. ”</p> <p><u>Note:-</u></p> <p>The new institutions SRCAPP3376 and SRCAPP3314 have been issued FR on 12.04.2016 in the name of Bharathi B.Ed College, Nandyal, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh and Bharathi D.El.Ed College, Nandyal, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh from the year 2016-17.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. There is confusion about mix up of institutions</b></li><li><b>2. Their request for changing the name of Bharathi B.Ed college/Bharathi D.El.Ed college can not be entertained by us.</b></li><li><b>3. On the face of it the 2 names are not the same</b></li></ol>
-----	--	---

57.	APS08607 B.Ed 2 Units Sunshine college of Education, Rangaredd y, Telangana	<p><b>Sunshine College of Education, No. 4-4, Sy.No.18, Ismailkhaguda, Ghatkesar, Rangareddy District, Andhra Pradesh</b></p> <p>The Recognition order was issued to Sunshine College of Education, No. 4-4, Sy.No.18, Ismailkhaguda, Ghatkesar, Rangareddy District, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed course on 05.10.2007.</p> <p>As per regulation 2014, the institution submitted affidavit on 30.01.2015. accordingly, revised recognition order was issued on 12.05.2015 with the condition to that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its formal recognition order dated 05.10.2007.</p> <p>The institution has submitted. a letter dated 12.02.2016 stating has follows:</p> <p><i>"The Sunshine Educational Society is running Sunshine College of Education, B.Ed programme. The SRC-NCTE has given recognition for the academic year 2015-16, but the affiliating University i.e. Osmania University has not given affiliation for the academic year 2015-16 due to functioning the college in a leased building. Now the college Management has decided to construct own building in Society own land. The SUNSHINE EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY has acquired one acre land and got building permission from competent authority i.e. Hyderabad Metro Development Authority. We construct the building and college will be shifted to own premises by next academic year i.e. 2016-17. A shifting proposal will be submitted soon.</i></p> <p><i>Here with I submit approved Building Plan for your perusal and for further process".</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 304<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under Put up again by end of March.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, the documents processed and placed before SRC in the 309<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup>-14<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 considered the matter and it was decided to Collect fees cause shifting inspection and collect all documents.</p> <p>The institution has submitted a letter dated 19.05.2016 stating as under:</p> <p><i>"...the SUNSHINE EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY, Rangareddy Dist is running B.Ed Course by the name SUNSHINE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, Rangareddy DIST from 2007-08 academic year. Due to lack of own premises the Osmania University has not granted recogniton to the college for the academic year 2015-16. Now the SUNSHINE EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY, wish to transfer the College to Al MADINA MUNAWWARA EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY, HYDERABAD is having own premises with land &amp; building in Rangareddy Dist.</i></p> <p><i>I humbly request grand the permission to transfer the College &amp; arrange to issue the application for transfer of the College."</i></p> <p><u>Note:</u> The institution is required to submit the Resolution of the Society, Registration of the society, NOC from affiliating body.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter , representation of the institution dated 19.05.2016 and decided as under :-</p>
-----	---	---

		<p><b>1.The NCTE(HQ) Guidelines permit this</b>  <b>2.Let them produce</b>  <b>- Resolutions of the 2 societies</b>  <b>-NOC of the affiliating body</b>  <b>-NOC of the Government</b>  <b>-certificate of the Registrar</b>  <b>3. Obtain all relevant title documents to examine ‘shifting’.</b></p>
58.	APS03519 B.Ed 2 Units Christ college of Education, Bangalore, Karnataka	<p><b>Christ College of Education , Hosur Road, Bangalore – 560 029 , Karnataka</b></p> <p><b>Christ College of Education , Hosur Road, Bangalore – 560 029 , Karnataka</b> was granted recognition for offering B.Ed course of one year duration from the academic session 2005-2006 with an annual intake of 100 students on 21.12.2005.</p> <p>On 25.02.2015, the institution submitted an affidavit affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014 .A revised order was issued to the institution for offering B.Ed course of two years duration from the academic session 2015-16 with an intake of 100 students on 20.05.2015.</p> <p>On 06.05.2016, the Registrar ,Christ University has submitted a request for modification in the revised order issued which is as under :-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“ The NCTE order referred ( revised order dated 20.05.2015) pertains to approval of two basic units of 50 students each for the two year B.Ed programme.</i></p> <p><i>We notice the following discrepancies in your order :-</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>1. The affidavit filed on 10<sup>th</sup> February 2015 was on behalf of Christ University for its School of Education whereas the order issued is in the name of Christ College of Education .</i></li> <li><i>2. Christ College of Education as an affiliated college of Bangalore University no more exists as it was absorbed as a Department (School of Education) within the ambit of Christ University ( Deemed) as per MHRD Notification F.9-34/2007-U3 (A) dated 22.07.2008.Copy of this notification was also copied to NCTE. This has also been clarified /evidenced by the University in its communications with NCTE.</i></li> </ol> <p><u><i>You may kindly note that ever since the grant of deemed university status , the programme hitherto run by the erstwhile Christ College of Education ( one year B.Ed Programme) is being run by the Christ University..We also wish bring to your kind notice that the document on NCTE website” List of Universities and Names of Teacher Education Programmes” on page No.15 ,Sl.No. 79 considers B.Ed as offered by the Christ University .Similarly, the document “ List of Deemed Universities offering Teacher Education Programmes available on the NCTE website also includes Christ University offering B.Ed Programme as part of the department located in University campus.</i></u></p> <p><i>Therefore , we request you to re-issue the order in the name of School of Education, Christ University. The terms and conditions may also be reviewed as per applicable rules as the Programme is being run by a department located in the University campus.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“</i></p>



The Registrar , Christ University has submitted another letter on 06.05.2016 stating as under :-

“ It is brought to you kind attention that Christ College of Education as an affiliated College of Bangalore University no more exists as it was absorbed as a Department (School Education) within the ambit of Christ University (Deemed) as per MHRD Notification F.9-34/2007-U3(A) dated 22.07.2008. Copy of the Notification was copied to NCTE (copy enclosed). Ever since the grant of deemed university status to erstwhile Christ College ( autonomous) in the year 2008, in accordance with the Notification , the B.Ed programme hitherto run by the erstwhile Christ College of Education is being run by Christ University . However , the department is mentioned in the websites [http://ncte-india.org/ncte\\_new/pade-id=803](http://ncte-india.org/ncte_new/pade-id=803) and <http://www.srcncte.in> in “ List of Colleges issued Recognition granted /Withdrawn” SI.No.222 and in [www.srcncte.in](http://www.srcncte.in) at SINo. 250 as “Christ College of Education “ . The official Correspondence of Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee also continue to be addressed to Christ College of Education

We also wish to bring to your notice that the document on NCTE website “List of Universities and Names of Teacher Education Programmes” on Page No.15 ,SI.No. 79 considers B.Ed as offered by the Christ University . Similarly the document “ List of Deemed Universities , offering Teacher Education Programme” available on the NCTE website also includes Christ University offering B.Ed Programme as part of the department located in the University campus .

In view of the above, you are requested to change the name Christ College of Education as School of Education, Christ University, in the documents in the official website of NCTE, Southern Regional Office of NCTE and in the official documents and correspondence of NCTE , Southern Regional Office .”

The SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 27<sup>th</sup> to 28<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 considered the request of the institution and decided as under:-

- “1. The request is accepted correct our records.
2. Before issue of the formal letter, obtain a copy of the UGC Notification U/s 3 of the UGC Act.”

As per decision of SRC a letter was issued to the institution on 13.06.2016.

On 20.07.2016, a letter is received by this office from the Registrar, Christ University, is as under:-

“We are in receipt of your above mentioned letter. Thank you for accepting our request to change the name of the Institution, Christ College of Education to School of Education, Christ University (Deemed).

As directed in the letter, please find enclosed UGC Notification No. F. 26-12/2007 ( CPP-I) dated 14 August 2008. We have also enclosed MHRD Notification No. F.9-34-2007-U.3 (A) dated 22 July 2008.”

The Committee considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution for change of name and decided as under :-

**1.The change has already been effected.**

		<p><b>2.Only the NGC notification was required. It has been received . Add it to the file</b></p> <p><b>3. Issue an amended FR incorporating the change</b></p>
59.	<p>SRCAPP 200 D.P.Ed 1 Unit B.P.Ed M.P.Ed Gopal Krishna college of Physical Education, Nalgonda, Telangana</p>	<p><b>Gopal Krishna College of Physical Education, Sy.No. 65, 93, 111, 112, Plot No. 0-2, Sagar Road, Shrinadapuram R/O, Ambatipally Village, Ramadguu Post, Annumula Taluk, Haliya City, Nalgonda District-508278, Andhra Pradesh.</b></p> <p>Gopala Krishna Educational Society, Plot No. 2-98/1, Neelaiguda Village, Anjanpally Post, Thripuraram Taluk, Miryalaguda City, Nalgonda District-208207, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Gopal Krishna College of Physical Education, Sy.No. 65, 93, 111, 112, Plot No. 0-2, Sagar Road, Shrinadapuram R/O, Ambatipally Village, Ramadguu Post, Annumula Taluk, Haliya City, Nalgonda District-508278, Andhra Pradesh for D.P.Ed course of two years duration.</p> <p>SRC granted recognition to D.P.Ed course from the academic year 2014-2015 on 12.02.2014.</p> <p>The institution has submitted a letter dated 02.05.2016 alongwith relevant documents letter stating as under:-</p> <p><i>"...we got recognition for B.P.Ed course in 2012-13 academic year. Under the name of Sri Krishna College of Physical Education (SRCAPP626) situated at Sy.No. 65, Srinadhapuram, Anumula Mandal, Nalgonda District, Telangan state from NCTE SRC. And we also got recognition for M.P.Ed (SRCAPP14635) and B.P.Ed Ai (SRCAPP2800) courses in the college situated for the academic year 2016-17. These courses run by under control of Sri Krishna Educational Society, 2-98, Neelaiguda Village, Thripuraram Mandal, Nalgonda District, Telangana State.</i></p> <p><i>According to the above 4<sup>th</sup> reference we got recognition for D.P.Ed course the name and style of Gopala Krishna College of Physical Education (SRCAPP200) for the academic year 2014-15 situated at Srinadhapuram Village, Anumula Mandal, Nalgonda District. This course is presently running under the control of Gopala Krishna Educational Society, 2-98, Neelaiguda Village, Thripuraram Mandal, Nalgonda District, Telangana State.</i></p> <p><i>These two society members are same and also college buildings are juxtaposition. As the buildings are adequate to run three courses, the two society members had decided and passed the resolutions to run these colleges under single society i.e. Sri Krishna Educational Society.</i></p> <p><i>We have applied to affiliating body for NOC on 20.04.2016. the affiliating body said that there is no processor for NOC to merge societies in their level and they advised to approach SRC-NCTE.</i></p> <p><i>Hence we request you to consider the above references and resolutions and give new recognition orders to run there courses under the Sri Krishna Educational Society situated at Srinadhapuram of Anumula Mandal Nalgonda.</i></p> <p><u>Note:</u> The institution is required to submit the Resolution of the Society, Registration of the society, NOC from affiliating body.</p>

		<p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1.This is a case of merger of 2 societies to form a new ---- society.</b></li> <li><b>2. They must produce :</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Resolutions of the 2 societies</li> <li>-NOC of the affiliating body</li> <li>-NOC of the Govt.,</li> <li>-Certificate of the Registrar</li> </ul> </li> <li><b>3. Obtain all relevant documents to consider “Shifting. We have to collect fees and Cause inspection to consider ‘Shifting’</b></li> </ol>
--	--	--

**Volume-02**  
**Consideration of Court case , SCN reply: (Volume- 2)**

60.	APS00790 TPT           1 unit Vikas Telugu Pandit Training college, Rangareddy, Telangana	<p><b>Vikas Telugu Pandit Training College, 4-24, Near Kalika Temple, Himayatsagar Road, Bandlaguda Jagir, Rangareddy District-500 030, Telangana</b></p> <p><b>Vikas Telugu Pandit Training College, 4-24, Near Kalika Temple, Himayatsagar Road, Bandlaguda Jagir, Rangareddy District-500 030, Telangana</b> had submitted an application for <b>Telugu Pandit Training</b> course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students and was granted recognition on 23.02.2005 with an intake of 100 students from the academic session 2004-2005.</p> <p>Now, a court order dated 19.07.2016 received from the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in W.P.No.23311 of 2016 filed by Vikas Telugu Pandit Training College, Rangareddy and the court order stated as follows;</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><i>Notice before admission returnable in three (3) weeks.</i></b> <b><i>The Govt. Pleader for school Education takes notice for respondents 1 to 4.</i></b> <b><i>Issue notice to 5<sup>th</sup> respondent.</i></b> <b><i>The Govt. Pleader for school Education shall inform this court as to the stand of respondents 1 to 4 with regard to conduct of Language Pandit Common Entrance Test.</i></b> <b><i>Post on 04.08.2016 in M.L.</i></b></p> <p>The Committte considered the Court order dated 19.07.2016 and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. The Court order is directed to Respondents 1 to 4 .In any case, TPT course is not on the recognized list, and therefore , we have no role to play</b></li> <li><b>2. The order is noted</b></li> </ol>
61.	SRCAPP190 B.Ed           2 Units Sathyabama college eof Education, nagapattinam , Tamilnadu	<p><b>Sathyabama College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 162/5, Street No. 54, Panangudi Village, Panangudi Post Office, Nagapattinam Taluk, Nagapattinam District, Pin-611002, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p><b>Ramachandranar Educational Trust, Plot No.162/5, Panangudi Road, 54 Panangudi Village, Panangudi Post Office, Nagapattinam Taluk, Nagapattinam District, Pin-611002, Tamilnadu</b> had applied for grant of recognition to Sathyabama College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 162/5, Street No. 54, Panangudi Village, Panangudi Post Office, Nagapattinam Taluk, Nagapattinam District, Pin-611002, Tamilnadu for <b>B.Ed</b> Course of one</p>

year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 07.01.2013 and it was granted Formal Recognition on 03.03.2015 with a condition that the institution shall comply with the additional facilities required (additional builtup area, additional staff, additional funds etc) for the second unit as prescribed in NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations 2014 before 31.10.2015.

The institution has submitted representation on 03.11.2015 and stated as follows:

***We have received the recognition order copy issued by the Regional Director, NCTE, Southern Regional Committee, Bangalore to our Sathyabama College of Education, Panangudi Village & Post, Nagapattinam, Tamilnadu for conducting B.Ed programme of two years duration from the academic sessions 2015-16.***

***On 16<sup>th</sup> July 2015 we are submitting our proposal to TNTEU Chennai University with relevant documents and infrastructure details for affiliation and admission of B.Ed course for 2015-16 sessions. For this, neither inspection nor permission was done by them sofar. Due to this reason, we did not make B.Ed admission.***

***As per NCTE (Recognition Norms & Procedure) Regulations, 2014 we have kept available our relevant documents and infrastructure details. Further we humbly inform you that we have already submitted our additional staff details to TNTU/Chennai for approval. We hereby agree with the additional staff details facilities required (additional buildup area, additional staff, additional funds etc) for the second unit as prescribed in NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulation 2014.***

Now, a court order dated 18.07.2016 received from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in W.P.No.24779 of 2016 and WMP.No.21182 of 2016 filed by Sathyabama College of Education, Nagapattinam and the court order stated as follows:

***The petitioner claims to be a charitable trust registered under document No.4 of 1997 dated 13.03.1997 in the office of the Sub-Registrar, Vedaranyam, Nagapattinam District and it has established a college, namely Sathyabama College of Education, Penangudi, Nagapattinam District to offer Bachelor Degree in Education (B.Ed) and put all the infrastructural facilities for starting the said course. The petitioner, in order to recognition, has submitted an application to the second respondent in the prescribed format through online for starting B.Ed Degree course for the academic year 2013-14 and paid the necessary fee and also complied with all necessary formalities. A team deputed by the second respondent has conducted inspection and according to the petitioner, the team was fully satisfied with the facilities available in the institution. However, the second respondent has rejected the petitioner's application on 28.02.2014 and an appeal was preferred to the Appellate Authority, who, vide order dated 15.10.2014, has remanded the matter once again to the second respondent, who in-turn, vide communication dated 19.12.2014, has asked for certain clarification/details and those details have also been furnished. The second respondent, on being satisfied with the same, has issued a Letter of Intent on 27.01.2015 for grant of recognition for starting B.Ed Degree and the third respondent requesting for provisional qualification approval of the teaching staff appointed by the college and it was also granted, vide communication dated 03.03.2015 for conducting B.Ed Programme of 2 years duration with the annual intake of 100 (2 units) students from the academic session 2015-16. The petitioner has also submitted an application dated 16.07.2015 to the third respondent seeking affiliation and necessary***

		<p><b>fees have also been paid and also sent a reminder dated 24.06.2016 and since no orders have been passed, came forward to file this writ petition.</b></p> <p><b>Heard the submissions of Ms.H.Mary Sowmi Rexi, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, Mrs.P.Rajalakshmi, learned Government Advocate who accepts notice for the first respondent, Mr.K.Ramakrishnan Reddy, learned counsel who accepts notice for the second respondent and Mr. U. Venkadesan, learned counsel who accepts notice for the third respondent.</b></p> <p><b>This court, in the light of the limited scope of prayer sought for by the petitioner and without going into the merits of the same, directs the third respondent to consider merits of the same, directs the third respondent to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 16.07.2015 as well as the reminder dated 24.06.2016 in accordance with law and pass orders within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and communicate the decision taken, to the petitioner.</b></p> <p><b>This writ petition is disposed of accordingly. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.</b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, Court order dated 18.07.2016 and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. The Court order is directed to Respondent No. 3, i.e TNTEU</b></li> <li><b>2. The order is noted</b></li> </ol>
62.	<p>SRCAPP 286 D.El.Ed-A.I 1 unit Mynoddin Elementary Teacher Training institute, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p><b>Mynoddin Elementary Teacher Training Institute ,P.No. 903/1A , Yeduvalli Village Road, P.O, Giddalur Taluk, Prakasam District – 523 357, Andhra Pradesh</b></p> <p><b>Ameeruddin Academy of General Technical Professional Educational Society,P.No.- 903/1A , Yeduvalli Village Road, P.O, Giddalur Taluk , Prakasam District – 523357,Andhra Pradesh</b> had applied for grant of recognition to <b>Mynoddin Elementary Teacher Training Institute ,P.No. 903/1A , Yeduvalli Village Road, P.O, Giddalur Taluk, Prakasam District – 523 357, Andhra Pradesh</b> for <b>D.El.Ed-A.I</b> course of one year duration under section 14(1) of the NCTE Act,1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE on 08.10.2010.</p> <p>The application of the institution was rejected vide this office order F.No SRCAPP 286/ D.El.Ed/AP/2011-12/28778 dated 25.05.2011.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution approached the Appellate Authority , NCTE, New Delhi . The Appellate Authority, NCTE, New Delhi has confirmed the order appealed against vide order dated 28.10.2011</p> <p>On 07.02.2012, this office has received a notice from the National Commission for Minority Educational Institutions, Government of India regarding the appeal petition filed by Mynoddin Elementary Teacher Training Institute , Yedavalli Village , Giddalur Vs Member Secretary ,NCTE The petition is filed with a prayer to set aside the proceedings F.No. 89-277/2011 Appeal/6<sup>th</sup> Meeting – 2011 dated 28.10.2011</p> <p>A letter was addressed to the then advocate ,Shri. Amitesh Kumar on 10.02.2012 along with relevant documents followed by a reminder on 16.03.2012.A letter was addressed to the advocate on 13.04.2012 seeking the status of the petition as reply had not been received from the advocate .</p>

		<p>On 13.11.2012, this office has received a Court Notice dated 09.10.2012 in W.P.No. 32963 of 2012 filed by Mynoddin Elementary Teacher Training Institute ,Giddalur ,Prakasam Andhra Pradesh Vs NCTE,New Delhi and SRC.NCTE.</p> <p>A letter was addressed to the then advocate Shri. Madhava Rao on 14.11.2012 to appear before the Court and defend the case in the interest on NCTE.</p> <p>On 21.11.2012, a letter dated 19.11.2012 was received from the Shri. J. P.Dwivedi ,Under Secretary, NCTE, New Delhi authorizing the Regional Director ,SRC,NCTE to defend the case on behalf of NCTE, New Delhi. A letter was addressed to the then advocate Shri. Madhava Rao on 23.11.2012 regarding the letter received from ,NCTE, New Delhi.</p> <p>A reminder letter seeking information on the status of the case was sent to the advocate on 11.02.2013.</p> <p>Further no action is seen in the file.</p> <p>On 04.06.2016, this office has received the Court order dated 25.02.2016 in W.P.No. 32963 of 2012 which is as under :-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>ORDER</b></p> <p><i>This Writ Petition was filed challenging the action of the second respondent in rejecting the application dated 28.09.2010 for enhancement of intake capacity of the petitioner's recognized institution from 50 to 100 students by proceedings dated 25.05.2011 and confirmed by the first respondent in proceedings dated 28.10.2011.</i></p> <p><u><i>After filing of the Writ Petition new Regulations have been framed by the second respondent and the petitioner has to apply as per the new Regulations.</i></u></p> <p><i>In view of the same, nothing survives for adjudication in the present Writ Petition, and the Writ Petition is, accordingly, dismissed. The miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.</i></p> <p>The Committte considered the matter, Court order dated 25.02.2016 and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>1. The Writ Petition has been dismissed.</b> <b>2. The court order is noted</b></p>
63.	APS00421 B.Ed-AI      2 Units Fathima Memorial Training college, Kollam, Kerala	<p><b><u>Fathima Memorial Training College, Pallimukku, Vadavakkavilla, Kollam , Kerala</u></b></p> <p><b>Fathima Memorial Training College,Pallimukku,Vadavakkavilla, Kollam, Kerala</b> was granted recognition for offering B.Ed course of one year duration with an intake of 100 students on 20.11.2001.(AOS00187)</p> <p>The institution was granted recognition for offering additional intake of 100 students thus making the total annual intake of 200 students vide this office order dated 29.05.2009.(APS00421).</p> <p>On 22.01.2015, the institution submitted an affidavit affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014.A revised order was issued to the institution on 13.05.2015 for offering B.Ed program of two years duration with an intake of 100 students ( 2 units )</p> <p>On 30.10.2015 and 29.02.2016, the institution submitted a reply to the revised order.</p>

SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 27<sup>th</sup> to 28<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 considered the matter of institutions which have been recognition for offering with an intake of 100 students and decided as under :-

*“ For cases of B.Ed ( 2 units) in the existing institution , where RPRO had been issued We have to cause inspection to check adherence to the 2014 Regulations .This action will have to be completed by July 2016 so that revised Formal Recognition can be issued w.e.f 2016-17 to enable them to make admissions in time.*

*Action to check the documents in these cases( about 1885 in number) will take time. Instead of waiting for that action to be completed for placing them before the SRC , to save time, VT inspections can straight away be ordered. VT inspection reports can be considered along with examination of the documents .*

*Regional Director is authorized to initiate action accordingly. The institutions concerned may be alerted about such action so that they will be prepared to receive the shifting teams . They may also be advised to keep in readiness latest approved faculty lists for submission to VTs.”*

As per the decision of SRC , an inspection letter is issued to the institution on 14.06.2016.

On 22.06.2016, this office has received a Court order dated 07.06.2016 in W.P.No. 19152 of 2016 .

The petition is filed by Fathima Memorial Educational Trust , Kollam Vs State of Kerala and others where in SRC,NCTE is the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent.

The Court order dated 07.06.2016 in W.P.No. 19153 of 2016 is as under :-

*“The petitioner is concerned with Ext.P12 insofar as the petitioner College, a Self Financing College, being allowed intake of only 50 students in the B.Ed Course. The petitioner had been granted affiliation and recognition from the academic year 2015-2016 onwards. The recognition issued by the National Council for Teacher Education (for brevity 'NCTE') is produced at Ext.P6. The same shows the recognition to conduct B.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 in two basic units of 50 students each. The Government by Ext.P12 restricted it to 50.*

*2. The Government after noticing the clarification issued by the NCTE, which permitted admission of 100 students in two units of 50 each, as per the revised regulation, permitted permission for only 50 seats. The revised regulation is produced at Ext.P5. As per the revised regulation the earlier B.Ed .course, which had a duration of one year, was enhanced to one having duration of two years. For intake of students, it was prescribed by clause 3.1 that there shall be a basic unit of 50 students with a pupil-teacher ratio of 25:1. With respect to the petitioner, the NCTE itself had, as per Ext.P6, allowed two basic units of 50 each. The Additional Intake (AI) was permitted by the NCTE. In such circumstance, there is no reason why the Government relied on the revised regulation to limit the intake to 50 for an academic year.*

*3. The petitioner was before this Court in the earlier academic year; when, by Ext.P10 the University was directed to reconsider the issue, based on W.P.(C) No. 19153/2016 precedents noticed. The reconsideration made by the Vice Chancellor of the University is seen at Ext.P11. After noticing the entire facts and the norms; in Ext.P11, the Syndicate's*

		<p><i>resolution, at its meeting held on 14.12.2015, was relied on, to grant sanction to admit two units of 50 students each in the petitioner's College. The same was again reduced as per Ext.P12 to 50. There is no rationale for deviating from the decision taken by the Syndicate at the earlier point, i.e., the last academic year, as per Ext.P11; is the argument.</i></p> <p><b>4. The learned Standing Counsel for the University submits that the Syndicate had directed inspection of the premises, which has not yet been conducted. It is contended on behalf of the University that the University has to verify the infrastructure available so as to permit the grant of two basic units. The issue has been pending for the last, about two academic years. The University also had taken a decision for the earlier academic year, as is seen from Ext.P11. If at all, a different stand has to be taken, then the same has to be in accordance with any deficiency noticed on inspection. Since no inspection has been conducted and the last date for admission, as stipulated by the University, is fast approaching; it is only proper that the <u>petitioner be permitted to continue two basic units of 50 students each for the present academic year, i.e., 2016-2017, as has been decided in Ext.P11.</u></b></p> <p><b>5. The University had sufficient time in the last academic year itself to verify the infrastructure and the faculty position to decide on the issue. The lethargy of the University shall not prejudice the institution or the aspiring students. It is made clear that this does not detract from the power of the University to consider the issue of continued intake in the coming years, in accordance with law and after an inspection is conducted.</b></p> <p><b>The writ petition is allowed with the above liberty reserved to the University. No Costs”.</b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, court order dated 07.06.2016 and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. The Court order is directed to the University</b></li> <li><b>2. The order is noted</b></li> </ol>
64.	APS04929 B.Ed 2 Units Sri Rajarajeswari college eof Education, Tiuchirappalli , Tamilnadu	<p><b>Sri Rajarajeswari College of Education, Opp. Government Higher Secondary School, Trichirapalli-Chennai National Highway, Siruganur, Tiruchirappalli District, Pin-621105, Tamilnadu</b></p> <p><b>Sri Maruti Educational Trust, Tiruchirappalli District, Tamilnadu</b> has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Sri Rajarajeswari College of Education, No.36 A&amp;B, Town Station Road, Tiruchirappalli District, Pin-620 002, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>Secondary (B.Ed) Course</b> of one year duration with an annual intake of <b>100 (Hundred)</b> Students on 29.12.2005. The application was scrutinized and the institution was granted recognition on 06.03.2008 with an intake of 100 students for conducting B.Ed course.</p> <p>The institution has submitted the letter dated 28.02.2013 seeking permission for shifting the rental building to permanent building.</p> <p>Further, the institution in its letter states that, “as per the regulations laid down by the</p>



TNTEU, Chennai, we had shifted the college to the permanent premises from 29.02.2012. We submitted an application requesting the permission from NCTE Bangalore for shifting on 12.01.2012 with all the necessary enclosures. So far, we have not received any order from NCTE, Bangalore. Due to the urgency, we have shifted to the permanent place”.

**The Permanent Address is:**

Sri Rajarajeswari College of Education (Code 12720)  
Opp. Government Higher Secondary School,  
Trichirappalli - Chennai National Highway,  
Siruganur- 621 105  
Trichirappalli - Dt.

As per VT report institution is in rented premises. Recognition Order granted on 06.03.2008 where no condition was put regarding shifting of premises within the stipulated period of three years from the date of recognition. Now institution shifted their premises without permission.

The SRC in its 243<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup>- 30<sup>th</sup> April 2013 considered the institutions letter dt.28.02.2013 seeking permission for shifting to new permanent building and decided to issue show cause notice to the institution to submit the following documents before causing shifting inspection of the institution under NCTE Act.

Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 31.05.2013, 05.06.2013 and 17.07.2013. The institution had submitted its written representation on 23.08.2013.

The SRC in its 253<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 30<sup>th</sup> September and 01<sup>st</sup> October 2013 considered the written representation of the Institution vide letter dated 23.08.2013 and decided and advised Southern Regional Office to obtain the following documents before causing Shifting Inspection of the institution to the new premises.

- The institution is required to submit notarized English version of building plan from the approving authority. In the building plan submitted, total land area and built up area is also not mentioned.
- Original building completion certificate from competent authority in the prescribed format is not submitted.

Accordingly, a letter regarding submission of Building plan and Building completion Certificate was issued to the institution on 27.12.2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 03.02.2014.

The SRC in its 262<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 17-19 February 2014, considered the reply of the institution vide letter dt. 17/02/2014 and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve show cause notice Under NCTE Act for the following:

- The institution has not submitted building completion certificate in a proper format duly approved by Govt. Engineer.
- As per building plan, total built up area shown is only 12521 sq.ft which is less than

		<p>the requirement of 16000 sq.ft. as per NCTE norms 2009.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 12.03.2014. The institution has submitted its written representation on 07.04.2014.</p> <p>The SRC in its 268<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 04<sup>th</sup>-05<sup>th</sup> June 2014, considered the letter from the institution dated 07.04.2014, and directed Southern Regional Office to put up after notification of the New Regulations as per NCTE (H.Q) instructions.</p> <p>An email dated 18.12.2014 received from NCTE Hqrs regarding guidance for processing of pending applications.</p> <p>Accordingly a willingness letter was issued to the institution on 19.12.2014. The institution has submitted its written representation along with affidavit on 23.01.2015.</p> <p>As per the new Regulation the application was processed and placed before SRC in its 279<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 1<sup>st</sup> February, 2015 considered the matter and the committee decided as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Check on affidavit</b></li><li>• <b>Cause inspection</b></li><li>• <b>Ask VT to collect Building Completion Certificate; and, check carefully the dimensions of the building.</b></li></ul> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 1<sup>st</sup> - 5<sup>th</sup> February 2015. The inspection intimation was sent to institution on 02.02.2015. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 09.02.2015 and the VT report received in the office of SRC on 11.02.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 280<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup>-14<sup>th</sup> February, 2015 considered the matter, VT report dated 09.02.2015, VCD of the institution, and all relevant documentary evidence and decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE act for the following deficiencies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The institution should submit Approved staff list from affiliating university.</li></ul> <p>As per the decision of SRC, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 25.02.2015. The institution has submitted its reply along with necessary documents on 23.03.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 284<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 09<sup>th</sup>-10<sup>th</sup> April, 2015 considered the reply of the institution to the show cause notice and all relevant documents it has decided to issue show cause notice for the following deficiencies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>The staff list submitted is not according to the norms and standards.</b></li></ul> <p>Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 22.04.2015. The institution has submitted its reply along with relevant documents on 30.05.2015.</p> <p>Revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 31.05.2015 with a condition that</p>
--	--	---

the on scrutiny it is found that the institution has submitted staff list on 30.05.2015 for pending shifting case.

The SRC in its 290<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 10<sup>th</sup>-11<sup>th</sup> July, 2015 considered the written reply of the institution on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution vide letter dated 30.05.2015, & decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-

- 1. The Faculty list given is not approved by the T.N.T.E.U.**
- 2. Return the FDRs after verifying settlement of all faculty /staff claims**

Meantime, the institution has submitted its written representation on 20.07.2015 regarding applied for shifting permission-pending due to staff approval from affiliating university.

As per the decision of SRC, withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 05.10.2015.

The institution has submitted its written representation along with staff list 27.11.2015.

The SRC in its 297<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup>-28<sup>th</sup> December, 2015 considered the written representation from the institution dated 27.11.2015 and decided as under:

- **Faculty list approved by the TNTEU confirms t the 2009 Regulations. Existing institutions have been given (extended) time till 29.02.2016 to appoint Faculty as per 2014 Regulations. Ask them to conform to the new Regulations and submit Faculty list afresh.**

Aggrieved by the withdrawal order of SRC, the institution also preferred an appeal to NCTE Hqrs and the Appellate authority order dated 23.03.2016 stated as follows:

*AND WHEREAS, after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC for giving the appellant institution an option to conduct B.Ed course with a single unit of 50 seats and get the faculty requirements reassessed.*

*NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby remand back the case of Sri Rajarajeswari College of Education, Siruganu, Tiuchirapalli, Tamilnadu to the SRC NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”*

Meantime, the institution has submitted its written representation on 11.04.2016 along with relevant documents. The letter stated as follows:

The SRC in its 309<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup>-14<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 considered the appellate authority order and institution written representation and it has decided to **Restore recognition and ask the institution to submit staff list for the second unit.**

Meantime, the institution has submitted its written representation dated 12.04.2016 received by SRC on 29.04.2016. SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 considered the institution written representation and decided as under:

**“the request if accepted, issue permission for the shifting already done”.**

Accordingly Shifting permission order was issued to the institution on 01.06.2016.

A letter received from the Advocate K. Srinivasan, Assistant Registrar (T & P), High Court of Madras along with Court order in SLP (C) No. 22022 /2015 dated 11.05.2016 filed by Gunasekaran, Correspondent Sri Rajarajeshwari College of Education & ANR.

**Order:**

**S.L.P. (C) No. 22022 of 2015**

***Mr. S. Nandakumar, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks leave of this court to withdraw the special leave petition.***

***The special leave petition is dismissed as withdraw.***

Another letter received from the Advocate K.Srinivasan, Assistant Registrar (T & P), High Court of Madras along with Court order in Civil Appeal No 5070/2016 (Ref W.A.No.1326 of 2014) filed by Gunasekaran, Correspondent Sri Rajarajeshwari College of Education & ANR.

The court order stated as follows:

***The singular grievance of the appellant-University is that the High Court in the present writ appeal could not have adverted to the issue with regard to legality of admission in the academic session 2013-14 and issued certain directions at the instance of the Institutions.***

***Learned counsel appearing for the appellant has drawn our attention to the paragraphs 9 to 11 of the impugned order. The said paragraphs read as under:-***

***9. In the case on hand, initially, the order of withdrawal of recognition dated 19.06.2013 was Kept in abeyance by the appellate authority. Thereafter, while remanding back the matter to the first respondent with a direction to consider the faculty list, it was again directed that the order of withdrawal would be kept in abeyance. The final order dealing with the deficiency in complying with the shifting of building to its own premises and also, deficiency in the staff list, was passed by the first respondent on 23.01.2014. Thus, in the instant case, this order, under second proviso to section 17 of the Act would come into force after the academic session, which comes to an end only in April/May 2014.***

***In that view of the matter, the appellant institute cannot be faulted with its action of admitting students and conducting the course in the academic year 2013-14, as the first order of withdrawal of recognition dated 19.06.2013 was kept in abeyance. Since the subsequent order, after remand by the appellate authority, was passed by the first respondent on 23.01.2014, that would come into effect at the end of the academic year, under the afore stated provisions of law. Thus, the students who were admitted and had also appeared in the examination are entitled to publication of their result and also consequential certificate on the basis of their result .***

		<p><b>11. Accordingly, we direct the second respondent to publish the result of the students of the appellant institute admitted in the academic session for 2013-14 and also to issue necessary certificates. We make it clear that this order may not be construed as if the order passed by the first respondent withdrawing the recognition, is set aside. However, it is open to the appellant institute to make a fresh application under the provisions of the relevant law, as prevalent today, for necessary recognition, if so advised.”</b></p> <p><b>Heard Mr. V. Balachandran, learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. A.D.N. Rao and Mr.s. Nandakumar, learned counsel for the respondents.</b></p> <p><b>In course of hearing, we have been apprised that the students have filed an independent writ petition seeking similar relief and the same is pending for adjudication before the High Court. In our considered opinion, at the instance of the Institutions, such prayer should not have adverted to. Accordingly, we set aside the afore-stated directions with the observation that the same can be adverted to in accordance with law in the writ petition filed by the students, which is pending before the court.</b></p> <p><b>With the aforesaid modification in the order of the High Court, the appeal stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.</b></p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, Court order and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. The Court order is directed to the University</b></li><li><b>2. The order is noted</b></li></ol>
65.	Prof.M.Komal Reddy Registrar (FAC), Satavahana University	<p><b><u>Letter from Satavahana University</u></b></p> <p>A letter received from Prof. M. Komal Reddy, Registrar (FAC), Satavahana University dated 16.07.2016 in this office on 25.07.2016 stating as under:</p> <p><b>“ ...I am to inform you that as per rules University has constituted a committee with Dean, Faculty of Education, Chairman, Board of Studies in Education and Dean, College Development Council as the members to extend affiliation to the B.Ed Colleges under the purview of Satavahana University for the academic year 2016-17.</b></p> <p><b>The committee has visited all the B.Ed Colleges and submitted its observations to this office. On the recommendations of the committee, University has written letters to the respective colleges wherein it has advised (04) B.Ed colleges namely 1) Crescent College of Education, Karimnagar (APS00272) 2) Aurora College of Education, Rajaram(V), Mallial (M), Karimnagar (Dist) (APS03702) 3) Janardhan Reddy College of Education, Huzurabad (V &amp; M), Karimnagar (Dist) (APS07157) and 4) Sangamithra College of Education, Akkannapet Road, Husnabad (V &amp; M), Karimnagar (Dist) (APS07636) to get their lacunas rectified from the SRC, NCTE, Bangalore. Further they are requested this university to accord the permission for provisional affiliation as their requisition for shifting of college is pending with SRC, NCTE, Bangalore.</b></p>

<b>College code (as per SRC, NCTE)</b>	<b>Name of the College</b>	<b>Applications Pending with NCTE (Based on the colleges applications)</b>
<b>APS00272</b>	<b>Crescent College of Education, Karimnagar</b>	<b>Shifting of the college.</b>
<b>APS03702</b>	<b>Aurora College of Education, Rajaram (V), Mallial (M), Karimnagar (Dist).</b>	<b>Shifting of the college.</b>
<b>APS07157</b>	<b>Janardhan Reddy College of Education, Huzurabad (V &amp; M), Karimnagar (Dist)</b>	<b>Shifting of the college and change of management.</b>
<b>APS07636</b>	<b>Sangamithra College of Education, Akkannapet Road, Husnabad (V &amp; M), Karimnagar (Dist).</b>	<b>Shifting of the college.</b>

**In view of the above, you are requested to clarify the issue in this regard.**

**Note:**

<b>College code (as per SRC, NCTE)</b>	<b>Name of the College</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
APS00272	Crescent College of Education, Karimnagar	The institution submitted shifting proposal on 07.09.2015 and show cause notice reply on 30.05.2016.  Agenda placed in 318 <sup>th</sup> Meeting, but decision could not be considered.
APS03702	Aurora College of Education, Rajaram (V), Mallial (M), Karimnagar (Dist).	The SRC in its 315 <sup>th</sup> meeting considered the revised order reply and it was decided as under:  1.Title Deed is in order. 2.Only ₹ 50,000/- has been paid towards inspection fee. Balance of ₹ 1,00,000/- remained to be paid. 3.Of the documents filed, BP is not approved by competent authority. BCC, original FDRs and latest Faculty List are not given. 4.LUC and EC are in order. 5.Collect the balance of fee and cause inspection for shifting B.Ed (2 units). 6.Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.

				<p>As per the decision of SRC, VT assigned to institution through online procedure.</p> <p>VT report not received.</p>
		APS07157	Janardhan Reddy College of Education, Huzurabad (V & M), Karimnagar (Dist)	<p>The SRC in its 289<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 23<sup>rd</sup> June, 2015 the committee considered the matter and it was decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Affidavit received.</li> <li>2. Cause inspection of the new site and building for B.Ed under the new Regulations.</li> <li>3. Collect inspection fee if not already paid.</li> </ol> <p>E-mail letter received from Satavahana University on 17.08.2015. Accordingly, a letter was issued on 18.08.2015.</p> <p>Meanwhile, the institution has requested for shifting and change of management alongwith relevant documents on 03.06.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 317<sup>th</sup> Meeting considered the shifting case and change of management and it was decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. We can not deal with both these issues of shifting and change of management simultaneously.</li> <li>2. Let them go through the change of management issue first.</li> <li>3. They should complete the prescribed formalities and apply with all relevant documents.</li> <li>4. Issue notice accordingly.</li> </ol> <p>As per the decision of SRC, notice to be issued.</p>

		<p>APS07636</p>	<p>Sangamithra College of Education, Akkannapet Road, Husnabad (V &amp; M), Karimnagar (Dist).</p>	<p>The SRC in its 317<sup>th</sup> Meeting considered the shifting case and it was decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Title to lands is there. Land area is adequate.</li> <li>2. EC/LUC are in order.</li> <li>3. BP/BCC are in order. Built up area is shown to be adequate.</li> <li>4. Original FDRs not given.</li> <li>5. Faculty list not given.</li> <li>6. Fee paid in full.</li> <li>7.1. Cause Inspection</li> <li>7.2. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.</li> <li>7.3. Ask VT to check in particular adequacy of facilities for B.Ed (2 units).</li> </ol>
		<p>The Committee considered the matter, letter from Sathavahana University and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>If 'shifting cases' are pending with us , the affiliating body can surely give interim affiliation .</b></p>		
<p>66.</p>	<p>Letter from Tamilnadu Self Financing College of Education Management Association</p>	<p><b>Letter from Tamilnadu Self Financing College of Education Management Association</b></p> <p><b>SRC in its 309<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup> to 14<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 considered the Court order dated 23.02.2016 in W.P.Nos. 999, 1000, 1970, 1971, 5768, 22782, 34131, 39671 of 2015 and M.P.Nos.1 and 2 of 2015 in W.P.No. 34131 of 2015 and decided as under;</b></p> <p><b><i>Pursue with advocate on filing the clarification petition.</i></b></p> <p>Accordingly a draft counter affidavit was forwarded to NCTE Hqrs for approval and modification on 29.04.2016.</p> <p>Now, letter have been received on 25.06.2016 &amp; 29.06.2016 from Tamilnadu Self Financing College of Education Management Association, Cuddalore, Tamilnadu alongwith TNTEU-B.Ed, Practical Examination (july-2016) in Colleges of Education-District-wise-Schedule, and a letter stating as follows:</p> <p><i>With reference to your letters to our members, we, the Tamilnadu Self Financing College of Education Management Association wish to make the following request.</i></p> <p><i>We have come to know from the members of our association that you have conveyed your decision to cause inspection for verification of infrastructural and instructional facilities as per the New NCTE ( Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 prescribed by NCTE. You have informed them to be ready for the inspection within 10 to 30 days.</i></p>		



In this regard we request your good self to kindly postpone the inspection for atleast two months. Our request is supported with the following points:-

1. We are busy with the theory and practical examinations at present for B.Ed, and M.Ed. courses. And Particularly we have to face practical examinations in the last week of July' 2016. We have to make the arrangements for the conduct of examinations.
2. The building plan and certificates are pending with different departments vis. Revenue Panchayath, Town planning and pollution control. We require time to get the clearance.
3. In the W.P.No.999 on 23.02.2016 in the order paragraph 5 the Hon'ble High Court has observed that sufficient time would be given by the NCTE to the colleges for implementation of the provisions.
4. In W.P.No.999 filed by our Association the Hon'ble High court at Madras on 23.02.2016, the learned council for NCTE has stated before the Hon'ble Madras High Court that no coercive steps would be taken to implement the rigors of the provision of NCTE till a final decision is taken. The statement was taken on record.
5. Most of the colleges submitted the Staff lists for approval in the University and some of them are pending in the University so far.

Hence based on these grounds we request your good self to kindly postpone inspection for a period of two months.

A letter has also been received from the Tamilnadu Self Financing College of Education Management Association (TNSCEMA) dated 08.07.2016 received in this office of SRC-NCTE on 11.07.2016 stating as under:

“\_that the National Council for Teacher Education under its regulation making power has framed the new regulations called “NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014” which came into effect from 1.12.2014. as certain provisions of the said Regulations 2014 especially clauses 8(1), 8 (II), 10, 11 as well as clauses 1, 2.1, 3.1 and 5.1 of Appendix-IV and clauses 1, 3.1 of Appendix-V were considered to be onerous, unjustifiable and impossible of compliance by the existing Teacher Education Institutions imparting B.Ed/M.Ed courses in the State of Tamilnadu, who are members of our Association, we had approached the High Court, Madras by filing a Writ Petition in W.P. No. 999 of 2015 whereby we sought for a Writ of Deliration to declare those specific regulations as null and void and unenforceable.

2.During the pendency of the said Writ Petition filed by our Association, by order dated 29.1.2015 the Hon'ble High Court, Madras had passed the following order:-

“4. So far as the protection sought for by the petitioners (institution/association), it is submitted by the learned standing counsel appearing for NCTE that during the pendency of the writ petitions, the 21 day time limit stipulated in the Notification will not be given effect to.

5. recording such submission made by the learned standing counsel for NCTE, the matter is adjourned to 16.03.2015 for filing counter.”

3. since the said order was passed by the High Court, Madras in the writ petition, as stated above, most of our member institutions were not submitting the required affidavits in the prescribed format to the NCTE-SRC. However, in view of the continuous insistence and also in view of the fact that the academic year 2015-16 was commencing from June, 2015 and the academic activities for admission of students were about to start from the time, our member institutions, on legal advice, had submitted the required affidavits of-course without prejudice to their rights and contentions made on their behalf by our Association in the said writ petition which was pending at that time before the High Court, Madras.

4. The said fact of filing of required affidavits without prejudice to the rights of the institutions had been informed to the High Court, Madras in the said proceedings and after having taken note of the same, the Divison bench of the Hon'ble High Court, Madras by order dated 11.08.2015 has passed the following order:

*“the petitioners are stated to have submitted their applications without prejudice, albeit beyond 21 days time limit stipulated in the notification, for which, liberty was granted in terms of paragraph 4 of the order passed on 29.01.2015 in WP. No.s 999, 1000, 1970 & 1971 of 2015 and MP Nos. 1 & 2 of 2015.”*

5. in the meanwhile, the NCTE-SRC having accepted the affidavits filed by the respective institutions (Member institutions of our Association) of-course without prejudice to their right and contentions, as has been referred to above, had granted continuation of recognition from the academic session 2015-16 of – course under the new NCTE Regulations, 2014. Accordingly, the institutions have been functioning continuously.

6. Thereafter, the said writ petitions filed by our Association alongwith some other writ petitions came to be finally heard and were decided by the Hon'ble High Court, Madras by order dated 23.02.2016. The relevant portions of the said order of the High Court, Madras are reproduced hereunder for your immediate perusal and further appreciation of the issue in proper perspective. The order reads thus

*“3. A reference is made to Regulations 8 (1) and 8 (11) qua the time periods specified therein. Apart from this, Clauses 5 and 6 of Appendix IV have also been requested to be re-visited. He, thus, submits that the plea on behalf of the petitioners may be confined to an exercise to re-visit these provisions and solve the problems of the petitioners.*

*4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents/NCTE states that in so far as the grant of time is concerned, sufficient time would be granted to do the needful. He, further, does not dispute that the exercise which the petitioners claim to be underway is actually being carried out.*

*5. we are, thus, of the view that since the challenge to the statutory regulations is not pressed, but what is prayed is a re-statutory regulations is not pressed, but what is prayed is a re-visiting of those provisions, in view of the exercise already being undertaken by the respondents, as also in view of the submission which has been made on behalf of the learned counsel for the respondents, a reasonable view may be taken in this behalf and as stated, sufficient time would be given to implement*

the same.

7. the respondents, thus, may carry out the exercise as early as feasible. The learned counsel for the respondents stated that no coercive steps would be taken to implement the rigors of the aforesaid provisions till a final decision is taken. The said statement is taken on record.

8. all the writ petitions are, thus, closed in the aforesaid terms. NO costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions also stand closed."

For re-visit of provisions of regulations closed.

7. Though our Association has challenged certain provisions of the NCTE regulations, 2014 as onerous, invalid, unenforceable and null and void, as has been stated supra in the said order of the Madras High Court dated 23.02.2016, the challenge was confined only in respect of consideration/re-visit of certain onerous provisions only as referred in para.3 of the aforesaid order. In other words, on behalf of our member institutions, our Association has confined only with the prayer of re-visiting of certain onerous regulations such as Regulations 8 (1), 8 (11), Clauses 5 and 6 of Appendix-IV, for which the exercise of revisit was underway at that time as agreed by the NCTE through its Southern Regional Committee. Therefore the Hon'ble High Court was of the view that the exercise of re-visit to be done within a period as early as feasible for the NCTE and till such exercise is completed and a final decision is taken, no coercive action to be taken by the NCTE or its Regional Committee concerned and no implementation/compliance of such onerous provisions of Regulation 2014 is required to be undertaken. These orders were passed after hearing both the parties by the Hon'ble Division Bench of the Madras High Court in so far as it related to the member institutions of our Association functioning in the State of Tamilnadu.

8. after the order having been passed, we reliably learn that the committee appointed by the ministry of Human Resource Development, Govt. of India, to make suggestions about the functioning or revisiting of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, among other things, seems to have filed a report to the authorities concerned. We further reliably learn that the authorities after the receipt of the said report are considering the same and, however, a final decision is yet to be taken. Exactly, this exercise was pointed out by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the order referred to above and till such exercise is completed and a final order is passed after revisiting the NCTE Regulations, especially certain onerous provisions of the Regulations, no coercive action can be taken by the NCTE compelling or requiring the institutions concerned to comply the same either urgently or within a time-frame as may be fixed by the authorities.

9. when the matter stood thus, we are shocked to receive information from our member institutions that NCTE through its Southern Regional Committee at Bangalore has issued notices/information to most of our member institutions for the past two weeks on various dates that the NCTE-SRC has decided to make inspection of the institutions concerned in order to ensure the compliance of all the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 including the clauses which were considered to be onerous and were subjected to the orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court, Madras in the judgement referred to above for

which a revisit/review process was underway. The four onerous clauses as has been mentioned at para. 3 of the order of the High Court, Madras dated 23.02.2016 are primarily in connection with the extra land, extra building, extra teaching staff as well as extra creation of endowment fund. All these aspects were considered to be onerous, as has been put forward by the institutions through our Association and only in this respect revisiting or reviewing exercise was considered to be on the anvil. Therefore, the Hon'ble High Court has specifically mentioned at para.7 of the said order dated 23.02.2016 that the respondents i.e. the NCTE and its Regional Committee, may carry out the exercise (exercise of revisiting the provisions of the NCTE Regulations 2014) as early as feasible and it was specifically recorded by the Hon'ble High Court in para no. 7 of the said judgment, the statement made on behalf of the NCTE that no coercive steps would be taken to implement the rigors of the aforesaid provisions till a final decision is taken.

10. in this regard, on legal advice, we would like to state that as stated above what has been in the said order is nothing but the provisions of 8(1), 8(11) clauses 5 and 6 of Appendix-IV and the words "a final decision will be taken" as has been mentioned in the said para. 7 of the order is a final decision to be taken at the national level either by the Government of India through the Ministry of HRD or the NCTE, New Delhi in implementing or not implementing the onerous provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. Therefore, it become crystal clear that till such a final decision is taken at the national level after revisiting or reviewing the provisions of-course on the basis of the report submitted by the Review committee, no coercive action should ever be taken by the NCTE as has been undertaken by the NCTE through its counsel before the court of law, which has been recorded in the said order dated 23.02.2016.

11. in furtherance of the individual notices issued by the NCTE-SRC to our member institutions, you have also issued further communications in the last week of June, 2016 whereby the NCTE-SRC has directed each of the institutions to pay a sum of Rs. 1.50.000/- towards the processing fee immediately. This intimation has put the institutions with an element of compulsion to make the payment of huge amount towards the proposed inspection. The very inspection, as proposed by the NCTE and its Regional Committee requiring the compliance of onerous provisions of 2014 Regulations itself, is against the orders of the Hon'ble High Court, Madras, the further requirement of making payment immediately still makes the issue worse.

12. while so, the present exercise emanated from the NCTE through its Southern Regional Committee proposing to have inspection to verify the compliance of the new regulations including the onerous one mentioned therein, would be an action of clear violation of the orders of the Hon'ble High Court, Madras, as referred to above. The proposed exercise of making inspection to various institutions including our member institutions compelling them to make the payment of inspection fees and to comply those rigorous provisions covered under the orders of the court, would be a wilful and clear violation of the undertaking given before the court of law and such action on the part of the NCTE and its Regional Committee is nothing but contemptible.

13. we would also like to bring to the kind notice of the NCTE and its Southern Regional Committee that we have already sent a request in this regard on 21.06.2016 also where we have indicated this issue apart from other difficulties faced by our member institutions such as-(1) the institutions are facing practical examinations for the academic year 2015-16,

which, according to the University, is commencing from 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2016 over which the institutions are in full-swing preparation; (2) the necessary building plan approvals are pending before the concerned authorities of the State Government in so far as the extra built-up area to be constructed by the institution under the new Regulations, 2014; (3) as regards extra teaching faculties, appointments are also on the way in most of the institutions and in respect of some institutions such an appointment made and already been submitted to the university for its approval and the said process of approval is also pending before the University. For all these reasons, we and already requested through our Association letter dated 21.06.2016 to defer the proposed inspections by the NCTE-SRC on our member institutions for the time being. In spite of the said letter having been served on you, the NCTE-SRC seems to have not stopped the process and we understand that the process mooted by the NCTE for the proposed inspection is going on and therefore the present request has become necessitated of-course on legal advice to out you on notice that your proposed action of inspection compelling or requiring the compliance of NCTE Regulations, 2014 including the onerous conditions and clauses which on the subject matter of the reviewing and revisiting of the conditions as referred to in the order of the Hon'ble High Court, Madras dated 23.02.2016, would be a clear case of violation of the orders of the Court and therefore it is liable to be considered as an action of contempt.

14. Therefore, we hereby earnestly request your authorities to kindly desist from further proceeding of any inspection as proposed by the NCTE-SRC in the existing institutions, who are member institutions of our Association. In spite of this request, if your authorities proceed in the line of proposed inspection as mooted through your individual notices served on our member institutions, then our Association would not hesitate to approach the court of law i.e. the Hon'ble High Court, Madras to initiate appropriate contempt proceedings against you people and in such eventuality, your authorities alone should be held responsible at your cost and consequences thereof.

We hope and trust that the NCTE-SRC would do justice to all our member institutions, who have been functioning all along for several years in the service of teacher education in this part of our country.

Now, another letter received on 02.08.2016 stating as under:

"in view with appointing Academic faculty, we are facing practical difficulties and hardship for understanding and appointing the Academic Faculty mentioned in Appendix-4 (B.Ed) clause 5, (5.1, 5.2, A, B, C, D) in the New Regulation 2014.

As NCTE had brought in revised regulation applicable for the B.Ed course of study as contained in appendix IV, the clarification is requested for the below mentioned norms.

- 1) In clause 3.1 of appendix-4, it has mentioned that, the basic unit of B.Ed., intake is only 50 students per year.

Detailed prescription of Academic faculty requirement for one basic unit (50 student) in clause 5 (5.1, 5.2 A, B, C & D) of the New Regulation 2014 is requested.

- 2) In Clause 5.2 A, B, C & D of Appendix – 4, the qualification of Principal and Academic Staff has been mentioned.

Clarification requested for appointing other state principal and academic faculty, during the unavailability of qualified staff in our state.

- 3) In Clause 5.2 B of Appendix-4, the norms and qualification of the Assistant Professor of perspective in Education or Foundation Courses is not clearly mentioned.

Clarification requested in the qualification for each Assistant Professor in Perspective in Education in detail.

- 4) In clause 5.2 C of Appendix-4, the list of pedagogy subject for appointing Assistant Professor of Pedagogic courses is not clearly mentioned.

Clarification requested for the list of pedagogic subjects in detail.

- 5) The pedagogy subject of computer science is introduced in the school subjects in Tamilnadu (Tamilnadu Government orders Enclosed with this letter for your reference).

Hence, please allow us to appoint the pedagogy faculty of Computer Science with qualification mentioned as per Clause 5.2 C of Appendix-4.

- 6) In Clause 5.2 D of Appendix-4, it has been mentioned to appoint the faculty of Visual Arts and Performing Arts in full time basis.

Relaxation requested to appoint the faculty of Visual Arts and Performing Arts in Part time basis. Due to the unavailability of the faculty and also it is a part of extracurricular activity and not a curriculum based part.

So, we respectfully pray your high ship to consider and clarify our request mentioned in the above said clauses in the NCTE, new regulation 2014.

Letter dated 12.08.2016 received from Tamilnadu Teachers Education University on 16.08.2016 stating as under:

“pursuant to the implementation of NCTE’s Regulation 2014 from the academic year 2015-2016, the SRC-NCTE has issued revised Recognition orders to almost all the existing Colleges of Education in Tamilnadu affiliated to this University with the following conditions.

*“ 4(5) the Regional Committee shall arrange for verification of documents, inspection of these premises and check adherence to these conditions by 20 February, 2016. If it is found by the Regional Committee that the institution fails to comply with these requirements, the institutions shall not be permitted to admit students for the academic year 2016-2017.”*

2. subsequently, the Tamilnadu self-financing colleges of education management association and five other colleges of education in Tamilnadu have filed writ petitions challenging the various clauses of the NCTE’s Regualtions 2014. The Division Bench of Hon’ble Madras High Court have heard the contentions of the petitioners in detail and recorded the following

undertaking submitted by the standing council of the NCTE on 23.02.2016.

*"7. The respondents, thus, may carry out the exercise as early as feasible. The learned counsel for the respondents states that no coercive steps would be taken to implement the rigours of the aforesaid provisions till a final decision is taken. The said statement is taken on record".*

3. consequent to the above situation, the inspection of colleges of Education in Tamilnadu has not been completed by the SRC-NCTE, Bangalore so far, to ascertain the infrastructural and instructional facilities available in the institutions, which are required for the revised intake. But, as per the revised Recognition orders issued by the SRC, most of the colleges of Education having intake of one unit (100 students) for one year B.Ed degree programme under Regulation 2009 have been granted intake of two units of 2-year B.Ed degree programme under Regulation 2014. i.e. 2 units X 50 students X 2 years -200 students. The actual strength in the colleges of education having intake of two units in the academic year 2016-2017 shall be 200 students. i.e. 100 students in 1<sup>st</sup> year B.Ed degree programme and another 100 students in 2<sup>nd</sup> year B.Ed degree programme.

4. Among the existing colleges of Education in Tamilnadu, most of them are having only 8 qualified teachers & infrastructure facilities for one unit only. They have not yet submitted the details of teachers and infrastructure facilities required for the second unit of students for verification and also qualification approval.

5. Already the first year of the 2 years B.Ed programme (2015-2016) is over and 2<sup>nd</sup> academic year is to begin in the last week of august, 2016. The University is receiving frequent complaints from the students and parents about the insufficient number of qualified teachers. Most of the self-financing colleges have already started the B.Ed admission process for 2 units/100 students for the academic year 2016-2017 without obtaining the qualification approval for the teachers.

6. under the above circumstances, the university is seeking clarifications on the following points from the SRC-NCTE in order to maintain and monitor the standards of teacher education in Tamilnadu as per NCTE norms.

- a) Whether expert committee has submitted its reports to the NCTE as outlined in the order dated 23.02.2016 of the Division Bench of Hon'ble Madras High Court. If submitted already, kindly provide the outcome of the same.
- b) Whether the SRC-NCTE has arranged for the inspection of the colleges of education in Tamilnadu with regard to additional faculty members & infrastructure facilities.
- c) Whether the University can restrict the intake of B.Ed students (one unit/2 units) based on the available qualified teaching staff for the academic year 2016-2017.

I would like to request that an early reply to these points is very urgent as it will help the university and affiliated colleges to plan their B.Ed academic programme effectively for the academic year 2016-2017.

The Committee considered the matter, representation of Tamilnadu Self Financing College of Education Management Association and decided as under :-

**1. The procedure prescribed by the NCTE(HQ) for issue of RPRO, time for conversion , inspection by RC , issue of Revised Recognition order ,etc is for ensuring compliance of the Supreme Court order that after Notification of 2014 Regulations , No case shall be processed under the old Regulations.**

		<p><b>2. That being so it will be impossible for us to accept their request.</b></p>
<p>67.</p>	<p>SRCAPP 201630141 B.A.B.Ed,B.Sc B.Ed St. Joseph's College of Education for Women, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p><b>St. Joseph's College of Education for Women, Guntur Village, 2nd Lane, Sambasivapet, Near Naze Centre, Guntur Taluk &amp; District-522001, Andhra Pradesh</b></p> <p>St. Joseph's College of Education for Women, Guntur Village, 2nd Lane, Sambasivapet, Near Naze Centre, Guntur Taluk &amp; District-522001, Andhra Pradesh had made application online for <b>B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed</b> four year integrated programme on 30.05.2016 for the academic session 2017-18 and <b><u>the institution has submitted hard copy of incomplete online application i.e. prior to generation of application code number and also submitted original DD of Rs. 1,50,000/- towards processing fee.</u></b></p> <p>As directed an email was sent to EDP cell on 27.06.2016 to send the details of application code and payment paid through DD for further processing of application.</p> <p><b>Further the institution has submitted hard copy of online application dated 29.06.2016 for B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed four year integrated programme for the academic year 2017-18 on 04.07.2016 and the institution has submitted processing fee of Rs. 1,50,000/- through net banking.</b></p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 02.07.2016 requesting for sending back the DD of Rs. 1, 50,000/- towards processing fee.</p> <p>An email dated 01.08.2016 received from the institution along with a letter and stated as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><b><i>“....this is to remind you that we have sent the D.D No. 199283, dated 27.05.2016 amount Rs.1,50,000/-,Indian Bank, Sambasivapet, Guntur in favor of The Member Secretary NCTE Bangalore towards processing fee of B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed 4 years integrated course. Since it is not accepted we have paid the same amount through online transaction. The DD is dated on 27.05.2016 and it is valid up to 3 month that is 26.08.2016. Since it is already 2 months are over. So kindly send back the D.D before the due date otherwise it will be invalid. Kindly purse this matter and do the needful.”</i></b></p> <p><b>Note:</b> 1) The DD of Rs. 1,50,000/- No.199283 Dt. 27.05.2016 is lying in the file. 2) NCTE vide letter No F.49-4/2014/NCTE/N&amp;S dated 22.08.2016 has allowed acceptance of hard copy of the application up to 15.07.2016 irrespective of date of online submission.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter, representation of the institution dated 01.08.2016 and decided as under :-</p> <p><b>If there has been double payment , return the excess payment made.</b></p>