

SOUTHERN REGIONAL COMMITTEE
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
BANGALORE

Minutes of the 328th Meeting of SRC held at the Conference Hall of
NCTE, Bangalore on 31 January, 2017

The following persons attended the Meeting:-

- | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|
| 1. Sri. S.Sathyam | - | Chairman |
| 2. Dr. M.P. Vijaykumar | - | Member |
| 3. Prof. Sandeep Ponnala | - | Member |
| 4. Smt. Jalajakshi | - | Member |
| 5. Dr. K. Krishna Mohan Rao | - | Member |
| 6. Smt. Angelin Golda
Under Secretary | - | Non-Member
(Convenor-In charge) |

The following members did not attend the Meeting:

Prof. K. Dorasami, Prof. M.S. Lalithamma, Smt. Padma Sarangapani and the Representatives of the Govts. of Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, Tamilnadu, Lakshadweep and Andaman and Nicobar Islands.

Consideration of New applications 2017-2018, Consideration of Scrutiny of applications, SCN reply, (Volume-1)

01	SRCAPP2016 30043 B.A.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed 1 Unit Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu	<p>Mata Amritanandamayi Math, Bogadi Village, # 114, 7th Cross, Bogadi II Stage, Mysuru Town and City, Mysore District-570026, Karnataka had applied for grant of recognition to Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, Ettimadai, Amrita Nagar Street, Coimbaure Taluk and Mandal, Ettimadai Town, Coimbatore District-641112, Tamilnadu for offering B.A.B.Ed, B.Sc.B.Ed course for four years integrated programme for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 26.05.2016. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 08.06.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 22.06.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Government, the period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed course in the State of Karnataka.</p> <p>The application has been scrutinized with reference to the agenda note, records of the case and existing rules/Regulations/Instructions on the subject issues.</p> <p>The Committee considered the scrutiny of the application for the session 2017-18 dated 8.6.2016 and observed the following:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The University is in Coimbatore. They want to start the College in Mysuru.2. The College is in Mysuru will have to be affiliated to the Mysore
----	---	---

		<p>University and not their own University in Coimbatore. 3. They should give NOC of the University in Mysore.</p> <p>and directed to Issue Notice accordingly.</p>
02	<p>SRCAPP2016 30223</p> <p>B.A.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed 2 Units</p> <p>Arul College of Education, Villupuram, Tamilnadu</p>	<p>Arul Educational Trust, Ulundurpet Village, 35 Parivallal Street, Ulundurpet Taluk & City, Villupuram Distric-606107, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Arul College of Education, Pali Village, Pali Main Road, Ulundurpet Taluk, Pali City, Villupuram District-606104, Tamilnadu for offering B.A.B.Ed.,B.Sc.B.Ed integrated course of four years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2016.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 13.07.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 27.10.2016 followed by Reminder I on 12.12.2016 and Reminder II on 11.01.2017. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.A.B.Ed.,B.Sc.B.Ed course in the State of Tamilnadu.</p> <p>As per the direction, the application has been scrutinized online along with hard copy of the application and documents.</p> <p>The application has been scrutinized with reference to the agenda note, records of the case and existing rules/Regulations/Instructions on the subject issues.</p>

		<p>The Committee considered the scrutiny of the application for the session 2017-18 dated 13.7.2016 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NOC of TNTEU is only for B.A. B.Ed.(2 units). 2. Process for B.A.B.Ed.(2 units). 3. Title is clear. Land area is adequate. 4. Latest EC is required. The entry about 'lease' needs to be clarified. The Sale Deed, however, is clear. They must clarify the position. 5. LUC is clear. 6. BP is in order. 7. BCC is in order. 8. FDRs not given. 9. Cause composite inspection. 10. There is another case of this Trust(SRCAPP201630206) in which V.T. inspection has been ordered. Ask the same Team to cover this case(SRCAPP201630223) also along with the existing B.Ed. case.
03	<p>SRCAPP2016 30135</p> <p>B.Ed-M.Ed 1 Unit</p> <p>Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Rangareddy,</p>	<p>Tata Institute of Social Science (Deemed University), Deonar Village, V.N.Purav marg, Deonar Taluk, Mumbai City, Gr.Mumbai District-400088, Maharashtra has applied for grant of recognition to Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Hyderabad Vilalge, S.R.Sankaran Block, TSIPARD Campus, Hyderabad Taluk & City, Rangareddy District-500030, Telangana for offering B.Ed.M.Ed integrated course for three years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 27.06.2016.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 02.07.2016.</p>

Telangana	<p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 12.07.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.Ed.M.Ed course in the State of Telangana.</p> <p>A Letter dated 27.10.2016 received from Smt.Ranjeev R.Acharya, Education Department, Government of Telangana vide D.O.letter No. 7754/SE-Trg./A1/2016-2, dated: 27.10.2016 reads as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“...that the National Council for Teacher Education, Southern Regional Committee, Bangalore in their reminders vide reference 3rd to 9th cited, has sought the Recommendations of the Government of Telangana for granting of Recognition to the Colleges for conducting B.Ed/D.P.S.E/M.Ed Programme from the Academic Year, 2017-2018, to the following Colleges in the State of Telangana:-</i></p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Code No.</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Name of the College</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Course applied</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">SRCAPP2016 30079</td> <td>Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District</td> <td>Vinayaka College of Education, Medak</td> <td style="text-align: center;">B.Ed</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">SRCAPP2016 30052</td> <td>Madrasa I Aizza, Adilabad District</td> <td>Aizza College of Education, Adilabad</td> <td style="text-align: center;">B.Ed</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">SRCAPP2016 30065</td> <td>Vyjyanthi Educational Society, RR District</td> <td>Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar</td> <td style="text-align: center;">B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">SRCAPP2016 30039</td> <td>Central University, Rejection District</td> <td>University of Hyderabad</td> <td style="text-align: center;">M.Ed</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code No.	Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition	Name of the College	Course applied	SRCAPP2016 30079	Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District	Vinayaka College of Education, Medak	B.Ed	SRCAPP2016 30052	Madrasa I Aizza, Adilabad District	Aizza College of Education, Adilabad	B.Ed	SRCAPP2016 30065	Vyjyanthi Educational Society, RR District	Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar	B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed	SRCAPP2016 30039	Central University, Rejection District	University of Hyderabad	M.Ed
Code No.	Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition	Name of the College	Course applied																		
SRCAPP2016 30079	Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District	Vinayaka College of Education, Medak	B.Ed																		
SRCAPP2016 30052	Madrasa I Aizza, Adilabad District	Aizza College of Education, Adilabad	B.Ed																		
SRCAPP2016 30065	Vyjyanthi Educational Society, RR District	Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar	B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed																		
SRCAPP2016 30039	Central University, Rejection District	University of Hyderabad	M.Ed																		

		Rejection District	
SRCAPP2016 30144	AIM ASIA, Nacharam, Hyderabad	Mckenna College of Education, Nalgonda District.	B.Ed & DPSE
SRCAPP2016 30135	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University) Mumbai, Maharastra	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	B.Ed. M.Ed
SRCAPP2016 30132	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University) Mumbai, Maharastra	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	BA B.Ed / B.Sc. B.Ed & B.Ed

2. In this context, it is to inform you that, vide reference 2nd cited (copy enclosed), Government of Telangana already informed you not to grant any Recognition to any proposal pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges which includes the Colleges specified in the reference 3rd to 9th, cited duly enclosing the Director of School Education, Telangana, Hyderabad, letter mentioned in the reference 1st cited (copy enclosed) which was addressed to the NCTE, New Delhi, and a copy marked to you in the matter. The Acknowledgement of the receipt of the reference 2nd cited by the NCTE is enclosed herewith for your information.

3. In spite of the Letter 2nd cited, which was received on 27.09.2016 by you, we are still receiving reminders dated 01.10.2016 and 26.10.2016, which were received in the department on 07.10.2016 & 27.10.2016 respectively, in the cases of the Colleges mentioned in the references 3rd to 9th cited. It is not clear as to why this is happening.

4. Therefore, it is once again requested that NCTE should not grant any Recognition to any of these proposals pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges, which includes

		<p><i>the colleges specified I the references 3^d to 9th cited, for the Academic Year 2017-2018 onwards, until specific requisition is sent from the State Government.</i></p> <p><i>5. Your reply in the matter is requested at the earliest.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 323rd meeting held on 16th – 18th November 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The SRC has no authority to recognize requests for ‘banning’ courses. The State Government should have taken it up at the appropriate time with the NCTE (HQ).• The present letter also cannot be taken as a common objection to all such cases pertaining to the State. At best, we can treat it as the State Govt.’s objection to the 7 cases listed in the letter. As regards other cases, it will be necessary for the State Govt. , in response to our notice, to send specific objections in individual cases. According to the NCTE Regulations, in case of no reply (to our notice in individual cases) from the State Governments, the Regional Committees will be free to process the case further and decide it on its merits. <p>The State Government may be advised accordingly.</p> <p>A letter sent to Dr. Prabhu Kumar Yadav, Research Officer, NCTE Hqrs regarding Clarification about Recommendation from the State Government of Telangana on 22.11.2016.</p> <p>A letter received from NCTE Hqrs though email dated 19.12.2016, it stated as under:</p> <p><i>“...It is a legally, well settled position that once applications for various courses have been invited/received, they are to be processed by the Regional Committee concerned as per the Regulation 2014 and comments of State Govt. are again sought for under NCTE Regulations (Clause 7(4) of the Regulation 2014). The</i></p>
--	--	--

		<p>comments/recommendations of the state Govt. at this stage are expected to be based on merit of the individual application, in the absence of which RC's take their own decision regarding grant of recognition/refusal. It appears that in these cases when the comments of the State Govt. were invited, the State Govt. simply gave a negative recommendation with a blanket refusal and without assigning any reasons in each individual case. It was possible for the SRC to consider the views of the State Govt. if the recommendation would have been made available in each case on merit with adequate reason. As such, SRC has acted within its rights conferred under the provision of Section 14&15 of the NCTE Act 1993.</p> <p>However, in all such cases wherever the State Govt. feels that the institution does not qualify for grant of recognition and it is aggrieved with the decision of the SRC they may file an 'Appeal' under Section 18 of the NCTE Act. Section 18(1) of the Act categorically provides that 'any person aggrieved' by an order under Section 14 or 15 or 17 can prefer an Appeal. Till date, under this category, only applicant institutions have been filing appeals and not any other 'aggrieved person'."</p> <p>The SRC in its 326th meeting held on 04th – 05th January, 2017 considered and Noted the matter.</p> <p>A letter was sent to Smt. Ranjeev R.Acharya, Special Chief Secretary to Govt., Education Department, Government of Telangana on 18.01.2017 regarding communicating clarification from NCTE Hqrs in this regard.</p> <p>The SRC in its 327th meeting held on 19th -20th January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This was finally disposed of in the last meeting. Even NCTE (HQ) has sent a detailed reply to the State Government.2. No further action is required for correspondence with the State Government.
--	--	---

		<p>3. The Regional Director (SRO) has confirmed that no further communication has been received from the Govt. of Telangana about their objection to these 7 cases individually. The earlier common objection letter also does not give any reasons for the objection. The NCTE letter to the State Govt. makes it very clear that a blanket refusal without giving any reasons in each individual case renders it impossible for the SRC to consider the view of the State Govt. on merit with adequate reason.</p> <p>4. In the result, and for the reasons given above, it will not be possible to reject (or, even, hold up) these 7 cases. The Supreme Court prescribed dateline of 3 March 17 for issue of FR w.e.f. 2017-18 makes it imperative for us to give attention to these cases without further delay. That being so, processes these 7 cases and put them up for SRC consideration in the next meeting.</p> <p>As per the decision, the application has been scrutinized.</p> <p>The application has been scrutinized with reference to the agenda note, records of the case and existing rules/Regulations/Instructions on the subject issues.</p> <p>The Committee considered the scrutiny of the application for the session 2017-18 dated 2.7.2016 and observed the following:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. B.Ed., M.Ed., Integrated course is a recognized course.2.1. But, to apply for this course, they should have B.Ed. & M.Ed. as running courses for more than 5 years. They do not have.2.2 The regulations require that they should also have residential accommodation. There is no indication that they have this.3. They should have NAAC certificate with at least 'B' grade.4. They should have given NOC from the University concerned in Telangana. The Regulations do not contemplate courses being affiliated to Universities in other Regions. <p>And directed to issue Notice accordingly and ask them to clarify.</p>
--	--	--

04	<p>SRCAPP2016 30132</p> <p>B.A.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed 1 Unit</p> <p>B.Ed 1 Unit</p> <p>Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Rangareddy, Telangana</p>	<p>Tata Institute of Social Science (Deemed University), Deonar Village, V.N.Purav marg, Deonar Taluk, Mumbai City, Gr.Mumbai District-400088, Maharashtra has applied for grant of recognition to Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Hyderabad Vilalge, S.R.Sankaran Block, TSIPARD Campus, Hyderabad Taluk & City, Rangareddy District-500030, Telangana for offering B.A.B.Ed.B.Sc.B.Ed four years integrated course and B.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 24.06.2016.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 01.07.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 12.07.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.A.B.Ed.B.Sc.B.Ed and B.Ed course in the State of Telangana.</p> <p>A Letter dated 27.10.2016 received from Smt.Ranjeev R.Acharya, Education Department, Government of Telangana vide D.O.letter No. 7754/SE-Trg./A1/2016-2, dated: 27.10.2016 reads as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“...that the National Council for Teacher Education, Southern Regional Committee, Bangalore in their reminders vide reference 3rd to 9th cited, has sought the Recommendations of the Government of Telangana for granting of Recognition to the Colleges for conducting B.Ed/D.P.S.E/M.Ed Programme from the Academic Year, 2017-2018, to the following Colleges in the State of Telangana:-</i></p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Code No.</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Name of the College</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Course applied</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">SRCAPP201630 079</td> <td>Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District</td> <td>Vinayaka College of Education, Medak</td> <td style="text-align: center;">B.Ed</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">SRCAPP201630</td> <td>Madrasa I Aizza,</td> <td>Aizza College of</td> <td style="text-align: center;">B.Ed</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code No.	Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition	Name of the College	Course applied	SRCAPP201630 079	Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District	Vinayaka College of Education, Medak	B.Ed	SRCAPP201630	Madrasa I Aizza,	Aizza College of	B.Ed
Code No.	Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition	Name of the College	Course applied											
SRCAPP201630 079	Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District	Vinayaka College of Education, Medak	B.Ed											
SRCAPP201630	Madrasa I Aizza,	Aizza College of	B.Ed											

		052	Adilabad District	Education, Adilabad	
		SRCAPP201630 065	Vyjayanthi Educational Society, RR District	Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar	B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed
		SRCAPP201630 039	Central University, Rejection District	University of Hyderabad Rejection District	M.Ed
		SRCAPP201630 144	AIM ASIA, Nacharam, Hyderabad	Mckenna College of Education, Nalgonda District.	B.Ed & DPSE
		SRCAPP201630 135	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University) Mumbai, Maharastra	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	B.Ed. M.Ed
		SRCAPP201630 132	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University) Mumbai, Maharastra	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	BA B.Ed / B.Sc. B.Ed & B.Ed
	<p><i>2. In this context, it is to inform you that, vide reference 2nd cited (copy enclosed), Government of Telangana already informed you not to grant ay Recognition to any proposal pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges which includes the Colleges specified in the reference 3rd to 9th, cited duly enclosing the Director of School Education, Telangana, Hyderabad, letter mentioned in the reference 1st cited (copy enclosed) which was addressed to the NCTE, New Delhi, and a copy marked to you in the matter. The Acknowledgement of the receipt of the reference 2nd</i></p>				

		<p><i>cited by the NCTE is enclosed herewith for your information.</i></p> <p>3. In spite of the Letter 2nd cited, which was received on 27.09.2016 by you, we are still receiving reminders dated 01.10.2016 and 26.10.2016, which were received in the department on 07.10.2016 & 27.10.2016 respectively, in the cases of the Colleges mentioned in the references 3rd to 9th cited. It is not clear as to why this is happening.</p> <p>4. Therefore, it is once again requested that NCTE should not grant any Recognition to any of these proposals pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges, which includes the colleges specified in the references 3rd to 9th cited, for the Academic Year 2017-2018 onwards, until specific requisition is sent from the State Government.</p> <p>5. Your reply in the matter is requested at the earliest.</p> <p>The SRC in its 323rd meeting held on 16th – 18th November 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The SRC has no authority to recognize requests for ‘banning’ courses. The State Government should have taken it up at the appropriate time with the NCTE (HQ).• The present letter also cannot be taken as a common objection to all such cases pertaining to the State. At best, we can treat it as the State Govt.’s objection to the 7 cases listed in the letter. As regards other cases, it will be necessary for the State Govt. , in response to our notice, to send specific objections in individual cases. According to the NCTE Regulations, in case of no reply (to our notice in individual cases) from the State Governments, the Regional Committees will be free to process the case further and decide it on its merits. <p>The State Government may be advised accordingly.</p> <p>A letter sent to Dr. Prabhu Kumar Yadav, Research Officer, NCTE Hqrs regarding Clarification about Recommendation from the State Government of Telangana on</p>
--	--	---

	<p>22.11.2016.</p> <p>A letter received from NCTE Hqrs through email dated 19.12.2016, it stated as under:</p> <p><i>“...It is a legally, well settled position that once applications for various courses have been invited/received, they are to be processed by the Regional Committee concerned as per the Regulation 2014 and comments of State Govt. are again sought for under NCTE Regulations (Clause 7(4) of the Regulation 2014). The comments/recommendations of the state Govt. at this stage are expected to be based on merit of the individual application, in the absence of which RC’s take their own decision regarding grant of recognition/refusal. It appears that in these cases when the comments of the State Govt. were invited, the State Govt. simply gave a negative recommendation with a blanket refusal and without assigning any reasons in each individual case. It was possible for the SRC to consider the views of the State Govt. if the recommendation would have been made available in each case on merit with adequate reason. As such, SRC has acted within its rights conferred under the provision of Section 14&15 of the NCTE Act 1993.</i></p> <p><i>However, in all such cases wherever the State Govt. feels that the institution does not qualify for grant of recognition and it is aggrieved with the decision of the SRC they may file an ‘Appeal’ under Section 18 of the NCTE Act. Section 18(1) of the Act categorically provides that ‘any person aggrieved’ by an order under Section 14 or 15 or 17 can prefer an Appeal. Till date, under this category, only applicant institutions have been filing appeals and not any other ‘aggrieved person’.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 326th meeting held on 04th – 05th January, 2017 considered and Noted the matter.</p> <p>A letter was sent to Smt. Ranjeev R.Acharya, Special Chief Secretary to Govt., Education Department, Government of Telangana on 18.01.2017 regarding</p>
--	--

		<p>communicating clarification from NCTE Hqrs in this regard.</p> <p>The SRC in its 327th meeting held on 19th -20th January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><i>1. This was finally disposed of in the last meeting. Even NCTE (HQ) has sent a detailed reply to the State Government.</i><i>2. No further action is required for correspondence with the State Government.</i><i>3. The Regional Director (SRO) has confirmed that no further communication has been received from the Govt. of Telangana about their objection to these 7 cases individually. The earlier common objection letter also does not give any reasons for the objection. The NCTE letter to the State Govt. makes it very clear that a blanket refusal without giving any reasons in each individual case renders it impossible for the SRC to consider the view of the State Govt. on merit with adequate reason.</i><i>4. In the result, and for the reasons given above, it will not be possible to reject (or, even, hold up) these 7 cases. The Supreme Court prescribed dateline of 3 March 17 for issue of FR w.e.f. 2017-18 makes it imperative for us to give attention to these cases without further delay. That being so, processes these 7 cases and put them up for SRC consideration in the next meeting.</i> <p>As per the decision, the application has been scrutinized.</p> <p>The application has been scrutinized with reference to the agenda note, records of the case and existing rules/Regulations/Instructions on the subject issues.</p> <p>The Committee considered the scrutiny of the application for the session 2017-18 dated 1.7.2016 and observed as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><i>1. They have applied for 2 courses in one application- B.A.B.Ed./BSc. B.Ed./ B.Ed. This is not correct. There can be only one course in one application. They should choose which course they want to pursue.</i>
--	--	--

		<p style="text-align: center;">Ascertain and process accordingly.</p> <p>2. Return the Fee paid for the second course.</p> <p>3. They should supply BP, BCC and NOC from the University concerned in Telangana.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">And directed to Issue Notice accordingly.</p>								
05	<p>SRCAPP2016 30052</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Aizza College of Education, Adilabad, Telangana</p>	<p>Madrasa I Aizza, Mulkalla Village & Road, Mancherial Taluk & City, Adilabad District-504209, Telangana has applied for grant of recognition to Aizza College of Education, Mulkalla Village & Road, Mancherial Taluk & City, Adilabad District-504209, Telangana for offering B.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 28.05.2016.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 30.05.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 04.07.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 26.10.2016.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.Ed course in the State of Telangana.</p> <p>A Letter dated 27.10.2016 received from Smt.Ranjeev R.Acharya, Education Department, Government of Telangana vide D.O.letter No. 7754/SE-Trg./A1/2016-2, dated: 27.10.2016 reads as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“...that the National Council for Teacher Education, Southern Regional Committee, Bangalore in their reminders vide reference 3rd to 9th cited, has sought the Recommendations of the Government of Telangana for granting of Recognition to the Colleges for conducting B.Ed/D.P.S.E/M.Ed Programme from the Academic Year, 2017-2018, to the following Colleges in the State of Telangana:-</i></p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 25%;">Code No.</th> <th style="width: 25%;">Name of the Educational Society applied for</th> <th style="width: 25%;">Name of the College</th> <th style="width: 25%;">Course applied</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="height: 20px;"></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code No.	Name of the Educational Society applied for	Name of the College	Course applied				
Code No.	Name of the Educational Society applied for	Name of the College	Course applied							

	recognition		
SRCAPP201630 079	Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District	Vinayaka College of Education, Medak	B.Ed
SRCAPP201630 052	Madrasa I Aizza, Adilabad District	Aizza College of Education, Adilabad	B.Ed
SRCAPP201630 065	Vyjayanthi Educational Society, RR District	Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar	B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed
SRCAPP201630 039	Central University, Rejection District	University of Hyderabad Rejection District	M.Ed
SRCAPP201630 144	AIM ASIA, Nacharam, Hyderabad	Mckenna College of Education, Nalgonda District.	B.Ed & DPSE
SRCAPP201630 135	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University) Mumbai, Maharastra	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	B.Ed. M.Ed
SRCAPP201630 132	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University) Mumbai, Maharastra	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	BA B.Ed / B.Sc. B.Ed & B.Ed
<p>2. In this context, it is to inform you that, vide reference 2nd cited (copy enclosed), Government of Telangana already informed you not to grant ay Recognition to any proposal pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges which includes the Colleges specified in the reference 3rd to 9th, cited duly enclosing the Director of School Education, Telangana, Hyderabad, letter mentioned in the reference 1st cited (copy enclosed) which was addressed to the NCTE, New Delhi, and a copy marked to you in the matter. The Acknowledgement of the receipt of the reference 2nd</p>			

		<p><i>cited by the NCTE is enclosed herewith for your information.</i></p> <p>3. In spite of the Letter 2nd cited, which was received on 27.09.2016 by you, we are still receiving reminders dated 01.10.2016 and 26.10.2016, which were received in the department on 07.10.2016 & 27.10.2016 respectively, in the cases of the Colleges mentioned in the references 3rd to 9th cited. It is not clear as to why this is happening.</p> <p>4. Therefore, it is once again requested that NCTE should not grant any Recognition to any of these proposals pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges, which includes the colleges specified in the references 3rd to 9th cited, for the Academic Year 2017-2018 onwards, until specific requisition is sent from the State Government.</p> <p>5. Your reply in the matter is requested at the earliest.</p> <p>The SRC in its 323rd meeting held on 16th – 18th November 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The SRC has no authority to recognize requests for ‘banning’ courses. The State Government should have taken it up at the appropriate time with the NCTE (HQ).• The present letter also cannot be taken as a common objection to all such cases pertaining to the State. At best, we can treat it as the State Govt.’s objection to the 7 cases listed in the letter. As regards other cases, it will be necessary for the State Govt. , in response to our notice, to send specific objections in individual cases. According to the NCTE Regulations, in case of no reply (to our notice in individual cases) from the State Governments, the Regional Committees will be free to process the case further and decide it on its merits. <p>The State Government may be advised accordingly.</p> <p>A letter sent to Dr. Prabhu Kumar Yadav, Research Officer, NCTE Hqrs regarding</p>
--	--	--

	<p>Clarification about Recommendation from the State Government of Telangana on 22.11.2016.</p> <p>A letter received from NCTE Hqrs through email dated 19.12.2016, it stated as under:</p> <p><i>“...It is a legally, well settled position that once applications for various courses have been invited/received, they are to be processed by the Regional Committee concerned as per the Regulation 2014 and comments of State Govt. are again sought for under NCTE Regulations (Clause 7(4) of the Regulation 2014). The comments/recommendations of the state Govt. at this stage are expected to be based on merit of the individual application, in the absence of which RC’s take their own decision regarding grant of recognition/refusal. It appears that in these cases when the comments of the State Govt. were invited, the State Govt. simply gave a negative recommendation with a blanket refusal and without assigning any reasons in each individual case. It was possible for the SRC to consider the views of the State Govt. if the recommendation would have been made available in each case on merit with adequate reason. As such, SRC has acted within its rights conferred under the provision of Section 14&15 of the NCTE Act 1993.</i></p> <p><i>However, in all such cases wherever the State Govt. feels that the institution does not qualify for grant of recognition and it is aggrieved with the decision of the SRC they may file an ‘Appeal’ under Section 18 of the NCTE Act. Section 18(1) of the Act categorically provides that ‘any person aggrieved’ by an order under Section 14 or 15 or 17 can prefer an Appeal. Till date, under this category, only applicant institutions have been filing appeals and not any other ‘aggrieved person’.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 326th meeting held on 04th – 05th January, 2017 considered and Noted the matter.</p> <p>A letter was sent to Smt. Ranjeev R.Acharya, Special Chief Secretary to Govt.,</p>
--	---

	<p>Education Department, Government of Telangana on 18.01.2017 regarding communicating clarification from NCTE Hqrs in this regard.</p> <p>The SRC in its 327th meeting held on 19th -20th January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><i>1. This was finally disposed of in the last meeting. Even NCTE (HQ) has sent a detailed reply to the State Government.</i><i>2. No further action is required for correspondence with the State Government.</i><i>3. The Regional Director (SRO) has confirmed that no further communication has been received from the Govt. of Telangana about their objection to these 7 cases individually. The earlier common objection letter also does not give any reasons for the objection. The NCTE letter to the State Govt. makes it very clear that a blanket refusal without giving any reasons in each individual case renders it impossible for the SRC to consider the view of the State Govt. on merit with adequate reason.</i><i>4. In the result, and for the reasons given above, it will not be possible to reject (or, even, hold up) these 7 cases. The Supreme Court prescribed dateline of 3 March 17 for issue of FR w.e.f. 2017-18 makes it imperative for us to give attention to these cases without further delay. That being so, processes these 7 cases and put them up for SRC consideration in the next meeting.</i> <p>As per the decision, the application has been scrutinized.</p> <p>The application has been scrutinized with reference to the agenda note, records of the case and existing rules/Regulations/Instructions on the subject issues.</p>
--	--

		<p>The Committee considered the scrutiny of the application for the session 2017-18 dated 30.5.2016 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NOC of affiliating University not given. 2. The State Govt. has not given a specific NOC in this case. They have given only a common letter of Objection for many cases. No reasons have been given. As decided already by the NCTE(HQ) this cannot be recognized as a valid NOC. 3. Title is clear. Land area is adequate. 4. LUC is given. But, there is a discrepancy in the Sy. No. This needs to be rectified. 5. EC is in order. 6. BP is approved. Built-up area is 3310 sq.mts. 7.1 They have running courses of only B.Tech/ Polytech/MBA. These are not liberal Arts courses. 7.2 They have applied for D.El.Ed. It has not yet cleared. SCN has been issued. As of now therefore, this case will be hit by the 'stand alone' clause. Both these cases have, therefore, to be linked and processed together. <p>And directed to Issue SCN accordingly.</p>
06	<p>SRCAPP2016 30039</p> <p>M.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>University of</p>	<p>Central University, Gachibowli Village, Prof. C.R. Rao Road, Hyderabad Taluk, Rangareddy District-500046, Telangana has applied for grant of recognition to University of Hyderabad, Gachibowli Village, Prof. C.R. Rao Road, Serilingampally Mandal, Hyderabad Taluk, Rangareddy District-500046, Telangana for offering M.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 25.05.2016.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 07.06.2016.</p>

Hyderabad, Rangareddy, Telangana	<p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 04.07.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 26.10.2016.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for M.Ed course in the State of Telangana.</p> <p>A Letter dated 27.10.2016 received from Smt.Ranjeev R.Acharya, Education Department, Government of Telangana vide D.O.letter No. 7754/SE-Trg./A1/2016-2, dated: 27.10.2016 reads as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“...that the National Council for Teacher Education, Southern Regional Committee, Bangalore in their reminders vide reference 3rd to 9th cited, has sought the Recommendations of the Government of Telangana for granting of Recognition to the Colleges for conducting B.Ed/D.P.S.E/M.Ed Programme from the Academic Year, 2017-2018, to the following Colleges in the State of Telangana:-</i></p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Code No.</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Name of the College</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Course applied</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">SRCAPP201 630079</td> <td>Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District</td> <td>Vinayaka College of Education, Medak</td> <td style="text-align: center;">B.Ed</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">SRCAPP201 630052</td> <td>Madrasa I Aizza, Adilabad District</td> <td>Aizza College of Education, Adilabad</td> <td style="text-align: center;">B.Ed</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">SRCAPP201 630065</td> <td>Vyjayanthi Educational Society, RR District</td> <td>Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar</td> <td style="text-align: center;">B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">SRCAPP201 630039</td> <td>Central University, Rejection District</td> <td>University of Hyderabad Rejection District</td> <td style="text-align: center;">M.Ed</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code No.	Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition	Name of the College	Course applied	SRCAPP201 630079	Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District	Vinayaka College of Education, Medak	B.Ed	SRCAPP201 630052	Madrasa I Aizza, Adilabad District	Aizza College of Education, Adilabad	B.Ed	SRCAPP201 630065	Vyjayanthi Educational Society, RR District	Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar	B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed	SRCAPP201 630039	Central University, Rejection District	University of Hyderabad Rejection District	M.Ed
Code No.	Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition	Name of the College	Course applied																		
SRCAPP201 630079	Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District	Vinayaka College of Education, Medak	B.Ed																		
SRCAPP201 630052	Madrasa I Aizza, Adilabad District	Aizza College of Education, Adilabad	B.Ed																		
SRCAPP201 630065	Vyjayanthi Educational Society, RR District	Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar	B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed																		
SRCAPP201 630039	Central University, Rejection District	University of Hyderabad Rejection District	M.Ed																		

		SRCAPP201 630144	AIM ASIA, Nacharam, Hyderabad	Mckenna College of Education, Nalgonda District.	B.Ed & DPSE
		SRCAPP201 630135	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University) Mumbai, Maharastra	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	B.Ed. M.Ed
		SRCAPP201 630132	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University) Mumbai, Maharastra	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	BA B.Ed / B.Sc. B.Ed & B.Ed

2. In this context, it is to inform you that, vide reference 2nd cited (copy enclosed), Government of Telangana already informed you not to grant ay Recognition to any proposal pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges which includes the Colleges specified in the reference 3^d to 9th, cited duly enclosing the Director of School Education, Telangana, Hyderabad, letter mentioned in the reference 1st cited (copy enclosed) which was addressed to the NCTE, New Delhi, and a copy marked to you in the matter. The Acknowledgement of the receipt of the reference 2nd cited by the NCTE is enclosed herewith for your information.

3. In spite of the Letter 2nd cited, which was received on 27.09.2016 by you, we are still receiving reminders dated 01.10.2016 and 26.10.2016, which were received in the department on 07.10.2016 & 27.10.2016 respectively, in the cases of the Colleges mentioned in the references 3^d t o 9th cited. It is not clear as to why this is happening.

4. Therefore, it is once again requested that NCTE should not grant any Recognition to any of these proposals pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges, which includes the colleges specified I the references 3^d to 9th cited, for the Academic Year 2017-2018 onwards, until specific requisition is sent

		<p>from the State Government.</p> <p>5. Your reply in the matter is requested at the earliest.</p> <p>The SRC in its 323rd meeting held on 16th – 18th November 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The SRC has no authority to recognize requests for ‘banning’ courses. The State Government should have taken it up at the appropriate time with the NCTE (HQ).• The present letter also cannot be taken as a common objection to all such cases pertaining to the State. At best, we can treat it as the State Govt.’s objection to the 7 cases listed in the letter. As regards other cases, it will be necessary for the State Govt. , in response to our notice, to send specific objections in individual cases. According to the NCTE Regulations, in case of no reply (to our notice in individual cases) from the State Governments, the Regional Committees will be free to process the case further and decide it on its merits. <p>The State Government may be advised accordingly.</p> <p>A letter sent to Dr. Prabhu Kumar Yadav, Research Officer, NCTE Hqrs regarding Clarification about Recommendation from the State Government of Telangana on 22.11.2016.</p> <p>A letter received from NCTE Hqrs though email dated 19.12.2016, it stated as under:</p> <p><i>“...It is a legally, well settled position that once applications for various courses have been invited/received, they are to be processed by the Regional Committee concerned as per the Regulation 2014 and comments of State Govt. are again sought for under NCTE Regulations (Clause 7(4) of the Regulation 2014). The comments/recommendations of the state Govt. at this stage are</i></p>
--	--	---

		<p><i>expected to be based on merit of the individual application, in the absence of which RC's take their own decision regarding grant of recognition/refusal. It appears that in these cases when the comments of the State Govt. were invited, the State Govt. simply gave a negative recommendation with a blanket refusal and without assigning any reasons in each individual case. It was possible for the SRC to consider the views of the State Govt. if the recommendation would have been made available in each case on merit with adequate reason. As such, SRC has acted within its rights conferred under the provision of Section 14&15 of the NCTE Act 1993.</i></p> <p><i>However, in all such cases wherever the State Govt. feels that the institution does not qualify for grant of recognition and it is aggrieved with the decision of the SRC they may file an 'Appeal' under Section 18 of the NCTE Act. Section 18(1) of the Act categorically provides that 'any person aggrieved' by an order under Section 14 or 15 or 17 can prefer an Appeal. Till date, under this category, only applicant institutions have been filing appeals and not any other 'aggrieved person'."</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 326th meeting held on 04th – 05th January, 2017 considered and Noted the matter.</p> <p>A letter was sent to Smt. Ranjeev R.Acharya, Special Chief Secretary to Govt., Education Department, Government of Telangana on 18.01.2017 regarding communicating clarification from NCTE Hqrs in this regard.</p> <p>The SRC in its 327th meeting held on 19th -20th January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This was finally disposed of in the last meeting. Even NCTE (HQ) has sent a detailed reply to the State Government.2. No further action is required for correspondence with the State Government.3. The Regional Director (SRO) has confirmed that no further
--	--	---

		<p><i>communication has been received from the Govt. of Telangana about their objection to these 7 cases individually. The earlier common objection letter also does not give any reasons for the objection. The NCTE letter to the State Govt. makes it very clear that a blanket refusal without giving any reasons in each individual case renders it impossible for the SRC to consider the view of the State Govt. on merit with adequate reason.</i></p> <p>4. In the result, and for the reasons given above, it will not be possible to reject (or, even, hold up) these 7 cases. The Supreme Court prescribed dateline of 3 March 17 for issue of FR w.e.f. 2017-18 makes it imperative for us to give attention to these cases without further delay. That being so, processes these 7 cases and put them up for SRC consideration in the next meeting.</p> <p>As per the decision, the application has been scrutinized.</p> <p>The application has been scrutinized with reference to the agenda note, records of the case and existing rules/Regulations/Instructions on the subject issues.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Scrutiny of the application for the year 2017-18 dated 7.6.2016 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Govt.'s land allotment order is given.2. LUC/EC are not required.3. BP/BCC are not given.4. NAAC certificate not given.5. The State Govt. has not given a specific NOC in this case. They have given only a common letter of Objection for many cases. No reasons have been given. As decided already by the NCTE(HQ) this cannot be recognized as a valid NOC.6. Cause inspection. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.
--	--	---

07	<p>SRCAPP2016 30079</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Vinayaka College Education, Medak, Telangana</p>	<p>Sri. Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Siddipet Village, Medak Road, Siddipet Taluk & City, Medak District-5022103, Telangana has applied for grant of recognition to Vinayaka College of Education, Thimmareddypalli Village, Rajiv Rahadari Street, Kondappa Taluk, Thimmareddypalli City, Medak District-502372, Telangana for offering B.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 31.05.2016.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 06.06.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 04.07.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 26.10.2016.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.Ed course in the State of Telangana.</p> <p>A Letter dated 27.10.2016 received from Smt.Ranjeev R.Acharya, Education Department, Government of Telangana vide D.O.letter No. 7754/SE-Trg./A1/2016-2, dated: 27.10.2016 reads as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“...that the National Council for Teacher Education, Southern Regional Committee, Bangalore in their reminders vide reference 3rd to 9th cited, has sought the Recommendations of the Government of Telangana for granting of Recognition to the Colleges for conducting B.Ed/D.P.S.E/M.Ed Programme from the Academic Year, 2017-2018, to the following Colleges in the State of Telangana:-</i></p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Code No.</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Name of the College</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Course applied</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">SRCAPP20 1630079</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Vinayaka College of Education, Medak</td> <td style="text-align: center;">B.Ed</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code No.	Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition	Name of the College	Course applied	SRCAPP20 1630079	Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District	Vinayaka College of Education, Medak	B.Ed
Code No.	Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition	Name of the College	Course applied							
SRCAPP20 1630079	Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District	Vinayaka College of Education, Medak	B.Ed							

		SRCAPP20 1630052	Madrasa I Aizza, Adilabad District	Aizza College of Education, Adilabad	B.Ed
		SRCAPP20 1630065	Vyjayanthi Educational Society, RR District	Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar	B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed
		SRCAPP20 1630039	Central University, Rejection District	University of Hyderabad Rejection District	M.Ed
		SRCAPP20 1630144	AIM ASIA, Nacharam, Hyderabad	Mckenna College of Education, Nalgonda District.	B.Ed & DPSE
		SRCAPP20 1630135	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University) Mumbai, Maharastra	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	B.Ed. M.Ed
		SRCAPP20 1630132	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University) Mumbai, Maharastra	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	BA B.Ed / B.Sc. B.Ed & B.Ed
	<p>2. In this context, it is to inform you that, vide reference 2nd cited (copy enclosed), Government of Telangana already informed you not to grant any Recognition to any proposal pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges which includes the Colleges specified in the reference 3rd to 9th, cited duly enclosing the Director of School Education, Telangana, Hyderabad, letter mentioned in the reference 1st cited (copy enclosed) which was addressed to the NCTE, New Delhi, and a copy marked to you in the matter. The Acknowledgement of the receipt of the reference 2nd cited by the NCTE is enclosed herewith for your information.</p> <p>3. In spite of the Letter 2nd cited, which was received on 27.09.2016</p>				

		<p><i>by you, we are still receiving reminders dated 01.10.2016 and 26.10.2016, which were received in the department on 07.10.2016 & 27.10.2016 respectively, in the cases of the Colleges mentioned in the references 3rd to 9th cited. It is not clear as to why this is happening.</i></p> <p>4. Therefore, it is once again requested that NCTE should not grant any Recognition to any of these proposals pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges, which includes the colleges specified in the references 3rd to 9th cited, for the Academic Year 2017-2018 onwards, until specific requisition is sent from the State Government.</p> <p>5. Your reply in the matter is requested at the earliest.</p> <p>The SRC in its 323rd meeting held on 16th – 18th November 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The SRC has no authority to recognize requests for 'banning' courses. The State Government should have taken it up at the appropriate time with the NCTE (HQ).• The present letter also cannot be taken as a common objection to all such cases pertaining to the State. At best, we can treat it as the State Govt.'s objection to the 7 cases listed in the letter. As regards other cases, it will be necessary for the State Govt. , in response to our notice, to send specific objections in individual cases. According to the NCTE Regulations, in case of no reply (to our notice in individual cases) from the State Governments, the Regional Committees will be free to process the case further and decide it on its merits. <p>The State Government may be advised accordingly.</p> <p>A letter sent to Dr. Prabhu Kumar Yadav, Research Officer, NCTE Hqrs regarding Clarification about Recommendation from the State Government of Telangana on</p>
--	--	---

	<p>22.11.2016.</p> <p>A letter received from NCTE Hqrs through email dated 19.12.2016, it stated as under:</p> <p><i>“...It is a legally, well settled position that once applications for various courses have been invited/received, they are to be processed by the Regional Committee concerned as per the Regulation 2014 and comments of State Govt. are again sought for under NCTE Regulations (Clause 7(4) of the Regulation 2014). The comments/recommendations of the state Govt. at this stage are expected to be based on merit of the individual application, in the absence of which RC’s take their own decision regarding grant of recognition/refusal. It appears that in these cases when the comments of the State Govt. were invited, the State Govt. simply gave a negative recommendation with a blanket refusal and without assigning any reasons in each individual case. It was possible for the SRC to consider the views of the State Govt. if the recommendation would have been made available in each case on merit with adequate reason. As such, SRC has acted within its rights conferred under the provision of Section 14&15 of the NCTE Act 1993.</i></p> <p><i>However, in all such cases wherever the State Govt. feels that the institution does not qualify for grant of recognition and it is aggrieved with the decision of the SRC they may file an ‘Appeal’ under Section 18 of the NCTE Act. Section 18(1) of the Act categorically provides that ‘any person aggrieved’ by an order under Section 14 or 15 or 17 can prefer an Appeal. Till date, under this category, only applicant institutions have been filing appeals and not any other ‘aggrieved person’.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 326th meeting held on 04th – 05th January, 2017 considered and Noted the matter.</p> <p>A letter was sent to Smt. Ranjeev R.Acharya, Special Chief Secretary to Govt., Education Department, Government of Telangana on 18.01.2017 regarding</p>
--	--

	<p>communicating clarification from NCTE Hqrs in this regard.</p> <p>The SRC in its 327th meeting held on 19th -20th January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><i>1. This was finally disposed of in the last meeting. Even NCTE (HQ) has sent a detailed reply to the State Government.</i><i>2. No further action is required for correspondence with the State Government.</i><i>3. The Regional Director (SRO) has confirmed that no further communication has been received from the Govt. of Telangana about their objection to these 7 cases individually. The earlier common objection letter also does not give any reasons for the objection. The NCTE letter to the State Govt. makes it very clear that a blanket refusal without giving any reasons in each individual case renders it impossible for the SRC to consider the view of the State Govt. on merit with adequate reason.</i><i>4. In the result, and for the reasons given above, it will not be possible to reject (or, even, hold up) these 7 cases. The Supreme Court prescribed dateline of 3 March 17 for issue of FR w.e.f. 2017-18 makes it imperative for us to give attention to these cases without further delay. That being so, processes these 7 cases and put them up for SRC consideration in the next meeting.</i> <p>As per the decision, the application has been scrutinized.</p> <p>The application has been scrutinized with reference to the agenda note, records of the case and existing rules/Regulations/Instructions on the subject issues.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Scrutiny of the application for the year 2017-18 dated 6.6.2016 and observed as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. NOC of affiliating body is not given.
--	---

		<p>2. The State Govt. has not given a specific NOC in this case. They have given only a common letter of Objection for many cases. No reasons have been given. As decided already by the NCTE(HQ) this cannot be recognized as a valid NOC.</p> <p>3. Title is clear. Land area is adequate.</p> <p>4. They have BPEd. To provide the composite character.</p> <p>5. LUC is not given.</p> <p>6. EC is in order.</p> <p>7. BP not given.</p> <p>8. BCC not given.</p> <p>And directed to issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</p>
08	<p>SRCAPP2016 30144</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>D.P.SE</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>Mckenna College Education, Nalgonda, Telangana</p> <p>of</p>	<p>AIM ASIA, 4-7-18/18, Nacharam Village, Sri Sai Nagar, Habsiguda Taluk, Hyderabad City, Telangana has applied for grant of recognition to Mckenna College of Education, Solipet Village, Bommalaramaram Taluk, Solipet City, Nalgonda District-508116, Telangana for offering B.Ed course for two years duration and D.P.S.E course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 29.06.2016.The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 30.06.2016.</p> <p>A letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 12.07.2016. Followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.Ed course in the State of Telangana and as per public notice for 2017-18, D.P.S.E course is banned in the State of Telangana. The institution has submitted Minority Certificate in the name of Mc Kenna College of Education dated: 16.06.2016.</p> <p>A Letter dated 27.10.2016 received from Smt.Ranjeev R.Acharya, Education Department, Government of Telangana vide D.O.letter No. 7754/SE-Trg./A1/2016-2, dated: 27.10.2016 reads as under:</p>

“...that the National Council for Teacher Education, Southern Regional Committee, Bangalore in their reminders vide reference 3rd to 9th cited, has sought the Recommendations of the Government of Telangana for granting of Recognition to the Colleges for conducting B.Ed/D.P.S.E/M.Ed Programme from the Academic Year, 2017-2018, to the following Colleges in the State of Telangana:-

Code No.	Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition	Name of the College	Course applied
SRCAPP2016300 79	Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District	Vinayaka College of Education, Medak	B.Ed
SRCAPP2016300 52	Madrasa I Aizza, Adilabad District	Aizza College of Education, Adilabad	B.Ed
SRCAPP2016300 65	Vyjayanthi Educational Society, RR District	Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar	B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed
SRCAPP2016300 39	Central University, Rejection District	University of Hyderabad Rejection District	M.Ed
SRCAPP2016301 44	AIM ASIA, Nacharam, Hyderabad	Mckenna College of Education, Nalgonda District.	B.Ed & DPSE
SRCAPP2016301 35	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University) Mumbai, Maharashtra	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	B.Ed. M.Ed

		SRCAPP2016301 32	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University) Mumbai, Maharashtra	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	BA B.Ed / B.Sc. B.Ed & B.Ed
<p>2. In this context, it is to inform you that, vide reference 2nd cited (copy enclosed), Government of Telangana already informed you not to grant any Recognition to any proposal pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges which includes the Colleges specified in the reference 3rd to 9th, cited duly enclosing the Director of School Education, Telangana, Hyderabad, letter mentioned in the reference 1st cited (copy enclosed) which was addressed to the NCTE, New Delhi, and a copy marked to you in the matter. The Acknowledgement of the receipt of the reference 2nd cited by the NCTE is enclosed herewith for your information.</p> <p>3. In spite of the Letter 2nd cited, which was received on 27.09.2016 by you, we are still receiving reminders dated 01.10.2016 and 26.10.2016, which were received in the department on 07.10.2016 & 27.10.2016 respectively, in the cases of the Colleges mentioned in the references 3rd to 9th cited. It is not clear as to why this is happening.</p> <p>4. Therefore, it is once again requested that NCTE should not grant any Recognition to any of these proposals pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges, which includes the colleges specified in the references 3rd to 9th cited, for the Academic Year 2017-2018 onwards, until specific requisition is sent from the State Government.</p> <p>5. Your reply in the matter is requested at the earliest.</p> <p>The SRC in its 323rd meeting held on 16th – 18th November 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The SRC has no authority to recognize requests for 'banning' courses. The State Government should have taken it up at the 					

		<p>appropriate time with the NCTE (HQ).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The present letter also cannot be taken as a common objection to all such cases pertaining to the State. At best, we can treat it as the State Govt.'s objection to the 7 cases listed in the letter. As regards other cases, it will be necessary for the State Govt. , in response to our notice, to send specific objections in individual cases. According to the NCTE Regulations, in case of no reply (to our notice in individual cases) from the State Governments, the Regional Committees will be free to process the case further and decide it on its merits. <p>The State Government may be advised accordingly.</p> <p>A letter sent to Dr. Prabhu Kumar Yadav, Research Officer, NCTE Hqrs regarding Clarification about Recommendation from the State Government of Telangana on 22.11.2016.</p> <p>A letter received from NCTE Hqrs though email dated 19.12.2016, it stated as under:</p> <p><i>“...It is a legally, well settled position that once applications for various courses have been invited/received, they are to be processed by the Regional Committee concerned as per the Regulation 2014 and comments of State Govt. are again sought for under NCTE Regulations (Clause 7(4) of the Regulation 2014). The comments/recommendations of the state Govt. at this stage are expected to be based on merit of the individual application, in the absence of which RC's take their own decision regarding grant of recognition/refusal. It appears that in these cases when the comments of the State Govt. were invited, the State Govt. simply gave a negative recommendation with a blanket refusal and without assigning any reasons in each individual case. It was possible for the SRC to consider the views of the State Govt. if the recommendation would have been made available in each case on merit with adequate reason. As such, SRC has acted within its rights conferred under the provision of Section 14&15 of the NCTE Act 1993.</i></p>
--	--	---

		<p><i>However, in all such cases wherever the State Govt. feels that the institution does not qualify for grant of recognition and it is aggrieved with the decision of the SRC they may file an 'Appeal' under Section 18 of the NCTE Act. Section 18(1) of the Act categorically provides that 'any person aggrieved' by an order under Section 14 or 15 or 17 can prefer an Appeal. Till date, under this category, only applicant institutions have been filing appeals and not any other 'aggrieved person'.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 326th meeting held on 04th – 05th January, 2017 considered and Noted the matter.</p> <p>A letter was sent to Smt. Ranjeev R.Acharya, Special Chief Secretary to Govt., Education Department, Government of Telangana on 18.01.2017 regarding communicating clarification from NCTE Hqrs in this regard.</p> <p>The SRC in its 327th meeting held on 19th -20th January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><i>1. This was finally disposed of in the last meeting. Even NCTE (HQ) has sent a detailed reply to the State Government.</i><i>2. No further action is required for correspondence with the State Government.</i><i>3. The Regional Director (SRO) has confirmed that no further communication has been received from the Govt. of Telangana about their objection to these 7 cases individually. The earlier common objection letter also does not give any reasons for the objection. The NCTE letter to the State Govt. makes it very clear that a blanket refusal without giving any reasons in each individual case renders it impossible for the SRC to consider the view of the State Govt. on merit with adequate reason.</i><i>4. In the result, and for the reasons given above, it will not be possible to reject (or, even, hold up) these 7 cases. The Supreme Court prescribed dateline of 3 March 17 for issue of FR w.e.f. 2017-18 makes it imperative for us to give attention to these cases without further delay. That being so, processes these 7 cases and put them up for SRC consideration in the next meeting.</i>
--	--	--

		<p>As per the decision, the application has been scrutinized.</p> <p>The application has been scrutinized with reference to the agenda note, records of the case and existing rules/Regulations/Instructions on the subject issues.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Scrutiny of the application for the year 2017-18 dated 30.6.2016 and observed as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. They have applied for 2 courses in one application. This is not acceptable. They can apply for only one course in one application. 2. Return the 'fee' paid for the second course. 3. They had applied for another B.Ed. course. That was rejected. 4. This application will, therefore, be hit by the 'stand alone' clause. <p>and directed to issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</p>
09	<p>SRCAPP2016 30065</p> <p>B.A.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed 2 Units</p> <p>Sree Datta Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar, Telangana</p>	<p>Vyjyanthi Educational Society, Sheriguda Village, Ibrahimpatnam Taluk & City, Rangareddy District-501510, Telangana has applied for grant of recognition to Sree Datta Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Kavarampally Sivar Village, Singaram X Roads, Narayanpet Taluk, Kavarampally Sivar City, Mahabubnagar District-509210, Telangana for offering B.A.B.Ed.,B.Sc.B.Ed course for four years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.05.2016.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 01.06.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 04.07.2016, followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for BA.B.Ed.BSc.B.Ed course in</p>

10	<p>SRCAPP14884</p> <p>B.A.B.Ed</p> <p>BSc.B.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Sree Datta Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar, Telangana</p>	<p>the State of Telangana.</p> <p>A Letter dated 27.10.2016 received from Smt.Ranjeev R.Acharya, Education Department, Government of Telangana vide D.O.letter No. 7754/SE-Trg./A1/2016-2, dated: 27.10.2016 reads as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“...that the National Council for Teacher Education, Southern Regional Committee, Bangalore in their reminders vide reference 3rd to 9th cited, has sought the Recommendations of the Government of Telangana for granting of Recognition to the Colleges for conducting B.Ed/D.P.S.E/M.Ed Programme from the Academic Year, 2017-2018, to the following Colleges in the State of Telangana:-</i></p>																												
		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Code No.</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Name of the College</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Course applied</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>SRCAPP201 630079</td> <td>Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District</td> <td>Vinayaka College of Education, Medak</td> <td>B.Ed</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SRCAPP201 630052</td> <td>Madrasa I Aizza, Adilabad District</td> <td>Aizza College of Education, Adilabad</td> <td>B.Ed</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SRCAPP201 630065</td> <td>Vyjayanthi Educational Society, RR District</td> <td>Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar</td> <td>B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SRCAPP201 630039</td> <td>Central University, Rejection District</td> <td>University of Hyderabad Rejection District</td> <td>M.Ed</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SRCAPP201 630144</td> <td>AIM ASIA, Nacharam, Hyderabad</td> <td>Mckenna College of Education, Nalgonda District.</td> <td>B.Ed & DPSE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SRCAPP201 630135</td> <td>Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University)</td> <td>Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.</td> <td>B.Ed. M.Ed</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code No.	Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition	Name of the College	Course applied	SRCAPP201 630079	Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District	Vinayaka College of Education, Medak	B.Ed	SRCAPP201 630052	Madrasa I Aizza, Adilabad District	Aizza College of Education, Adilabad	B.Ed	SRCAPP201 630065	Vyjayanthi Educational Society, RR District	Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar	B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed	SRCAPP201 630039	Central University, Rejection District	University of Hyderabad Rejection District	M.Ed	SRCAPP201 630144	AIM ASIA, Nacharam, Hyderabad	Mckenna College of Education, Nalgonda District.	B.Ed & DPSE	SRCAPP201 630135	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University)	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	B.Ed. M.Ed
Code No.	Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition	Name of the College	Course applied																											
SRCAPP201 630079	Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District	Vinayaka College of Education, Medak	B.Ed																											
SRCAPP201 630052	Madrasa I Aizza, Adilabad District	Aizza College of Education, Adilabad	B.Ed																											
SRCAPP201 630065	Vyjayanthi Educational Society, RR District	Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar	B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed																											
SRCAPP201 630039	Central University, Rejection District	University of Hyderabad Rejection District	M.Ed																											
SRCAPP201 630144	AIM ASIA, Nacharam, Hyderabad	Mckenna College of Education, Nalgonda District.	B.Ed & DPSE																											
SRCAPP201 630135	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University)	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	B.Ed. M.Ed																											

	Mumbai, Maharashtra		
SRCAPP201 630132	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University) Mumbai, Maharashtra	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	BA B.Ed / B.Sc. B.Ed & B.Ed

2. In this context, it is to inform you that, vide reference 2nd cited (copy enclosed), Government of Telangana already informed you not to grant any Recognition to any proposal pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges which includes the Colleges specified in the reference 3rd to 9th, cited duly enclosing the Director of School Education, Telangana, Hyderabad, letter mentioned in the reference 1st cited (copy enclosed) which was addressed to the NCTE, New Delhi, and a copy marked to you in the matter. The Acknowledgement of the receipt of the reference 2nd cited by the NCTE is enclosed herewith for your information.

3. In spite of the Letter 2nd cited, which was received on 27.09.2016 by you, we are still receiving reminders dated 01.10.2016 and 26.10.2016, which were received in the department on 07.10.2016 & 27.10.2016 respectively, in the cases of the Colleges mentioned in the references 3rd to 9th cited. It is not clear as to why this is happening.

4. Therefore, it is once again requested that NCTE should not grant any Recognition to any of these proposals pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges, which includes the colleges specified in the references 3rd to 9th cited, for the Academic Year 2017-2018 onwards, until specific requisition is sent from the State Government.

5. Your reply in the matter is requested at the earliest.

The SRC in its 323rd meeting held on 16th – 18th November 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:

- **The SRC has no authority to recognize requests for**

‘banning’ courses. The State Government should have taken it up at the appropriate time with the NCTE (HQ).

- The present letter also cannot be taken as a common objection to all such cases pertaining to the State. At best, we can treat it as the State Govt.’s objection to the 7 cases listed in the letter. As regards other cases, it will be necessary for the State Govt. , in response to our notice, to send specific objections in individual cases. According to the NCTE Regulations, in case of no reply (to our notice in individual cases) from the State Governments, the Regional Committees will be free to process the case further and decide it on its merits.

The State Government may be advised accordingly.

A letter sent to Dr. Prabhu Kumar Yadav, Research Officer, NCTE Hqrs regarding Clarification about Recommendation from the State Government of Telangana on 22.11.2016.

A letter received from NCTE Hqrs though email dated 19.12.2016, it stated as under:

“...It is a legally, well settled position that once applications for various courses have been invited/received, they are to be processed by the Regional Committee concerned as per the Regulation 2014 and comments of State Govt. are again sought for under NCTE Regulations (Clause 7(4) of the Regulation 2014). The comments/recommendations of the state Govt. at this stage are expected to be based on merit of the individual application, in the absence of which RC’s take their own decision regarding grant of recognition/refusal. It appears that in these cases when the comments of the State Govt. were invited, the State Govt. simply gave a negative recommendation with a blanket refusal and without assigning any reasons in each individual case. It was possible for the SRC to consider the views of the State Govt. if the recommendation would have been made available in each case on merit with adequate reason. As such, SRC has acted within its rights conferred under the provision of Section 14&15 of the NCTE Act

1993.

However, in all such cases wherever the State Govt. feels that the institution does not qualify for grant of recognition and it is aggrieved with the decision of the SRC they may file an 'Appeal' under Section 18 of the NCTE Act. Section 18(1) of the Act categorically provides that 'any person aggrieved' by an order under Section 14 or 15 or 17 can prefer an Appeal. Till date, under this category, only applicant institutions have been filing appeals and not any other 'aggrieved person'.

The SRC in its 326th meeting held on 04th – 05th January, 2017 considered and Noted the matter.

A letter was sent to Smt. Ranjeev R.Acharya, Special Chief Secretary to Govt., Education Department, Government of Telangana on 18.01.2017 regarding communicating clarification from NCTE Hqrs in this regard.

The SRC in its 327th meeting held on 19th -20th January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

- 1. This was finally disposed of in the last meeting. Even NCTE (HQ) has sent a detailed reply to the State Government.***
- 2. No further action is required for correspondence with the State Government.***
- 3. The Regional Director (SRO) has confirmed that no further communication has been received from the Govt. of Telangana about their objection to these 7 cases individually. The earlier common objection letter also does not give any reasons for the objection. The NCTE letter to the State Govt. makes it very clear that a blanket refusal without giving any reasons in each individual case renders it impossible for the SRC to consider the view of the State Govt. on merit with adequate reason.***
- 4. In the result, and for the reasons given above, it will not be possible to reject (or, even, hold up) these 7 cases. The Supreme Court***

prescribed dateline of 3 March 17 for issue of FR w.e.f. 2017-18 makes it imperative for us to give attention to these cases without further delay. That being so, processes these 7 cases and put them up for SRC consideration in the next meeting.

As per the decision, the application has been scrutinized.

SRCAPP14884

Vyjayanthi Educational Society, Plot No.Sy 54 PART, Singaram X Roads, Kavarampally Sivar Village, Narayanpet Post, Mahabubnagar Taluk & City, Mahbubnagar District – 509210, Telangana had applied for grant of recognition to **Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teachers Education, Plot/Khasara No.Sy.No.54, Plot No.Sy.No.54 PART Singaram X Street, Kavarampally Sivar Village, Narayanpet Post & Taluk, Mahabubnagar City, Mahbubnagar District – 509210, Telangana** for offering B.A,B.Sc course for four years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on 30.06.2015. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 10.07.2015.

The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014.

A letter was sent to State Government for recommendation on 16.07.2015, followed by Reminder-I on 22.02.2016.

Sub-clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-

“(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application.

	<p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-</p> <p>The institution has not submitted NOC from the affiliating body, along with application.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Application is not signed by the applicant on every page of application as per Sub-section (3) of Section 5 of Regulations, 2014.2. Registered photocopy land document submitted in Telugu version (Notarized English version to be submitted). <p>The matter was placed before SRC for in its 292nd meeting held on 29-30 Sept, 2015 and the committee considered the matter and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for Non Submission of NOC issued by the affiliating body along with application.</p> <p>Accordingly, Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 21.10.2015. The institution submitted written representation on 16.12.2015</p> <p>The SRC in its 297th meeting held on 27th -28thDecembe, 2015 considered the matter and decided as under</p> <p>Delayed submission of NOC, cannot be condoned. Reject</p> <p>The SRC in its 300th meeting held on 29th – 31st January 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Keeping in mind the over-all public interest, the Committee revised its earlier stand to reject all cases of non-submission or delayed submission of NOC's and decided to reopen and process all such rejected cases by accepting NOCs even now irrespective of their dates of issue.</i></p> <p>The application was processed and placed before SRC in its 303rd meeting held on 15th February, 2016. The committee considered the matter and decided as</p>
--	--

under:

1. Contiguity with existing B.Ed
2. EC, BCC, BP, not legible and not approved by competent authority.
3. Cause Composite Inspection
4. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents
5. Ask whether they want B.A.B.Ed or B.Sc B.Ed

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, the inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 20.02.2016. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 22.02.2016. The VT report was received in this office of SRC-NCTE on 24.02.2016.

The SRC in its 305th meeting held on 25th – 27th February, 2016 considered the VT report and all relevant documents and decided as under:

1. BCC is not in format
2. BP is not issued by competent authority.
3. Issue SCN accordingly.

Before issuance of show cause notice as per the website information, the institution submitted written representation on 02.03.2016.

The SRC in its 306th Meeting held on 01st-04th March, 2016 considered the show cause notice reply and decided as under:

1. Documents and facilities are in order.
2. Issue LOI for B.Sc; B.Ed (2 Units)
3. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished .
4. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, a letter of intent was issued to the

	<p>institution on 17.03.2016. The institution submitted its written representation on 10.05.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 314th meeting held on 27th-28th May, 2016 considered the letter of intent reply and decided as under.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The approved Faculty list is not complete. Subject of specialization at PG level in Pedagogy and Perspectives is not mentioned.2. Ask them to furnish full details.3. This case cannot longer be considered for 2016-17. It can be considered only for 2017-18. <p>Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 01.06.2016. The institution submitted its written representation on 03.06.2016 alongwith staff list stating as under:</p> <p><i>“ with reference to the subject cited above and also your letter dated 01.06.2016 mentioned in the reference cited above we are herewith submitting the compliance report to the letter dated 01.06.2016 for B.ScB.Ed integrated course as desired.</i></p> <p><i>We therefore request the NCTE authorities to kindly consider the same and initiate further necessary action for the grant of formal recognition for the B.ScB.Ed 4 years integrated course for the academic year 2016-17.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 317th meeting held on 28th to 30th July 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The subject specialization at PG level has now been given. But, it is not clear who is the Principal. Ask them to clarify. <p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 19.09.2016. The institution submitted written representation on 05.10.2016 along with staff list. It stated as under:</p>
--	---

“...With reference to the subject cited above, in reply to the letter cited we are herewith enclosing the principal selection committee proceeding and duly approved by the concerned university mentioning the principal specialization particulars at PG level along with the M.Ed qualification. Further it I to inform that since long years he is our regular principal duly ratified by the concerned university. As required by the NCTE authorities letter dated 01-06-2016 we have submitted all the particulars, once again we are submitting the approved letter by the Register Palmuru University dated 30-04-2016 along with the selection proceedings and relevant documents for your kind consideration. Further we request you to grant of approval for B.Sc B.Ed four years integrated course to our institution.”

Remark:

- Approval of principal submitted.
- Land is in the name of Sri.G. Panduranga Reddy, Secretary and not in the name of the society.
- LUC & EC also show the name as Panduranga Reddy G.
- The original list of faculty was submitted by the institution vide letter dated 09.05.2016, wherein V.L. Chandra Shekar name was also these. As per details now and earlier provided, the service certificate of the principal is not given and no information about Ph.D and date of appointment given.
- Institutions is running B.Ed (2 units) code no.5633 was granted recognition on 28.09.2007. M.Ed code no.146 rejected on 06.03.2013.

The Committee considered the applications in respect of SRCAPP201630065 and SRCAPP14884 and decided as under:-

- 1. NOC of the affiliating body is there.**
- 2. The State Govt. has not given a specific NOC in this case. They have given only a common letter of Objection for many cases. No reasons have been given. As decided already by the NCTE(HQ) this cannot be**

		<p>recognized as a valid NOC.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. They have a running B.Ed. course(APS05633). 4. They had earlier applied for another B.Sc. B.Ed.(2 units) separately. 5. All the three cases should be considered together. 6. It is clarified that they want B.A.B.Ed.(2 units) in this case (30065) and B.Sc.B.Ed.(2 units) in the earlier case(14884). Process accordingly. 7. Title is clear. Land area is adequate for all 3 courses. 8. LUC/EC are in order. 9. BP is not approved. 10. BCC is in order. Built up area (5000 sq.mts) is adequate for all the 3 courses. 11. The faculty list given in the old case(14884) is in order. Issue FR for B.Sc. B.Ed.(2 units) after obtaining approved BP. 12. Cause composite inspection in the B.A.B.Ed.(2 units) case (30065). Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.
11	<p>SRCAPP2016 30181</p> <p>D.El.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Terissa Elementary Teacher Training College, Visakhapatnam, Andhra</p>	<p>All God Children Educational and Rural Development Charitable Trust, P L Puram Village, NH – 16, Payakaraopeta Mandal, Payakaraopeta – Tuni City, Visakhapatnam District-531126, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Terrisa Elementary Teacher Training College, P L Puram Village & Town, Payakaraopeta Taluk, NH – 16, Visakhapatnam District – 531126, Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2016.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 14.07.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 16.08.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 31.10.2016. No recommendation received from the State Government the period of 90 days as per Regulations is over; hence, the application is processed.</p>

	Pradesh	<p>As per public notice for 2017-18, D.El.Ed course is banned in the State of Andhra Pradesh. The institution has submitted a copy of letter sent by Director, SCERT (I/c) to forwarding the inspection report for grant of Minority status. However, no minority certificate has been submitted.</p> <p>The application has been scrutinized through online along with hard copy of application.</p> <p>The SRC in its 324th meeting held on 07th -08th December, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This course is banned in Andhra Pradesh. No minority certificate produced to claim exemption.2. Land document is in individual's name.3. NOC not given.4. No information about any other course. This will be hit by the 'stand alone' clause.5. EC is there; but, in individual's name.6. LUC is there.7. BP is there.8. Building Completion Certificate is there; no approved by competent authority.9. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly. <p>Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution through online on 08.12.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted reply to Show Cause Notice on 03.01.2017.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Show Cause Notice reply dated 3.1.2017 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The reply given is not satisfactory. Major deficiencies persist.2. Course is banned in A.P.3. NOC not given.
--	---------	--

		<p>4. Supporting Liberal Ed. Course is not given. The ‘stand alone’ clause will apply.</p> <p>5. Reject.</p>
12	<p>SRCAPP2016 30187</p> <p>D.P.SE</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Rangumudri Lakshamma Diploma in Pre School Education, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Rangumudri Educational Society, Chilakapalli Village, Sivalayam Street, Balijipet Taluk, Bobbili City, Vizianagaram District-535557, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Rangumudri Lakshamma Diploma in Pre School Education College, Chilakalapalli Village, Vaninagar Street, Balijipeta Taluk, Vizianagaram District-535557, Andhra Pradesh for offering D.P.S.E course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2016.The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 13.07.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 16.08.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 31.10.2016. No recommendation received from the State Government, the period of 90 days as per Regulations is over, hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for D.P.S.E course in the State of Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>The application has been scrutinized through online along with hard copy of application.</p> <p>The SRC in its 324th meeting held on 07th – 08th December, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NOC not given. 2. Land document is there. 3. EC & LUC are there. 4. BP is not given. 5. Building Completion Certificate is in order. Built up area is adequate accordingly to BCC. 6. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.

		<p>Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution through online on 08.12.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted reply to Show Cause Notice on 03.01.2017.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Show Cause Notice reply dated 3.1.2017 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. BP is now given. 2. But, NOC of the affiliating body is not given. 3. Reject.
13	<p>SRCAPP2016 30055</p> <p>D.El.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Nawab Shah Alam Khan College of Education, Rangareddy, Telangana</p>	<p>Anwarul Uloom Educational Association, New Mallepally Village, Hyderabad Taluk & City, Hyderabad District-500001, Telangana had applied for grant of recognition to Nawab Shah Alam Khan College of Education, Yennepally Village, Vikarabad Taluk, Yennepally City, Rangareddy District-501101, Telangana for offering D.El.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 28.05.2016.The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 30.05.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 04.07.2016, followed by Reminder-I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder-II on 02.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Government after the period of 90 days as per Regulations was over; hence, the application was processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18 D.El.Ed course is ban in the State of Telangana.</p> <p>The institution submitted a copy of letter sent by Director SCERT, (I/c) forwarding the inspection report for grant of minority status, however, no minority certificate has been submitted.</p> <p>The application has been scrutinized through online along with hard copy of application.</p>

		<p>The SRC in its 325th meeting held on 19th – 20th December, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Minority certificate not given. 2. NOC of affiliating body not given. 3. Title is there. Land area (6 acres) is adequate. Sy.nos. 25/1 & 27/1. 4. EC is in order. 5. LUC is in order. 6. BP shows only Sy.no. 27/1. Approved by competent authority. Built-up area is not shown. 7. BCC is approved. Built-up area shown is 3079 sqmts. Inadequate for B.Ed (2 units) + D.El.Ed (2 units). 8. FDRs not given. 9. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly. <p>Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution through online on 21.12.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted reply to Show Cause Notice on 10.01.2017.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Show Cause Notice reply dated 10.1.2017 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NOC is not given. The argument that SCERT has not responded in time is not relevant to us. 2. Others objections have been settled. 3. Reject.
14	SRCAPP2016 30067 BA.B.Ed B.Sc.B.Ed	<p>The institution submitted a letter dated 24.11.2015 requesting for procedures for online application filling- up & 4 years integrated course. Accordingly, a letter was sent to the institution on 19.02.2016.</p> <p>The institution submitted written representation on 13.04.2016 along with NOC dated 17.11.2015 from Pondicherry University and DD of Rs. 1,50,000/-.</p>

<p>Usha Latchumanan College of Education, Pondicherry</p>	<p>The institution had applied online application for B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed Four years Integrated Programme for the session 2017-18. (As per the public notice dated 09.03.2016-for the session 2017-18). <u>The institution had submitted hard copy of incomplete online application i.e prior to generation of application code no.</u></p> <p>The institution submitted its written representation dated 04.05.2016 received on 07.05.2016 seeking recognition order.</p> <p><u>The incomplete application received was forwarded to technical team, NCTE Hqrs through e-mail dated 10.05.2016 for doing the needful.</u></p> <p>The reply mail received from Hqrs on 10.05.2016 with following message;</p> <p><i>I have checked and found that this applicant submitted two application one application is on draft mode and other application completed all fields but not complete payment mode button. So kindly tell the applicant to submit all details in application number 1 and application number 2 submit payment details after this process successfully done the application id number will be generated accordingly.</i></p> <p>The same message was forwarded to institution on 10.05.2016.</p> <p>The institution submitted written representation on 27.05.2016 and stated as follows;</p> <p><u>“...we would like to inform you that the <u>Hon’ble High Court Madras order in W.P.No.18301 of 2016 dated 26.05.2016 to consider the application for the year 2016-17 for above said purpose and pass appropriate order in the SRC meeting held on 27.05.2016 and communicate the decision to college and Pondicherry University accordingly.</u></u></p> <p><i>The above W.P.No.18301 of 2016 dated 26.05.2016 is disposed and the same may be placed in the SRC meeting held on 27.05.2016. The order copy follows.”</i></p> <p><u>Note:</u> The Court order in W.P.No.18301 of 2016 dated 26.05.2016 was not received.</p> <p>The SRC in its 314th meeting held on 27th to 28th May, 2016 and the committee</p>
---	---

	<p>considered the matter and decided as under;</p> <p>1. The Court Order has not yet been received. We have only our Lawyer's Text Message and the applicant's petition dt. 26-05-2016.</p> <p>2. Their application dt. 30.04.2016 is still in a draft form and is incomplete. No on-line application has been received which is a mandatory requirement under the Regulations. That being so, strictly speaking, there is no valid application at all. No hard copy has also been received. In any case, it is an application filed in response to the NCTE Notification inviting applications for 2017-18. In view of the Supreme Court's order prohibiting issue of Formal Recognition to anyone for the academic year 2016-17 after the (extended) time-limit of 2 May, 2016, it will not be possible for us to consider this case for 2016-17. Their case can be considered even for 2017-18, only if they make a proper application before 31.05.2016 and complete all formalities.</p> <p>Ask our lawyer to apprise the Court of this factual legal position and of our consequential legal difficulty in complying with the order given. The Court may be requested kindly to review the order and direct the applicant to make a proper application before 31.05.2016 for consideration w.e.f. 2017-18.</p> <p>The institution submitted its written representation on 28.05.2016 requesting for return of D.D. No. 750075 dt. 14.03.2016 for Rs. 1.5 lakh. <u>Accordingly, the DD No. 750075 dt. 14.03.2016 was returned to the institution on 01.06.2016.</u></p> <p>A letter dated 30.05.2016 received from the Advocate P.R. Gopinathan on 02.06.2016 regarding W.P. No. 18301 of 2016 filed by Usha Patchumanan College of Education.</p> <p>A court order dated 26.05.2016 received on 06.06.2016 from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in W.P.No. 18301 of 2016 & W.M.P.No.16008 of 2016 filed by Usha Latchumanan College of Education, Puducherry.</p> <p>The Hon'ble High court order stated as follows:</p> <p><i>"....though the petitioner has prayed for larger relief, this court, in the light of the above facts and circumstances, directs the 1st respondent to</i></p>
--	--

		<p><i>consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 09.05.2016 on merits and in accordance with law and pass orders on or before 08.06.2016 and inform the decision taken, to the petitioner.</i></p> <p><i>The writ petition is disposed of with the above direction. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed."</i></p> <p>As per Hon'ble High court direction The SMG Anjalai Ammal Educational Society, Thirukkanur Village, T.V. Malai Road, Villianur Taluk & Mandal, Thirukkanur City, Pondicherry District-605501, Pondicherry had applied for grant of recognition to Usha Latchumanan College of Education, Thirukkanur Village, T.V. Malai Road, Villianur Taluk & Mandal, Thirukkanur City, Pondicherry District-605501, Pondicherry for offering B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed integrated course for four years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.05.2016. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 16.06.2016.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE(Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014.</p> <p>A letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 27.06.2016, followed by reminder on 01.10.2016.</p> <p>A letter dated 28.07.2016 received from the NCTE-Hqrs on 08.08.2016 regarding status of letter from Shri. Veerappan, Former State Vice-President for early permission from, SRC Bangalore for two units of 4 years integrated course from 2016-17.</p> <p>A letter dated 27.07.2016 received from Government of Puducherry, Chief secretariat, Puducherry-605001 on 01.08.2016 stated as under:</p> <p><i>"...a decision was taken earlier (vide reference second cited) by this administration that no further programme of the following four Teacher Education courses are required to be entertained for the academic year 2017-18.</i></p> <p>1) Bachelor of Elementary (B.El.Ed).</p>
--	--	---

		<p>2) Bachelor of Education(B.Ed) through ODL Mode.</p> <p>3) Integrated B.Ed-M.Ed programme of 3 years duration.</p> <p>4) B.Ed (part-time) programme of 2 years duration.</p> <p><u>Accordingly, the applicant of M/S. SMG Anjalai Ammal Educational Society, Thirukkanur Village, T.V. Malai Road, Thirukkanur, Puducherry seeking grant of recognition to Usha Latchumanan College of Education, Thirukkanur, Puducherry, for conducting B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed courses, forwarded with a request to furnish the recommendation of the State Government- is not agreed to.</u></p> <p>NCTE-Hqrs in its letter dated 29.08.2016 received on 06.09.2016 enclosed a written representation dated 18.06.2016 along with a court order in W.P. No. 18301 of 2016 & W.M.P. No. 16008 of 2016 with a requesting to take necessary action.</p> <p>The institution submitted a letter dated 27.10.2016, received on 01.11.2016 along with a copy of the Government of Puducherry letter dated 26.05.2016. The State Government vide this letter informed that it has already conveyed vide its letter dated 26.03.2015 not to grant recognition for 2017-2018 for the four TEIs as indicated above.</p> <p>It was observed from the file that,</p> <p>!) There is contradiction in letter of the State govt. i.e vide letter dated 26.05.2016. It has mentioned not to grant recognition for B.El.Ed, B.Ed(ODL), B.Ed-M.Ed integrated and B.Ed(Part-time), whereas vide letter dated 27.07.2015 the State Govt. while quoting about its letter dated 26.05.2016 has conveyed not to grant recognition for B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed to the institution.</p> <p>2) The institution submitted application online on 30.05.2016 and hard copy received on 16.06.2016, which is after 15 days from the date of online submission of application. However, NCTE vide letter No.F.49-4/2014/NCTE/N&S dated 22.08.2016 conveyed the direction that 15th July, 2016 shall be the last date for receipt of hard copy irrespective of online</p>
--	--	---

		<p>submission.</p> <p>3) As per the Public Notice issued on 09.03.2016 for inviting applications for 2017- 2018, there is a ban for D.El.Ed, B.Ed and B.P.Ed courses only.</p> <p>4) As per application, the institution is a non-minority institution.</p> <p>SRC in its 324th meeting held during 7th to 8th December, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under :-</p> <p>1. The U.T. Admn's reply to our letter is in 2 parts. The first part is about 4 courses not cited by us. We can not, therefore, take that into account. The second part of their reply is specifically about this case, this applicant and, the 2 courses proposed by them. We have, therefore, to take them into consideration as their objection.</p> <p>2. In terms of the Supreme Court order, in the L.B.S. B.Ed College case, RCs are not bound by the observations of the State Govt / U.T. Admn. But, RCs are expected to pass a speaking order why they accept or not accept the objection of the State Govt. / U.T. Admn.</p> <p>3. Please request the Puducherry govt. to disclose the reason for their objection to our considering this application.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the Under Secretary to Govt., Higher and Technical Education, Government of Puducherry on 22.12.2016 requesting to disclose the reason for objection to SRC for considering the application.</p> <p>Reply is not received from the State Government, so far.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The Puducherry Govt.'s objection is in general terms. It is with reference to 4 other courses not cited by us.2. They have not given reasons for extending the objection to B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. also.3. They have not cared to respond to our letter requesting for
--	--	---

		<p style="text-align: center;">clarification.</p> <p>4. We, therefore, treat the objection as unacceptable.</p> <p>5. Process the case and put up.</p>
15	<p>SRCAPP2016 30164</p> <p>M.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>Vasavi College of Education, Pondicherry</p>	<p>Vasavi Trust, Madagadipet Village, Mannadipet Commune Panchayat Taluk, Pondicherry-605107, Pondicherry applied for grant of recognition to Vasavi College of Education, Madagadipet Village, NH Main Road, Mannadipet Commune Panchayat Taluk, Pondicherry-605107, Pondicherry for offering M.Ed course of two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2016.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 13.07.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 29.08.2016, followed by Reminder I on 14.10.2016 and Reminder II on 13.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Govt. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for M.Ed course in the State of Tamilnadu.</p> <p>SRC in its 317th meeting held on 28th to 30th July 2016. The committee considered the reply and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for the following;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title is clear. Land area available (1.51 acre) is adequate for B.Ed (2 units) D.El.Ed (2 units) and M.Ed(1 unit). 2. Latest EC required. 3. LUC is in order. 4. NAAC certificate is available (valid up to July 2017).

		<ol style="list-style-type: none">5. NOC given.6. BP is in order. Built-up area shown is 2500 Sqmts. Land area shown is only 5499 sqmts.7. BBC is not approved by competent authority. Built-up area shown is 4062 sq.mts. This exceeds the area approved in the BP. In any case, this area is inadequate for the three courses.8. FDR not given.9. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly. <p>Accordingly, Show Cause Notice issued to the institution in online on 21.12.2016. The institution not replied in online.</p> <p>The institution submitted hard copy of Show Cause Notice reply on 06.01.2017.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Show Cause Notice reply dated 6.1.2017 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Latest EC in original is required.2. BCC, approved by competent authority is required.3. Built-up area is adequate.4. FDRs not given.5. Cause composite inspection for B.Ed.(2 units), D.El.Ed.(1 unit) and M.Ed.(1 unit).6. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.
--	--	---

16	<p>SRCAPP2016 30099</p> <p>BA.B.Ed B.Sc.B.Ed-</p> <p>AI -1 Unit</p> <p>Pope John Paul II College of Education, Pondicherry</p>	<p>The Committee decided to withdraw the item from the agenda.</p>
17	<p>SRCAPP2016 30103</p> <p>M.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>Al-Ameen College of Education, Bangalore, Karnataka</p>	<p>Al-Ameen Educational Society, Annipura, Hosur Road, Bangalore South, Bangalore (U)-560027, Karnataka has submitted an online application for offering M.Ed. course in the name of Al-Ameen College of Education (M.Ed), Annipura Village and Town, Hosur Road, Bangalore South Bangalore (U)-560027, Karnataka on 02.06.2016 and hard copy of the application was received on 03.06.2016.</p> <p>Letter was addressed to the Secretary to Government, Education Department, Government of Karnataka seeking recommendation in respect of the application received by the SRC-NCTE for recognition of the proposed M.Ed. course, on 22.06.2016. Reminder –I was issued on 01.10.2016. Reminder-II was sent to the Government on 02.11.2016.</p> <p>Recommendation of the State Government was received by this office on 22.09.2016.</p> <p>The online application was scrutinized along with hard copy of the application.</p> <p>The SRC in its 324th meeting held during 07th – 08th December, 2016 considered the scrutiny of the application and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. "Land title is clear. 2. EC is clear 3. LUC is there ; but, undated.

		<p>4. BP-not approved by competent authority. Does not give details.</p> <p>5. BCC-not in format. Date of inspection / issue not stated. Built- up area is inadequate.</p> <p>6. NOC is not given.</p> <p>7. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.”</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 08.12.2016. The institution has submitted written representation through online on 29.12.2016 and hard copy submitted on 30.12.2016.</p> <p>The Committee considered the representation of the institution dated 29.12.2016 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reply to SCN is seen . 2. LUC is rectified. 3. BP is rectified. 4. BCC is not rectified. 5. NOC of affiliating body is still not available. 6. Reject.
18	<p>APS03415</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Al-Ameen College of Education, Bangalore,</p>	<p>Al-Ameen College of Education, Near Lalbagh Main Gate, Hosur Road, Bangalore-560027, Karnataka was granted recognition for offering B.Ed course of one year duration from the academic session 2000-2001 with an annual intake of 100 students on 10.07.2000.</p> <p>On 02.04.2002, the institution submitted the Performance Appraisal Report for the year 2000-2001. Based on the appraisal report, the intake of the institution was fixed at 100 vide this office letter dated 12.07.2002. The institution submitted the Performance Appraisal Report for the year 2002-2003 on 31.01.2003. The intake of the institution was fixed at 100 vide the office letter dated 27.08.2003.</p>

	Karnataka	<p>On 31.01.2015, the institution submitted an affidavit affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014 and a revised order was issued to the institution on 16.05.2015 for offering B.Ed course of two years duration from the session 2015-16 with an annual intake of 50 students.</p> <p>On 16.03.2016, the institution submitted a request for an intake of 100 students which is as under:</p> <p>“With reference to the above, I would like to request to issue letter for intake of 100 students admission to B.Ed course for the academic year 2015-16. We have submitted all the documents to NCTE in time and also staff approval list from Bangalore University, Government Central Admission Cell (CAC) has allotted 50 students and management also admitted 50 students. Ours is a religious Minority institution.</p> <p>Hence, I request your good self to issue letter for intake of 100 students as early as possible and do the needful.”</p> <p>The SRC in its 321st meeting held during 28th – 30th September, 2016 considered the request of the institution and decided to as under:-</p> <p>“We should use this opportunity to verify the basic facts with respect to documents in all such RPRO cases many of which relate to very old FRs issued by us. R.D. may collect- Land deeds; LUC; EC; BP; BCC ; FDRs; and Faculty Lists and get them processed by office so that we can critically scrutinize the facts and take appropriate decisions about issue of new FRs.”</p> <p>On 05.01.2017, the institution submitted a letter along with documents is as under:-</p> <p>“With reference to the above, I would like to bring to your kind notice that, according to your letter No. F-SRO/NCTE/APS03415/B.Ed/KA/2014-15/64885 dt: 15.06.2015. We have submitted documents for 2nd unit in time i.e. on 21.10.2016. And also as per your letter No. SRO/NCTE/2016/79511 we had submitted the approved faculty list from Bangalore University (submitted copy enclosed.</p>
--	-----------	--

		<p>It is also to bring to your kind notice that for the year 2015-16 we have two units and the students have been approved for both the units and also we got the students from Central Admission Cell for 2 units. But this year our college is not shown for 2 units in the Central Admission Cell. It is mentioned only 1 unit. Since admissions already started in the Central Admission Cell and last date for admissions is 16.01.2017. (copy of Bangalore University approved list enclosed).</p> <p>I request your kindness to include our college name in the list of 2 units and kindly grant 2 units to our institution for the same we already submitted a letter to NCTE, SRC, Bangalore on 12.03.2016 and we also requested to the NCTE through letter dated 29.08.2016 to have the composite inspection for additional unit for the existing B.Ed course and M.Ed New course (copy enclosed))”</p> <p>The institution has submitted the following documents for second unit on 30.10.2015, 05.01.2017 and 18.01.2017.</p> <p>The Committee considered the representation of the institutions and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. They have a B.Ed. course with 1 unit of 50 as per RPRO issued. The RPRO was based on their own affidavit.2. They cannot now be allowed to distort facts.3. If they want one more unit, they must apply afresh for B.Ed.-A.I(1 unit).4. Issue SCN for rejection.
--	--	--

19	SRCAPP2016 30083 BA.B.Ed B.Sc.B.Ed 2 Units Vallalar College of Education, Vellore, Tamilnadu	<p>Sri Meenachi Margabanthu Trust, Kamatchiamman pet , Pavala Street, Gudiyattam Taluk & City, Vellore District-632602,Tamilnadu applied for grant of recognition to Vallalar College of Education, Karthikeyapuram Village, Melpatti Road, Gudiyattam Taluk, Karthikeyapuram City, Vellore District-635806,Tamilnadu for offering B.A.B.Ed.,B.Sc.B.Ed integrated course of four years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 31.05.2016.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 14.06.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 22.06.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.A.B.Ed.,B.Sc.B.Ed course in the State of Tamilnadu.</p> <p>As per the direction, the application was scrutinized online along with hard copy and place before SRC in its 326th Meeting held during 4th and 5th January, 2017. The Committee decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1.A. NOC is given.1.B. They have D.El.Ed.(1 unit) & B.Ed.(2 units). They want B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. (2 units).2. They should clarify whether they want B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit) + B.Sc. B.Ed.(1 unit) or B.A.B.Ed.(2 units) or B.Sc. B.Ed.(2 units).3. Land document is in order.4. LUC is in order.5. EC is in order.6. BP is in order.7. BCC is in order. Built-up area available (2640 sq.mts.) is inadequate w.r.t. requirement(4500 sq.mts).8. NOC is given.
----	--	---

		<p>9. Cause composite inspection for D.El.Ed.(1 unit), B.Ed.(2 units) and B.A.B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed.(2 units).</p> <p>10. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC and as per Regulations, 2014, the decision of the Committee to cause composite inspection was communicated to the V.T. Members through on-line mode on 12.01.2017.</p> <p>The V.T. Members have give their acceptance for inspection of institution during 23.01.2017 to 12.02.2017.</p> <p>On 19.01.2017, an e-mail has been received from Shri.M.Ramalingam,Chairman, Vallalar College of Education, Gudiyattam, Vellore District, Tamilnadu requesting for postponement of inspection as under:-</p> <p><i>“ I submit that as per the decision of the Trust, we request the honorable Regional Director to <u>postpone the visit of the visiting team to our institution as we have yet to fulfill the instructional and facilities and due to same unavoidable circumstance . Kindly cooperate and do the needful.</u>”</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the request of the institution dated 19.1.2017 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The request for postponement is accepted. But, since VT inspection has already been ordered, if the VT has moved, the institution must bear the cost of another VT inspection.2. It should also be noted that, by this postponement, the institution runs the risk of losing the opportunity for getting an FR w.e.f. 2017-18.
--	--	---

**Consideration of applications 2016-2017, Consideration of LOI reply, SCN reply,
(Volume-1)**

20	SRCAPP3225 B.Ed 2 Units Sri Navaa College of Education, Erode, Tamilnadu	<u>LETTER OF INTENT Reply</u>		
		Sl. No	Particulars	Reply to LOI
		1	Name of the Institution	Sri Navaa College of Education, Plot/Khasara No 411/1B, Street No.411, Chinnamuthur Village, Muthur Post & City, Kangeyam Taluk, Erode District – 638105, Tamilnadu.
		2	Date of issue of LOI	29.11.2016 (LOI issued for 2 units)
		3	Date of Receipt of LOI Reply	26.12.2016
		4	Date of Staff List approved by the Registrar, TNTEU	05.12.2016 <u>The institution has submitted Original Faculty list approved by the Registrar, TNTEU</u>
5	Service Certificate of Principal Total Experience of the Principal	1. Service certificate of Shri Hussainmiya D.H M.A,M.Ed,M.Phil , was working as a Lecturer in the Sri Siddhivinayaka Rural College of Education from 05.01.2004 to (10.12.2010) = 5 years 11 months. 2. Service certificate of Dr. Hussainmiya D.H M.A,M.Ed,M.Phil , working as a Assistant Professor in the Sri Siddhivinayaka Rural P.G. Department of Education(M.Ed.,) from 11.12.2010 to (10.05.2016) = 5 years 5 months. 11 years 4 months.		

	-			
6	Original FDRs for Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs towards Endowment fund and Reserve fund in Joint A/C for 5 years submitted	Original FDRs of Rs, 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs in Joint A/c. with the Regional Director, NCTE for a period of 5 years is submitted.		
		FDR of Rs. 5 lakhs	FDR of Rs. 7 lakhs	
		Name of the Bank	State Bank of India	State Bank of India
		FDR No / A/c No.	36322510227	36322510862
		Period	17.12.2016 to 17.12.2023	17.12.2016 to 17.12.2023
7	Web Site Address	www.srinavaaedu.in		
8	Programmes considered for composite status	Code	Course	Intake
		SRCAPP3225	B.Ed	100
		SRCAPP3226	B.Sc.B.Ed	100
<p><u>Remarks:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff Strength is as per norms is 1+15. However the distribution of staff for perspective paper is not adequate. They have showed only 2 staff for perspective in Education. • Educational qualification certificates of Principal and staffs are not submitted. • Website is functioning, but the details of Teacher education (B.Ed & B.Sc.,B.Ed) courses details and the details of Infrastructure are not uploaded in the website. 				

		<p>The Committee considered the LOI reply along with relevant documents on 26.12.2016 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Faculty list is examined. 2. Dr. Malleswaran(M.Com) should be replaced by Asst. Prof.(Persp. preferably Sociology). 3. Issue Notice accordingly. 																		
21	<p>SRCAPP3226</p> <p>B.Sc.B.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Sri Navaa College of Education, Erode, Tamilnadu</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>LETTER OF INTENT Reply</u></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No</th> <th>Particulars</th> <th>Reply to LOI</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Name of the Institution</td> <td>Sri Navaa College of Education, Plot/Khasara No 411/1B, Street No.411, Chinnamuthur Village, Muthur Post & City, Kangeyam Taluk, Erode District – 638105, Tamilnadu.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Date of issue of LOI</td> <td>29.11.2016 (LOI issued for 2 units)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Date of Receipt of LOI Reply</td> <td>26.12.2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Date of Staff List approved by the Registrar, TNTEU</td> <td>05.12.2016 <u>The institution has submitted Original Faculty list approved by the Registrar, TNTEU</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>Service Certificate of Principal /Lecturers</td> <td>1. Service certificate of Shri Hussainmiya D.H M.A,M.Ed,M.Phil, was working as a Lecturer in the Sri Siddhivinayaka Rural College of Education from 05.01.2004 to (10.12.2010) = 5 years 11</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No	Particulars	Reply to LOI	1	Name of the Institution	Sri Navaa College of Education, Plot/Khasara No 411/1B, Street No.411, Chinnamuthur Village, Muthur Post & City, Kangeyam Taluk, Erode District – 638105, Tamilnadu.	2	Date of issue of LOI	29.11.2016 (LOI issued for 2 units)	3	Date of Receipt of LOI Reply	26.12.2016	4	Date of Staff List approved by the Registrar, TNTEU	05.12.2016 <u>The institution has submitted Original Faculty list approved by the Registrar, TNTEU</u>	5	Service Certificate of Principal /Lecturers	1. Service certificate of Shri Hussainmiya D.H M.A,M.Ed,M.Phil , was working as a Lecturer in the Sri Siddhivinayaka Rural College of Education from 05.01.2004 to (10.12.2010) = 5 years 11
Sl. No	Particulars	Reply to LOI																		
1	Name of the Institution	Sri Navaa College of Education, Plot/Khasara No 411/1B, Street No.411, Chinnamuthur Village, Muthur Post & City, Kangeyam Taluk, Erode District – 638105, Tamilnadu.																		
2	Date of issue of LOI	29.11.2016 (LOI issued for 2 units)																		
3	Date of Receipt of LOI Reply	26.12.2016																		
4	Date of Staff List approved by the Registrar, TNTEU	05.12.2016 <u>The institution has submitted Original Faculty list approved by the Registrar, TNTEU</u>																		
5	Service Certificate of Principal /Lecturers	1. Service certificate of Shri Hussainmiya D.H M.A,M.Ed,M.Phil , was working as a Lecturer in the Sri Siddhivinayaka Rural College of Education from 05.01.2004 to (10.12.2010) = 5 years 11																		

				<p>months.</p> <p>2. Service certificate of Dr. Hussainmiya D.H M.A,M.Ed,M.Phil, working as a Assistant Professor in the Sri Siddhivinayaka Rural P.G. Department of Education(M.Ed.,) from 11.12.2010 to (10.05.2016) = 5 years 5 months.</p> <p>11 years 4 months.</p>												
		Total Experience of the Principal -														
	6	Original FDRs for Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs towards Endowment fund and Reserve fund in Joint A/C for 5 years submitted	Original FDRs of Rs, 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs in Joint A/c. with the Regional Director, NCTE for a period of 5 years is submitted.	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FDR of Rs. 5 lakhs</th> <th>FDR of Rs. 7 lakhs</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Name of the Bank</td> <td>State Bank of India</td> <td>State Bank of India</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FDR No / A/c No.</td> <td>36322526690</td> <td>36322527093</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Period</td> <td>17.12.2016 to 17.12.2023</td> <td>17.12.2016 to 17.12.2023</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		FDR of Rs. 5 lakhs	FDR of Rs. 7 lakhs	Name of the Bank	State Bank of India	State Bank of India	FDR No / A/c No.	36322526690	36322527093	Period	17.12.2016 to 17.12.2023	17.12.2016 to 17.12.2023
	FDR of Rs. 5 lakhs	FDR of Rs. 7 lakhs														
Name of the Bank	State Bank of India	State Bank of India														
FDR No / A/c No.	36322526690	36322527093														
Period	17.12.2016 to 17.12.2023	17.12.2016 to 17.12.2023														
	7	Web Site Address	www.srinavaaedu.in													
	8	Programmes considered for composite status	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Code</th> <th>Course</th> <th>Intake</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>SRCAPP3225</td> <td>B.Ed</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SRCAPP3226</td> <td>B.Sc.B.Ed</td> <td>100</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Code	Course	Intake	SRCAPP3225	B.Ed	100	SRCAPP3226	B.Sc.B.Ed	100				
Code	Course	Intake														
SRCAPP3225	B.Ed	100														
SRCAPP3226	B.Sc.B.Ed	100														
		<p>Remarks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff Strength is as per norms is 1+15. However the distribution of staff for perspective paper is not adequate. They have showed only 2 staff for perspective in Education. Educational qualification certificates of Principal and staffs are not 														

		<p>submitted.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Website is functioning, but the details of Teacher education (B.Ed & B.Sc.,B.Ed) courses details and the details of Infrastructure are not uploaded in the website. <p>The Committee considered the LOI reply along with relevant documents on 26.12.2016 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Faculty list is examined. Ms. Bharathi(M.Com) should be replaced by Asst. Prof.(Pedagogy: Phy. Sc.). Issue Notice accordingly. 															
22	<p>SRCAPP14805</p> <p>B.Sc.B.Ed</p> <p>CKS College of Education, Vellore, Tamilnadu</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>LETTER OF INTENT Reply (2016-17 application)</u></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No</th> <th>Particulars</th> <th>Reply to LOI</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Name of the Institution</td> <td>CKS College of Education, No. 86/2-4, Plot No. 82/4, 25AC, Kakkathoppu Village, Chettikuppam Post, Gudiyatham Taluk & City, Vellore District-635806, Tamilnadu</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Date of issue of LOI</td> <td>05.04.2016 (LOI issued for 2 units)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Date of Receipt of LOI Reply</td> <td>15.12.2016 & 21.12.2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Date of Staff List approved by the Registrar, TNTEU</td> <td>27.10.2016 <u>The institution has submitted photocopy of staff list approved by the Registrar, TNTEU</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No	Particulars	Reply to LOI	1	Name of the Institution	CKS College of Education, No. 86/2-4, Plot No. 82/4, 25AC, Kakkathoppu Village, Chettikuppam Post, Gudiyatham Taluk & City, Vellore District-635806, Tamilnadu	2	Date of issue of LOI	05.04.2016 (LOI issued for 2 units)	3	Date of Receipt of LOI Reply	15.12.2016 & 21.12.2016	4	Date of Staff List approved by the Registrar, TNTEU	27.10.2016 <u>The institution has submitted photocopy of staff list approved by the Registrar, TNTEU</u>
Sl. No	Particulars	Reply to LOI															
1	Name of the Institution	CKS College of Education, No. 86/2-4, Plot No. 82/4, 25AC, Kakkathoppu Village, Chettikuppam Post, Gudiyatham Taluk & City, Vellore District-635806, Tamilnadu															
2	Date of issue of LOI	05.04.2016 (LOI issued for 2 units)															
3	Date of Receipt of LOI Reply	15.12.2016 & 21.12.2016															
4	Date of Staff List approved by the Registrar, TNTEU	27.10.2016 <u>The institution has submitted photocopy of staff list approved by the Registrar, TNTEU</u>															

		<p>5 Service Certificate of Principal /Lecturers</p>	<p>Submitted</p> <p>1) HTP College of Education- 17th July 2002 to 31st March 2008 (5 years and 8 months)</p> <p>2) C.K.S Educational Trust – 01st April 2008 to 31st August 2012 (4 years and 4 months)</p>			
		<p>6 Original FDRs for Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs towards Endowment fund and Reserve fund in Joint A/C for 5 years submitted</p>	<p>The institution has submitted FDRs for Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs towards Endowment fund and Reserve fund in <u>joint account for 2 years.</u></p>			
		<p>7 Web Site Address</p>	<p>www.ckseducation.org</p>			
		<p>8 Programmes considered for composite status</p>	<p>Code</p>	<p>Course</p>	<p>l n t a k e 1 0 0</p>	
			<p>APS08856</p>	<p>B.Ed</p>		
		<p>Remarks:</p>				

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Educational qualification certificates of staffs are not submitted. • Website is functioning, but the details of existing courses and approved faculty list not yet uploaded in the website. • The institution has submitted FDRs for Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs towards Endowment fund and Reserve fund in <u>joint account for 2 years.</u> • As per photocopy of approved staff list the institution has not appointed one faculty i.e, Performing Arts (Music/dance/theater). Two faculty only in Perspective-Education against stipulated 4 posts. • FDRs in original is submitted for only 2 years instead of 5 years as per Regulation. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Faculty list is examined. 2. Ms. Ranjani, Asst. Prof.(M.Com) should be replaced by an Asst. Prof.(Persp.) 3. Asst. Prof.(MPA) required. 4. One more faculty in Perspectives to be added. 5. FDRs are given. 6. Issue Notice accordingly. 		
23	SRCAPP3273 B.Ed 2 Units	Sl. No.	Particulars	Reply to LOI
		1	Name of the Institution	Vidyadayini College of Education, Plot No.2206/1, 2106/1, 2206/1, K R Nagar, Harihar, Davangere-577601, Karnataka

Vidyadayini College Education, Davangere, Karnataka	of	2	Date of Issue of LOI	29.11.2016				
		3	Date of receipt of LOI	19.12.2016 / 20.12.2016				
		4	Date of staff list approved by the University	Faculty list approved by the Registrar, Davanagere University, vide letter dated 16.12.2016 is submitted				
		5	Service certificate of Principal/ Lecturers	<p>Dr. Lingaraj G.Pujar worked as a Lecturer in Soniya Education Trust from 01.01.2006 to 31.12.2007 and also worked as a Principal from 01.01.2008 to 01.12.2012.</p> <p>Also worked as a Principal in Al-Ameen Educational Association's B.Ed College as a Principal from 03.12.2012 to 14.04.2014.</p> <p>And also worked as a Principal in Chandragiri College of Education for Woman from 15.04.2014 to 31.12.2015.</p>				
		6	Original FDRs for Rs, 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs in Joint A/c for 5 years		FDRs of Rs.	10,14,964/-	FDRs of Rs.	7,24,974/-
					Name of the Bank	Karnataka Bank LTD	Karnataka Bank LTD	
					FDR No.	315581	315581	
					Period	22.08.2016 – 22.08.2021	22.08.2016 – 22.08.2021	
		7	Website address	www.vidyadayinicoe.org			Website is functioning	
			Programmes considered for	Code	Course	Intake		

		considered for composite status	----	B.Com	60
		<p>The Committee considered the LOI reply along with relevant documents on 19.12.2016 & 20.12.2016 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Faculty list is examined. 2. Asst. Profs.(Persp.) have to be appointed in three subjects(Phil./Psy./Sociology). 3. Issue Notice accordingly. 			
24	<p>SRCAPP3504</p> <p>D.El.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Grapes College of Teacher Education, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Ramachandra Educational Society, Plot No. S.No.264/2, Main Road, Pongaturu Village and Post Office, Koyyalagudem Taluk, Ponguturu City, West Godavari District-534312, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Grapes College of Elementary Education, Plot/Khasara No: S.No. 264/2, Main Road, Pongutur Village and Post, Koyyalagudem Taluk, Pongaturu City, West Godavari District-534312, Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed course of two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 19/06/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 24/06/2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 07/07/2015, followed by Reminder I on 06/10/2015 and Reminder II on 10/12/2015.</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to</i></p>			

		<p><i>commence the course”.</i></p> <p>The application was processed and placed before SRC in its 296th meeting held on 15 -16th December 2015, the committee considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Land Usage Certificate, Encumbrance Certificate and Building Completion Certificate to be submitted2. Affidavit to be submitted3. Blue Print of the Building Plan to be submitted4. Original Fixed Deposit Receipts to be submitted5. Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building document6. Cause Composite Inspection <p>As per the decision of SRC inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 12.01.2016. The Inspection of the institution was conducted on 30.01.2016 and VT report along with documents received on 09.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 302nd meeting held on 09th-11th February, 2016 considered the vt report and all other relevant documents of the institution and it has decided to issue SCN for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. BP is not approved by competent authority.2. Built-up area details are not given. <p>Before issuance of SCN the institution has submitted its written representation along with relevant documents on 25.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 305th meeting held on 25th-27th February, 2016 considered the matter and it has decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. BP received.2. Built-up area is adequate.1. Issue LOI for B.Ed (1 unit)2. Issue LOI for D.El.Ed (1 unit)3. FDR's in joint account should be furnished.4. Only if these are given on or before 03.03.2016 can issue of
--	--	---

		<p>Formal Recognition w.e.f 2016-17 academic year be possible.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, LOI issued to the institution on 26.02.2016.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 24.03.2016 along with documents. The letter stated as follows:</p> <p><i>“we are in receipt of LOI vide letter dated 26.02.2016 for D.El.Ed (1 unit) we had requested for 2 Units for D.El.Ed as per earlier on online submission, as we are having sufficient built-up area 5000 Sq.Ft which is in all accepts sufficient to run 2 units D.El.Ed and 1 unit of B.Ed. In this aspect we are surprise to receive LOI for 1 unit only for D.El.Ed. We are attaching the building plan and BCC duly certified by Government competent authority. We request you to kindly consider this case issuance of 2 units of LOI for D.El.Ed”.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 308th meeting held on 28th-30th March, 2016 considered the request letter dated 24.03.2016 and it has decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Facilities available are adequate of accommodate 2 units. VT Inspection report also confirms this position. Their request is accepted to sanction 2 units of D.El.Ed.2. Issue a revised order. <p>As per the decision of SRC, revised LOI was issued to the institution on 13.04.2016. The institution has not replied to the LOI even after the stipulated period of two months (Till date).</p> <p>The SRC in its 320th meeting held on 19th & 20th September, 2016 considered the non submission of LOI reply and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words, they have not suffered, any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so
--	--	--

		<p>that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 13.04.2016.</p> <p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, intimation letter was sent to the institution on 07.10.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution submitted LOI reply along with relevant documents on 02.01.2017 and 23.01.2017.</p> <p>The Committee considered the LOI reply along with relevant documents on 2.1.2017 & 23.1.2017 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Faculty list is in order. 2. Issue FR for D.El.Ed. (2 units) w.e.f. 2017-18.
25	<p>SRCAPP3502</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>Grapes College of Education, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Ramachandra Educational Society, Plot No. S.No.264/2, Main Road, Pongaturu Village and Post Office, Koyyalagudem Taluk, Pongaturu City, West Godavari District-534312, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Grapes College of Education, Plot/Khasara No: S.No. 264/2, Main Road, Pongatur Village and Post, Koyyalagudem Taluk, Pongaturu City, West Godavari District-534312, Andhra Pradesh for offering B.Ed course of two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 19/06/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 24/06/2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 06.07.2015 followed by Reminder I on 06.10.2015 and Reminder II on 10.12.2015.</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations 2014 for processing of</p>

		<p>applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><i>“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course”.</i></p> <p>The application was processed and placed before SRC in its 296th meeting held on 15 -16th December 2015, the committee considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Land Usage Certificate, Encumbrance Certificate and Building Completion Certificate to be submitted2. Affidavit to be submitted3. Blue Print of the Building Plan to be submitted4. Original Fixed Deposit Receipts to be submitted5. Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building document6. Cause Composite Inspection <p>As per the decision of SRC inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 12.01.2016. The Inspection of the institution was conducted on 30.01.2016 and VT report along with documents received on 09.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 305th meeting held on 25th – 27th February, 2016 considered the VT report and decided to “issue LOI for B.Ed (1 unit).”</p> <p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC LOI was issued on 26.02.2016. The institution submitted LOI reply along with documents on 02.05.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 313th meeting held on 02nd & 03rd May, 2016 considered the LOI reply and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This case is eligible for clearance for issue of Formal Recognition for B.Ed(1 unit) w.e.f. 2016-17.2. But, because no reply to the LOI has been received in the linked case (no. 2540), this case has to be held in abeyance.
--	--	---

		<p>Remarks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SRC has wrongly mentioned D.El.Ed course No. 2540, while D.El.Ed course No. is SRCAPP3504. • LOI reply for D.El.Ed (SRCAPP3504) course is received on 02.01.2017 and 23.01.2017. Separate agenda for D.El.Ed is put up for this meeting. <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the 313th SRC meeting, when this case was considered, the SRCAPP No. of the allied case was wrongly stated as 2540. It should be corrected to read 3504. 2. Since FR has been granted in the D.El.Ed. case(no.3504), FR can be issued as already decided in this case also for B.Ed.(1 unit) w.e.f. 2017-18.
26	<p>SRCAPP14220</p> <p>B.P.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>Vijaya Sarada College of Physical Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Nalanda Educational Society, Plot No. 87 2B, Siddharthnagar Road, Kantepudi Village, Kattavaripalem P.O, Sattenapalli Taluk and City, Guntur District-522438, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Vijaya Sarada College of Physical Education, Plot No. 87/2B, Kantepudi Village, Sattenapalli Taluk & city, Kattavaripalem P.O, Guntur District-522438, Andhra Pradesh for offering B.P.Ed course of two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 28.06.2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 13.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 21.07.2015, followed by Reminder I on 08.12.2015 and Reminder II on 14.12.2015.</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of</p>

		<p>applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><i>“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course”.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 296th meeting held on 15th- 16th December, 2015 considered the documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and it has decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. BP & EC is to be submitted.2. Original FDRs to be submitted.3. Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents.4. Cause Composite Inspection. <p>As per the decision of SRC inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 16.01.2016. The Inspection of the institution was conducted on 28.01.2016 and VT report along with documents received on 02.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 301st meeting held on 05th& 06th February, 2016 the committee considered the VT report and all relevant documents and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Issue SCN for BP not issued by competent authority. <p>As per the decision of SRC Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 17.03.2016. The institution has submitted its written representation on 05.03.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 308th meeting held on 28th – 30th March, 2016 considered the show cause notice reply and it has decided to issue LOI for 1 unit.</p> <p>Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 05.04.2016. The institution has not submitted LOI reply after stipulated period and till date.</p> <p>The SRC in its 320th meeting held on 19th & 20th September, 2016 considered</p>
--	--	---

		<p>the matter and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words, they have not suffered, any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 05.04.2016. <p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC letter was sent on 07.10.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution submitted LOI reply along with relevant documents on 28.12.2016.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Sl. No.</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Particulars</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Reply to LOI</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Name of the Institution</td> <td>Vijaya Sarada College of Physical Education, Plot No. 87/2B, Kantepudi Village, Sattenapalli Taluk & city, Kattavaripalem P.O, Guntur District-522438, Andhra Pradesh</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Date of Issue of LOI</td> <td>05.04.2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Date of receipt of LOI reply</td> <td>28.12.2016</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Date of faculty list approved by the University</td> <td>09.09.2016 Approved by Registrar, Acharya Nagarjuna University.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Service certificate of Principal</td> <td>Submitted</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">6</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Original FDRs for Rs, 5 lakhs</td> <td>Not submitted</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No.	Particulars	Reply to LOI	1	Name of the Institution	Vijaya Sarada College of Physical Education, Plot No. 87/2B, Kantepudi Village, Sattenapalli Taluk & city, Kattavaripalem P.O, Guntur District-522438, Andhra Pradesh	2	Date of Issue of LOI	05.04.2016	3	Date of receipt of LOI reply	28.12.2016	4	Date of faculty list approved by the University	09.09.2016 Approved by Registrar, Acharya Nagarjuna University.	5	Service certificate of Principal	Submitted	6	Original FDRs for Rs, 5 lakhs	Not submitted
Sl. No.	Particulars	Reply to LOI																					
1	Name of the Institution	Vijaya Sarada College of Physical Education, Plot No. 87/2B, Kantepudi Village, Sattenapalli Taluk & city, Kattavaripalem P.O, Guntur District-522438, Andhra Pradesh																					
2	Date of Issue of LOI	05.04.2016																					
3	Date of receipt of LOI reply	28.12.2016																					
4	Date of faculty list approved by the University	09.09.2016 Approved by Registrar, Acharya Nagarjuna University.																					
5	Service certificate of Principal	Submitted																					
6	Original FDRs for Rs, 5 lakhs	Not submitted																					

		and Rs. 7 lakhs in Joint A/c for 5 years			
		7. Web Site Address	www.ngi.ac.in (as per initial application)		
		8. Programmes considered for composite status	Code	Course	Intake
			SRCAPP14223 SRCAPP14224	D.El.Ed B.Ed	Proposed course
		<p>The Committee considered the representation of the institution dated 18.12.2016 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Faculty list is seen. 2. One Asst. Prof. (Full time or Part-time) is required to be appointed. 3. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly. 4. In the linked case of D.El.Ed.(SRCAPP14223), kept in abeyance, FR can be issued after 14220 case is cleared for FR. 			
27	SRCAPP2249 B.Ed 1 Unit Azad Education Society, Shimoga Karnataka	<p>Azad Education Society, M.K.K. Road Doddapete, Shimoga Distrist-577201, Karnataka had applied for grant of recognition to Azad Education Society, Plot /Khasra No. 392/590/496 Kumbaragundi M.K.K. Road Doddapete, Shimoga Distrist-577201, Karnataka for B.Ed course of two years duration under section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 05.05.2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 13.05.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation</p>			

		<p>of State Govt. was sent on 10.05.2015, followed by Reminder- I on 04.07.2015 and Reminder –II on 08.11.2016.</p> <p>Sub clause 3 of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 read as under:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body.</i> <p>The SRC, in its 292nd meeting held during 29th& 30th September, 2015 considered the matter and on careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other related documents, decided to issue 'Show Cause Notice for 'Rejection'' of the application on the following ground:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>'Non-Submission of NOC issued by the affiliating body along with hard copy of the application'</i> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 05.12.2015.</p> <p>The SRC, in its 297th meeting held during 27th& 28th December, 2015 considered the matter and on careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other related documents, decided to reject the application on the following ground:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Delayed submission of NOC, Cannot be condoned. Reject.</i> <p>As per the decision of SRC a Rejection Order was issued to the institution on 22.01.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 300th meeting held during 29th – 31st January 2016, vide dated 31.01.2016 and decided as under:-</p> <p><i>"In the backdrop of representations received from applicant –institutions about inappropriateness of the requirement to submit NOC from the Affiliating Body, the Committee considered the request for</i></p>
--	--	---

		<p><i>reconsideration of all cases on this ground in this connection, all related legal and other implications all well as the irreparable difficulties caused to applicant-institutions were considered. The Committee also reckoned with the possible scope for vexations litigations likely to arise on this account. Keeping in mind the over –all public interest, the Committee revised its earlier stand to reject all cases of non-submission or delayed submission of NOCs, and decided to reopen and process all such rejected cases by accepting NOCs even now irrespective of their dates of issue. “</i></p> <p>SRC in its 303rd meeting held on 15th February, 2015 considered the application of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <p>“ 1. Contiguity with existing D.El.Ed 2. Cause Composite Inspection 3. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC , composite inspection of the institution was conducted on 24.02.2016 and Visiting Team Report was received by this office on 25.02.2016.</p> <p>SRC in its 305th meeting held during 25th to 27th February,2016 considered the matter and decided to issue Show Cause Notice on the following grounds:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• BCC is not in format and not issued by competent authority <p>Based on website information, the Institution submitted reply on 15.03.2016.</p> <p>SRC in its 308th meeting held during 28th to 30th March,2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Issue LOI for B.Ed (1 unit)• FDRs in joint account should be furnished• Only if these are given on or before 02.05.2016 can issue of formal Recognition w.e.f 2016-17 academic year be possible.
--	--	---

	<p>As per the decision of SRC, LOI was issued to the institution on 04.04.2016. The institution submitted reply to the LOI on 09.06.2016.</p> <p>SRC in its 317th meeting held during 28th to 30th July,2016 considered the matter and decided to issue Show Cause Notice on the following grounds:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The faculty list is not approved by Registrar,2. Principal does not have Ph.d Degree.3. Service Certificate of Principal is required4. Website is not functional <p>As per decision of SRC, a show cause notice was issued to institution on 29.09.2016. The institution has submitted LOI notice reply on 10.10.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 323rd meeting held during 16th – 18th November, 2016 considered the reply of the institution and decided as under:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">“They have asked for time to reply. Give time till 31.12.2016.”</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC a letter was issued to the institution with request to submit the reply on or before 31.12.2016.</p> <p>Accordingly, the institution has submitted reply dated 31.12.2016 on 09.01.2017 is as under:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">“With reference to the above I bring to your kind notice that we are searching Ph.D Principal for B.Ed College by advertising the post in state papers and personally contact. But the candidate having Ph.D were asking us to sanction order of B.Ed College issued by your office. If we appoint Ph.D.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Principal without sanction order we have to pay salary for 5 to 6 months without running college. It is also very burden to us.</p>
--	--

		<p>Hence I request you good self kindly grant recognition to start B.Ed course. Soon after the receipt of recognition order we will appoint the qualified Principal having Ph.D and 10 years service candidate . Kindly consider our request and sanction for opening B.Ed course.”</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We have already given sufficient time. 2. We cannot wait indefinitely. 3. Reject. Return FDRs if any. 4. Close the file .
28	<p>SRCAPP14781</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Shri Kottal Basaveshwara Bharatiya Shikshana Samiti, Gulbarga, Karnataka</p>	<p><u>SRCAPP14781</u></p> <p>Shri Kottal Basaveshwara Bharatiya, Shikshana Samiti Sedam, DBR, Compound Sedam, Gulbarga -585222, Karnataka has applied for grant of recognition to Shri Kottal Basaveshwara Bharatiya Shikshana Samiti, Plot/khasara No.853/3, Sedam Village & Post office, Sedam Taluk & City, Gulbarga District 585222, Karnataka for offering B.Ed course of 2 years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on 30.06.2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 10.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for</p>

29	APS04824 D.El.Ed 1 Unit Mahayogini Matha Manikeswari Pre- primary School Teachers Training Institute, Gulbarga, Karnataka	<p>recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 16.07.2015 followed by Reminder I 08.10.2015 and Reminder II on 15.12.2015.</p> <p>The Sub Clause (7) of Clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course”.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 297th meeting held during 27th -28th December, 2015 considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sy.Nos in BP, BCC and Title deed do not tally with Sy.Nos in LUC and EC. 2. D.El.Ed is in some other location. They have cited other liberal Education programmes to claim composite character. But, no proof is given. 3. Built-up area is inadequate. 4. Cause inspection. Ask VT to collect proof of liberal education programmes being offered. <p>As per the decision of SRC, a composite inspection was conducted on 03.02.2016 and the Visiting team report was received on 05.02.2016.</p> <p>SRC in its 302nd meeting held during 9th to 11th February, 2016 considered the VT report and other relevant documents and decided as under:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1. Inadequate built up area. 2. Issue SCN accordingly</p> <p>Based on website information , the institution submitted replies on 15.02.2016 and 18.02.2016.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 304th Meeting held during 19th & 20th</p>
----	---	--

	<p>February, 2016 considered the reply of the institution and other relevant documents and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Issue LOI for B.Ed(1 unit)2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.3. .Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible. <p>As per the decision of SRC , LOI was issued to the institution on 22.02.2016.The institution submitted reply on 02.05.2016</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“With reference to above cited subject hereby I undersigned submitting Endowment fund of rupees 5 lakhs and Reserve fund of Rs 7 lakhs into joint account for five years in a SBH SEDAM FDR No.1. 556883 2. 556884 and I also bring to kind your notice that our B.Ed college staff list is under process at primary approval authority ,i.e Gulbarga University kalaburagi, hence hereby request you to grant some time for please accept and oblige”</i></p> <p>SRC in its 313th Meeting held during 2nd and 3rd May, 2016 considered the request of the institution and decided as under :-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“The request is accepted .Time is given till 30.06.2016 subject to the understanding that this case may not mature for clearance for 2106-17 before 02.05.2016 which is the extended time limit prescribed by the Supreme Court for clearance of cases w.e.f 2016-17”</i></p> <p>The decision of SRC was conveyed to the institution vide this office letter dated 02.05.2016. On 30.06.2016, the institution submitted reply to the Letter of Intent,</p> <p>The SRC in its 323rd meeting held during 16th to 18th November, 2016 considered the LOI reply and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The accommodation (built-up area) available at the new site is not adequate for B.Ed (1 unit) and D.El.Ed (1 unit)2. If shifting of D.El.Ed is not considered, then the built-up area will be
--	---

adequate for B.Ed (1unit). but, then, B.Ed will be hit by the 'stand-alone' clause.

3. Issue SCN accordingly and ask them to indicate how they propose to meet this objection.

As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 01.12.2016. The institution has submitted written representation on 15.12.2016.

APS04824

Mahayogini Matha Manikeswari Pre-primary School Teachers Training Institute, Sedam Gulbarga District -585222, Karnataka was granted recognition for offering D.El.Ed course on 14.05.2007 with a condition to shift to its own premises within three years from the date of issue of revised order(in case the institution is started in rented premises).

As per the VT report, the institution is functioning in its own building .Sale deed dated 20thMarch, 1978 in the name of Shri Kottal Basweshwar Bhartiya Shikshan Samiti Sedam at Sy. **No. 957/1 ,Sedam Taluk** is submitted along with the application .An RTC indicating that the land in **Sy.No. 957/1** is in the name of Shri Kottal Basweshwar Bhartiya Shikshan Samiti is also submitted along with the application made for D.Ed course in the year 2005.

Further, the Society applied for grant of recognition of B.Ed course (SRCAPP14781) at Sy.No.**853/3, Sedam** for the year 2016-17.The application was processed and composite inspection of the institution was conducted on 03.02.2016. **As per the inspection report received by this office on 05.02.2016 ,D.Ed and B.Ed courses are offered in the premises. As per the BCC , the building is at Sy.No. 853/3,Udagi Road, Town Municipality, Sedam.** As per the online application, the institution is also offering courses in commerce, arts, management , science , law etc.

It was observed from the records that on 28.04.2016, the institution submitted a processing fees of Rs. 1,50,000/- stating as under :-

“ I , the under signed here applied for shifting of Sri Kottala Basaveshwara Bharatiya Shikshana Samiti Sanchalita , Mahayogi Mata Manikeshwari Primary School Trainers Training Centre (D.Ed) Sedam District ,Gulbarga APS04824, Sedam building and also paid the processing fee of amount of Rs. 1,50,000/- through demand draft D.D.No. 020432, dated 28.01.2016.

Kindly accept the same and do the needful.”

The LOI was issued in respect of the new application, SRCAPP14781 on 22.02.2016. The institution requested for more time to submit the reply SRC in its 313th meeting considered the request of the institution and decided to give time till 30.06.2016 subject to the understanding that the case will not mature for clearance for the year 2016-17.

In view of the processing fee submitted by the institution for the existing D.Ed course and composite inspection already conducted along with the B.Ed application (SRCAPP14781), the matter was placed before SRC for its consideration and decision. SRC in its 315th meeting held during 17th to 18th June , 2016 considered the letter of the institution and decided as under :-

- “1.This is a case of ‘shifting’ to a premises where a new B.Ed. Course has been sanctioned.*
- 2.The B.Ed case is held up for ‘LOI reply’ before 30 June 2016.*
- 3.If the built-up area in the new premises is adequate to accommodate both the courses-ie.,B.Ed (1 unit) and D.El.Ed (1 unit), then, permission to shift can be given without requiring a fresh inspection.*
- 4.Perusal of the B.Ed case file shows that built-up area is adequate only for B.Ed.*
- 5.Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.”*

As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 04.07.2016. On 18.07.2016, the institution submitted reply to the Show Cause Notice.

The SRC in its 323rd meeting held during 16th to 18th November, 2016 considered the reply to the SCN and decided as under:-

1. The accommodation (built-up area) available at the new site is not adequate for B.Ed (1 unit) and D.El.Ed (1 unit)
2. If shifting of D.El.Ed is not considered, then the built-up area will be adequate for B.Ed (1unit). But, then, B.Ed will be hit by the 'stand-alone' clause.
3. And directed to issue Show Cause Notice accordingly and ask them to indicate how they propose to meet his objection.

As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 01.12.2016. The institution has submitted a written representation on 15.12.2016.

The Committee considered the representation of the institution dated 15.12.2016 and decided as under:-

1. **They want to start B.Ed(1 unit)**
2. **They want to shift D.El.Ed to the new B.Ed site.**
3. **BCC is not approved by competent authority. But, built-up area of 2100 sq.mtrs shown is adequate for B.Ed(1 unit) + D.El.Ed(1 unit)**
4. **Issue LOI for B.Ed (1 unit) after getting approved BCC.**
5. **Hold the D.El.Ed shifting case in abeyance until final decision in the B.Ed case.**

30	<p>SRCAPP2407</p> <p>B.A.B.Ed- 1 Unit</p> <p>B.Sc.B.Ed 1 Unit</p> <p>St. Joseph College of Education, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>St. Joseph Educational Society, Plot No33, Spandanangar Road, Rachanapalli Village & Post Office, Anantapuram Taluk & City, Ananthapuram District-515004, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to St. Joseph College of Education, Survey No.33, Plot No.33, Rachanapalli Village & Post Office, Ananthapuram Taluk & City, Anantapur District-515004, Andhra Pradesh for offering BA.B.Ed- BSc . B.Ed course of four years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 26/05/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 01/06/2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 12/06/2015 followed by Reminder I on 13/10/2015 and Reminder II on 05/12/2015.</p> <p>The Sub Section (7) of Section 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course”.</i></p> <p>The documents were processed and placed before SRC in its 296th meeting held on 15th & 16th December, 2015. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Applicant to choose B.A.B.Ed / B.Sc,B.Ed and specify whether 1 or 2 units is required 2) Original Fixed Deposit Receipts to be submitted 3) Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents 4) Cause Composite inspection. <p>Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 12.01.2016.</p>
----	---	--

		<p>The Composite inspection was conducted on 04.02.2016 and visiting team report received in this office on 12.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 304th meeting held on 19th – 20th February, 2016 considered the VT report and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Issue LOI for B.Sc B.Ed (2 units)2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible. <p>Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 22.02.2016. The institution submitted its reply on 02.03.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 306th meeting held on 01st to 04th March, 2016 considered the LOI reply and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The Staff list has the following deficiencies:<ol style="list-style-type: none">(i).Shri. V.K. Lingamma, Principal, does not have Ph.D. and the required experience.(ii).Shri. L. Vikram Kumar, Lect.(Sc), does not have the required qualification.(iii).Dr. N. Tippaiah, Lect (Edn) does not have the required qualification.(iv).Dr. S. Pratap, Lect(Edn), does not have the required qualification.2. Designation of staff should be shown as prof/Associate Prof/Assit.Prof and not as Prof/reader/Lecturer.4. Issue SCN accordingly. <p>Before issuance of Show Cause Notice, as per website information, the institution submitted reply on 22.03.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 308th meeting held during 28th to 30th March, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-</p>
--	--	--

		<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Revised staff list approved by the affiliating body is required.2. Issue SCN accordingly. <p>Before issuance of Show Cause Notice, as per website information, the institution submitted reply on 02.05.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 313th meeting held during 2nd & 3rd May, 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• This case (for B.A. B.Ed) cannot now be considered for 2016-17. Process separately and put up in the next meeting. <p>The institution sent an email dated 29.09.2016, stated as under:</p> <p>“As per the reference we submit the following few lines for your favorable consideration necessary action.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. We applied for B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed for the academic year 2016-17.2. NCTE SRC has already given LOI for B.Sc.B.Ed Course.3. WE requesting the NCTE to approve the B.A.B.Ed Course, since we are having sufficient accommodation and other infrastructure facilities to run the course and the affiliating university has given No Objection Certificate to run the course.4. The visiting team of NCTE already recommended to run B.A.B.Ed course.5. We have already obtained LOI for B.Sc.Bed., (2 units). <u>Please consider B.Ec.B.Ed (1 Unit only and B.A.B.Ed., 1 Unit only). The approved staff list issued by the affiliating university concerned B.A.B.Ed., 1 unit and B.Sc.B.Ed 1 unit submitted to your office (original Copy).</u>6. We have already furnished original FDRs in Joint Account to your office. <p>Based on the above observations and the material submitted to your office we request you to consider and approve to sanction B.A.B.Ed., course one unit and B.Sc.B.Ed., one unit for the academic Year 2016-17.”</p>
--	--	---

	<p>As per the decision the application was processed and placed before SRC in its 322nd meeting held on 20th – 21st October, 2016. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Their request for changing B.Sc.B.Ed (2 units) to B.Sc. B.Ed (1 unit) and B.A.B.Ed (1 unit) is accepted.2. They have not yet responded to the LOI issued for B.Sc. B.Ed (2 units)3. Issue an amended LOI for B.Sc. B.Ed(1 unit) and B.A.B.Ed (1 unit)4. The same Faculty List of 1+16 can be considered. We had pointed out 4 deficiencies earlier. Ask them to remove the deficiencies and reply. <p>As per the decision of SRC, LOI was issued to the institution on 09.11.2016. The institution submitted a reply to the LOI on 21.11.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 325th meeting held on 19th & 20th December, 2016 considered the LOI reply and decided to issue show cause notice for the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Service Certificate of Principal not given.2. One Asst. Prof (Psy or Philosophy) is required.3. Built-up area required is only 4000 sq.mtrs (3000+500+500). They have 4320 sqmts.4. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly. <p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC show cause notice was sent on 20.01.2017.</p> <p>Now, the institution submitted its reply along with relevant documents on 12.01.2017.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Show Cause Notice reply to the LOI dated 12.1.2017 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Reply to LOI notice is satisfactory.
--	---

		2. Issue F.R for B.A.B.Ed (1 unit) and B.Sc.B.Ed (1 unit) w.e.f 2017-18
31	<p>APS06049</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>St. Aloysius Institute of Education, Mangalore, Karnataka</p>	<p>Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society, Mangalore, Karnataka had submitted an application to the SRC, NCTE for grant of recognition to St. Aloysius Institute of Education, P.B.No.720, Kudumal Ranga Rao Road, Kodiyalvail, Mangalore-560003, Karnataka and was granted recognition on 30.03.2007 for B.Ed. course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students with a condition to shift to its own premises/building within three years from the date of recognition (if started in rented premises).</p> <p>The Secretary, MJES vide letter dated 8.8.2012 received by this office on 10.08.2012 has requested permission for shifting of the B.Ed. course to a new building. The Secretary has submitted a Demand Draft No. 002525 dated 7.8.2012 of Central Bank of India for Rs.50,000/- .</p> <p>The SRC in its 232nd meeting held on 29th to 31st August 2012 has considered the reply of the institution dt.08.08.2012 and all other relevant documents and decided to <u>cause composite inspection for shifting of premises</u> under NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.</p> <p>As per direction of SRC the inspection of the institution is carried on 19.10.2012.</p> <p>The SRC in its 237th meeting held on 5th – 6th January 2013 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE Act for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) As per Sale Deed the name of the Society is Karnataka Jesuit Educational Society whereas as per recognition order , the Society name is Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society. 2) As per land documents, only 2145 sq.mtrs land area is available on the Sy. Nos mentioned in all documents. 3) Sale Deed, Affidavit and LUC the Society name is Karnataka Jesuit

		<p>Educational Society where as in other documents like BCC, Building Plan, LUC, is in the name of Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society. It needs to be clarify.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">4) Multi-Purpose hall is 1530 sq.ft as against NCTE Norms of area of 2000 sq.ft.5) BCC is not approved by Competent Government Authority.6) Original FDRs of Rs. 5.00 lakhs and Rs. 3.00 lakhs is not submitted.7) In the Non-Encumbrance Certificate name of the institution/Society is not mentioned.8) Staff profile(s) are not submitted in the prescribed format in original. Staff list is not approved by the affiliating body.9) Principal is not having Ph.D and hence not qualified as per NCTE Norms.10) The Lecturer(s) in fine arts is not qualified as per NCTE Norms and Standards as they are not having Master Degree in Fine Arts. <p>Accordingly, a Show Cause notice was issued on 06.03.2013. The institution had submitted a written representation on 01.04.2013</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 245th Meeting held on 19th – 21st May, 2013 considered the reply of the institution and decided to reject the proposal of the institution for shifting vide their letter dated 08.08.2012.</p> <p>Further the Committee considered the written reply of the institution vide their letter dt. 01.04.2013 on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) As per Sale Deed the name of the Society is Karnataka Jesuit Educational Society whereas as per recognition order, the Society name is Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society. As per NCTE regulations, 2009, the land and building should be registered in the name of the Society/Trust/institution.2) In the reply, to the M.P.hall having 1530 sq.ft instead of 2000 sq.ft. as per regulations, the institution has submitted a photocopy of the building plan of St. Aloysius Higher Primary school at Sy. No/ 210/P2 RS.No.269. From the documents submitted, it is observed that B.Ed College is offered in a building where many other courses are offered. As per NCTE regulations 2009, the Teacher Education Course shall not be allowed to have any other institution, within its demarcated area or building and shall
--	--	---

		<p>not have any other courses in the building.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">3) Building completion certificate approved by Government Engineer in the prescribed format is not submitted.4) Staff list approved by the Mangalore University is submitted by the College. The year & date of approval is not mentioned in the Mangalore University staff list. As per the staff available, only One Principal and 6 lecturers are available. As per NCTE regulations, 2009, One Principal and 7 lecturers should be appointed as per NCTE regulations, 2009 for offering B.Ed. course.5) Lecturer in Fine Arts is not approved by Mangalore University. <p>Based on the above points the committee decided to withdraw the recognition of the B.Ed course run by the St. Aloysius Institute of Education, P B No. 720, Kudumal Ranga Rao Road, Kodiyalbil, Mangalore-560 003, Karnataka from the academic year 2013-14, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed course, if any, to complete their course.</p> <p>But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.</p> <p>The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly.</p> <p>Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, the recognition of the institution was withdrawn vide order no. F.No.APS06049/B.Ed/KA.2013-14/52656 dated 10.07.2013.</p> <p>In the Meantime the institution had filed an appeal under Section 18 of NCTE Act, before the appellate Authority, NCTE, New Delhi against the With drawl order of SRC.</p> <p>The appellate authority vide order No.F.No.89- 555/2013/Appeal/16th Meeting-2013 dated 13.11.2013 has made the following observations :-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">“The Council noted that St. Aloysius Institute of Education, Dakshina Kannada, Karnataka was granted recognition for conducting B.Ed.</p>
--	--	---

		<p>course in the year 2007 with the condition to shift to its own premises/building within three years from the date of recognition. The Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society which is the appellant society submitted a proposal for shifting of the premises in 2012. SRC on receiving the proposal caused a composite inspection on 19-10-2012. Based on the deficiencies reported by the Visiting Team, deficiency letter and Show Cause Notice were issued to the institution. Finally, it was observed that title of the land documents of the proposed land & building, are not in favour of the applicant society. The appellant has admitted that the multipurpose hall is being constructed and is likely to be ready in September, 2013 whereas inspection was conducted in December, 2012 and withdrawal order was issued in July, 2013. The appointment of principal and 6 faculties were approved by Mangalore University on 29-08-2013 which is also subsequent to the refusal orders. The appellant apprised the Council that it had applied to NCTE for relaxation of the land & building norms for the institution. The Council concluded that the grounds on which SRC has withdrawn the recognition are justified and accordingly the withdrawal order dated 10-07-2013 is confirmed.</p> <p>After perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC is confirmed.</p> <p><u>The Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against ”</u></p> <p>The above decision of the appellate authority was placed before SRC in its 257th Meeting held on 20th – 22nd December 2013 and the committee noted the matter.</p> <p>On, 27.12.2013, the Secretary, Mangalore Jesuit Education Society, St. Aloysius College, Mangalore – 575003 has submitted a copy of the interim order of the Hon’ble High Court of Karnataka, Bangalore dated 18.12.2013 in W.P.No. 56434/2013 filed by St.Aloysius Institute of Education, Mangalore. The respondents are as under;</p> <p>1. NCTE Headquarters</p>
--	--	---

		<p>2. SRC,NCTE 3.The Secretary, Department of Education and Literacy, MHRD 4. Department of Higher Education , State of Karnataka 5. Mangalore University represented by Registrar 6. Karnataka Jesuit Educational Society.</p> <p>The interim order of the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P.No. 56434/2013 dated 18.12.2013 is as under;</p> <p>'It is stated that the recognition of the petitioner institution is withdrawn primarily on the ground that it has not met the land and building norms which are required to be complied with in respect of its institution. The learned senior advocate Shri.Madhusudhan .R.Naik would submit that there is no impediment to comply with such norms in the manner required by NCTE, if reasonable time is granted. But, in the meanwhile, if the recognition is withdrawn and the institution grinds to a halt, it would affect hundreds of students and their career and therefore has sought for an interim relief.</p> <p>Accordingly, pending disposal of this writ petition, interim order is granted as prayed for;'</p> <p>The above court order dated 18.12.2013 was placed before 258th meeting of SRC held during 3-5 January, 2014 wherein the Committee decided that</p> <p>"1. The institution has had 7 years time to take appropriate action. They cannot say, they have not had time to follow the norms/standards. 2. According to the NCTE regulations, no more time can be given, for removal of deficiencies after VT inspection. 3. The deficiencies are many and serious. 4. Let us file an appeal and obtain 'stay'."</p> <p>A letter was addressed to the then Advocate, Shri.P.Dinesh Kumar on 17.01.2014. A copy of the decision of 258th meeting of SRC held during 3-5 January, 2014 is enclosed for obtaining stay in the matter and also for vacation of interim stay granted to the institution.</p>
--	--	--

	<p>The institution file an appeal before Appellate Authority and the original file was sent to the NCTE New Delhi on 03.03.2014.</p> <p>The SRC, NCTE was in receipt of a letter from Shri B.P.Pandy, NCTE-Hqrs on 07.10.2014 with a request to furnish the present status of the case regarding vacating of the stay granted by the Hon'ble High Court vide its interim order dated 18.12.2013, for onward transmission of the Minority of HRD.</p> <p>A letter was addressed to the advocate Shri.P Dinesh Kumar on 19.08.2014 in respect of the status of the case and a letter was also addressed to Shri B.P.Pandey, Under Secretary, NCTE, New Delhi.</p> <p>A reminder letter was addressed to the then advocate, Shri P.Dinesh Kumar on 17.10.2014.</p> <p>The institution in its letter dated 29.05.2015 requested the present status of the college enclosing interim court order in W.P.No. 56434 of 2013 dated 18.12.2013.</p> <p>On 28.01.2015, the institution submitted an affidavit affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014.</p> <p>On 29.05.2015, the institution submitted a written representation along with a copy of the Court order dated 18.12.2013.</p> <p>A revised order was issued to the institution on 31.05.2015 for offering B.Ed course of two years duration from the academic session 2015-16 subject to the result of W.P. No. 56434 of 2013.</p> <p>On 05.06.2015, a letter was addressed to Shri R.C.Chopra, Section Officer, NCTE, New Delhi, with a request to send the original records of the institution.</p> <p>In the mean time on 14.07.2015, the institution submitted a request for one basic unit of 50 students. A corrigendum to this effect was issued to the institution on 21.07.2015.</p> <p>On 16.08.2016, an e-mail received from the advocate, Shri.Pramod Kathavi</p>
--	---

		<p>seeking necessary information for filing the statement of objections. Accordingly, a letter was addressed to the advocate on 18.08.2016.</p> <p>A court order received from the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Bangalore dated 02.01.2017 on 09.01.2017 is as under:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">“A writ petition No. 56434/2016 filed by St. Aloysius Institute of Education, Light House Hill Road, Mangalore-575003, Dakshina Kannada, under Article 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India, has been registered by this court.”</p> <p style="text-align: center;">After hearing, the court made the following:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ORDER:</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">“Respondent Nos 1 and 2 are directed to inspect the petitioner institution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Call this matter after two months.”</p> <p>The Committee considered the above Court matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Process the documents 2. There after, in compliance of the Court order, cause inspection. 3. Ask our lawyer to report status of the old case.
32	APS07567 B.Ed 2 Units Tagore College of Education,	<p>Rural Health & Educational Development Society, Mahabubnagar, Andhra Pradesh has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to Tagore College of Education, H.No.5-11-23B, Teachers Colony, IEEJA (Post & Mandal), Gadwal, Mahabubnagar-509127, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition was granted to the institution on 25.07.2007.</p> <p>The institution submitted its written representation on 12.02.2014 along with</p>

Mahabubnagar, Telangana	<p>relevant documents regarding shifting from rented building to own premises.</p> <p>The SRC in its 262nd meeting held on 17th -19th February, 2014, considered the matter, request of the institution for shifting inspection, and decided that</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. SRO to obtain all relevant documents (including Approved Staff list) relating to the new premises, examine and, to be put up.2. The institution to be informed to pay 50,000/- towards the Inspection fee.” <p>As per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the institution on 26.02.2014. The institution has not replied to the letter till date.</p> <p>The institution submitted the following documents along with affidavit to process the application as per NCTE Regulation, 2014 on 08.01.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 278th meeting held on 25th January, 2015 considered the matter, affidavit & documents submitted by the institution vide letter dated 08.01.2015, and decided to serve Show Cause Notice.</p> <p>Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 27.02.2015. The institution has submitted its reply on 12.03.2015 along with relevant documents.</p> <p>The SRC in its 284th meeting held during 9th to 10th April, 2015 considered the matter and decided to “Cause inspection.”</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC , the inspection of the institution was scheduled during 23rd to 25th April, 2015 and the same was intimated to the institution on 20.04.2015.</p> <p>An e-mail received by this office on 23.04.2015 from the institution regarding postponement of shifting inspection.</p> <p>Accordingly, a revised order was issued to the institution on 26.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 30.10.2015 along with some relevant documents and stated as follows:</p>
----------------------------	--

		<p><i>“.....i am submitting the additional facilities provided to such as a) additional built up area b) additional infrastructure, c) additional Staff d) Land document e) encumbrance certificate f) Land usage certificate g) Building plan and other facilities as per NCTE, Regulation 2014 towered Tagore College of Education leeja (Post & Mandal), Alampur (Tq), Mahabubnagar District, Telangana State.”</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 315rd meeting SRC held on 17th & 18th June, 2016 considered t matter & decided as under.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Title-Deed is there. But, the sy. Nos. in that do not tally with the sy.nos. in BP and LUC.2. According to BP, built up area is adequate.3. EC and BCC have not been given.4. Original FDRs and latest faculty list have no been given.5. Collect fee and cause inspection.6. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents. <p>As per the decision of SRC Inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 13.07.2016</p> <p>An email received on 28.11.2016 Registrar Palamuru university enclosing letter Lr.No.127/PU-ACAD/2016-17 Date -25.11.2016.</p> <p>“With reference to the subject cited above, the university could not give annual affiliation 2015-16 to Tagore College of Education as it could not satisfy the NCTE latest norm which specifies requirements of 3,000 sq mt. land 2,000 sq mt building for 2 units (50+50=100) strength. The correspondent has requested the university to consider for annual affiliation for 2016-17 for 1 unir (50 Nos.)for the</p>
--	--	--

		<p>existing 2603 sq.mt land 1504 sq.mt building. As sanction of units and requirement norms will be specified by the NCTE, the university requests you to clarify whether the request of the correspondent can be considered or not”.</p> <p>Institution submitted letter date 23.11.2016. The institution has submitted representation on 16.12.2016, it stated as under:</p> <p>“With reference to the above cited subject I would like to submit that the TAGORE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION(APS07567/B.Ed/AP),syno:917,H.NO.5-11-23B,Teacher’s colony, leeja village and mandal, jofulamba gadwall District, Telangana state-509127,is functioning in its own Building with recognition of SRC-NCTE authorites from 2007-08.</p> <p>During the year Fubruary-2014, the management haw requested for permission to shift the college to suitable new own Building, so as to run the college with (02) units mean while willingness also submitted for 2 units of B.Ed course. Accordingly The SRC-NCTE have directed to the college to submit the details of Building, labs staff, Furniture cte. Along with inspection processing Fee for sending of Visiting Team.</p> <p>In this regard it is submit that the present college is function in its own Building ie sy 917,H.No.:5-11-23B,Teacher’s colony,leeja village, But the proposals submitted to SRC-NCTE a typographical/clerical mistake is accoured but,it is notice later “Instead of shifting of college to new own buildinhgt it is typed as rented building to own new building” The management is expressing sorry for the mistake and begs for apology and excuse once again the matter is regretted.</p> <p>While this being so, it is in view of the demand of 2 years B.Ed course and fulfillment of other conditions of NCTE-2014 rules the management have deiced to drop the shifting proposal, and cause inspectyion. Also decided to run the college with one unit in the existing own building only for the year 2016-17.</p>
--	--	---

		<p>Now, Palamuru University. Mahabub nagar, Telangana state authorities are insiting for permissions order of SRC-NCTE to run the college with one unit(50 student), in the above circumstances and facts I request the SRC-NCTE authorities to issue permission orders to Tagore college of Education, SYNO917,HNO:5-11-23B,Teacher's colony, laaja village and mandal, jogulamba gadwall District, Telangana state-509127 to run B.Ed course of 2 years duration with one unit(50 students) only instead of two units".</p> <p>The institution vide its letter dated 02.01.2017 again requesting for one unit along with relevant documents, stating as under:</p> <p>"With reference to the above cited subject I would like to submit that the TAGORE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION(APS07567/B.Ed/AP),syno:917,H.NO.5-11-23B,Teacher's colony, leeja village and mandal, jofulamba gadwall District, Telangana state-509127,is functioning in its own Building with recognition of SRC-NCTE authorites from 2007-08.</p> <p>During the year February-2014, the management haw requested for permission to shift the college to suitable new own Building, so as to run the college with (02) units mean while willingness also submitted for 2 units of B.Ed course. Accordingly The SRC-NCTE have directed to the college to submit the details of Building, labs staff, Furniture cte. Along with inspection processing Fee for sending of Visiting Team.</p> <p>In this regard it is submit that the present college is function in its own Building ie sy 917,H.No.:5-11-23B,Teacher's colony,leeja village, But the proposals submitted to SRC-NCTE a typographical/clerical mistake is accoured but,it is notice later "Instead of shifting of college to new own buildinhgt it is typed as rented building to own new building" The management is expressing sorry for the mistake and begs for apology and excuse once again the matter is regretted.</p> <p>While this being so, it is in view of the demand of 2 years B.Ed course and fulfillment of other conditions of NCTE-2014 rules the management have deiced</p>
--	--	---

		<p>to drop the shifting proposal, and cause inspectyion. Also decided to run the college with one unit in the existing own building only for the year 2016-17.</p> <p>Now, Palamuru University. Mahabub nagar, Telangana state authorities are insiting for permissions order of SRC-NCTE to run the college with one unit(50 student), in the above circumstancs and facts I request the SRC-NCTE authorities to issue permission orders to Tagore college of Education, SYNO917,HNO:5-11-23B,Teacher’s colony, laaja village and mandal, jogulamba gadwall District, Telangana state-509127 to run B.Ed course of 2 years duration with one unit(50 students) only instead of two units”.</p> <p>The Committee considered the request of the institution dated 2.1.2017 and has decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The request for reduction of B.Ed (2 units) to B.Ed(1 unit) is accepted. Issue revised FR accordingly. 2. As regards, the request for shifting, obtain all documents and process. 3. Put up in the meeting in April.
33	<p>APS02447</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Tippu Sultan B.Ed College, Bangalore,</p>	<p>Tippu Sultan B.Ed College, Bayrati Cross, Kotthanur Village, Hennur Main Road, Bangalore – 560 077, Karnataka had submitted application for B.Ed course to SRC on 31.12.2003. Recognition was granted to the institution for B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students from the session 2005-2006 on 24.12.2005.</p> <p>The institution in its letter dated 28.07.2009 has submitted a proposal for shifting of premises from “Bayrati Cross, Kotthanur Village, Hennur Main Road, Bangalore – 560 077” to “Chidri Road, Bidar – 585 403” along with Rs.40,000/- towards shifting fee. The proposal involves shifting of premises from on District to another District. The documents submitted along with the proposal has been</p>

	Karnataka	<p>verified and a letter seeking for documents has been communicated to the institution on 09.06.2009.</p> <p>Further, the institution has been granted recognition for the course on Lease basis for a period of 30 years for the leased land located at Bangalore. The institution while seeking for shifting of premises had submitted Sale deed executed on 07.01.2006 whereas the recognition has been granted to the institution on 24.12.2005 for the land located at Bangalore.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its reply on 19.01.2010.</p> <p>The Committee in its 190th meeting held on 29th-30th March, 2010 considered the reply of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition of the institution for the following reasons:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) The institution is not a mobile/movable property which can be transferred from one District to another .2) Such inter-District transfer, for whatever unacademic/ speculative reasons, is a grave violation of the conditions of NCTE approval order, which is “ institution-specific and location specific”.3) As per the regulations, the land/buildings should be complete in all respects(including registration/saled deed/lease-deed) at the time of original application. Any subsequent sale deed is unacceptable as per norms/regulations.4) Transfer of location from a temporary location to a permanent location is only permitted in the same vicinity and only if such approval was originally granted on a temporary location with an explicit condition as per regulations that the institution should shift to the permanent location within a stipulated period. In this case, the original orders of approval was itself for a permanent location in a different district. <p>Under the above conditions, the institution automatically ceases to exist and hence the orders of approval are withdrawn.</p> <p>The withdrawal takes effect from the end of 2010-11, to enable the ongoing batch of Students to complete their course and the institution is directed not to</p>
--	-----------	--

		<p>make any fresh admissions subsequent to the withdrawal order.</p> <p>Accordingly, order of withdrawing recognition was issued to the institution vide its order No.F.SRO/NCTE/2010-2011/18764 dated 07.05.2010.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the withdrawal order of SRC the institution preferred appeal to NCTE-Hqrts and the appellate authority in its order No.F.No.89-909/2010 Appeal/Spl.Meeting-2011 A 41869 dated 06.09.2011. The Council reached to the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept and set aside SRC's order dated. 07.05.2010 with a direction to the SRC to process the case further for getting inspection of the new premises of the institution done at the earliest and to take appropriate decision, thereafter. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and the order of the SRC dated 07.05.2010 reserved.</p> <p>The SRC considered the Appellate/Council order dated. 06.09.2011 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection at the new premises Under Section 17 of NCTE Act, on submission of application along with all the documents for the new premises. The institution is directed to submit inspection fee of Rs. 40,000/-.</p> <p>Accordingly, the institution was directed to submit an inspection fee of Rs. 40,000/- and all the documents for the new premises for further processing of the application vide this office letter No. F.No.APSO2447-B.Ed/KA/2011/32107 dated 09/11/2011.</p> <p>Further, as there was no response from the institution, another intimation letter F.No.APSO2447-B.Ed/KA/2011/35904 dated 10/01/2012 was sent to the institution directing them to submit an inspection fee of Rs. 40,000/- and all the documents for the new premises for further processing of the application. But, the institution has not responded to this office so far.</p> <p>The Committee in its 237th Meeting held on 5 – 6 January, 2013 considered the matter and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Final Show cause Notice under NCTE Act, for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The institution was directed to submit an inspection fee of Rs. 40,000/- and all the documents for the new premises for further processing of the application vide this office letter No. F.No.APSO2447-B.Ed/KA/2011/32107 dated 09/11/2011.
--	--	---

		<p>Further, as there was no response from the institution, another intimation letter F.No.APSO2447-B.Ed/KA/2011/35904 dated 10/01/2012 was sent to the institution directing them to submit an inspection fee of Rs. 40,000/- and all the documents for the new premises for further processing of the application.</p> <p>The institution has not answered to the above two letters so far.</p> <p>In view of the above and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the Committee decided to issue a Final Show cause Notice to the institution as to why the recognition be not withdrawn and thereby providing an opportunity to the institution to make a written representation within 21 days from the date of receipt of the Notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the withdrawal of application for recognition, based on the records available, with no further notice.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a Final Show Cause notice was issued to the institution on 04.06.2013.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its reply on 01.07.2014 that is after 16 months from the date of issue of notice.</p> <p>The SRC in its 271st meeting held during 01st August, 2014, considered the reply of the institution vide letter dated 01.07.2014, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to Cause Inspection after notification of new Regulations.</p> <p>An email dated 18.12.2014 received from NCTE Hqrs regarding guidance for processing of pending applications. Accordingly, a willingness letter as per Regulations 2014 was issued to the institution on 19.12.2014. The institution had not submitted reply to this letter so far.</p> <p>The SRC in its 283rd meeting held on 02-03 March 2015 had considered non submission of affidavit and decided that: "Wherever , SRO has sent letters to existing institutions new applications calling for such affidavits, w.e.f 2015-16"</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a reminder letter was issued to the institution on</p>
--	--	--

		<p>19.03.2015.</p> <p>The institution had submitted affidavit as per Regulations 2014 on 02.02.2015 and revised order was issued to institution on 29.05.2015, stating that on scrutiny it is found that the recognition granted to the institution was withdrawn on 07.05.2010 and as per appellate authority order, the decision of causing inspection by SRC is pending.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Appellate Authority's order is seen. 2. Shifting inspection can be caused only when they pay the fee which they have been delaying indefinitely. 3. Issue Show Cause Notice for payment of fee by 15 April 17 failing which we will be constrained to withdraw recognition again.
34	<p>APS02678</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>Katipally Ravinder Reddy College of Education, Nizamabad, Telangana</p>	<p>Kattipally Ravinder Reddy Education Society, Nizamabad, Andhra Pradesh had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to Katipally Ravinder Reddy College of Education, 6-2-157/28, Subhash Nagar, Nizamabad-503002, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed course of One year duration from the academic session 2004-05 with an annual intake of 100 Students. The recognition was granted to the institution on 23.03.2005 with a condition that the institution shall shift to its own premises /building within three years for the date of recognition (in case the course is started in rented premises).</p> <p>The institution submitted its willingness affidavit on 02.02.2015 as per regulations 2014. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 11.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its formal recognition order dated 23.03.2005. The institution has not submitted reply till date.</p> <p>The SRC in its 292nd meeting held on 29th & 30th September, 2015 committee</p>

		<p>considered the letter from NCTE Hqrs and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Oct.15 is the last date commonly for all institutions to file documents. We cannot go beyond that exception for any particular institution.2. Inform them accordingly and put up again in early Nov 15. <p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, a letter was sent on 12.11.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294th meeting held on 14th – 16th November, 2015 considered the list of colleges not submitted documents for shifting in compliance to the condition laid down in revised recognition order for 2015-2016 and it has decided to issue show cause notice for the following deficiency:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The institution has not submitted documents for shifting as mentioned in the revised recognition order as per the regulation 2014. <p>An e-mail received from NCTE, Hqrs on 06.01.2017 forwarding VIP reference from Shri Kotha Prabhakar Reddy, MP (LS) regarding extension of time to fulfill conditions.</p> <p>Accordingly, an e-mail sent to NCTE e Governance Support Team regarding latest status of the institution on 19.01.2017.</p> <p>Remarks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• It has been noticed that the Decision of 294th meeting has not been communicated to the institution though there are unsigned note sheet and draft show cause notice found in the file. File was not traceable in the section and could have been retrieved from record section after much efforts as it was dumped in the old records. <p>The Committee considered the above matter and has observed as under:-</p>
--	--	---

		<p>1. This is a shifting case.</p> <p>2. We had issued SCN for documents for shifting.</p> <p>3. There is nothing on file to Show whether the SCN was issued/served.</p> <p>And directed to Issue Show Cause Notice again.</p>
35	<p>SRCAPP14473</p> <p>D.El.Ed-AI</p> <p>Satya College of Elementary Education, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Sri Sai Satya Educational Service Society, Plot No. 1-1B, Main Road, Chanubanda Village & P.O, Chatrai Taluk & City, Krishna District-521214, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Satya College of Elementary Education, Plot No. 143/1A, 143/1B,143/2, GP Road, Tiruvuru Village & P.O, Tiruvuru Taluk & City, Krishna District-521235, Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed-AI course for two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act.1993 to the Southern Regional Committee NCTE through online on 29.06.2015. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 10.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A Letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 16.07.2015 followed by reminder on 31.08.2015 and reminder II on 10.12.2015.</p> <p>The Sub Section (7) of Section 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course”.</p> <p>The SRC in its 296th meeting held on 15th & 16th December, 2015 has considered the matter, document submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and it has decided as under:</p>

		<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Building completion certificate and Encumbrance Certificate to be submitted2. Site area not matching. Land documents and Building plan3. Original Fixed deposit receipts to be submitted4. Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents.5. Cause Composite Inspection <p>As per the decision of SRC inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 16.01.2016. The Inspection of the institution was conducted on 31.01.2016 and VT report along with documents received on 08.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 302nd meeting held on 09th -11th February, 2016 considered the VT report and all other relevant documents of the institution and it has decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Issue SCN for EC2. All other documents OK, CD OK. <p>Before issuance of Show cause notice, as per website information (decision of SRC) the institution has submitted its reply on 16.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 304th meeting held on 19 & 20th February 2016, the committee considered the matter and decided as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Issue LOI for D.El.Ed-AI (1 unit)2. For D.El.Ed (basic unit) and D.El.Ed-AI combined staff list should be produced in accordance with the norms given in 2014 Regulations.3. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.4. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible <p>Accordingly, Letter of intent was issued to the institution on 20.02.2016. Now, the institution has submitted written representation on 24.02.2016 stated as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“Reference to the above subject, our institution Satya College of Elementary Education Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh with code No</i></p>
--	--	--

		<p><i>SRCAPP14473 has been granted additional intake of 50 students in the SRC meeting held on 19-20 February, 2016, we earnestly submit to SRC that our institution was proposing to start B.Ed, course also earlier. As such, we have constructed building to an extent of 43651.35 Sq ft built up area.</i></p> <p><i>Due to no admissions in B.Ed colleges, we have made application for only D.El.Ed course for additional intake. The complete is building is having only D.El.Ed course. We are having additional built up area to conduct D.El.Ed additional intake unit. We request hon'ble SRC to kindly consider our application for sanction of Additional intake of 100 seats (2 units) taking in to consideration of having built up area and other infrastructural and instructional facilities.</i></p> <p><i>We once again request SRC to kindly consider our request for additional intake of 100 seats for LOI."</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 305th meeting held on 25th 27th February, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <p><i>"Area inadequate for second unit of D.El.Ed. Earlier decision holds good."</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 306th meeting held on 01st – 04th March, 2016 considered the LOI reply directly and decided as under:</p> <p><i>"Issue Formal Recognition for D.El.Ed (1 unit) w.e.f. 2016-17."</i></p> <p>Accordingly, Formal Recognition order was issued to the institution on 02.05.2016. A letter was sent to the institution on 02.05.2016 regarding submission of documents for following deficiencies as per summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Service certificate & joining reports of Principal are to be submitted.• Website is functioning but consolidated staff list of additional intake to be uploaded. <p>The institution has submitted reply on 19.05.2016.</p>
--	--	---

		<p>An e-mail received on 11.01.2017 from NCTE hqrs regarding complaint filed by Sri Rama against Satya College of Elementary Education, Nadim Tiruvuru, Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh-521235. The complaint stated as under:</p> <p><i>“For your information without information if you visit or do the inspection in the College you can see empty rooms and without any full-time employe’s but one lovable Watch will give warm welcome to you.</i></p> <p><i>On the document all are full-time employees and working over there, but in real time or practically no one is working over there, his paying all salaries via cash (again here also fake), what is this – Now we will be moving to digital India place or joining in demoralization scheme. But this guy is running completely fake institute without staff etc.</i></p> <p><i>However this guy is normal guy and no political back ground muh, but huge money (with the institute is completely fake/fake/fake – As the SECRETARY AND CORESPONDENT JALLEPALLI PARAMESWARARAO) has been offered bribes all the officers around 15 Lakhs cash and 2 Lakhs cloths 2 Lakhs Gold in front of us, for orders issue renewal for his college for the Inspection or local people.</i></p> <p><i>I would really appreciate if you take action as a honest officer, however he will offer all the officers bribe as he has more more money. Hope please take the action on this college, else I will take necessary steps on this.</i></p> <p><i>As a Indian or Citizen I brought this issue, who ever got the certificates via this college all are fully fully fake, requesting please don’t encourage them.</i></p> <p><i>I am sorry, if I rude while writing or passing information, would really appreciate your quick action on this.”</i></p> <p><u>Note:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The institution is running Existing B.Ed (APS07814) with an intake
--	--	---

		<p>of 100 students and D.El.Ed (SRCAPP685) with an intake of 50 students.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided to refer the complaint to SCERT(AP) with a request for enquiry and comments.</p>
36	<p>SRCAPP3444</p> <p>D.El.Ed-AI</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>Sree Rama Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Sree Rama Educational Society. Plot No -2-1 Srinivasapuram, Padmavathipuram Village, Tiruchanoor Post, Tirupati Rural Taluk, Chittoor District-517503 Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sree Rama Institute Of Elementary Teacher Education. Plot No 20777, 5B,5D,5F Street No -2/1, Srinivasapuram Village, Tiruchanoor Post, Tirupati Rural Taluk, Chittoor District-517503 Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed-AI course of Two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on 11/06/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 13/06/2015.</p> <p>The application has been processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 25/06/2015, followed by Reminder-I on 06/10/2015 and Reminder- II on 23.11.2015</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under: <i>“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course”.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 295th meeting held on 28th – 30th November & 1st December, 2015, considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Land area is adequate with good title. 2. Built – up area is adequate 3. BP not approved by competent authority. 4. FDRs to be given later.

		<p>5. Cause Composite Inspection 6. Ask VT to particularly check on the deficiencies and collect all documents.</p> <p>Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 11.01.2016. The composite inspection was conducted on 09.02.2016 and the VT report received on 11.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 303rd meeting held on 15th February, 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Issue LOI for D.El.Ed-AI (1 unit)2. For D.El.Ed (basic unit) and D.El.Ed-AI combined staff list should be produced in accordance with the norms given in 2014 Regulations.3. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.4. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible <p>Accordingly, Letter of Intent was issued to the institution on 18.02.2016. The institution submitted reply on 03.03.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 306th meeting held on 01st – 04th March, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Issue Formal Recognition for D.El.Ed-AI (1 unit) w.e.f 2016-17.</i></p> <p>Accordingly, formal recognition order issued to the institution on 12.04.2016.</p> <p>The institution submitted representation regarding submission of Annexure-I, II, III & Original joint FDRs on 02.05.2016.</p> <p>A Complaint letter was received from Dr. C.V.Madhava Reddy on 24.10.2016 as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“I would like to bring your kind notice that N.Harinatha Reddy submitted false B.Ed and M.Ed Degree certificates for the post of principal, Sree Rama institute of Elementary Education, Tirupati-03, Andhra Pradesh. He cheated both APSCERT and NCTE unfortunately; SCERT denied to take</i></p>
--	--	--

		<p><i>action against him and moreover protected him (Cheater 420).</i></p> <p><i>Hence I request you to take necessary action against him. In this regard, I am enclosing both letters like, SCERT and Mine for your reference.”</i></p> <p>A letter was sent to the complainant Dr.C.V.Madhava Reddy regarding submission of complaint through Affidavit on 06.12.2016.</p> <p>Dr.C.V.Madhava Reddy submitted his complaint through affidavit on 16.12.2016, it stated as under:</p> <p><i>“I would like to bring your kind notice that N.Harinatha Reddy submitted false M.Ed Degree Certificate for the post of Principal, Sree Rama Institute of Elementary Education, Tirupati-03. Actually he did B.Ed in Sanskrit from Sanskrit Vidyapeeth, Tirupati. So how did he pursued M.Ed with B.Ed (Sanskrit) qualification. Therefore his M.Ed Degree is false.</i></p> <p><i>Hence I request you to consider above said reasons and take a necessary action against him.”</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided to refer the complaint to SCERT(AP) with a request for enquiry and comments.</p>
37	<p>SRCAPP3485</p> <p>B.Sc.B.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>Sri Krishnaveni College of Education, Krishna, Andhra</p>	<p>TGM Educational Academy, Plot. No. 1-2, Tatineni Nagar, Poranki Village & Post, Penamaluru Taluk, Vijayawada Town, Krishna District-521137, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Krishnaveni College of Education, Plot No. 36/2, Tatineni Nagar, Poranki Village & Post, Gannavaram Taluk, Krishna District-521137, Andhra Pradesh, for offering D.El.Ed course of 2 years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 17.06.2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 18.06.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 06.07.2015, followed by Reminder-I</p>

	Pradesh	<p>on 06.10.2015 and Reminder-II on 29.10.2015.</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><i>“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decide that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course”.</i></p> <p>The application was processed and placed before SRC in its 294th meeting held on 14- 16th November 2015, the committee considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The applicant must obtain affiliation from the concerned University for undergraduate liberal arts and Science programmes and submit at the time of inspection.2. The applicant has to choose either B.Sc B.Ed or BA. B.Ed3. LUC not submitted,4. Apprise the applicant of these deficiencies for necessary action.5. Ask the VT to collect all relevant documents and check for removal of deficiencies.6. Cause composite inspection. <p>As per the decision of SRC inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 12.01.2016. The Inspection of the institution was conducted on 28.01.2016 and VT report along with documents received on 04.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 302nd meeting held on 09th – 11th February, 2016, the committee considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Issue LOI for B.Sc.B.Ed (1 unit)2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.
--	---------	---

		<p>Accordingly, Letter of intent was issued to the institution on 11.02.2016. The institution has submitted its reply on 02.03.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 306th meeting held on 01st to 04th March, 2016, considered the LOI reply and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. One Lect (Socio/Phyl) is to be appointed as per norms.2. Issue SCN accordingly.3. Eligible for recognition. But, because D.El.Ed has not been cleared, B.Sc;B.Ed also has to be held in abeyance on 'stand alone' considerations. <p>Accordingly, Formal Recognition order was issued to the institution for B.Sc.B.Ed for one unit on 31.03.2016. The deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 31.03.2016.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its representation on 07.11.2016 requesting for BA.B.Ed for one unit.</p> <p>The SRC in its 325th meeting held on 19th & 20th December, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. NCTE (HQ) had subsequently clarified that the application for BA B.Ed/B.Sc B.Ed (2 units) can be treated as an application for BABEd (1 unit) and B.Sc. B.Ed (1 unit).2. We can, therefore, consider their case now for BAB.Ed (1 unit) also subject to availability of facilities.3. Please examine the documents and report on the facilities available for considering permission for BA B.Ed (1 unit). <p>As per SRC's decision the following documents were submitted by the institution along with VT conducted on 28.01.2016 received on 04.02.2016.</p> <p>The Committee considered the VT report dated 28.1.2016 along with CD</p>
--	--	---

		<p>and all other documents and has decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. They had applied for B.A.B.Ed. & B.Sc. B.Ed. We have already recognized B.A.B.Ed. 2. NCTE(HQ) has now clarified that institutions can be given FR for B.A.B.Ed. and B.Sc.B.ed. on the same application. 3. Built-up area available is adequate for both B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit) and B.Sc.B.Ed.(1 unit). 4. Issue LOI for B.Sc. B.Ed.(1 unit).
38	<p>SRCAPP2016 30048</p> <p>B.Ed-AI 1 Unit</p> <p>M.Ed- 1 Unit</p> <p>S.V.I College of Education, Salem, Tamilnadu</p>	<p>Sangamirtham Social Trust, Crawford Village, 67, Madurai Main Road, Tiruchanapalli Taluk & City, Tiruchanapalli District-620012, Tamilnadu applied for grant of recognition to S.V.I College of Education, Sethurappatti Bus Stop, Trichy- Viralimalai Main Road, Iluppur Taluk, Pudukottai District-620012,Tamilnadu for offering B.Ed-AI course of two years duration and M.Ed course of two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 27.05.2016.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 07.06.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 22.06.2016, followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Govt. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.Ed & M.Ed courses in the State of Tamilnadu.</p> <p>The application has been scrutinized online along with hard copy and the following deficiencies have been observed.</p> <p>The application has been scrutinized online along with hard copy of application</p>

	<p>was placed before SRC in its 325th meeting held on 19th – 20th December, 2016 the Committee considered the matter and decide as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. They can not apply for two different courses-B.Ed-AI and M.Ed – in the same application. They must choose one course and indicate.2. Once the clarification is received, process for the course concerned and put up. <p>As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution through online on 05.01.2017.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 16.01.2017 and stating as under:-</p> <p><i>“We have received a mail (Ref 6) to choose one New course B.Ed (AI)/ M.Ed. We have made an online application for two courses as per the institution given in NCTE Website, under “instructions for submitting Online Application “(Ref 7). Ref 7, page No.2. Last paragraph under General instruction, indicates that “it may be noted if any prospective society/ Organization is opting for multiple Teacher Education programmes/Courses either New programmes or additional intake, the intending applicant can apply in the same application. The applicant need not submit separate applications for the same institute”. Based on that Ref 7 we have made single application for both B.Ed (AI) & M.Ed.</i></p> <p><i>Also Ref 7 page No. 12 paragraph 3 under Steps for General instruction of submitting online application, indicated that “in case of Applicant Organization is running multiple Teacher Education Institutes (TEIs) and intending to apply for more than one TEIs they can create separate online application, since we have only one TEI (Ref 1), and applied for two course (B.Ed-AI & M.Ed). So our institute has eligible to apply two courses under only one TEI.</i></p> <p><i>Also we have send 2 communications (Ref 4&5) from our college mentioned to request for composite inspection for both B.Ed (AI) & M.Ed. we have paid fees</i></p>
--	--

		<p><i>for the two courses applied for.</i></p> <p><i>Hence we request you to consider single application for both courses B.Ed (AI) & M.Ed based on Ref 7. And also we request for composite inspection for both B.Ed (AI) & M.Ed for which we have done all preparatory works like infra and all amenities. In considered of approaching of new academic year, we request you to make efforts to accomplish our application as early as possible. (Not submitted through online).</i></p> <p><u>Note:</u></p> <p>Further, the institution in its letter received on 15.11.2016 stating as under:-</p> <p>“We <u>already send a letter to NCTE on 24.10.2016 (Ref 6)</u> regarding request for composite inspection for B.Ed (Additional) and M.Ed (Basic). Now we have received an E-mail communication regarding inspection for B.Ed alone. <u>So again we request you to schedule both the inspections</u> that are listed here <u>on a same day</u> like a composite inspection, so that we can start the above referred course on 2017/2018.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Regular inspection for B.Ed (Basic intake 50 + 50) and inspection for additional intake of 50 students.2. Inspection for M.Ed. Basic intake of 50 students. <p>We are ready with all arrangements (Infrastructure, Facilities and requirements as per NCTE-New Regulations, 2014 for the inspection).”</p> <p>The Committee considered the representation of the institution dated 16.1.2017 and observed as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The institution has insisted on maintaining the request for both the
--	--	---

		<p>courses.</p> <p>2. According to current instructions and practice such functioning is not permissible.</p> <p>3. Give them one more opportunity to choose any one course failing which we will be constrained to reject the application.</p> <p>And directed to Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</p>
39	<p>SRCAPP3552</p> <p>M.Ed – 1 Unit</p> <p>Maruthi College of Education, Salem, Tamilnadu</p>	<p>Maruthi Educational Trust, Plot No.491/2D,490/4A,490/4C, Street No.NH-79, Manivizhundan South Village & Post, Attur Taluk & City, Salem District – 636121, Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to Maruthi college of Education, Plot/Khasara No.491/2D,490/4A,490/4C, Street No.NH-79, Manuvizhundan South Village & Post, Attur Taluk & City, Salem District – 636121, Tamilnadu for offering M.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 23.06.2015. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 29.06.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014.</p> <p>A letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 07.07.2015 followed by Reminder on 22.02.2016 and Reminder II on 05.11.2016.</p> <p>Sub-clause (3) of Clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-</p> <p><i>“(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application.</i></p>

		<p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Application is not signed by the applicant on all pages of application as per Sub- clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2015.2. NOC from affiliating body is not submitted along with application. <p>The SRC for in its 292nd meeting held on 29-30 Sept, 2015 considered the matter and decided to <i>issue show Cause Notice for rejection of application.</i></p> <p>Accordingly, a Show cause notice was issued to the institution for Non Submission of NOC on 21.10.2015. The institution submitted reply on 23-11-2015</p> <p>SRC in its 295th meeting held on 28th -30th November & 1st December, 2015 considered the matter and decided as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><i>The reply to the SCN is not satisfactory. They have admitted the deficiency. We cannot wait indefinitely from them to produce the NOC. According to the Regulations it is the responsibility of the applicant to secure and attach the NOC from the affiliating body. That being so, it is decided to reject the application.</i> <p>The SRC in its 300th meeting held on 29th -31st January 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <p><i>“Keeping in mind the over-all public interest, the Committee revised its earlier stand to reject all cases of non-submission or delayed submission of NOC’s and decided to reopen and process all such rejected cases by accepting NOCs even now irrespective of their dates of issue.”</i></p>
--	--	--

		<p>The institution submitted NOC from TNTEU dated 20.11.2015 on 23.12.2015.</p> <p>Accordingly, the application was processed and placed before SRC in its 303rd meeting held on 15th February 2016. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Existing B.Ed is in leased premises2. Contiguity with M.Ed to be shown3. EC latest, BCC to be submitted4. Cause Composite Inspection5. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents <p>As per the decision of SRC, inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 22.02.2016. The Inspection of the institution was conducted on 22.02.2016 and VT report along with documents received on 26.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 306th meeting held on 01st to 04th March 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. BP and BCC have not been given.2. EC shows land is mortgaged.3. Issue SCN for rejection. <p>Before issuance of show cause notice, as per website information the institution submitted reply on 05.04.2016 along with some relevant documents.</p> <p>The SRC in its 309th meeting held on 12th – 14th April 2016, the committee considered the SCN reply and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Land is on Mortgage2. Issue SCN Accordingly. <p>Accordingly, a Show cause Notice was issued to the institution on 16.05.2016.</p>
--	--	--

		<p>The institution submitted reply on 20.06.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 317th meeting held on 28th -30th July, 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The land used for the College of Education as per LUC and Plan viz 491/2D, 490/4A/490/4C are covered under mortgage as per EC.• Pl. ask the institution to explain. <p>Accordingly, a letter was sent to the institution on 17.09.2016. The institution submitted its written representation on 23.08.2016 along with documents.</p> <p>The SRC in its 323rd meeting held on 16th - 18th November, 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The Mortgage issue is cleared by EC dated May 2016.2. Issue LOI for M.Ed (1 Unit). <p>Accordingly, Letter of intent sent to the institution on 29.11.2016,</p> <p>The institution has not submitted reply so far.</p> <p>The Committee considered the matter of Non-submission of reply to the Letter of Intent and has observed as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The LOI was issued only in November 2016.2. Give them further time till 15 Feb. 2017 failing which we will be constrained to reject the application. <p>And directed to issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</p>
--	--	--

40	<p>SRCAPP2016 30127</p> <p>B.A.B.Ed- B.Sc.B.Ed</p> <p>G E T</p> <p>Integrated College Education, Vellore Tamilnadu</p>	<p>Guruvappa Naidu Educational Trust, V S Puram Village, Paradarami P.O, Vellore City & District-632603,Tamilnadu had applied for grant of recognition to G E T Integrated College of Education, Plot No.30/1 & 30/2, V S Puram Village, Paradarami P.O, Vellore City & District-632603,Tamilnadu for offering B.A.B.Ed.,B.Sc.B.Ed integrated course of four years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 14.06.2016.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 14.06.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 22.06.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.A.B.Ed.,B.Sc.B.Ed course in the State of Tamilnadu.</p> <p>As per the direction, the application was scrutinized online along with hard copy of the application and the same was placed before the Committee.</p> <p>The SRC in its 326th meeting held during 4th to 5th January, 2017 considered the scrutiny of the application and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title is in order. 2. LUC is in order. 3. EC refers to other Sy.Nos. also. There is a reference to 5 year lease which has been replaced by Gift Deed. 4. BP is in order. 5.1. BCC is in order. Built-up area is adequate for B.Ed.(2 units), M.Ed.(1 unit) and B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. (2 units) 5.2. They should clarify whether they want B.A. B.Ed. (1 unit) + B.Sc. B.Ed.(1 unit) or B.A. B.Ed.(2 units) or B.Sc. B.Ed.(2 units) 6. Fee paid. 7. Cause composite inspection.
----	--	--

		<p>As per the decision of 326th meeting of SRC and as per Regulations, 2014, the decision of the Committee to cause composite inspection of the institution was communicated to the V.T. Members through on-line mode on 13.01.2017.</p> <p>On 15.01.2017, one of the VT Members has given acceptance for the visit of the institution. The visit schedule is during 24.01.2017 to 13.02.2017.</p> <p>On 22.01.2017, the other VT Member has rejected the visit of the institution.</p> <p>On 23.01.2017, a letter dated 20.01.2017 was received by this office from G.E.T. College of Education seeking postponement of inspection which is as under :-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“ We request the honorable Regional Director to <u>kindly postpone</u> the visit of the visiting team to our institution as we have yet to fulfill the instructional and infrastructural facilities due to some unavoidable circumstances. Kindly cooperate and do the needful.”</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the request of the institution dated 20.1.2017 and has decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The request for postponement is accepted. But, since VT inspection has already been ordered, if the VT has moved, the institution must bear the cost of another VT inspection.2. It should also be noted that, by this postponement, the institution runs the risk of losing the opportunity for getting an FR w.e.f. 2017-18.
--	--	---

Consideration of applications 2016-2017, VT report, SCN reply, Consideration for inspection and Non Submission of reply: (Volume-2)

41	SRCAPP2314 M.Ed 1 Unit KG Prasada Rao ANR College of Education, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh	<p>Akkineni Nageswara Rao College Committee, NO. P.B.No. 20, Vijayawada Road, Gudivada Village, Post, Taluk & City, Krishna District-521301, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to KG Prasada Rao ANR College of Education, R.S.No. 198/2, VZA Road, Bhushanagulla Panchayat Village, Gudivada Post, Taluk & City, Krishna District-521301, Andhra Pradesh for offering M.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on 20.05.2015. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 26.05.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A copy of application sent to the state Government recommendation on 03.06.2015, followed by Reminder-I was sent on 17.07.2015.</p> <p>Sub-clause (3) of Clause (5) of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-</p> <p><i>“(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application.”</i></p> <p>Sub-clause (2) of Clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:-</p> <p><i>“(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance-</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><i>a) Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;</i><i>b) Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of</i>
----	--	---

		<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application. “</i></p> <p>Sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application.”</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The institution has submitted land documents in (regional language). Which is not in favor of Society/Institution.• The applicant not signed all pages of the hard copy of on -line application submitted by the institution. <p>The SRC in its 291st meeting held on 20th & 21st August, 2015 considered the matter, and after careful perusal of the original application for M.Ed Course for the session 2016-17 submitted on-line on 20.05.2015 and hard copy on 26.05.2015, decided to Summarily Reject the application as per 7 2(b) of Regulations 2014 on the following ground.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The institution has submitted land documents in (regional language). Which is not in favor of Society/Institution. <p>As per the decision of SRC, rejection order was issued to the institution on 15.10.2015.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution has preferred an appeal to NCTE Hqrs and the appellate authority vide order No. F.No.89-216/2015 Appeal/6th Meeting-2016 dated 09.06.2016 has stated as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“.....Sh. K.S Appa Rao, Treasure and Sh. K. Sreenivasa Rao, Superident, K.G Prasad Rao ANR College of Education, Gudivada Krishna, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellat institution</i></p>
--	--	---

		<p>on 13.01.2016. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “the Society by the name of Godivada College committee purchased land measuring 5.18 acres in the year 1958. This piece of land was registered on 14.04.1958 in the name of the then president of Godivada College Committee. Notarised English version of the document (which is in vernacular) is enclosed. The Gudivada College was renamed as “Akkineni Nageswar Rao College” in the year 1959 and all the assets of the “Godivada College” became the assets of “Akkineni Nageswara Rao College” administered by the Society called the “College committee Akkineni Nageswara Rao College” from 1959. Once again, in the year 2000 the society of “College Committee of Akkineni Nageswara Rao College” was renamed as “Akkineni Nageswara Rao College Committee, Gudivada”. The K.G Prasad Rao- ANR College of Education is in possession of 5.18 acres of land in R.S No.198/2 purchased in the year 1958, and the irrevocable resolution of the “College committee of Akkineni Nageswara Rao College” holds good as on date”.</p> <p>AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that land documents submitted by the appellant institution are in the name of president, Gidivada College Committee whereas the appellant institution/Society is ‘A.N Rao College Committee’. The appellant during the course of appeal presentation stated that the name of ‘Gudivada College Committee’ was changed to ‘A.N Rao College Committee’ and so the landed assets on which institution building is located are now owned by the appellant society. The appellant requested for grant of another opportunity to submit documentary evidence in this regard. Appeal committee decided to grant another (second) opportunity to the appellant for submission of legally acceptable documentary evidence to prove that land registered in the name of Gudivada College Committee’ is now owned by ‘A.N Rao College Committee’.</p> <p>AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memoranda of appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, appeal committee concluded to grant another (second) opportunity to appellant for submission of necessary evidence.</p>
--	--	---

		<p>AND WHEREAS Sh K.S Appa Rao Treasure, K.G Prasad Rao A.N.R College of Education, Gudivada Krishna, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 30.04.2016 i.e the second opportunity granted to them. In the course of presentation the appellant submitted a letter dt.22.04.2016 with which a self attested copy of a certificate No.RC.AI/194/2016 dt. 13.04.2016 issued by the Tahsildar, Gudivada has been enclosed. This certificate certifies that the properties belonging to “the Gudivada College Committee”, Gudivada, which was in existence from 1950 have become the properties of “Akkineni Nageswara Rao College Committee, Gudivada” which came into existence from 1959 by virtue of being the successor of the Gudivada College Committee. It is further certified that Akkineni Nageswara Rao College Committee, Gudivada possessed and owned lands in different R.S Nos measuring 23 acres and 43 cents in Bethavolu Village of Gudivada Mandal.</p> <p>AND WHEREAS the committee, noting the submission of the appellant, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to process the application further as per the NCTE Regulations. The appellant is directed to forward the English Versions of land documents and a copy of the certificate dt.13.04.2016 issued by the Thasildar, Gudivada to the SRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.</p> <p>AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to <u>SRC with a direction to process the application further as per the NCTE Regulations. The appellant is directed to forward the English Versions of the land documents and a copy of the certificate dt.13.04.2016 issued by the Tahsildar, Gudivada to the SRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.</u></p> <p><u>NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby remands back the case of .G Prasada Rao A.N.R College of Education, Gudivada Krishna, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above”.</u></p>
--	--	--

		<p>The SRC in its 317th meeting held on 28th-30th July, 2016 considered the appellate authority order dated 09.06.2016 and it has decided to "<u>Process</u>".</p> <p>As per the appellate authority directions, the institution has submitted written representation along with photocopy of sale deed and certificate dated 13.04.2016 issued by Tahsildar on 30.06.2016. The letter stating as follows:</p> <p><i>".. we applied for M.Ed course in our College of Education from the academic year 2016-17 and it was rejected as per your letter in the reference 2nd cited above. We submitted our appeal through online on rejection of our application to the NCT, New Delhi in the reference 3rd cited above.</i></p> <p><i>In continuation of the above, we attended before the personal hearing committee meeting on 13.01.2016 & 30.04.2016 at NCTE, New Delhi and submitted the necessary documents as required in the reference 4th & 5th cited above.</i></p> <p><i>Now, we are herewith submitting the following documents as directed by NCTE, New Delhi in the order dated 09.06.2016 in the reference 6th cited above, which is received on 23.06.2016 (copy enclosed).</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><i>1. Notarised English version of the land documents.</i><i>2. A copy of the certificate issued by Mandal Revenue Officer/ Tahsildar (<u>Mandal Executive Magistrate</u>), Gudivada Mandal relating to the possession and ownership of land.</i> <p><u><i>Hence, we request you to accord permission to start the M.Ed course of 2 years duration with one unit (50) in our college of Education from the academic year 2016-17"</i></u></p> <p>The SRC in its 320th meeting held on 19th – 20th September 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Land document is in order
--	--	--

		<ol style="list-style-type: none">2. Building Plan is not approved by the competent authority3. Built up area is inadequate4. LUC is in order5. EC is in order6. NAAC Certificate is in order7. FDRs not given8. Fee paid in full9. Cause composite inspection10. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents <p>Accordingly, the names of VT members were generated online and inspection during the period 06.11.2016 to 26.11.2016. The inspection was conducted on 21.11.2016 and the VT report received on 23.11.2016 along with documents and CD.</p> <p>The Committee considered the VT report, CD and all other documents received on 23.11.2016 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Title is clear.2. LUC is in order.3. EC in original is not given.4. BP/BCC are in order.5. FDRs not given.6. Issue LOI for M.Ed.(1 unit). Ask them to submit FDRs along with faculty list. <p>And directed to issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</p>
--	--	---

42	SRCAPP3110 B.Ed-2 Units Ramanuja College Education, Medak, Telangana	<p>Sharada Educational Society, Plot No. H.No. 9-86/A, Vikas School Road Street, Ponnal Village, Siddipet Post, Siddipet Taluk, Medak District - 502277, Telangana had applied for grant of recognition to Ramanujan College of Education, Plot No. H.No. 9-86/A, VS Road, Ponnal Village, Siddipet Post, Siddipet Taluk, Medak District-502277, Telangana for offering B.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.05.2015. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 08.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014.</p> <p>A copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 16.07.2015.</p> <p>Sub-clause (2) of clause (7) of the Regulations 2014 read as under:-</p> <p>2a. Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the NCTE Rules 1997 On or before the date of submission of online application.</p> <p>2b. Failure to submit printout of the applications made online along with Land documents</p> <p>As required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within 15 days of the submission of online application</p> <p>The SRC considered the matter in its 291st meeting held on 20th & 21st August 2015, and on careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other related documents, the Regional Committee decided to summarily reject the application as per Regulations 2(a)/2(b) on the following ground:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Institution has not submitted hard copy of application within 15 days from the date of online submission of application. <p>As per the decision of SRC a rejection order was issued to the institution on</p>
----	--	--

		<p>14.10.2015.</p> <p>NCTE-Hqrs letter dated 14.01.2016 received on 19.01.2016 stating as follows;</p> <p><i>“...the directions of chairperson NCTE, as conveyed, in this office vide above mentioned letter dated 15.07.2014, extending the date of acceptance of the hardcopy of the applications for 2016-17, up to 15.07.2015 is reiterated for compliance.”</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 304th meeting held on 19th – 20th February 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <p>1. NOC not given 2. Issue SCN for rejection</p> <p>Accordingly, as directed Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 25.03.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation along with NOC on 19.05.2016 stating as follows:</p> <p><i>“With reference to the subject cited, I submitted proposals to start B.Ed course in this college from the year 2016-17. Now I am submitting NOC issued by Osmania University to start B.Ed course in this College. Kindly accept the same and process our Proposals to grant recognition for B.Ed Course in this college.”</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 317th meeting held on 28th – 30th July 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <p>The NOC in this case was issued by the University (and, submitted by the applicant) after 3.5.2016 i.e., after 2.5.2016 extended dateline for issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2016-17. We</p>
--	--	--

		<p>cannot, therefore, consider this case for commencement from 2016-17. This can, however, be processed further for consideration towards issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2017-18.</p> <p>Cause inspection accordingly in this case.</p> <p>Accordingly, the names of VT members were generated online and inspection during the period 11.11.2016 to 30.11.2016. The inspection was conducted on 05.12.2016 and the VT report received on 09.12.2016 along with documents and CD.</p> <p>The Committee considered the VT report, CD and all other documents received on 9.12.2016 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The VT report is seen.2. Title is clear.3. LUC is in order.4. EC is in order.5. BP is in order.6. BCC is in order.7. FDRs not in original.8. Issue LOI for B.Ed.(2 units).9. Ask them to submit FDRs along with faculty list.10. And directed to issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.
--	--	--

43	<p>SRCAPP2231</p> <p>B.Ed -2 Units</p> <p>Jnanabharathi College of Education, Chitradurga, Karnataka</p>	<p>Jnanabharathi Education Society, Plot No.136/6, NH-4 service Road, Yaradakatte Village, Hiriyr Taluk & City, Hiriyr Post office, Chitradurga District-577599 Karnataka had applied for grant of recognition to Jnanabharathi College of Education, Plot No.136/6, NH-4 Street, Yaradakatte Village, Hiriyr Taluk & City, Hiriyr Post office, Chitradurga District-577599 Karnataka for offering B.Ed course of two years duration for the academic section 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on 20.04.2015 The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 06.05.2015.</p> <p>The institution submitted No Objection Certificate dated 05.05.2015 issued by the Registrar, Davanagere University, Davanagere-577002, Karnataka along with the hard copy of the application.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE(Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014.</p> <p>Sub-clause (2) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:-</p> <p>“(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance-</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">a) <i>Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;</i></p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">b) <i>Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application. “</i></p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"><i>Sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:-</i></p> <p style="margin-left: 80px;"><i>“While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application.”</i></p> <p>Sub- clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 : (Manner of making</p>
----	--	---

		<p>application and time limit) stipulates as under:-</p> <p>“(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically alongwith the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. <i>While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application.</i>”</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Failure to submit Print out of the application made online within 15 days of the submission of the online application.(online application dated 20.4.2015 hard copy received on 06.05.2015).</i> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 291st meeting held during 20th& 21st August,2015 considered the matter, and decided to Summarily reject the application as per clause 7 2(b) of Regulations, 2014 on the following ground:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Failure to submit Print out of the application made online within 15 days of the submission of the online application. <p>As per the decision of SRC, a rejection order issued to the institution on 26.10.2015.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the Rejection order of SRC, the institution filed an appeal before the appellate authority, NCTE Hqrs.</p> <p>On 19.01.2016, a letter was received by this office from the NCTE Hqrs regarding acceptance of hard copy of application up to 15.07.2015 which is as under:-</p> <p><i>“I am directed to refer to this office letter of even no. dated 15th July, 2015 conveying therein orders of Chairperson in paragraph 4 of the letter that 15th July, 2015 will be the last date for submission of</i></p>
--	--	---

		<p><i>hardcopy of the application for the academic session 2015-16. Irrespective of the date of online submission. In this regard attention is invited to a subsequent letter dated 07th September, 2015, wherein under the last line of paragraph I, the position as it exists in clause 7 of the Regulation 2014 has been indicated. It is hereby clarified that inclusion of this line was an inadvertant mistake therefore was not necessary and may be ignored. As such the directions of the Chairperson NCTE, as conveyed in this office vide above mentioned letter dated 15th July,2014, extending the date of acceptance of the hardcopy of the applications for 2016-17, up to 15th July, 2015 is reiterated for compliance.</i></p> <p><i>This issues with the approval of the Chairperson NCTE.”</i></p> <p>Accordingly, the application was processed and the SRC in its 304th meeting held during 19th to 20th considered the matter and decided as under:-</p> <p>“1. This is a reopened ‘delayed’ submission case. 2. They have not provided any information requiring support from other Teacher Education Courses or liberal Arts/Science courses. 3. Issue SCN for ‘stand alone’ status.”</p> <p>In the mean time, based on the website information, the institution submitted reply to the show cause notice on 28.03.2016 enclosing a copy of the latter from Davanagere University that their application for new degree course is under process.</p> <p>The SRC in its 308th meeting held during 28th to 30th March 2016 considered the show cause notice reply and decided as under:-</p> <p>“1. A non-existent course can not provide the composite status required. 2. Their reply is rejected. 3. The application for B.Ed is also rejected.”</p> <p>The appellate authority vide order F.No.89-323/2015 Appeal/3rd Meeting-</p>
--	--	--

	<p>2016/25994 dated 18.04.2016 remanded the case as under:-</p> <p><i>“Appeal committee noted from the refusal order that the appellant institution submitted online application for B.Ed course on 20.04.2015 and hard copy thereof was submitted on 06.05.2015. Appeal committee further noted that NCTE (HQ) had issued directions addressed to all Regional Committee offices stating that 15.07.2015 shall be the last date for submission of hard copy of application along with N.O.C. irrespective of the date of online application. Noting that the appellant institution submitted hard copy of application much before the cut-off date, Appeal committee decided to remand back the case to S.R.C.for further processing of the application.</i></p> <p><i>After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record, Appeal committee concluded to remand back the case to S.R.C Bangalore, for further processing of the application.</i></p> <p><i>The council hereby remands back the case of Jnanabharathi College of Education, Chitradurga, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.”</i></p> <p>SRC in its 312th meeting held during 28th to 20th April 2016 considered the matter and decided as under :-</p> <p><i>“1. Their representations against the rejection order is seen 2. Issue a SCN for rejection and give them an opportunity to challenge the action proposed.”</i></p> <p>On 29.04.2016, based on website information, the institution submitted reply to the points of SCN as under :-</p> <p><i>“From the above cited subject we are furnishing information regarding requiring support from other teacher education or liberal arts /science courses. We have submitted application to open a degree courses in Davangere University under the same Society. Due to this our new college will not come under the ‘stand alone’ institution .”</i></p> <p><u>The institution submitted a copy of Letter dated 29.04.2016 from the Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Karnataka</u></p>
--	--

	<p><u>addressed to the Registrar, Davangere University directing the University to affiliate Jnana Bharathi First Grade College for offering B.A and B.Com courses from the academic session 2015-16.</u></p> <p>The SRC in its 313th meeting held during 02nd& 3rd May, 2016 considered the reply and decided as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“1. The reply given refers only to a letter from the Govt. to the University for affiliation. What is required is proof of affiliation granted. 2. Issue SCN accordingly.”</p> <p>On 02.05.2016, based on website information, the institution submitted reply as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“From the above cited subject we are furnishing information regarding requiring support from other Teacher Education or liberal Arts/ Science courses. We have submitted application to open a degree courses in Davangere University under the same society and the <u>order copy affiliating body</u> is also attached with this. Due to this our new college will not comes under the stand alone Institution.”</p> <p>The institution submitted the following documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Order copy of Degree College.2. Order copy from affiliating body (Davangere University). <p>The SRC in its 317th meeting held during 28th to 30th June,2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. They have furnished documents in Support of the claim against stand-alone status.2. Process. <p>As per the decision of SRC, the application was processed.</p> <p>The SRC in its 323rd meeting held during 16th – 18th November, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. “Title is there.
--	--

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. LUC and EC are in order. 3. BP and BCC are in order. 4. Built-up-area is adequate. 5. Cause Inspection. 6. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents. 7. Ask VT to check in particular contiguity of the liberal educational courses providing composite status.” <p>Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 24.11.2016 & 29.11.2016. VT members names were generated through online VT module for inspection during the period 26.11.2016 to 16.12.2016. Inspection of the institution was conducted on 07.12.2016 and the VT report along with documents received on 16.12.2016.</p> <p>The Committee considered the VT report, CD and all other documents received on 16.12.2016 and observed as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title is there. 2. LUC/EC are in order. 3. BP/BCC are in order. 4. Original FDRs given. <p>And directed to Issue LOI for B.Ed.(2 units).</p>
44	SRCAPP14728 B.P.Ed-1 Unit Pasumpon Muthuramalinga Thevar College, Madurai, Tamilnadu	<p>Kallar Kalavi Kalagam, Plot No.1, Usilampatti Post and Taluk, Madurai District-625532, Tamilnadu applied for grant of recognition to Pasumpon Muthuramalinga Thevar college, Plot No.3, Vadugapatti Village, Usilampatti, Madurai-625532, Tamilnadu for offering B.P.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2015. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 07.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and</p>

	<p>Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014, a letter to State Govt sent on 16.07.2015.</p> <p>Sub-section (2) of Section 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:-</p> <p>“(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance-</p> <p>(a) Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;</p> <p>(b) Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application. “</p> <p>Sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:-</p> <p>“While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application.”</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The institution has not submitted land documents within 15 days from the date of online application.2. The institution has submitted only one set of application instead of submission of triplicate copies.3. NOC not submitted4. Not signed by the applicant on every page of application. <p>The SRC in its 291st meeting held on 20th – 21st August 2015, considered the matter and decided as under:</p>
--	--

		<p style="text-align: center;">“Summarily rejected for the deficiency cited in the agenda note”</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 13.10.2015. The institution has submitted NOC on 27.04.2016 and written representation on 29.04.2016, the same was considered by SRC in its 314th meeting held on 27th & 28th May, 2016 considered the institutions written representation and it has decided to process the application for the</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Their reply is accepted.2. Process.3. BP & BCC have not been given. <p>The SRC in its 323rd meeting held on 16th & 18th November, 2016 The Committee considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Land documents have to be given in full. The patta has to be supported by other documents eg., allotment order of the Govt.• BP is approved by somebody without seal and designation.• BCC is in order.• LUC/EC-not given.• Original FDRs and Faculty list should be given later.• Fee paid.• Cause inspection.• 1. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.• 2. Ask VT to check adequacy of facilities. <p>As per the decision of SRC, inspection intimation was sent to the institution through on-line VT module, VT Members Names were generated through On-line VT module. Visiting Team report was received on 17.11.2016.</p> <p>The documents submitted by institution along with VT Report.</p> <p>The Committee considered the VT report along with documents, CD and decided as under:-</p>
--	--	---

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Land documents are not registered, not certified and not with English version. 2. LUC not given. 3. EC is clear. 4. BP approving authority is not clear. 5. BCC is clear. 6. Built-up area is adequate. Land area is adequate. 7. FDRs- original not given. <p>And directed to issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</p>
45	<p>SRCAPP14188</p> <p>B.A.B.Ed B.Sc.B.Ed-</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Ramana College of Education, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Renuka Educational Society, Plot No.255/1, Raja Street, Rellivalasa Village & Post, Pusapatirega Taluk, Vizianagaram City, Vizianagaram District – 535204, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Ramana College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.255/1, Raja Street, Rellivalasa Village & Post, Pusapatirega Taluk, Vizianagaram City, Vizianagaram District – 535204, Andhra Pradesh for offering B.A,B.Sc course for four years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on 28.06.2015. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 02.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014.</p> <p>A letter was sent to State Government for recommendation on 14.07.2015, followed by Reminder-I on 19.11.2015 and Reminder-II on 14.12.2015. Letter</p>

	<p>to institution for furnishing information on 'Composite' character on 19.11.2015.</p> <p>Sub-clause (3) of Clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-</p> <p><i>“(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application.</i></p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• NOC dated 27.05.2015 issued by SCERT is for new Diploma in Elementary Education course i.e. Ramana College of Elementary Education and not for B.A,B.Sc course. <p>The SRC in its 292nd meeting held on 29th& 30th September, 2015 the committee considered the matter and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for non submission of NOC.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 21.10.2015</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 06.11.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 294th meeting held on 14th – 16th November, 2015 the committee considered the matter and decided as under:</p>
--	--

		<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. NOC submitted by the applicant is dated 30.06 .2015, which is before 15.07.2015.2. Process the application for causing inspection. <p>The reminder letter was sent to State government on 19.11.2015 followed by reminder II on 14.12.2015.</p> <p>The Sub Section (7) of Section 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p>“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course”.</p> <p>The application was processed and placed before SRC in its 296th meeting held on 15th& 16thDecember, 2015 has considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Building Plan and affidavit to be submitted2) Built up area adequate of 2 units of one programme and 1 unit of second programme3) Original FDRs to be submitted4) Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Buildingdocuments5) Cause Composite Inspection <p>As per the decision of SRC inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 16.01.2016. The Inspection of the institution was conducted</p>
--	--	---

	<p>on 26.01.2016 and VT report along with documents received on 29.01.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 301st meeting held on 05th – 06th February, 2016 the committee considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Built up area is not at all available for both the new programmes applied for.• Issue SCN for rejection. <p>Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 29.02.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 10.03.2016 stating as follows:</p> <p><i>“According to your reference cited show cause notice we humble intimate you that at the time of applying the application for D.El.Ed and B.Sc.B.Ed. we are unable to understand the required sufficient buildup area so that we constructed our building with 2200 sqm. The available 2200 sqm is sufficient for D.El.Ed two units (100 seats) according to NCTE norms and restriction, and then we will have to build additional 1500 sqm within three months, without fail then the total buildup area will be 3700 sqm.</i></p> <p><i>We have degree program so give us D.El.Ed (2 units) now and B.Sc.B.Ed later.”</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 308th Meeting held on 28th-30th March, 2016 considered the matter and it was decided as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Issue LOI for D.El.Ed (2 Units)2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished3. Only if these are given on or before 02.05.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.4. Time is given for submission of further details in the B.Sc.B.Ed
--	---

		<p>matter when the additional accommodation is ready. Only, after 2.05.2016 we can not consider cases for 2016-17.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted a letter dated 29.04.2016 stating as follows:</p> <p><i>“According to NCTE notification we applied for B.Sc.B.Ed Integrated course (2 units) of Ramana B.Ed College under Renuka Educational Society. At the time of NCTE committee Visiting we were not complete the building. We appeal to your grace notice. The NCTE has given the time to complete the building within three months. Now we completed our B.Ed college building with 2200 sq.mts. As per norms of 2014 NCTE rule and regulations.</i></p> <p><i>So kindly request you that you may kindly accept our request and to issue letter of intent.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 313th meeting held on 02nd-03rd May, 2016 considered the matter and it was decided to 1. Cause inspection of the additional built-up area in reference. 2. This case can be considered only for 2017-18.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted a letter dated 10.05.2016 stating as follows:</p> <p><i>“We submit that 301 meeting on February 5th & 6th SRCAPPP14188 has given as permission to complete the building for our B.Sc.B.Ed within 3 months. We are ready with building of 2200 sq.mts. We request you to cause inspection and grant LOI for 1 unit each of B.Sc.B.Ed & B.AB.Ed.”</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 314th meeting held on 27th – 28th May 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Cause inspection for B.Sc.B.Ed <p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, a inspection intimation letter was sent to the institution on 03.08.2016.</p> <p>The names of VT members were generated online and inspection during the</p>
--	--	---

		<p>period 10.11.2016 to 27.11.2016. The inspection was conducted on 26.11.2016 and the VT report received on 01.12.2016 along with documents and CD.</p> <p>The Committee considered the VT report along with documents received on 1.12.2016 , CD and observed as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title is clear. 2. NOC is given. 3. LUC is given. 4. EC is in order. Latest EC is required. 5. BP - not approved by competent authority. 6. BCC – is in format. But, it has over-writings. 7. Original FDRs required. <p>And directed to issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</p>
46	<p>SRCAPP14686</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>Sri Rama College of Education, Medak, Telangana</p>	<p>Vikas Educational Society, No. 128, Near Water Tank Road, Akbarpet Village, Bhoompally Post, Mirdoddi Taluk, Akbarpet City, Medak District-502102, Telangana had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Rama College of Education, No. 128/a and 128/e, Plot No. 128, Near W Tan Street, Akbarpet Village, Bhoompally Post, Mirdoddi Taluk, Akbarpet City, Medak District-502102, Telangana for B.Ed course for two year duration under section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 30.06.2015. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 08.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition norms and Procedures) Regulations 2014 notified by NCTE on 28.11.2014.</p>

		<p>A letter was sent to the State Government for recommendation on 16.07.2015.</p> <p>Sub section 3 of section 5 of the Regulations 2014 read as under:-</p> <p><i>“The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body”.</i></p> <p>The SRC considered the matter in its 292nd meeting held on 29th& 30th September 2015, and on careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other related documents, the Regional Committee decided to issue Show Cause Notice.</p> <p>Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 21.10.2015.</p> <p>The institution has not submitted reply to the SCN even after the stipulated period of 21 days. (Till date)</p> <p>The SRC in its 298th meeting held on 08th – 10th January 2016, the committee considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <p><i>“Rejected for non-submission of reply to SCN issued for non-submiss</i> Accordingly, Rejection order was issued to the institution on 15.02.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation along with NOC on 19.05.2016 stating as follows:</p> <p><i>“With reference to the subject cited, I submitted proposals to start B.Ed course in this college from the year 2016-17. Now I am submitting NOC issued by Osmania University to start B.Ed course in this College. Kindly accept the same and process our Proposals to grant recognition for B.Ed Course in this college.”</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 317th meeting held on 28th – 30th July, 2016 considered the</p>
--	--	--

		<p>matter and decided as under:</p> <p>The NOC in this case was issued by the University (and, submitted by the applicant) after 3.5.2016 ie., after 2.5.2016 extended dateline for issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2016-17. We can not, therefore, consider this case for commencement from 2016-17. This can, however, be processed further for consideration towards issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2017-18.</p> <p>Cause inspection accordingly in this case.</p> <p>As per decision of SRC, inspection intimation and names of VT Members were generated through online VT module. Inspection of the institution was conducted on 02.12.2016. VT report along with documents and CD received on 07.12.2016.</p> <p>The Committee considered the VT report along with documents and CD and observed as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. D.El.Ed.(1 unit) is there to support.2. Title is clear. Land area is not adequate.3. LUC & EC – are in order.4. BP is in order.5. BCC is in order. Built-up area is adequate for D.El.Ed.(1 unit) and B.Ed.(1 unit).6. FDRs not given. <p>And directed to issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</p>
--	--	--

47	SRCAPP2534 M.P.Ed 1 Unit Sri Sai College of Physical Education, Nellore, A.P.	<p>Sri Sai Educational Society, Plot No.1-148A, Main Road, Enimerla Village and Post Office, Pamur Mandal Taluk Pamur Town/City, Prakasam District-523108, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Sai College of Physical Education, Plot/Khasara No. 604/15, 604/16, Street No.Vinjamur, Vinjamur Village and Post Office, Vanjamur Taluk and Town/City, Nellore District-524228, Andhra Pradesh for M.P.Ed course of two years duration under section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 28.05.2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 03.06.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition norms and Procedures) Regulations 2014 notified by NCTE on 28.11.2014.</p> <p>Sub clause 3 of clause 5 of the Regulations 2014 read as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body.</p> <p>The SRC, in its 291st meeting held during 20th & 21st August, 2015 considered the matter and on careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other related documents, the Regional Committee decided to issue <u>Show Cause Notice for 'Rejection'</u> of the application on the following ground:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li style="text-align: center;">• 'Non-Submission of NOC issued by the affiliating body along with hard copy of the application'. <p>As per decision of SRC a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 24.10.2015.</p> <p>On, 16.11.2015 a letter dated 13.11.2015 is received by this office from the Secretary & Correspondent , Sri Sai College of Physical Education, Viniampur, SPSR Nellore Distrit, Andhra Pradesh</p> <p>The SRC in its 295th meeting held during 28th & 30th November, 2015 has considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with insitution's reply dated 13-11-2015 to the show cause notice issued and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li style="text-align: center;">• NOC is given. But, it is dated after 15 July, 2015. This is
----	--	--

		<p style="text-align: center;">violative of the instruction issued by NCTE. Reject.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC a rejection order was issued to the institution vide No. F.No.SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP2534 / M.P.Ed/AP2016-17/79244 dated 06.01.2016.</p> <p>In the mean time, the SRC in its 300th meeting held during 29th – 31st January 2016, vide dated 31.01.2016 and decided as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“In the backdrop of representations received from applicant- institutions about inappropriateness of the requirement to submit NOC from the Affiliating Body, the Committee considered the request for reconsideration of all cases rejected on this ground. In this connection, all related legal and other implications as well as the irreparable difficulties caused to applicant-institutions were considered. The Committee also reckoned with the possible scope for vexatious litigations likely to arise on this account. Keeping in mind the over-all public interest, the Committee revised its earlier stand to reject all cases of non-submission or delayed submission of NOCs and decided to reopen and process all such rejected cases by accepting NOCs even now irrespective of their dates of issue.”</p> <p>The SRC in its 303rd meeting held during 15th February, 2015 consider the matter, document submitted by the institution for M.P.Ed (SRCAPP2534) Course along with hard copy of application and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Contiguity with existing B.P.Ed.2. Cause Composite Inspection.3. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents. <p>As per the decision of SRC, a Composite inspection the institution was conducted on 23.02.2016 and Visiting Team report is received by this office on 24.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 305th meeting held during 25th to 26th February, 2016 considered the VT report and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Issue LOI for M.P.Ed (1 Unit)2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal
--	--	---

		<p style="text-align: center;">Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, LOI was issued to the institution on 26.02.2016. The institution has submitted a reply to LOI on 03.03.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 306th meeting held during 01st to 04th March, 2016 considered the LOI reply and decided as under:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Issue Formal Recognition for M.P.Ed (1 unit) w.e.f 2016-17. <p>As per the decision of SRC, a formal recognition and letter was issue to the institution on 25.04.2016.</p> <p>On 29.04.2016 a letter is received by this office from the Secretary & Correspondent, Sri Sai Educational Society, Rampur, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh is as under:-</p> <p>“Kindly refer to your Letter of Intent letter cited above in this regard kindly consider the following requisites and the enclosed documents in proof of the same for additional intake under regulations 2014 and take favourable orders.</p> <p>We are construction three floor new building with 2512.8 sq.mtrs. already existing building 2787.63 sq.mtrs. Total plinth area 5300.53. We have provide all facilities.</p> <p>If new building not accepted we are ready to reduce existing B.P.Ed course 1 unit i.e.50 seats.</p> <p>The following documents are submitted:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Letter of intent.2. B.P.Ed course permission order i.e. LOI and Formal Recognition.3. Sketch plan of the new and existing building approved by competent authority.4. New and existing building photos.
--	--	--

		<p style="text-align: right;">Building</p> <p>5. completion certificate.</p> <p>In view of the above the NCTE, SRC may kindly considered and give the additional intake 1 unit for 40 seats for M.P.Ed course.”</p> <p>On 02.05.2016 a letter is received by this office from the Secretary & Correspondent, Sri Sai Educational Society, Rampur, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh regarding submission of reply to the letter dated 25.04.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 313th meeting held during 02nd & 03rd May, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-</p> <table border="0"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">1.</td><td style="text-align: center;">Cause</td></tr><tr><td style="text-align: center;">2.</td><td style="text-align: center;">Collect</td></tr><tr><td style="text-align: center;">3.</td><td style="text-align: center;">This case</td></tr></table> <p style="text-align: center;">Inspection of the additional built up area in reference the 2nd unit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">inspection fee before causing inspection</p> <p style="text-align: center;">can be considered only for 2017-18.</p> <p>The VT report along with documents and CD received on 26.08.2016.</p> <p>The Committee considered the VT report along with documents and CD received on 26.8.2016 and observed as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Title is clear.2. LUC/EC are in order.3. BP is given.4. BCC is in order. Built-up area is adequate for B.P.Ed.(1 unit), M.P.Ed.(1 unit) and M.P.Ed.-A.I.(1 unit).5. FDRs given.	1.	Cause	2.	Collect	3.	This case
1.	Cause							
2.	Collect							
3.	This case							

		And directed to Issue LOI for M.P.Ed.-AI(1 unit).
48	<p>SRCAPP2413</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Sri Venkateswara College of Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Ponnur Educational Development Society, Plot No. 335-1, GBC Road, Ponnur Village & P.O, Ponnur Taluk & City, Prakasam District-522124, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Venkateswara College of Education, Plot No. 335-1, G B C Road, Nidubrolu Village, Ponnur P.O, Taluk & City, Guntur District-522124, Andhra Pradesh for offering B.Ed course of two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 26/05/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 08/06/2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 22/06/2015, followed by Reminder I on 13/10/2015 and Reminder II on 19/11/2015.</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course”.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 295th meeting held on 28th-30th November & 01st December, 2015 considered the documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The applicant must submit copy of affiliation order from the concerned university for the existing liberal arts and science programmes to establish that the Teacher Education Programme applied for will be offered in a composite institution. 2. LUC, BP, BCC, EC & Original Affidavit not submitted.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none">3. Approved BP & BCC with earmarking for the proposed TEPs and liberal arts & science programmes to be submitted.4. Ask VT to obtain all relevant land and building documents.5. Cause composite inspection. <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 10th-30th January, 2016 the same was intimated to the institution, and VT members on 16.01.2016.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was conducted on 31.01.2016 and VT Report along with documents received in the office of SRC on 04.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 302nd Meeting held on 09th-11th February, 2016 considered the VT report and other relevant documents and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The space is not adequate for the three programmes applied for.2. They have to choose and indicate any two programmes-with two units each. <p>Before issuance of letter the institution submitted written representation based on the website information on 10.02.2016.</p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 302nd Meeting held on 09th-11th February, 2016 and the Committee considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Issue LOI for B.A;B.Ed (2 units)2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Issue LOI for D.El.Ed (2 units)2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible <p>The institution submitted a letter dated 05.05.2016 received in this office of SRC-NCTE on 06.05.2016 along with documents and stating as follows:</p>
--	--	--

		<p><i>“I am inform to you mamdam, we are having additional accommodation for B.Ed course. Total:8042.64 sq.mts of building plan and Building Completion Certificate is enclosed per your kind perusal.</i></p> <p><i>Hence, I request you Madam please kindly consider our request and grant of permission B.Ed course to Sri Venkateswara College of Education for the academic year 2016-17”.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 314th meeting held on 27th-28th May, 2016 considered the representation dated 05.05.2016 and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Now that additional built-up area has been reported, consider B.Ed (2 units).2. Cause Inspection. <p>As per the decision of SRC, VT fixed through on-line mode, the Inspection of the institution was conducted on 03.09.2016 and VT report along with CD received on 10.09.2016.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Visiting team report along with documents and CD received on 10.9.2016 and observed as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. They have already been given D.El.Ed.(2 units) and B.A. B.Ed.(2 units).2. The built-up area available(8042 sq.mts.) is adequate to accommodate B.Ed.(2 units) also. <p>And directed to Issue LOI for B.Ed.(2 units).</p>
--	--	---

49	<p>SRCAPP3244</p> <p>D.El.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Dr. Zakir Hussain College of Elementary Education, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>The India Education Trust, Plot No. 24-122, Prasad Nagar Street, Ibrahimpatnam Village & Post, Ibrahimpatnam Taluk, Krishna District-521456, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Dr. Zakir Hussain College of Elementary Education, Plot No. 24-122, Prasad Nagar Street, Ibrahimpatnam Village & Post, Ibrahimpatnam Taluk, Krishna District-521456, Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.05.2015. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 15.06.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014.</p> <p>A letter was sent to State Government for recommendation on 22.06.2015.</p> <p>Sub-clause (2) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:-</p> <p><i>“(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance-</i></p> <p><i>(a) Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;</i></p> <p><i>(b) Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application. “</i></p> <p>Sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:-</p> <p><i>“While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of</i></p>
----	---	---

		<p style="text-align: center;"><i>application, shall be attached along with the application.”</i></p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The institution has not submitted hard copy of application within 15 days of online submission.• The institution has submitted lease deed dated 11.09.1990.• The hard copy of application is not duly signed by the applicant on every page, as per Sub-section (3) of Section 5 of Regulations, 2014. <p>The SRC in its 291st meeting held on 20th -21st August, 2015 considered the matter and decided to Summarily Reject the application as per 72(b) of Regulations 2014 on the following ground.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The institution has not submitted hard copy of application within 15 days of online submission.• The institution has submitted lease deed dated 11.09.1990. <p>Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 20.10.2015.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide the appellate authority order F.No.89-183/2015 Appeal/1st Meeting-2016 dated 25.02.2016 stating as under:</p> <p><i>“...Appeal Committee noted that NCTE had issued instructions to all regional Committee offices that 15.07.2015 shall be the last date for submission of hard copy of application irrespective of the date of online application. Hard copy of the application of appellant institution was received in the office of S.R.C on 15.06.2015 which is well within the time limit prescribed.</i></p>
--	--	--

	<p>And Whereas, Appeal Committee further noted that the appellant Trust i.e. India Education Trust' had submitted copy of registered Lease deed in respect of land measuring 24 acres and 45 cents located in village Ibrahim Patnam, Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh. The Lessor in this case is Government Inam Khazi and the land belonged to AP Wakf Board, Hyderabad. The Wakf Board had agreed to lease out the land vide their resolution no.73/73 dated 28/02/73 and government of Andhra Pradesh had accorded permission as per their G.O MS.no.429 services (Wakf) Department dated 25.03.75. Appeal Committee noted that above facts have been narrated in the copy of registered Lease Deed, the refusal order dated 20.10.2015 issued by SRC Bangalore on the two grounds (i) submission of hard copy after 15 days of online application and (ii) submission of lease deed dated 11.09.1990 is not sustainable. Appeal Committee, therefore decided to remand back the case to SRC Bangalore for further processing of the application.</p> <p>AND WHEREAS, after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC for further processing of the application.</p> <p>NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Dr. Zakir Hussain College of Elementary Education, Ibrahimpatnam, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.</p> <p>The SRC in its 309th meeting held on 12th – 14th April, 2016 considered the appeal remand back case and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• To be kept in abeyance till reply is received for 14072. <p>The institution submitted written representation along with documents on 02.05.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 313th meeting held on 02nd & 03rd May, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p>
--	--

		<p style="text-align: center;">Cause inspection. VT to collect all relevant documents.</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent on 03.08.2016. Inspection of the institution was conducted on 01.10.2016 and VT report along with documents and CD received on 07.10.2016.</p> <p>The Committee considered the VT report along with documents and CD received on 7.10.2016 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title is clear. 2. LUC & EC are clear. 3. BP & BCC are clear. 4. The allied B.Ed. case (no.14072) has been rejected. In other words, the D.El.Ed. course becomes a 'stand alone' course. 5. NOC from SCERT is there. <p>And directed to Issue Show Cause Notice for rejection on the 'stand alone' character.</p>
50	<p>SRCAPP2418</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>Neelamma Tai B.Ed College, Gulbarga, Karnataka</p>	<p>Sharanabasaveshwar Vidya Vardhak sangha, Plot No.33/1, Bangalore Highway Street/Road, Hasnapur Village, Shorapur Post office, Shorapur Taluk & Town/City, Gulbarga District -585224, Karnataka has applied for grant of recognition to Neelamma Tai B.Ed College for women, Plot No.33/1, Hasnapur Village, Shorapur Taluk & City, Shorapur Post office, Gulbarga District -585220, Karnataka for offering B.Ed course of 2 years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 26.05.2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 01.06.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for</p>

		<p>recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 09.06.2015 Followed by recommendation 17.11.2015 and reminder II on 08.10.2015 The Sub Section (7) of Section 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><i>“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course”.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 297th meeting held during 27th to 28th December, 2015 has considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:-</p> <p><i>“1.The two earlier B.Eds are at a different place. 2.In the location cited for this B.Ed programme (SRCAPP2418), there are no other teacher education programme or any liberal education programmes. 3.In other words, this will be a standalone programme. “ Issue Show Cause Notice for rejection of the application accordingly. 4.The two earlier B.Eds are in the same location. How basic units of B.Ed at the same place ? Ask them to explain. Issue Show Cause Notice.”</i></p> <p>As per the decision of SRC a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 22.01. 2016.The institution has submitted a reply on 04.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 303rd meeting held during 15th February 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Keep application pending till approval for B.Com Programme is obtained from the university. <p>As per the decision of SRC, a letter is issued to the institution on 12.04.2016. The institution has reply to the letter on 26.04.2016 as under:-</p> <p><i>“We have got the approval for Basavarajappa Appa Degree College of</i></p>
--	--	---

		<p>Commerce, Hasanapur, Shorapur District, Yadgir under Gulbarga University, Gulbarga Ref: GUG/VMV/Affiliation-1/2015-16/2889 and recommended to the government approval and copy of the approval letter is enclosed with this letter. So it is requested to consider for the further process.”</p> <p>The SRC in its 312th meeting held during 28th -29th April, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-</p> <p>“1. Now that approval for the B.Com course has come we can process the B.Ed application.</p> <p>2. Cause inspection</p> <p>3. Ask VT to collect all documents especially the land documents .The land documents submitted are not clearly readable.”</p> <p>Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 10.11.2016 and 27.11.2016. VT has been generated through online VT module for inspection during 06.12.2016 to 8.12.2016.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was conducted on 04.12.2016 and the VT report received on 09.12.2016 along with documents and CD.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Visiting Team report along with documents received on 9.12.2016 along with CD and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Title is clear.2. LUC/EC- are in order.3. BP is approved. Built-up area given is 2127 sq.mts.4. BCC – not given.5. Building is not complete; still under construction as per VT report.
--	--	---

		<p>6. FDRs- only photocopies are given. Originals are required.</p> <p>And directed to issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</p>
51	<p>SRCAPP14976</p> <p>D.El.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Chintala Charvith Sai Reddy D.Ed College, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Sai Educational & Rural Development Society, Plot No-3-663, LBS Road, Piler Village, Piler Post & Taluk, Chittoor District-517214, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Chintala Charvith Sai Reddy D.Ed College, Plot No-210A, Agraharam Village, Piler Post & Taluk, Chittoor District-517214, Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2015. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 13.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter to the State Government for recommendation sent on 21.07.2015, followed by Reminder I on 27.10.2015 and letter for furnishing information on 'Composite' character on 27.10.2015.</p> <p>As per the data submitted in the online application the institution is not conducting any teacher education or UG/PG programmes referred to in Regulation 2(b) of Regulations, 2014.</p> <p>The institution did not reply to the letter dated 27.10.2015 sent for furnishing information in support of its composite character.</p> <p>Hence, this is a 'Stand-alone' institution and therefore may not be processed as per regulation 3 & 8(1).</p> <p>1. Regulation 3 reads as under:</p> <p><i>"These regulations shall be applicable to all matters relating to teacher education programmes for preparing norms and standards and procedures for recognition of institutions, commencement of new programmes and addition to sanctioned intake in the existing programmes including the</i></p>

		<p>following, namely:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">a) Recognition for commencement of new teacher education programmes which shall be offered in composite institutions;b) Permission for introduction of new programmes in existing teacher education institutions duly recognized by the Council;c) Permission for additional intake in the existing teacher education programmes duly recognized by the Council;d) Permission for shifting or relocating of premises of existing teacher education institutions;e) Permission for closure or discontinuation of recognized teacher education programmes, or institutions as the case may be <p><i>Provided that for teacher education programmes offered through open and distance learning, the respective norms and standards for each such learning programme shall be applicable.”</i></p> <p>2. Regulation 8(1) reads as under:</p> <p><i>“New Teacher Education Institutions shall be located in composite institutions and the existing teacher education institutions shall continue to function as stand-alone institutions; and gradually move towards becoming composite institutions.”</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 297th meeting held on 27th & 28th December, 2015 considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under</p> <p><i>“According to information furnished by the applicant, this is a case of stand-alone institution. They have no UG courses or Teacher Education courses. Issue SCN for rejection.”</i></p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 22.01.2016. The institution submitted reply on 17.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 304th Meeting held on 19th and 20th February, 2016 considered the reply of the institution and decided as under:-</p>
--	--	--

		<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Evidence of affiliation given.2. Contiguity is to be established3. All documents to be given4. Cause Composite inspection5. Vt to collect all relevant documents <p>Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 17.03.2016. Inspection of the institution was conducted on 22.03.2016 and VT report along with documents and CD received on 26.03.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 308th meeting held during on 28th to 30th March, 2016 considered the VT report and decided as under:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• EC has not been given.• Other items are in order.• Issue SCN for EC. <p>Before issuance of show cause notice, as per website information the Institution submitted reply on 14.04.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 309th meeting held on 12th – 14th April, 2016 considered the show cause notice reply and decided to “issue LOI for D.El.Ed (2 units).”</p> <p>As per decision of SRC, LOI was sent on 14.04.2016. The institution submitted its reply on 02.05.2016 and 04.05.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 313th meeting held on 02nd & 03rd May, 2016 considered the LOI reply and decided to “issue Formal Recognition for D.El.Ed (2 units) w.e.f 2016-17.”</p> <p>Accordingly, Formal Recognition Order was issued on 02.05.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution submitted its written representation along with relevant documents and shifting fee of Rs. 1,50,000/- bearing No. 200054 dated 23.09.2016 and stating as follows:</p>
--	--	--

		<p>“.....we are here with submitting the ‘application for shifting of premises’ for our Chinthala Charvith Sai Reddy D.Ed College from plot No. 210A, Agraharam Village, Piler Post & Taluk, Chittoor District – 517214, Andhra Pradesh, F.SRO/SRCAPP14976/D.El.Ed/AP/2016/85118 To Sri Lakshmi Balaji Educational Society, Survey No. 6-2, Jambuvaripalli (V), Bangarupalyam (M), Chittoor District, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p><i>I further submit that here with I am submitting Rs. 1,50,000/- (Rupees One lakh Fifty Thousand only) towards inspection fee along with all documents.</i></p> <p><i>So, please consider our request and take necessary action to shift our D.Ed college to shift new premises as early as possible.”</i></p> <p>The application has been scrutinized with reference to the agenda note, records of the case and existing rules/Regulations/Instructions on the subject issues.</p> <p>The Committee considered the scrutiny of the application for the session 2017-18 dated 13.7.2016 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Shifting case.2. Land document – certified copy not given.3. LUC/EC are in order.4. BP is not legible.5. BCC is in order. Built-up area is 7700 sq.mts. <p>And directed to issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</p>
--	--	---

52	SRCAPP14503 M.Ed- 1 Unit Sadulla Hussain College of Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh	<p>Teacher Mastan Muslim Minority Educational Society, Plot No. 1st Line, Islampet Street, Narsaraopet Village & Post, Narasaraopet City and Taluk, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Sadulla Hussain College of Education, Plot No.517/A, Mulakaluru Village & Post, Narasaraopet Taluk and City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh for offering B.Ed course of two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 25/06/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 03/07/2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 08/07/2015 Followed by recommendation 13/10/2015 and reminder II on 10/12/2015.</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course”.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 296th meeting held on 15th-16th December, 2015 considered the documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and it has decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Land Usage Certificate, Encumbrance Certificate and Building Completion Certificate to be submitted.2. Original Fixed Deposit Receipts to be submitted.3. Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents.4. Cause Composite Inspection. <p>As per the direction of SRC, inspection intimation was sent on 16.01.2016. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 26.01.2016 and VT Report along with documents received on 02.02.2016.</p>
----	---	---

		<p>The SRC in its 301st meeting held on 05th -06th February, 2016 considered the VT report and all other relevant documents of the institution and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Issue SCN for RDO's proceedings for land documents and EC in favour Society. <p>Before issuance show cause notice, the institution submitted its reply (as per website information) on 08.02.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 302nd meeting held on 09th, 10th & 11th February, 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. They can not ask for M.Ed without having a base of an University affiliated course running for more than 5 years. The application for M.Ed is accordingly rejected.2. Issue LOI B.Ed(2 units)3. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.4. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible. <p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC LOI was issued on 17.02.2016. The institution submitted LOI reply along with faculty list and other relevant documents on 03.03.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 306th meeting held on 01st to 04th March, 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Asst. Professor in Sociology to be appointed.2. Original staff list to be submitted.3. Website address is not functioning.4. Original FDRs of Rs.7 lakhs and 5 lakhs to be submitted. <p>Issue Formal Recognition for B.Ed (2 units) w.e.f. 2016-17</p>
--	--	---

	<p>As per SRC decision, deficiency letter was sent to the institution on 05.04.2016. This institution did not submit reply.</p> <p>The SRC in its 322nd meeting held on 20th to 21st October, 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. 6 cases are involved2. In 3 cases, deficiencies have not been rectified. Issue SCN for rejection of the applications seeking recognition.3. In 3 cases, deficiencies have been rectified but beyond the time-limit prescribed by Supreme Court for 2016-17.4. We had earlier decided to give them FR for 2016-17. In view of (3) above, we have to amend that to grant of Formal Recognition for 2017-18.5. Issue accordingly. <p>Accordingly, as per SRC decision, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 08.11.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution submitted its reply along with relevant documents on 23.01.2017.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Show Cause Notice reply dated 23.1.2017 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. NAAC certificate not given. Mere application to NAAC is not enough. NAAC acknowledgement of IQAR is required.2. There is no support from a B.Ed. course that has been in operation for 5 years.3. Reject the application. Return FDRs, if any.
--	---

		4. Close the file.
53	<p>SRCAPP3576</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Sadulla Hussain College of Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Teacher Mastan Muslim Minority Educational Society, Plot No. 1st Line, Islampet Street, Narsaraopet Village & Post, Narasaraopet City and Taluk, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Sadulla Hussain College of Education, Plot No.517/A, Mulakaluru Village & Post, Narasaraopet Taluk and City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh for offering B.Ed course of two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 25/06/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 03/07/2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 08/07/2015 Followed by recommendation 13/10/2015 and reminder II on 10/12/2015.</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><i>“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course”.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 296th meeting held on 15th-16th December, 2015 considered the documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and it has decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Land Usage Certificate, Encumbrance Certificate and Building Completion Certificate to be submitted. 6. Original Fixed Deposit Receipts to be submitted. 7. Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents. 8. Cause Composite Inspection.

	<p>As per the direction of SRC, inspection intimation was sent on 16.01.2016. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 26.01.2016 and VT Report along with documents received on 02.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 301st meeting held on 05th -06th February, 2016 considered the VT report and all other relevant documents of the institution and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Issue SCN for RDO's proceedings for land documents and EC in favour Society. <p>Before issuance show cause notice, the institution submitted its reply (as per website information) on 08.02.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 302nd meeting held on 09th, 10th & 11th February, 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. They can not ask for M.Ed without having a base of an University affiliated course running for more than 5 years. The application for M.Ed is accordingly rejected.2. Issue LOI B.Ed(2 units)3. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.4. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible. <p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC LOI was issued on 17.02.2016. The institution submitted LOI reply along with faculty list and other relevant documents on 03.03.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 306th meeting held on 01st to 04th March, 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Asst. Professor in Sociology to be appointed.2. Original staff list to be submitted.3. Website address is not functioning.4. Original FDRs of Rs.7 lakhs and 5 lakhs to be submitted.
--	---

		<p style="text-align: center;">Issue Formal Recognition for B.Ed (2 units) w.e.f. 2016-17</p> <p>As per SRC decision, deficiency letter was sent to the institution on 05.04.2016. This institution did not submit reply.</p> <p>The SRC in its 322nd meeting held on 20th to 21st October, 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. 6 cases are involved2. In 3 cases, deficiencies have not been rectified. Issue SCN for rejection of the applications seeking recognition.3. In 3 cases, deficiencies have been rectified but beyond the time-limit prescribed by Supreme Court for 2016-17.4. We had earlier decided to give them FR for 2016-17. In view of (3) above, we have to amend that to grant of Formal Recognition for 2017-18.5. Issue accordingly. <p>Accordingly, as per SRC decision, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 08.11.2016.</p> <p>Now, the institution submitted its reply along with relevant documents on 23.01.2017</p> <p>The Committee considered reply of the institution dated 23.1.2017 and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. There is support from a D.El.Ed. course that is running.2. All deficiencies have been rectified.3. Issue FR w.e.f.2017-18.
54	SRCAPP2947	Sri Upaveera Jagdguru Vidya Samsthe, Plot No. 45/2AP2-P1, Brahma Vidyanagara Street, Madhure Village and Post Office, Hosadurga Taluk & City, Chithradurga District-577527, Karnataka had applied for grant of

	<p>B.Ed 2 Units Bhagiratha College Education, Chitradurga, Karnataka</p> <p>of</p>	<p>recognition to Bhagiratha College of Education, Plot No. 45/2AP2-P1, Madhure Village and Post Office, Hosadurga Taluk & City, Chithradurga District-577527, Karnataka for offering B.Ed course of two years duration for the academic Section 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.05.2015 The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 30.05.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014.</p> <p>A letter dated 09.06.2015 was sent to the State Govt. for their Recommendation/Comments, followed by Reminder-I on 03.11.2016.</p> <p>Sub-Clause (2) of Clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:-</p> <p>“(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a) <i>Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;</i>b) <i>Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application. “</i> <p><i>Sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:-</i></p> <p><i>“While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application.”</i></p>
--	--	--

	<p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>The institution has submitted a copy of the registered land documents which is in the name of an individual (Shri shri shri Purushothamanand Puri Mahaswamiji).and not in the name of Society /Trust/Institution.</i> <p>The SRC in its 291st meeting held during 20th to 21st August, 2016 considered the matter, and after careful perusal of the original application for B.Ed course for the session 2016-17 submitted on-line on 30.05.2015 and hard copy on 30.05.2015, decided to Summarily reject the application as per Clause 7 2(b) of Regulations 2014.</p> <p>The land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 is not submitted. The institution submitted a copy of the registered land documents which is in the name of an individual (Shri shri shri Purushothamanand Puri Mahaswamiji) and not in the name of Society /Trust/Institution.</p> <p>As per decision of SRC, a rejection order was issued to the Institution on 15.10.2015</p> <p>Aggrieved by the Rejection order of SRC, the institution filed an appeal before the appellate authority, NCTE Hqrs.</p> <p>The appellate authority vide order No. F.No.89-301/2015 F.No. 89301 Appeal/3rd Meeting-2016, 170940 dated 18.04.2016 remanded the case to SRC as under:-</p> <p>“The Committee noting that the correction Land sale deed, which is in favour of President, Bhageeratha Peetha, was got executed on the same day i.e. 09.01.2007 on which date the earlier submitted sale deed was executed in the name of an individual, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the S.R.C with a direction to process the application further on receipt of the Correction Deed from the appellant. The appellant is directed to submit the correction Deed to the S.R.C within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.</p>
--	--

		<p>After perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to process the application further on receipt of the correction Deed from the appellant. The appellant is directed to submit the correction deed to the SRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.</p> <p>The Council hereby remands back the case of Bhagiratha College of Education, Hosadurga, Chitradurga District, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.”</p> <p>The matter was placed before the SRC, in its 312th meeting held on 28th & 29th April, 2016. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Built up area, as per the BCC, is inadequate for the existing and proposed courses2. Latest EC is not submitted3. FDRs have to be given in joint account and in original4. Cause Composite Inspection5. Ask VT to collect all documents during the inspection6. According to the time-limit extended by the Supreme Court, 2 May 2016 is the last date for issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2016-17. All concerned should be advised of this position so that they can take advantage of the extended time-limit even if necessary by foregoing normal ‘notice periods’. <p>The inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 27.09.2016.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was conducted on 08.10.2016 and the VT report received in the office on 13.10.2016 along with documents.</p> <p>The SRC, in its 323rd meeting held during 16th to 18th November, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Title is not in the name of either the Society or Institution.2. LUC and EC are given.
--	--	--

		<p>3. BP is in order. 4. BCC is in order. 5. Built-up area is adequate. 6. The B.Ed application is not supported by any other course to provide composite status. 7. Issue SCN accordingly.</p> <p>Besides the above, the institution is also required to submit the latest Encumbrance Certificate.</p> <p>On 23.11.2016, NOC is received from Proceedings of the Government of Karnataka vide order no: ED 319 UNE 2015 Bangalore, dated 09.11.2016.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 28.11.2016.</p> <p>The Show Cause Notice reply was not received by this office even after completion of 9 days from the date of issue of notice reply to the institution. Accordingly the non-submission of show cause notice reply was placed before SRC 327th meeting.</p> <p>The SRC, in its 327th meeting held during 19th to 20th January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">“ The main objection in this case was about ‘stand alone’ status. The fact of their running a D.El.Ed. course was not reported.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Now that the new information has come up, put up again before the SRC for reconsideration in the next meeting on 31.01.2017.</p> <p>In the meantime, the institution has submitted Show Cause notice reply on 17.01.2017.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Show Cause Notice reply dated 17.1.2017 and decided as under:-</p>
--	--	--

		<p>1. There is support from a running D.El.Ed. course.</p> <p>2. The deficiency relating to land document is rectified.</p> <p>And directed to Issue Letter of Intent(LOI) for B.Ed.(2 units).</p>
55	<p>SRCAPP2434</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Sri Venkateswara D.Ed College, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Sri Ravindranadh Educational Development Society, Plot. No. 7-19, Kanuru Village & Post, Penamaluru Taluk, Krishna District-520007, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Venkateswara D.Ed College, Plot No. 51/9, Chagntipad, Kanuru Village & Post, Penamaluru Taluk, Krishna District-520007, Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed course of two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 26/05/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 08/06/2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 16/06/2015, followed by Reminder-I on 06/10/2015 and Reminder-II on 12/11/2015.</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course”.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 296th meeting held on 15th-16th December, 2015 considered the documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:</p> <p>1. Land Usage Certificate, Building plan, Building Completion Certificate and Encumbrance Certificate to be submitted.</p> <p>2. Applicant to choose B.A,B.Ed /B.Sc,B.Ed and specify whether 1</p>

		<p>or 2 units is required</p> <p>3. Original Fixed Deposit Receipts to be submitted</p> <p>4. Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents</p> <p>5. Cause Composite inspection</p> <p>6. Affidavit is to be submitted</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 12.01.2016. The Inspection of the institution was conducted on 01.02.2016 and VT report along with documents and CD received on 04.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 302nd meeting held on 09th – 11th February, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Issue LOI for B.Ed (1 unit)2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible <p>As per the decision of SRC, the Letter of intent was issued to the institution on 11.02.2016.</p> <p>An email was received from the institution on 11.02.2016 stating as under:</p> <p><i>“our management has choosing first preference of D.El.Ed 2 units & B.A.B.Ed 2 units at this time after completion of required building for B.Ed course we will start B.Ed course after getting permission from SRC, NCTE.</i></p> <p><i>Now, hence I request you madam please kindly consider our request and issue modified LOI as D.El.Ed 2 Units And B.A.B.Ed 2 units to Sri Venkateshwara College of Education (SRCAPP2493 & SRCAPP2435) for the academic year 2016-2017.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 303rd meeting held on 15th February 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <p><i>“Give time to B.Ed till Sept 2016.”</i></p>
--	--	---

		<p>A letter sent to the institution conveying the decision of 303rd meeting on 22.03.2016.</p> <p>The institution submitted written representation on 06.05.2016 along with BCC and BP, it stated as under:</p> <p><i>“With the reference cited above, I am inform to you madam, we are having additional accommodation for B.Ed Course. Total: 6061.84 Sq.Mts of Building Plan and Building Completion Certificate is enclosed per your kind perusal.</i></p> <p><i>Hence I request you Madam Please kindly consider our request and grant of permission B.Ed Course to Sri Venkateswara College of Education for the academic year 2016-17.”</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 314th meeting held on 27th -28th May 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Now that additional built up area has been reported, consider B.Ed (2 units).2. Cause Inspection. <p>Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 03.08.2016. The Inspection of the institution was conducted on 22.08.2016 and VT report along with documents and CD received on 31.08.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 322nd meeting held on 20th – 21st October, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Title deed is in order.2. LUC & EC are in order.3. BCC is in order.4. BP is in order.5. Original FDRs not given.6. Faculty list not given.
--	--	--

		<p style="text-align: center;">7. Fee for the second inspection not paid. 8. Issue SCN accordingly.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 09.11.2016. The institution submitted show cause notice reply on 16.12.2016.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Show Cause Notice reply dated 16.12.2016 and has observed the following:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The LOI reply is incomplete. 2. Original Faculty list and original FDRs are required. 3. Give them time till 15.2.2017. Thereafter, we will be constrained to reject the application. <p>And directed to issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</p>
56	<p>SRCAPP14534</p> <p>D.El.Ed</p> <p>SRCAPP14535</p> <p>D.El.Ed-AI</p> <p>Archana Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Kadapa,</p>	<p><u>D.El.Ed (SRCAPP14534)</u></p> <p>Archana Vignan Educational Rural Development Society, Plot No-20, 1st Street, Opp.K.K Palli Village, Rayachoty Post & Taluk,Kadapa District – 516269, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Archana Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Plot No-20, 4th Street, Rayachoty Village & Post, Rayachoty Taluk, Kadapa District-516269 Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed course of 2 years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on 29.06.2015. the institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 13.07.2015.</p> <p>The application is processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 22.07.2015 Followed by</p>

	Andhra Pradesh	<p>recommendation 10.10.2015 and reminder II on 30.11.2015</p> <p><u>D.El.Ed-A.I (SRCAPP14535)</u></p> <p>Arachana Vignan Educational Rural Development Society, Plot No-20, 1st Street, Opp.K.K Palli Village, Rayachoty Post & Taluk, Kadapa District – 516269, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Archana Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Plot No-20, 4th Street, Rayachoty Village & Post, Rayachoty Taluk, Kadapa District-516269 Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed-AI course of 2 years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 29.06.2015. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 13.07.2015.</p> <p>The application is processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 22.07.2015. Followed by recommendation 10.10.2015 and reminder II on 30.11.2015.</p> <p>The Sub Section (7) of Section 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p>“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decide that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course”.</p> <p>The SRC in its 295th meeting held during 28th to 30th November and 1st December, 2015 has considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution in respect of D.El.Ed (SRCAPP14534) and D.El.Ed-A.I (srcapp14535) applications along with hard copy of application and decided as under :-</p> <p>“1. Applicant made two application applications for D.El.Ed in one campus. 2. Eligible for two units of D.El.Ed at a time.</p>
--	----------------	--

		<p>3.SRCAP14534 will be considered for 2 units. 4.Show Cause Notice for closing SRCAPP14535 5.Cause Composite Inspection 6.Ask VT to particularly check on the deficiencies and collect all documents”</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a composite inspection was conducted on 29.01.2016 and visiting team report is received by this office on 08.02.2016</p> <p>SRC 302th meeting of SRC 09th, 10th &11th February 2016 considered the matter and decided as under.</p> <p>SRCAPP14534-LOI –D.El.Ed-2 units</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Issue LOI for D.El.Ed (2 units).2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.3. Only if these are given on or before.3. 3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2016-17 academic year be possible. <p>SRCAPP14535- show cause notice reply not submitted so far.</p> <p>Accordingly, Letter of intent was issued to the institution on 17.02.2016. The institution has submitted LOI reply on 03.03.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in it 306th meeting held on 01th to 04th March 2016, considered the LOI Reply & decided on under:</p> <p>Issue Formal Recognition for D.El.Ed (units) w.e.f.2016-17.</p> <p>A letter to the institution sent on 18.04.2016 regarding submission of following documents. Records dos not Provide the basis of such comments.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Staff list for the proposed course, affidavit & photo graphs of infrastructural facilities are not uploaded in the website.
--	--	---

		<p>The institution did not sent any reply.</p> <p>The SRC in its 324th meeting held on 07th & 08th December, 2016 considered the matter & decided as under.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In all these 3 cases, the Formal Recognitions that were decided for issue, may be cancelled.2. The institutions have not cared to reply to our letters. Let us now issue show cause notice for rejection of the application altogether. <p>Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 16.12.2016.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its reply on 30.12.2016.</p> <p>The Committee considered the reply of the institution dated 30.12.2016 and has decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The reply is satisfactory.2. SCN is cancelled.3. Close the case (no.14534).4. The D.El.Ed. – A.I. case (no.14535) was rejected in the 309th meeting.
57	SRCAPP3474 D.El.Ed-AI 1 Unit	The Committee has directed SRO to put up the matter in the next meeting.

	Little Rose College of Elementary Education, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh	
58	SRCAPP2524 B.Ed Jnyan Bharathi B.Ed College, Bijapur, Karnataka	The Committee has directed SRO to put up the matter in the next meeting.
59	SRCAPP14601 D.El.Ed-AI-1 Unit Addanki College of Education, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh	The Committee has directed SRO to put up the matter in the next meeting.