

Decisions of 341st Meeting of SRC-NCTE

Consideration of Complaint Cases, Show Cause Notice reply, Court Case and Non-Submission of reply : (Volume-1)

| | | | | | |
|----|----------|-----------------|--|----|---|
| 1. | APS00243 | B.Ed 2 Units | Millenium College of Education, Medak, Telangana | TS | <p>1. Title deed is a certified photocopy. Sy.No. is 26/ee2. Land area of 3500 sq.mts. is adequate.</p> <p>2. LUC is duly approved. But, the permission given is for 'commercial' purpose and not 'educational'.</p> <p>3. EC is in order. But, the Sy.No. shown (26/ ^D2) does not tally with the Sy.No.(26/ee2) shown in the Gift Deed.</p> <p>4.1 BP is in order. Built-up area shown (2200.5 sq.mts) is adequate.</p> <p>4.2 But, the BP shows use of 'asbestos' roofing. This is not permitted under Regulations.</p> <p>5.1 BCC is in order. Built-up area shown is (2367.7 sq.mts). This is wrong because the 167.2 sq.mts. added is of ACC roofing. They should add 2200+185.8 sq.mts(2385.8 sq.ms.) is adequate. But, this will be in excess of what is shown in the BP.</p> |
|----|----------|-----------------|--|----|---|

| | | | | | |
|----|---------------------|------------------|---|----|--|
| | | | | | <p>5.2. There are 167.7 sq.mts. of ACC roofing in MP Hall which is highly objectionable. They should immediately remove the 'asbestos' presence. This will need to be checked on the spot at their cost.</p> <p>6. FDRs are in order.</p> <p>7. Faculty list is in order. Only Principal is not qualified: does not have 8 years experience in a secondary teacher education institution. Subject of Ph.D. degree is not mentioned.</p> |
| 2. | SRCAPP2016 30082 | B.P.Ed 1 Unit | School of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, Kannur, Kerala | KL | <p>1. They were seen, in an earlier meeting to have satisfied all requirements. Only, the Faculty list was to be examined.</p> <p>2. LUC/EC are in order.</p> <p>3. BP is in order; approved by the competent authority. Built-up area is not shown. Built up area is not stated.</p> <p>4. BCC is in order. Built-up area shown (4649 sq.mts.) is adequate for B.P.Ed.(1 unit). VT Inspection Report confirms this.</p> <p>5.1 One Associate Prof. is required.</p> |

| | | | | | |
|----|---------------------|------------------|---|----|--|
| | | | | | <p>5.2 Asst. Prof. Only 3 are in position. Out of the 3 also, 2 are on contract. The remaining 3 positions are vacant and need to be filled.</p> <p>5.3 Others are in order.</p> <p>6. Issue SCN accordingly.</p> |
| 3. | SRCAPP2016 30085 | M.P.Ed 1 Unit | School of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, Kannur, Kerala | KL | <p>1. Title is clear.</p> <p>2. LUC/EC are in order.</p> <p>3. BP & BCC are in order. Land area is adequate. Built-up area is adequate.</p> <p>4.1 The Principal of B.P.Ed. is a common Principal for M.P.Ed. also. The post of Prof. is deemed to be filled by this common Principal.</p> <p>4.2 The 2 posts of Associate Profs. are vacant. They have to be filled up. Associate Profs. of B.P.Ed. cannot be shown for M.P.Ed. also.</p> <p>4.3 3 Asst. Profs. are in position. Out of them, 2 are on contract. They have to be regular. And, 1 of the 3 should be a PG in Yoga. The Asst. Prof.(Yoga) of B.P.Ed. cannot be shared with M.P.Ed. There has to be an independent Faculty member.</p> |

| | | | | | |
|----|---------------------|--------------------|--|----|--|
| | | | | | <p>4.4 Out of the 3 part-time Sports Trainers, 1 is appointed. But, he is not qualified. 1 is repeated from the B.P.Ed. list This is not allowed. The third post is vacant. In other words, 3 part-time Sports Trainers are required.</p> <p>5. Issue SCN accordingly.</p> |
| 4. | SRCAPP2016 30061 | BSc.B.Ed 1 Unit | Mother Teresa Women's University, Dindigul, Tamilnadu, | TN | <p>1. The applicant university has certainly responded with concern.</p> <p>2.1 But, still, the position relating to built-up area is not clear. For B.Sc.B.Ed (1 unit) they will need 1500 sq.mt. All that they have shown is a block of bulding that has 360.62 Sq.mts.</p> <p>2.2 They have given 2 BCCs. They are both Copies of each other. The only difference is that the approving authority is different. The built-up area does not change.</p> <p>2.3.1.They have given details of the Science Block, Humanities Block and, M.P.Hall. Every where they have given 2 sets. It is not clear whether, on the ground, there are two sets of buildings.</p> <p>2.3.2. There is no earmarking of area for the</p> |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | | <p>B.Sc.B.Ed course. Where will the new course be accommodated? How will it function in dispersed locations? What will happen to the courses already running in the different blocks?</p> <p>2.3.3. Another point to reckon with is that the BP shows only an area of 360.62 sq.mts. How can we accept that the BCC for B.Sc.BE.d exceeds the limit of 36.62 sq.mts. set by the BP and reach a level of 3960.49 sq.mts?</p> <p>3 We have no doubt that the applicant university is genuinely concerned to run a B.Sc.B.Ed course for the benefit of girls there. But, the SRC also has to consider cases only within the four corners of the NCTE Regulations.</p> <p>4 Since, after 2.5.17, we can consider cases for FR only w.e.f. 2018-19, there is enough time available for them to clarify the position; and, if necessary, even to construct additional built-up area. Therefore, issue a letter requesting them to look at the issue carefully, understand its implication and, provide appropriate solution in a realistic and acceptable</p> |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|

| | | | | | |
|----|---------------------|-------------------|---|----|---|
| | | | | | manner. 2. Issue letter accordingly. |
| 5. | SRCAPP2016 30123 | M.P.Ed 1Unit | SPMs College of Physical Education, Belgaum, Karnataka | KA | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Professor in position does not have a Ph.D. degree. 2.1 The Associate Prof. in position does not have a Ph.D. degree. 2.2 The newly recruited Associate Prof. is duly qualified. 3. In other words, one Prof. and two Associate Profs. all having Ph.D. degrees are required. Of the three in position, only one i.e., the newly recruited Associate Prof. has a Ph.D. degree. 4. Issue SCN accordingly. 5. Give time till 31.1.18. |
| 6. | SRCAPP2016 30080 | D.P.SE 2 Units | St. Peters Educational Association, Warangal, Telagana | TS | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All requirements have been met. 2. Only, the Faculty list, as approved by the SCERT, remains to be furnished. 3. For Lect.(Creative& Perf. Arts) a separate Faculty Member has to be appointed; any person cleared for such appointment in D.El.Ed. cannot be shared. |

341st Meeting of SRC
15-16 June, 2017

| | | | | | |
|----|---------------------|---------------------|--|----|--|
| | | | | | 4. Since, after 2.5.17, we can consider cases for FR only w.e.f. 2018-19. They are given time till 31.1.18 for submission of the approved staff list. |
| 7. | SRCAPP2016 30137 | D.P.SE 2 Units | Oasis Diploma in Pre School Education, Warangal, Telangana | TS | 1. All requirements have been met. 2. Since, after 2.5.17, we can consider cases for FR only w.e.f. 2018-19. They are given time till 31.1.18 for submission of the approved staff list. |
| 8. | SRCAPP3539 | BA.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed | Emmanuel Degree College of Integrated Teacher Education, Vishakapatnam, Andhra Pradesh | AP | 1. The applicant already has B.Ed. (2 units) which requires a built-up area of 2000 sq.mts. 2.1 The total built-up area available is 2715.67 sq.mts. 2.2 The built-up area available, after accommodating the B.Ed. (2 units), for other courses is only 715.67 sq.mts. 2.3 This is not adequate to accommodate even one unit of B.A.B.Ed. or B.Sc.B.Ed. 3. The observation made by the SRC in the 338 th meeting "Title is clear. Land area is adequate for B.Ed. and B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit) or B.Sc.B.Ed.(1 unit)" was wrong. We review the said observation and omit the words "... and B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit) or B.Sc.B.Ed.(1 unit)." We regret the |

7

Members: Prof. M.S. Lalithamma , Shri.Krishna Mohan Rao, Shri.Rangadhamappa

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

| | | | | | |
|----|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---|----|---|
| | | | | | <p>inconvenience caused to the applicant by this error.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">4. In the light of what has been stated above, there is no meaningful purpose left in this case. Accordingly, the application is rejected.5. Return the FDRs, if any.6. Close the file. |
| 9. | SRCAPP2016 30099 | BA.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed -AI 1 Unit | Pope John Paul II College of Education, Pondicherry | PO | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The clarification from NCTE(HQ) is not clear enough for further action.2. They have stated that, since there was no withdrawal of recognition of the 'institution' for a 4 - year integrated programme, the institution stands recognized from the academic year 2003-2004 onwards. (The annual recognition was for 2004-2005 and not 2003-2004).3. It is important here to recognize the position that the recognition then granted by the SRC was an 'annual recognition'. The sequence of events prevailing was grant of annual recognition → submission of annual PAR → submission of a request for renewal of the annual recognition → renewal of the |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | <p>annual recognition..</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">4. In this case, the renewal of recognition had a time-limit of 31.3.2005. It had also a specific stipulation that the institution shall submit an annual PAR before the expiry of recognition. And, there was a requirement of a request for renewal of the annual recognition which would not be considered in the absence of fulfillment of the stipulated conditions.5. There was no submission of PAR. There was no request for renewal of the recognition. There was no order of SRC for renewal of the 'annual' recognition. In other words, the 'annual' recognition for 2004-2005 perished at the end of the academic year.6. That the applicant institution assumed it to be a case of recognition in perpetuity, cannot alter this stark factual position.7. To invoke issues like ' future of students being at stake' is to distort this factual position. The Hon. Supreme Court has clearly directed that institutions should not be allowed to resort to such emotional blackmailing. They should function as responsible institutions to |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|

| | | | | | | |
|-----|----------------------|--------------|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | | <p>prevent development of such situations. And, the students involved are not young children who cannot distinguish what is right and what is wrong. They cannot enter into institutions and/or courses without verifying their credentials and then wait about their future. This instruction of the Supreme Court will be equally applicable to this case also.</p> <p>8. That being so, the NCTE(HQ) may be requested to reconsider the case and give us revised guidance.</p> | |
| 10. | APS08997 | M.Ed Unit | 1 | Kittoor Rani Chennamma PG College, Belgaum, Karnataka | KA | Process |
| 11. | APS03622 APS07543 | B.Ed M.Ed | | Luise James Teacher Training Institute and James College of Education, Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu | TN | <p>1. This case was needlessly delayed by the poor handling by our previous Lawyer.</p> <p>2. The case has progressed with the VT Inspection on 5.11.16. Who ordered this inspection is not clear. Be that as it may.</p> <p>3. The sale deed shows that title is held by Sh. Premkumar(Founder of the Trust) on behalf of the Trust.</p> <p>4.1 The applicant in both cases – i.e., B.Ed. & M.Ed. – is the James College of Education.</p> |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | <p>They did not have title to the lands at the time of application. The title is still with the Trust. This position is not in accordance with the Regulations. The Trust should transfer the title to the College.</p> <p>4.2 Land area is adequate for B.Ed. & M.Ed.</p> <p>5. LUC is in order. Only a photocopy is given. Original is required.</p> <p>6. EC is in order. Only a photocopy is given. Original is required.</p> <p>7.1 BP is not approved by competent authority. Built-up area shown is adequate only for B.Ed.(2 units) or B.Ed.(1 unit) + M.Ed. (1 unit).</p> <p>7.2 There is another BP which cites Sy.No.498/6. This Sy.No. is not there in the Sale Deed. Also, this BP is not approved by competent authority. Both the BPs are in photocopies. Originals are required.</p> <p>8. BCC is not approved by competent authority. The built-up area shown is in excess of what is permitted by BP No.1.</p> |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | <p>The built-up area permitted in BP No.2 cannot be recognized because Sy.No.498/6 is not reflected in the title deed. BCC is also in photocopy. Original is required. The BCC does not indicate the type of roofing.</p> <p>9. FDRs are in photocopies. Originals are required for verification. One set had expired in 2016. They are required @ 7+5 lakhs for each programme.</p> <p>10. VT Inspection report shows that the M.P. Hall roofing is with asbestos sheets. This is highly objectionable. Asbestos roofing should immediately be replaced. There will have to be another inspection to check that at their cost.</p> <p>11. Faculty lists are in photocopy. Originals are required. Not every page is certified by the Registrar. As pointed out in 7.1 above, we do not know the final composition of the courses they will have. We cannot, therefore, finalize the Faculty list now.</p> <p>12. Issue SCN accordingly.</p> |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|

| | | | | | |
|-----|------------|-------------------|--|----|---|
| 12. | SRCAPP1011 | D.El.Ed 1 Unit | S K College of Elementary Education, Medak, Telangana | TS | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The Govt. of Telangana have now certified that the documents in reference have been found to be “genuine and correct”.2. That being so, no further action is needed on the complaint earlier received from the SCERT.3. Close the case.4. Inform the applicant college. Send a copy to SCERT(Telangana) for information.5. Close the file. |
|-----|------------|-------------------|--|----|---|

| | | | | | |
|-----|----------|-----------------|---|----|--|
| 13. | APS02383 | B.Ed 2 Units | Sunita Mahila Mandali's, Gurukul College of Education, Mysore, Karnataka | KA | <p>1.1 This case suffered from basic infirmities at initio.</p> <p>1.2 They did not have title to lands! Buildings at the time of application.</p> <p>1.3 Strictly speaking, the case should have been summarily rejected.</p> <p>2.1 Somehow, it got into the process and went through its ups and downs.</p> <p>2.2 They did approach the Courts of Law during their moments of downs. But, the Courts had appraised the facts more correctly than us to reject their representations. Even their last approach to the Hon'ble High Court in Jan. 2016 met with the same treatment. Their Writ petition was dismissed as not meriting consideration because no flaw could be found with the order issued by the respondent.</p> <p>2.3 While giving the opportunity to the applicant to approach the SRC again, if necessary, the Court gave freedom to the SRC to take a decision in accordance with law keeping in view the Regulations guiding that aspect of matter.</p> |
|-----|----------|-----------------|---|----|--|

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | | <p>3.1 The Regulations make specific stipulations of norms and standards. It is even prescribed that deficiencies cannot be rectified after VT Inspection. The Hon'ble Supreme Court had directed that norms and standards should be rigidly enforced. Notwithstanding all this, the SRC had recognized rectification of deficiencies, not just after V.T. inspection, but, even after rejection of the application and withdrawal of recognition.</p> <p>3.2 As earlier stated, this case merited Summarily rejection for want of title to lands at the time of application. Not observing this legal prescription, the SRC swung to the other extreme of considering restoration of recognition that was withdrawn with reference to other infirmities. In this connection, it is relevant to note that the SRC can resort to limited powers to review its decisions in cases of errors apparent on the face of the record. No such situation prevailed in this case. The decision of the SRC to revive the case (to consider their representation)</p> |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | <p>inspite of irreparable infirmities in the case was clearly excessive display of clemency by it. It was certainly not warranted in the face of the Hon. High Court's permission given to the SRC to go by the law keeping in view the guidelines on the subject.</p> <p>4. In the result, and for the reasons given above, we are inclined to review our decision to give favourable consideration to their representation regarding late construction of the building and even later acquisition of title to the lands.</p> <p>5. Apart from the other irreparable infirmities, there are flaws in the Faculty list also.</p> <p>(i) The certificate of experience given by the Principal does not give the exact dates of service in the AET College.</p> <p>(ii) There is no Asst. Prof. shown for perspective.</p> <p>(iii) Under pedagogy, 4 Asst. Profs. have been shown in excess. Out of these, 2 Asst. Profs. in Social Sc. can be shifted to Perspectives.</p> |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|

| | | | | | |
|-----|----------|-------------------|---|----|--|
| | | | | | <p>The other two will remain as surpluses in Pedagogy.</p> <p>(iv) One Asst. Prof.(Psy.) and one Asst. Prof.(Sociol./Phil.) will still be required to be appointed in the Perspective group.</p> <p>(V) Asst. Prof (FA) and Asst. Prof (PA) are not qualified.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, reject their application seeking restoration of recognition. The recognition withdrawn by us in 2010 and reiterated in May 2015 remains withdrawn. No further action is necessary.</p> <p>7. Return FDRs if any.</p> <p>8. Close the file.</p> |
| 14. | APS03334 | D.El.Ed 1 Unit | Sri. Jagadguru Fakeera Channaveereshwar Teacher Training Institute, Gadag, Karnataka | KA | <p>1. This institute was as aided instiution. It was recognized to run a D.El.Ed (1 unit) course.</p> <p>2. In 2012 we withdrew recognition on 2 grounds:</p> <p>(i) The building was dilapidated and could collapse any time.</p> <p>(ii) There was asbestos roofing in the building.</p> <p>3. They went to the court and, the case</p> |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | <p>was revived by a court-order requiring them to file all the documents and pay the inspection fee within 4 weeks.</p> <p>4.1. The case was apparently revived. Inspection was done in Dec. 2013.</p> <p>4.2 But, no VTI Report is available on our records. It is not known whether the VT has anything to say about the asbestos roofing or about the repair of the dilapidated building.</p> <p>4.3. The records available only give details of staffing. Even that is not very clear. The old staff are all reported to have been readjusted in other aided-institutions. They are not likely to have appointed any new Faculty because the Institute was shut down after our withdrawl of recognition. The grant-in-aid from the Karnataka Govt. was also stopped. In the circumstance, there was no scope for them to have recruited any new Faculty to do no work.</p> <p>5. In this hazy situation, it will not be possible for the SRC to take any reasonable decisions. The better course</p> |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | | <p>of action will be to treat this as a continuing case(because of the court order) and proceed to process from the start. This will also be in line with the requirement to bring them under the 2014, Regulations.</p> <p>6. Obtain latest records-Fresh Affidavit, Title deed, LUC, latest EC, BP, latest BCC, and latest Faculty list.</p> <p>7. Not being an aided institution at present, they may express difficulty in recruiting new Faculty without recognition. But, they have referred to a new Faculty list submitted to the SCERT for approval. They can pursue that.</p> <p>8. Aided institutions need not give FDRs. But, they are not an aided institution now. If they get our recognition and, in case they do not receive sanction for grant-in-aid, we can subsequently obtain the FDRs.</p> <p>9. Inform them accordingly, and, obtain all documents afresh. We will have to cause a fresh inspection. Issue SCN accordingly.</p> |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|

| | | | | | |
|-----|----------|------|---|----|--|
| 15. | APS03710 | B.Ed | Kanara Welfare Trust's B.Ed College, Uttara Kannada, Karanataka | KA | <p>1.1 Request for reduction from 2 units to 1 is accepted. But, issue the order after they submit the Resolution of the Trust and proof of 'no dues certificate' from the employees.</p> <p>1.2. Clarify that one unit will mean 50 + 50 in the 2 years B.Ed programme should not admit more than 50 in 2017-18 in the first year. They should have faculty as per the norms for 100 students (coming from the first year of 2 units) to complete the 2nd year of the B.Ed programme. Call for the Faculty details for handling the classes accordingly.</p> <p>2. No inspection is required. Cancel the V.T order.</p> <p>3. They have to submit latest EC, a legible copy of the BCC, a revised affidavit for one unit only and, FDRs in original in joint account, with a 5- year validity @ 7 + 5 lakhs.</p> <p>4.1 They have to give a new faculty list duly approved and authenticated by the Registrar in all pages by the Registrar.</p> <p>4.2 In the photocopy of the old Faculty List submitted, the following deficiencies are seen:</p> |
|-----|----------|------|---|----|--|

| | | | | | |
|-----|----------|-----------------|--|----|---|
| | | | | | <p>(i) List is not in original.</p> <p>(ii) Not authenticated in every page by the Registrar.</p> <p>(iii) Two. Asst Profs (Persp.) are required.</p> <p>(iv) In Pedagogy Group one Asst. Prof (Maths) and one Asst . Prof (Reg. Lang) are required.</p> <p>(v) Asst .Prof (PA), Asst Prof (FA) and, Asst. Proof (Phy.Ed) are required.</p> <p>5. Issue SCN accordingly. Send copy to the university. (Put up in Aug 17.)</p> |
| 16. | APS02025 | B.Ed 2 Units | Sri. Tungabhadra College of Education, Chikkamangalore, Karnataka | KA | <p>1.1 Request for reduction from 2 units to 1 is accepted. But, issue the order after they submit the Resolution of the Trust and proof of 'no dues certificate' from the employees.</p> <p>1.2 Clarify that one unit will mean 50 + 50 in the 2 years B.Ed programme should not admit more than 50 in 2017-18 in the first year. They should have faculty as per the norms for 100 students (coming from the first year of 2 units) to complete the 2nd year of the B.Ed programme. Call for the Faculty details</p> |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | | <p>for handling the classes accordingly.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. No inspection is required cancel the V.T order.3. Title is clear. Land area of 0.50 acre is adequate in terms of the recently amended Regulation.4. LUC is in order.5. Latest EC is required.6. BP is in order. Built up area is adequate for B.Ed (2 Units).7. BCC is in order. Built up area of 2420 Sq mt is adequate. But, it exceeds the 2024 Sq mt limit permitted by BP.8. Original FDR's with a 5- year validity in joint account @ 7 + 5 lakhs are required. <p>9.1 In line with what is stated in 1.2 above, they will need 1 + 15 Faculty during 2017-18 and 1+ 9 thereafter when the reduction from 2 units to 1 will come into position.</p> <p>9.2 The Faculty list now given has the following deficiencies:</p> <p>(i) It is not in the format. Only one sheet of consolidated List is there. The staff profiles are attested by the Principal and not the</p> |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|

| | | | | | |
|-----|-------------|-------------------|--|----|---|
| | | | | | <p>Registrar.</p> <p>(ii) They have only 1+16. The Principal has no phd. Degree, he has only 6 years of service. Out of the 6, 4 are not qualified to teach kannada/English/History.</p> <p>(iii) There are no Asst Profs (Persp) at all.</p> <p>(iv) In Pedagogy Group, there is no Asst Prof. in Biol. Sc., Phy.Sc and Mathematics.</p> <p>(v) There is no Asst. Prof in Perf. Arts, Fine Arts and, Phy.Ed.</p> <p>9.3 They should remove these deficiencies and submit a fresh list of 1 +15 (for 2017-18) and 1+9 (from 2018-19 onwards)</p> <p>10. Issue SCN accordingly. Send copy for information to the University. Put up in Aug 17.</p> |
| 17. | SRCAPP14750 | D.El.Ed 1 Unit | Mother D.Ed College, Vishakapatnam, Andhra Pradesh | AP | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Reply to our LOI has not been received.2. Give time till 31.12.2017 because we can now consider cases for FR only w.e.f. 2018-19. |

| | | | | | |
|-----|---------------------|-------------------|---|----|---|
| 18. | SRCAPP2947 | B.Ed 2 Units | Bhagiratha College of Education, Chitradurga, Karnataka | KA | 1. No reply to our SCN has been received. 2. Give time till 31.12.2017 because we can now consider cases for FR only w.e.f. 2018-19. |
| 19. | SRCAPP2016 30113 | M.Ed 1 Unit | I.A.S.E.R.V Teachers College, Bangalore Urban, Karnataka | KA | Noted |
| 20. | SRCAPP2927 | D.El.Ed 1 Unit | Maharishi Institute of Master Management, Bangalore Urban, Karnataka | KA | Noted |
| 21. | APS02185 | B.Ed 2 Units | Sri Murugha Rajendraswamiji B.Ed College. Gulbarga, Karnataka | KA | 1. Title is not clear. Application was from Rashmi Vividdodesh Sangh but the land document is in favour of Rashmi Educational & Charitable Trust. They should explain this. Land area is adequate. 2. LUC is in order except for a discrepancy in the area. Land document shows it as 50,985 Sq ft whereas LUC shows it as 57, 085 Sq ft. 3. EC is in order. In favour of Rashmi Educational & Charitable Trust. 4. BP is not approved by Comp. authority shows built up area as 57, |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | <p>095 Sqft (5300 Sq mts).</p> <p>5. BCC is not in original, only a photocopy. Not approved by Comp. authority. Builtup area shown is 1,37, 800 Sqft. This violates the limit of 57095 Sq ft permitted by the BP. It also shows a large tinshed. Regulations also not allow temp. structures.</p> <p>6. FDRs are required in original in Joint account with a 5-year validity @ 7 + 5 lakhs per programme. They should submit accordingly.</p> <p>7. They will need 1+15 Faculty for B.Ed (2 units) and 1 + 10 for M.Ed. The Faculty lists submitted have the following deficiencies:</p> <p>(i) They are in format. They have 1 + 15 and 1 + 10 But, every page is not authenticated by the Registrar.</p> <p>(ii) They are only a photocopy. Originals are required.</p> <p>(iii) The Composition of subject groups is in order.</p> <p>(iv) The Principal is common for both B.Ed & M.Ed.</p> |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|

| | | | | | |
|-----|----------|------|--|----|---|
| | | | | | <p>8. Built up area required for B.Ed (2 Units), M.Ed (1 Unit) and D.El.Ed (1 Unit) is 3500 Sq mt (for D.El.Ed + B.Ed + M.Ed combo) + 500 Sq mt = 4000 Sq mt. whereas what they have is 12402 Sq mt (acc to BCC) and 5138 Sq mt (acc to BP) Although, they have enough under both situations, the major discrepancy between BP and BCC has to be first rectified. They should also clearly indicate the earmarking of area Programmewise.</p> <p>9. Issue SCN accordingly. Send a copy for inf to the Univ.</p> <p>10. Put up in Aug. 17</p> |
| 22. | APS08948 | B.Ed | Rasi College of Education, Namakkal, Tamilnadu | TN | <p>1.1 Request for reduction from 2 units to 1 is accepted. But, issue the order after they submit the Resolution of the Trust and proof of 'no dues certificate' from the employees.</p> <p>1.2 Clarify that one unit will mean 50 + 50 in the 2 years B.Ed programme should not admit more than 50 in 2017-18 in the first year. They should have faculty as per the norms for 100</p> |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | | <p>students (coming from the first year of 2 units) to complete the 2nd year of the B.Ed programme. Call for the Faculty details for handling the classes accordingly.</p> <p>2. They own the building. But, the land is on 30 Year lease.</p> <p>3. They can run the college at this location until the lease period runs out. Thereafter, either they should buy the land or move out to their own land else where.</p> <p>4. Luc is in order.</p> <p>5. EC is in order.</p> <p>6. BP is in order Built up area shown is 3517 Sq mt. It is adequate.</p> <p>7. BCC is in order. Built up area shown is 3517 Sqmt. It is adequate.</p> <p>8. FDRs are required in original, in Joint account with a 5-year validity @ 7 + 5 lakhs per programme.</p> <p>9. Faculty list</p> <p>(i) They need 1+15. They have only 1+14.</p> <p>(ii) They have given the Faculty list in photocopy, not in original.</p> |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | <p>(iii) It is not in format.</p> <p>(iv) Principal is qualified.</p> <p>(v) In Persp. Only one Asst. prof (Psy.) is there. The other 3 positions are vacant. They need 2 in Scl. Sc. and 1 in (Social/Phil)</p> <p>(vi) In Pedagogy, out of 8, 7 are qualified in accordance with the norms. One Asst. Prof (Scl. Sc.) is required to fill up the 8th post.</p> <p>(vii) There is no Asst. Prof (FA). The Asst. Prof in position of Perf Arts is not qualified. Asst Prof (Phy.Ed.) qualified.</p> <p>(viii) Out of 14 Asst. Profs., 3 are in Commerce, Comp.Sc., and Msc (I.T.). These are not according to norms. They will need to be replaced.</p> <p>10. Issue SCN accordingly. Send a copy for information to the University.</p> <p>11. Put up in Aug 17.</p> |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|

| | | | | | |
|-----|----------|----------------|---|----|--|
| 23. | APS00708 | B.Ed 1 Unit | St. Gregorios Teacher Training College, Wayanad, Kerala | KL | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Title is clear. Land area is adequate. Only one Sy.no, (665/8) seems to be relevant.2. LUC is in order.3. Latest EC is required.4. BP shows sy.no. 665/8. Built up area shown is 2229.54 sq.mts.5. BCC is in order. Built-up area shown is 1210.34 sq.mts. This is not adequate even for one unit of B.Ed.6. FDRs are required in original in joint account, with a 5-year validation @ 7+5 lakhs.7. Size of MP Hall is less.8. <u>Faculty List</u>.<ol style="list-style-type: none">(i) List is in original.(ii) It is approved by the Registrar. But, only the last page is authenticated by the Registrar and not every page.(iii) Principal is qualified.(iv) One more Asst. Prof is required in perspectives.(v) In Pedagogy group, they have 5 against 4 required. One Asst. Prof (Reg. lang) is required.(vi) Asst. Prof (FA) and Asst Prof (P.A) are required. |
|-----|----------|----------------|---|----|--|

| | | | | | |
|-----|----------------------------------|--|---|----|---|
| | | | | | (vii) In Pedagogy Group there is one Asst. Prof with M.Com qualification. He can not be accommodated in any subject. Issue SCN accordingly. |
| 24. | APS00234 APS07145 APS02737 | B.Ed 2 Units M.Ed 1 Unit D.El.Ed 1 Unit | Malla Reddy College of Teacher Education, Rangareddy, Telangana | TS | 1. Their reply does not address the specific deficiencies listed. 2.1. Land area required is 3500 sq.mts, they have only 3350 sq.mts. 2.2 Built-up area required is 4000 sq.mts, they have only 3497 sq.mts. 2.3 Faculty required is 2+32, they have only 1+24. 3. Ask them to respond to these deficiencies in particular. 4. We can not permit shifting without these deficiencies being rectified. 5. Issue SCN accordingly. |

| | | | | | |
|-----|-----------|-------------------|--|----|---|
| 25. | SRCAPP376 | D.El.Ed 1 Unit | CMR Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Rangareddy, Telangana | TS | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Their reply to our SCN is specific and categoric.2. It satisfactorily covers all the points except submission of Faculty list (in original) for D.El.Ed.3. Permit shifting.4. Issue the formal order in writing only after collecting the Faculty list in original and getting the deficiencies therein rectified:- <p>The certified copy of the original, submitted in 2015, shows the following position :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">(i) Principal is qualified.(ii) There is no faculty in Perspectives. Two Asst. Profs are required.(iii) In the Pedagogy Group, one Asst. Prof (Maths) and one Asst. Prof (Reg. Lang.) are required.(iv) Asst. Prof (Phy.Ed.) is required to be appointed. <ol style="list-style-type: none">5.1 Thereafter, issue a new FR giving the new address.5.2 Issue a copy to the SCERT for their record and reference.5.3 After that close the file. |
|-----|-----------|-------------------|--|----|---|

| | | | | | |
|-----|----------|-------------------|---|----|---|
| | | | | | |
| 26. | APS01983 | D.El.Ed 1 Unit | SCMM D.Ed College, Mandya, Karnataka | KA | <p>1.Request for closure is accepted.</p> <p>2. But, a formal permission can be issued and the recognition granted can be withdrawn only after the following documents are also received:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(i) NOC of the affiliating DSCERT.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(ii) Original FDRs</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(iii) The on-line closure application.</p> <p>3.Inform them accordingly.</p> |
| 27. | APS01557 | D.El.Ed 1 Unit | B.N.R.K College of Education, Koppal, Karnataka | KA | <p>1.The request for closure is accepted.</p> <p>2. But, a formal permission can be issued and the recognition granted can be withdrawn only after the following document is also received:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(i) NOC from the affiliating DSCERT.</p> <p>3.Inform them accordingly.</p> |

| | | | | | |
|-----|---------------------|---------------------|---|----|--|
| 28. | SRCAPP2016 30128 | BA.B.Ed/ 2 Units | Sri Ramakrishna College of Education, Tamilnadu | TN | <p>Because of some software problem, applications for BA.B.Ed or BSc.B.Ed came to carry both the nomenclatures. The NCTE (Hq) subsequently clarified that, in such cases, applicants can be allowed to opt for one unit of each courses on the same application.</p> <p>In other words, applicants had the option to choose BA.B.Ed (2 Units) or BSc.B.Ed (2 Units) or BA.B.Ed (1 Unit) + BSc.B.Ed (1 Unit). In practice, they were given the opportunity to make such choices within the same academic year of application.</p> <p>The understanding was also that once an option is exercised to take a total of 2 units, the application in reference should be taken to have exhausted its full scope. In other words, after taking 2 units of BA.B.Ed or BSc.B.Ed or 1 unit of each, the applicant can not ask for a further benefit of 2 units using the said NCTE (Hq) clarification. The Regulations also state that initially only 2 units can be sanctioned in cases relating to BA.B.Ed or BSc.B.Ed. Following this practice, the application of the Sri Rangabhoopathi College of Arts & Science for BA.B.Ed (2 Units), who had been given FR for BSc.B.Ed (2 units) w.e.f. 2016-17, was rejected.</p> <p>A similar application of Sri Ramakrishna College of Education, in similar circumstances, under a misconception, was earlier cleared for LOI for BA.BEd (2 Units).</p> |
|-----|---------------------|---------------------|---|----|--|

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | <p>This was a case of bonafide error. There was no intention to favour one or discriminate against the other. Incidentally, the case of Sri Ramakrishna College of Education was considered in this (the 341st) meeting of SRC it was decided to admit the error made, review the erroneous decision earlier taken and rectify it by cancelling the LOI issued.</p> <p>It is noteworthy here that, in any case, this case would have come in for rejection since no reply was received, within the stipulated time-limit, to our LOI.</p> <p>In the result, and for the reasons given above, the LOI for BA.B.Ed (2 Units) issued to Sri Ramakrishna College of Education on 13.04.2017 is hereby cancelled. Their application concerned is rejected with regrets for the inconvenience caused to the applicant by our erroneous decision earlier.</p> |
|--|--|--|--|---|